CSE4088 Introduction to Machine Learning

Error and Noise

Slides are adopted from lecture notes of Yaser Abu-Mostafa

Review of Last Lecture

- Linear models use the 'signal':

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} w_i x_i = \mathbf{w}^\intercal \mathbf{x}$$

  - Classification: $h(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^\intercal \mathbf{x})$
  - Regression: $h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\intercal \mathbf{x}$

- Linear regression algorithm:

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathrm{X}^\intercal \mathrm{X})^{-1} \mathrm{X}^\intercal \mathbf{y}$$

"one-step learning"



- Nonlinear transformation:
  - $\mathbf{w}^\intercal \mathbf{x}$ is linear in $\mathbf{w}$
  - Any $\mathbf{x} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{z}$ preserves this linearity.
  - Example: $(x_1, x_2) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (x_1^2, x_2^2)$

Outline

- Nonlinear transformation (continued)
- Error measures
- Noisy targets
- Preamble to the theory





What transforms to what

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_d) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{z} = (z_0, z_1, \cdots\cdots\cdots, z_{\tilde{d}})$$

$$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_N \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{z}_N$$

$$y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N \xrightarrow{\Phi} y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_N$$

No weights in $\mathcal{X}$ $\qquad$ $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} = (w_0, w_1, \cdots\cdots\cdots, w_{\tilde{d}})$

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}^\mathsf{T}\Phi(\mathbf{x}))$$

Outline

- Nonlinear transformation (continued)
- Error measures
- Noisy targets
- Preamble to the theory

The learning diagram - where we left it



Error Measures

What does "$h \approx f$" mean?

Error measure: $E(h, f)$

Error Measures

What does "$h \approx f$" mean?

Error measure: $E(h, f)$

Almost always *pointwise definition*: e $\left(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\right)$

Error Measures

What does "$h \approx f$" mean?

Error measure: $E(h, f)$

Almost always *pointwise definition*: e $\big(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\big)$

Examples:

Squared error: e $\big(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\big) = \big(h(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\big)^2$

Binary error: e $\big(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\big) = [\![h(\mathbf{x}) \neq f(\mathbf{x})]\!]$

From pointwise to overall

Overall error $E(h, f)$ = average of pointwise errors e $\big(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\big)$.

In-sample error:

$$E_{\text{in}}(h) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \text{e}\left(h(\mathbf{x}_n), f(\mathbf{x}_n)\right)$$

Out-of-sample error:

$$E_{\text{out}}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\text{e}\left(h(\mathbf{x}), f(\mathbf{x})\right)\right]$$

The learning diagram - with pointwise error



How to choose the error measure

Fingerprint verification:



Two types of error:

false accept and *false reject*

How to choose the error measure

Fingerprint verification:

Two types of error:

false accept and *false reject*

How do we penalize each type?

		f: $+1$	f: -1
h	$+1$	no error	*false accept*
	-1	*false reject*	no error



The error measure – for supermarkets

- Supermarket verifies fingerprint for discounts.
- False reject is costly; customer gets annoyed!
- False accept has minor cost; gave away a discount and intruder left their fingerprint ☺



		f: $+1$	f: -1
h	$+1$	0	1
	-1	10	0

The error measure – for the CIA!

- CIA verifies fingerprint for security
- False accept is a disaster!
- False reject can be tolerated . Try again; you are an employee ☺



		f	
		$+1$	-1
h	$+1$	0	1000
	-1	1	0

Take-home lesson

- The error measure should be specified by the user.
- Not always possible. Alternatives:
  - **Plausible** measures: squared error – Gaussian noise
  - **Friendly** measures: closed-form solution (as in regression), convex optimization



Outline

- Nonlinear transformation (continued)
- Error measures
- Noisy targets
- Preamble to the theory

Noisy Targets

- The 'target function' is not always a function
- Consider the credit card approval:

age	23 years
annual salary	$30,000
years in residence	1 year
years in job	1 year
current debt	$15,000
...	...

- Two 'identical' customer → two different behaviors

Target 'distribution'

Instead of $y = f(\mathbf{x})$, we use target *distribution*:

$$P(y \mid \mathbf{x})$$

$(\mathbf{x}, y)$ is now generated by the joint distribution:

$$P(\mathbf{x})P(y \mid \mathbf{x})$$

Noisy target = deterministic target $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(y|\mathbf{x})$ plus noise $y - f(\mathbf{x})$

Deterministic target is a special case of noisy target:

$P(y \mid \mathbf{x})$ is zero except for $y = f(\mathbf{x})$

The learning diagram - including noisy target



Distinction between $P(y|\mathbf{x})$ and $P(\mathbf{x})$

Both convey probabilistic aspects of $\mathbf{x}$ and y

The target distribution $P(y \mid \mathbf{x})$
is what we are trying to learn

The input distribution $P(\mathbf{x})$
quantifies relative importance of $\mathbf{x}$

Merging $P(\mathbf{x})P(y|\mathbf{x})$ as $P(\mathbf{x}, y)$
mixes the two concepts



Outline

- Nonlinear transformation (continued)
- Error measures
- Noisy targets
- Preamble to the theory

What we know so far

Learning is feasible. It is likely that

$$E_{\text{out}}(g) \approx E_{\text{in}}(g)$$

Is this learning?

We need $g \approx f$, which means

$$E_{\text{out}}(g) \approx 0$$

The 2 questions of learning

$E_{\text{out}}(g) \approx 0$ is achieved through:

$E_{\text{out}}(g) \approx E_{\text{in}}(g)$ and $E_{\text{in}}(g) \approx 0$

Learning is thus split into 2 questions:

1. Can we make sure that $E_{\text{out}}(g)$ is close enough to $E_{\text{in}}(g)$?
2. Can we make $E_{\text{in}}(g)$ small enough?

What the theory will achieve

Characterizing the feasibility of learning for infinite M

Characterizing the tradeoff:

Model complexity	↑	E_{in}	↓
Model complexity	↑	$E_{\text{out}} - E_{\text{in}}$	↑