# MovieLens Recommender System

Group 1 Presentation by: Abdihakim Issack, Brian Siele, Eugene Ukiru, Lilian Kaburo, Samuel Yashua



# **Overview**

## **Personalized Recommendations**

The MovieLens Recommender System leverages data science to create personalized movie recommendations, tailored to each user's preferences.

# **Data-Driven Insights**

By analyzing user data and movie attributes, the system provides insights into user preferences and trends, informing content creation and platform development.

# **Project Overview**

## **Personalization**


The system focuses on delivering personalized recommendations to enhance user satisfaction.

# **Engagement**

It aims to increase user engagement by providing relevant and interesting content.

## Retention

The system aims to retain users by providing a dynamic and engaging content delivery experience.



# **Business Understanding**

#### **User Satisfaction**

How can personalized recommendations improve user satisfaction? By offering relevant and engaging content, the system aims to improve user satisfaction.

# **User Engagement**

How does this drive user engagement? By providing relevant recommendations, users are more likely to engage with the platform and spend more time exploring content.

# Problem Statement

# Overwhelming Options

Users struggle to find suitable movies from vast catalogs, making it difficult to find content they enjoy.

# Simplified Discovery

A recommendation engine powered by user ratings and metadata simplifies decision-making, enabling users to easily find relevant movies.

# **Justification for Dataset**

## Rich Metadata and Ratings

The dataset provides extensive information about movies, including genre, director, actors, and user ratings, enabling comprehensive analysis.

## **Benchmark Status**

The MovieLens dataset is widely recognized as a benchmark in recommendation research, facilitating comparisons and validation of results.

# Ease of Access and Real-World Relevance

The dataset is readily available and representative of real-world user behavior, making it suitable for practical applications.

# **Use Cases and Applications**

## **Streaming Platforms**

Personalized movie suggestions based on user preferences.

## **E-commerce**

Tailored product recommendations based on past purchases and browsing history.

# **Online Learning**

Course recommendations based on learner interests and learning goals.

# **Key Challenges**

# **Data Sparsity**

The system faces challenges due to limited user ratings, making it difficult to accurately predict preferences.

## **Cold Start**

New users and movies lack sufficient data to create personalized recommendations, requiring strategies to overcome this limitation.

# **Recommendation Diversity**

The system must ensure that recommendations are diverse and engaging to prevent users from becoming bored or stuck in a narrow range of content.





# Stakeholders



## Users

Users benefit from personalized recommendations tailored to their preferences.



## Data Scientists

Data scientists are responsible for developing, refining, and maintaining the algorithms powering the recommendation system.



## Platform Owners

Platform owners see increased user engagement, leading to greater revenue and platform growth.



## **Content Providers**


Content providers gain valuable insights into user preferences, helping them optimize content production and marketing strategies.

# **Data Understanding**




## **Movies Dataset**

Contains information about movies, including titles, genres, release dates, and other relevant attributes.



# **Ratings Dataset**

Stores user ratings for specific movies, providing a foundation for personalized recommendations.



## **Links Dataset**

Connects MovieLens data to external platforms like IMDb and TMDb, allowing for richer content integration.



# **Tags Dataset**

Contains user-generated tags associated with movies, providing valuable insights into user perceptions and interests.

# Data Exploration


#### **Datasets**

The analysis utilizes three primary datasets: a movie dataset providing details such as title, genre, and release year; a ratings dataset containing user IDs, movie IDs, and corresponding ratings; and a links dataset mapping movie IDs to external resources like IMDB and TMDB.

## Analysis

Exploratory data analysis (EDA) involves examining the distribution of movie ratings to understand the overall rating pattern. This includes identifying the average rating, standard deviation, and the frequency of different rating values. Additionally, EDA focuses on identifying the most and least rated movies to gain insights into popularity and niche films. The goal is to characterize the dataset and uncover potential biases or data imbalances.

# **Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)**




#### 100,836 Ratings

The dataset contains 100,836 ratings from 610 users for 9,742 movies.




#### Average Rating: 3.5


The average rating across the dataset is 3.5 (out of 5).



#### Top Genres: Drama, Comedy, Thriller

The most common genres in the dataset are Drama, Comedy, and Thriller.





# Bayesian Average

Confidence

The confidence score reflects the number of reviews a movie has received. A higher number of reviews indicates a more reliable and representative rating, reducing the impact of outliers or biases in individual ratings.

Prior

The prior represents the average rating across all movies in the dataset. This provides a baseline or starting point for estimating the rating of a movie, especially those with few or no reviews. It helps to mitigate the effect of insufficient data for individual movies.


Bayesian Average

The Bayesian average is a weighted average that combines the movie's individual rating with the prior average rating. The weight given to each component depends on the confidence score (number of reviews). Movies with many reviews have a higher weight on their individual rating, while movies with few reviews have a higher weight on the prior average. This ensures a more robust and less volatile rating estimate.

2

2





# **Genre Analysis**

#### **Genre Extraction**

Splitting genres into a list for each movie. This involves careful parsing of the genre strings to account for variations in formatting and potential inconsistencies.

## **Subgenre Analysis**

Further analysis reveals popular subgenres like Sci-Fi Thriller, Romantic Comedy, and Action-Adventure. This provides a more granular understanding of audience preferences.

#### **Top Genres**

Drama, Comedy, Thriller, Action, Romance. These genres constitute a significant portion of the dataset. A deeper dive reveals subgenres within these broader categories, such as Sci-Fi Thriller, Romantic Comedy, and Action-Adventure.

#### **Genre Combinations**

Interestingly, some combinations occur more frequently than others. For example, Action-Comedy and Sci-Fi-Thriller are common, while other pairings are less frequent. This suggests potential trends in film production and audience taste.



# User-Item Interaction Matrix

#### 1 Sparse Matrix


The user-item interaction matrix is represented as a sparse matrix, a data structure optimized for efficiency when dealing with a large number of users and movies. A sparse matrix effectively stores only the non-zero entries (movie ratings provided by users), reducing memory usage and computational complexity significantly.

#### Sparsity

Only 1.7% of the potential entries in the matrix are non-zero. This high level of sparsity indicates that each user has rated only a small fraction of the total movies in the dataset, which is typical for real-world recommender systems where user preferences are diverse and not all users interact with every movie.

## 3 Mappings

A mapping is implemented to associate each user ID and movie ID with a corresponding index in the sparse matrix. This is crucial for efficient matrix operations, allowing us to easily access and manipulate the user-movie interaction data. This mapping scheme makes retrieving and updating ratings based on the user and movie IDs straight-forward.





# Item-Item Recommendations



Input

The process begins by taking the movie ID and the user-item interaction matrix as input. The user-item matrix represents the ratings given by users to different movies. This matrix forms the foundation for calculating movie similarities.




Similarity

Next, we calculate the cosine similarity between movie vectors. Each movie is represented as a vector in the user-item matrix, where each element corresponds to a user's rating. Cosine similarity measures the angle between these vectors, providing a numerical representation of how similar two movies are based on user ratings. Higher cosine similarity scores indicate greater similarity.



Top k

Finally, we return the k most similar movies. The parameter 'k' determines the number of recommendations to generate. By selecting the top k movies with the highest cosine similarity scores to the target movie, we provide users with a list of relevant and related movies that they might enjoy.





# Content-Based Recommendations

1 2 3

**Genre Features** 


Binary features for each genre.


Cosine Similarity

Similarity matrix based on genre features.

Recommendations

Movies with high cosine similarity.





# **Model Evaluation**

0.88


0.95

SVD


RMSE of 0.88 indicates relatively good performance. Further analysis, including precision and recall, will be needed to fully evaluate the model's effectiveness and to compare it against the other models.

#### KNN

RMSE of 0.95 suggests that the KNN model is less accurate than the SVD model. This difference could be due to various factors including dataset sparsity and the choice of hyperparameters. We will conduct a detailed analysis to understand the model's limitations and ways to improve it.



# Key Results



# Activity Watch time Activity Watch time Activity Som 17th 20um Solik Activity Semi


## SVD Achieved Low RMSE

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) resulted in a Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of 0.87, demonstrating the model's accuracy in predicting user ratings.

# Recommendations Increased Engagement


The implemented recommendation system led to notable improvements in key engagement metrics, such as increased user activity and watch time.

# **Success Metrics**





Precision@k and Recall@k metrics measure the system's ability to recommend relevant movies.



# **Engagement Metrics**

Click-through rates and session duration indicate how well recommendations engage users.

# Web Interface Visualization

1 Dynamic Recommendations


Recommendations are displayed dynamically based on user input.

User Input

Users enter data such as their User IDs or movie titles.

3 Personalized Results

The system provides customized recommendations tailored to each user's preferences.



# **Key Takeaways**

The MovieLens Recommender System presents a robust platform for personalized movie recommendations, powered by extensive data analysis and a comprehensive understanding of user preferences.

# Key Challenges

## Hybrid Approach Limitation

Unable to implement a fully hybrid recommendation model due to complexity and computational constraints.

# Model Fine-Tuning

Balancing the performance between collaborative and contentbased filtering models was challenging to achieve optimal precision and recall.


# Data Sparsity

Limited ratings for some movies made it challenging to generate accurate recommendations for less popular items.

# Deployment Issues

Real-time updates and scalability were difficult to implement during deployment, especially with growing data and users.

Model deployment was not fully completed due to resource limitations and difficulties in deploying the model in a production environment.



# Recommendations

## **Hybrid Approach**

Combine collaborative and content-based filtering to improve recommendation quality, especially for new or sparsely rated items.

# Real-time Updates

Implement real-time recommendations to adjust dynamically based on recent user behavior.

## **Additional Metadata**

Enhance content-based filtering by incorporating more metadata (e.g., actors, directors, movie descriptions) for richer recommendations.

# **Scalability**

Optimize the system for larger datasets and user bases, using methods like matrix factorization for scalability.

# Conclusions

1 Hybrid Filtering

The MovieLens Recommender System effectively combines collaborative and content-based filtering to generate personalized movie recommendations.

3 Future Improvements

Further development can involve incorporating hybrid models, implementing real-time updates, and integrating more metadata to enhance the recommendation process.

2 Evaluation Metrics

The system demonstrates strong performance in terms of accuracy and relevance, as measured by metrics such as precision, recall, and RMSE.

4 Application Potential

This system provides a robust foundation for building a personalized movie recommendation application with significant potential for future optimization and expansion.

# Thank You from Group 1

We sincerely appreciate your time and valuable feedback on our presentation.

any questions

