

# Semantic Smart Contracts And Their Integration With Semantic Data Licensing



Zahra Jafari  
Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Anna Fense  
Department of Computer Science  
Semantic Technology Institute Innsbruck

A thesis submitted for the degree of  
*Master's Program*  
*Computer Science*

2023



## **Abstract**

*This thesis deals with the problem of integrating semantic licensing from the Data Licenses Clearance Center (DALICC) library into a smart contract and semantic representation of the deployment environment of such a smart contract. For this purpose, we divide this research into four sections: first, we focused on how smart contracts build up on the blockchain and some more details about this system. Second, we demonstrate how blockchain can be integrated with semantic web technology. It is focused on some solutions for indexing and executing smart contracts on the Ethereum blockchain. And the third section is focused on DALICC as a framework that helps to detect license conflict and reduce the costs of rights clearance. And in the last section, we built up DApp to integrate semantic licenses with contents using smart contracts and represent a semantic model of these deployments.*

# Contents

0.1	Introduction . . . . .	1
<b>1</b>	<b>Smart Contract and Distributed Ledger Technology</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Ledger . . . . .	3
1.2	Distributed Vs. Decentralized . . . . .	4
1.3	Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) . . . . .	4
1.4	Blockchain . . . . .	5
1.5	Ethereum . . . . .	8
1.6	How Does Ethereum Work? . . . . .	8
1.6.1	Blockchain . . . . .	8
1.6.2	Ether . . . . .	10
1.6.3	Account . . . . .	10
1.6.4	Contract . . . . .	12
1.6.5	Smart Contract . . . . .	13
<b>2</b>	<b>Blockchain as the Infrastructure of Semantic Web</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1	Distributed Ledgers and Indexing . . . . .	14
2.1.1	Why Do We Use Ontology for Blockchain? . . . . .	15
2.1.2	Linked Data . . . . .	15
2.1.3	RDF . . . . .	17
2.1.4	SPARQL . . . . .	17
2.1.5	OWL (Ontology Web Language) . . . . .	18
2.1.6	Evolution of World Wide Web . . . . .	18
2.2	Vocabularies . . . . .	18
2.2.1	Vocabulary in Distributed Ledger . . . . .	18
2.2.2	Vocabulary in Smart Contract . . . . .	21

2.3	Semantify Blockchain . . . . .	21
2.3.1	Semantic Blockchain . . . . .	21
2.3.2	Semantification Process . . . . .	22
2.3.3	Semantic Ontology Mapping using BLONDiE . . . . .	22
<b>3</b>	<b>DALICC</b>	<b>24</b>
3.1	DALICC Requirements . . . . .	24
3.2	DALICC Software Architecture . . . . .	25
<b>4</b>	<b>Implementation: DApp</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	Ethereum DApp . . . . .	27
4.2	Project Concepts . . . . .	27
4.2.1	Contract and Ontology Specification . . . . .	28
4.2.2	Technology Usage . . . . .	29
4.3	Project Architecture . . . . .	33
4.3.1	Backend . . . . .	33
4.3.2	Frontend . . . . .	35
4.3.3	DApp Architecture . . . . .	38
4.4	Implementation . . . . .	39
4.4.1	Smart Contract Logic . . . . .	39
4.4.2	Forntend Workflow . . . . .	42
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Semantic mapping column in web3</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>RDF triple template in semantic mapping</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>SPARQL query example</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>SPARQL query example</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Smart contract</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Smart contract</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>G</b>	<b>Smart contract</b>	<b>56</b>

H Result of semantic mapping in RDF format 57

Bibliography 61

# List of Figures

1.1	Block contents . . . . .	9
1.2	Image of hash function . . . . .	12
2.1	Illustration of ontology diagram . . . . .	16
2.2	Linked data diagram . . . . .	17
2.3	EthOn classes . . . . .	19
2.4	EthOn properties . . . . .	20
2.5	BLONDiE . . . . .	21
2.6	Smart contract application binary interface (ABI) . . . . .	23
4.1	DApp infrastructure . . . . .	28
4.2	Transaction illustration . . . . .	34
4.3	Sequence diagram for licensing file . . . . .	37
4.4	DApp architecture . . . . .	38
4.5	Smart contract visualization . . . . .	40
4.6	Define type from DALICC library . . . . .	42
4.7	Define file from local device . . . . .	43
4.8	Check if file is already licensed? . . . . .	43
4.9	Message for licensed data . . . . .	44
4.10	License information for licensed data . . . . .	44
4.11	Licensing data process . . . . .	45
4.12	License hash after confirming transaction . . . . .	46
4.13	Transaction confirmation . . . . .	46
4.14	Result of semantic mapping . . . . .	47
4.15	Result of semantic mapping . . . . .	47

## 0.1 Introduction

Distributed ledger on the blockchain has become the most popular in recent years [3]. The features which make blockchain useful in cryptocurrency such as proof of consensus, secure transactions, and transparently - also make it suitable in many other contexts like healthcare, social, supply chain management, etc.

Ethereum blockchain is one of the well-known ledgers which is capable of generating decentralized applications, and managing cryptocurrency using smart contracts [3]. It is the platform for developers coding smart contracts in a decentralized paradigm to generate decentralized application DApp [15]. A smart contract is a code written in a solidity program language, stored in blockchain, and executing some functionality out of blockchain based on the terms of the contract in the most trusted way [3].

As distributed ledger like blockchain has been widely used in diverse type, the need of making queries over data, and index entries, becomes more important. The most important thing is integrating stored data on the blockchain with external resources, in other words, there is a need for linked data [3].

According to Tim-Berners-Lee (creator of the World Wide Web), 'linked data is the semantic web done right, and the web done right'[25]. The semantic web is a set of standards that enable access, integration, and query on data sources, and creates a variety of insight between different application domains. It can also use ontology to represents Ethereum entities like blocks, and transactions to allow queries from the web using SPARQL and linking datasets [3].

In this thesis, we deal with some problems: First one is to find a method to integrate semantic licenses from the DALICC library into a smart contract. DALICC stands for Data Licenses Clearance Center is a framework that supports automated clearance of rights to help detect license conflict and reduce the cost of high transactions related to manual clearance of licensing contents. It is developed and integrated into different functionalities that allow the automated clearance of rights issues [17].

The second one is that licenses from DALICC are already connected to the blockchain, But it does not have a semantic representation of the records themselves. Therefore, we used EthOn ontology<sup>1</sup> to generate a semantic model, mapping this model with information retrieved from deployed smart contracts in RDF format.

---

<sup>1</sup><http://ethon.consensys.net/>

To address these issues in our thesis, we created a DApp adapted from previous work on a similar topic to attach licenses to contents<sup>2</sup>, then applied semantic web techniques linking extracted data from Ethereum transactions to related EthOn ontology concepts. In the first chapter, we described distributed ledger technology, blockchain, Ethereum, and some relevant details to have a better insight into the used technology. In the next chapter, we represented some works around how the semantic web can be applied to the blockchain. And on the last chapter, we presented DApp to show smart contract integration with the DALICC semantic licenses library and semantic representation of this deployment.

---

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/kilianhnt/dalicc-license-annotator>

# Chapter 1

## Smart Contract and Distributed Ledger Technology

With the advances in technologies, transferring money is done over networks, and we just need to update related database entries that are controlled by central authorities like banks. These all processes need to third party to make appropriate transactions between unknown parties.

There are many problems with having third parties such as controlling the whole transaction by single authority, invalidating any transaction to serve their purpose . All these issues can be resolved using the novel idea of a distributed ledger. In this new technology, the third parties is eliminated, and all transactions will be done over the public network between parties within minutes.

With the presence of new technology, no one can manipulate transactions stored in a ledger or trace the involved parties in these transactions [14].

### 1.1 Ledger

A ledger is a book or computer that records transactions associated with a financial system. There are two different ledgers as below:

**Centralized Ledger** contains all recording transactions related to company assets, costs, libraries, etc.

**Decentralized Ledger** is a database that shares data across the network. It allows transactions to be executed in public. Any participant of each node can have an identical copy of the ledger, which is already shared on the network.

If any change or update occurs on the ledger, each node constructs a new transition and

votes by the consensus algorithm to choose the correct copy of the ledger. Once the consensus has been done, other nodes will be synchronized with the latest version of the ledger [13].

## 1.2 Distributed Vs. Decentralized

The difference between decentralized and distributed is made by Baran (1964) [1]. Decentralized means that there is no single authority to make a decision. Each participant can make a decision individually, and the system gathers all responses as resulting behavior. However, there is no single authority in the distributed system too, but the process is spread across all participants and decisions will be centralized. The main difference between distributed and decentralized is that a decentralized database is a collection of inter-connected databases that work independently in different locations. Ozsu et al. [29] define a distributed database as a "collection of multiple, logically inter-related databases distributed over a computer network and distributed database makes a transparent distribution to all users" [29]. Based on this definition, blockchain technology covers both types, as it appears as a single system to its users and performs a task across a network. Thus, blockchain is a form of a distributed database system [13].

## 1.3 Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT)

DLT refers to a database that provides identical copies of shared data among participants which would be updated using a complex consensus mechanism between participants. It is used to reduce the costs and increase transparency, traceability, and speed of the process.

This technology involves many challenges, and some of them have not been resolved so far. The most common challenges of DLT concern scalability, inseparability, and data privacy [26].

### How Does DLT Work?

DLT is the result of combining main three technologies:

- *P2P*: all participants (nodes) act simultaneously as client and server, consuming and contributing resources.

- *Cryptography* is used to authenticate the identity of the participant and the information between the two parties. Using encryption helps prevent third parties from accessing information.
- *Consensus algorithm* allows network participants to come into agreement to add a new node (block) to the ledger [26].

## 1.4 Blockchain

According to what World Bank Group [8] referred, blockchain is the most popular distributed ledger that stores and publishes data in packages called "blocks". Each block contains information such as nonce, timestamp, block hash, and a hash pointer to the previous block in its header. Therefore, all these blocks are connected in a digital chain [8].

Luke et al. [19] refer to blockchain as a list of blocks that are linked to each other and secured cryptography. The participants on networks have an identical copy of these records stored locally on the computers of all participants. Blockchain starts processing, when the user request transaction whether is a transaction, contract, or other information. The transaction is broadcast on P2P network of nodes. Following that, the verification process takes place where all of the nodes in the P2P network verify the transactions via the hashes which are generated by some algorithm. Once verification is completed, transaction detail will be stored in a block. Finally, a new block is added to a chain in a way that is permanent and unchangeable [19]. The initial block in the blockchain known as *Genesis* block, the other nodes will be added to the chain after the process of consensus between nodes. The consensus mechanism allows the blockchain to grow without fear of manipulating the information of blocks. Since the blocks contain transactions, the consensus process takes place in a predefined time interval. This interval is the duration of when the initiation of the transaction took place and the addition of the transaction into a blockchain. This confirmation time is varied based on block size, transaction, and consensus algorithm. There are different methods for consensus mechanism listed:

- Proof of Work (PoW): It is a mechanism that ensures consensus is done without any central control. By the usage of this mechanism miners compete to complete

their transaction first into blockchain and get rewards (e.g: Bitcoin, Ether). Miners (actors who participate in cryptocurrency transactions) connect to blockchain and accomplish tasks validating transactions to add new blocks by solving a cryptographic puzzle and anybody who completes their task sooner can add their block first in blockchain [23].

- Proof of Stake (PoS): It is an alternative to proof-of-work that fewer CPU computations for mining. In proof of stake, the chance of mining the next block depends on node balance. In private networks, however, where the participants know each other, consensus mechanisms such as proof of work are not required. This particularly removes the need for mining and gives us more variety of consensus protocols for picking from [2].
- Proof of Authority (PoA): It confirms accounts and allows them to add a transaction in blocks. Since this approach is much more centralized and transaction speed is faster, is prone to be attacked more than the other methods [19].
- Practical Byzantine Fault Tolerance (PBFT): Blockchain tries to solve the problem called 'Byzantine Generals' which refers to some members on the network who send incoherent or fake information related to the transaction to others. Since there is no authority on blockchain to correct them, leads to the unreliability of blockchain. PBFT algorithm tries to achieve a consensus to solve this issue in a way that uses the concept of primary and secondary vote. Secondary vote automatically evaluate the decisions made by primary vote and can collectively change to a new primary, if primary vote is compromised [19].

Blockchain is associated with cryptocurrencies like Ethereum, bitcoin, lite coin, etc. Gupta (2017) [7] identified five core attributes which blockchain builds trust through them:

- Distributed ledger: The data is not controlled by any single authority. It is shared, and updated across the network and the new changes will be replicated to all participants.
- Orchestrated and flexible: Since smart contracts can be executed on the blockchain. The blockchain can be evolved to support business processes and activities.

- Transparent and auditable: There is no need for a third party or another authority, as all participants have access to the same ledger, verify transactions, and identify the owner.
- Secure, private, and indelible: Blockchain provides these features using some capabilities such as permissions and cryptography which ensures that unauthorized users do not have any access to the network. It means that participants are really who they claim.
- Consensus: All nodes on the network should agree to validate transition and blockchain perform this process by consensus algorithm [7].

### Type of Blockchain

According to Aithal et al. [6] blockchain is used to transfer and exchange information through the secure network. Primarily, there were two types of blockchain technology: public and private networks. Based on some other analysis, blockchain can also be noted as consortium blockchain technology and hybrid blockchain technology [6].

That should be noted that all kinds of blockchains consist of nodes and works on P2P peer-to-peer network. Aithal et al. [6] classified blockchain into three types as bellow: public blockchain, private blockchain, and consortium blockchain. Besides this, there is another type of blockchain, known as the hybrid blockchain.

- **Public Blockchain** is the main type of blockchain that, is decentralized and open naturally. In this system, anyone is allowed to join to network and create consensuses. In a public blockchain, any miner (participant) can create consensus mechanisms such as proof of work, and proof of stake to validate the transaction with a low rate of validity [21].
- **Private Blockchain** is not open and restricted in a way that the only restricted participant has the right to validate the transaction. Therefore, it provides better privacy, improves scalability, and mitigates security issues. This blockchain does not have mining computation to reach the consensus because all participants are known in this network [21].

- **Consortium Blockchain** is semi-decentralized blockchain and is used to do activities for a single organization like bank, etc. The difference between private blockchain with this type is that Consortium Blockchain is controlled by a group rather than a single authority [6].
- **Hybrid Blockchain** is a combination of public and private blockchains. Thus, it makes the benefit of privacy in private blockchain combined with the security and transparency of the public blockchain. In this type of blockchain, the user can control who gets access to which data on the blockchain. A transaction can be verified in a private network, and the user can release it to the public blockchain. By doing so, only selected parts of the records can be accessible in public and the rest could still maintain confidential in a private network [6].

## 1.5 Ethereum

Ethereum is the most active public blockchain in the world at present. It is another cryptocurrency similar to Bitcoin that is built on top of the blockchain. The participant publishes the transactions on the network, which are then stored in blocks and added to the blockchain using a consensus mechanism. The term state in Ethereum refers to the state of different accounts populating on the blockchain. An account in Ethereum can either be an external account related to the user or a contract account that obtains constant storage in the blockchain. The virtual currency in this system is *Ether*. The transaction can change the state of the system by creating a new contract or invoking an existing contract [12].

## 1.6 How Does Ethereum Work?

In this subsection, we will focus on the Ethereum workflow at a technical level.

### 1.6.1 Blockchain

The blockchain contains some information that we have used in our project. Therefore we focus on it more, as below:




Figure 1.1: Block contents <sup>1</sup>

- **Block** as a data structure within the blockchain contains different functions which include transaction hashes and some other additional information for blockchain technology. Gavin Wood et al. [28] described some relevant information below and we used this information in our project:
  - *parentHash* is the hash of the parent block’s header.
  - *stateRoot* is the hash of the root node of the state, after execution of all transactions are applied.
  - *transactionRoot* is the hash of the root node of data populated with a transaction in the transactions list inside the block.
  - *receiptRoot* is the hash of the root node of the data populated with the receipts of transactions in the block.
  - *logsBloom* composed of log information.
  - *difficulty* represents the difficulty level of the block.
  - *number* is the number of ancestor blocks.
  - *gasLimit* represents the current limit of gas in the block.
  - *gasUsed* is the amount of gas used for the transaction in the block.

- *timestamp* is time of reasonable output.
- *extraData* is a byte array containing relevant information in the block.
- *nonce* is number of several computations that have been done in the block.
- **Mining** is a process of computation on the blockchain to verify and add a block. The miner adds a new block, and others check the validity of the new block. Any participant can take part in the mining pool, but the chance of finding a valid block depends on the power of the computer to perform calculations. Sometimes a miner will find an uncle block. 'An uncle block is a block that is initially valid but is surpassed by another faster block'. An Uncle block is rewarded with  $\frac{7}{8}$  of full block value, and a hash will be added to a valid block. A maximum of two uncle blocks can be added to a valid block, and the miner of the valid block also receives  $\frac{1}{32}$  extra Ether for each uncle block [27].
- **Mining pool** is a way that miners gather together, start mining, solve blocks, and win rewards, and then the reward will be split among the involved miners [27].

### 1.6.2 Ether

It is the form of payment and fuel for Ethereum. The base for mining (finding the solution and adding blocks) successfully is five ethers. If the miner finds a solution but not fast enough, it becomes less ether, like 4.375 ether, and will be an uncle block. Each block can contain just two uncle blocks and receive  $\frac{1}{32}$  per uncle block. If another miner also finds a solution. This block cannot be added to the blockchain, and the miner just receives 2-3 ether [27].

### 1.6.3 Account

There are two types of accounts in Ethereum:

- *Normal account* is controlled by the private key. The owner of this account can send ether or a message.
- *Contract account* is controlled by code. It can only fire a transaction in response to other transactions [27]. An account encompasses four fields:

- *nonce* is the number of transactions sent from this address [28].

- *balance* is the number of Wei owned by this address [28].
- *storageRoot* is the hash of the root node of the Merkle Patrica tree, which encodes the content of an account. It should also be noted that the Merkle tree is used for data representation in the block header [28].
- *codeHash* is the hash associated with this account that would be executed when this account address receives a message call and would not be changeable anymore. All information about this account is stored in the database under the corresponding hash code for later retrieval [28].

**Hash function** is the process of SHA3 standardization at National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) was completed in August 2015. This standard specifies the SHA3 (Secure Hash Algorithm-3) family of functions for binary data. Each hash function is based on *Kacak* algorithm, known as NIST, the winner of the SHA3 cryptographic hash algorithm competition. The SHA3 family includes four functions with different lengths of 224, 256, 384, and 512 bits. In the hash function, input is called as *message* and output is called as *has value* where the length of the message can vary but the length of the hash value is always fixed [9].

**Gas** is fuel on the Ethereum platform that is paid before executing a transaction. In a case that the transaction is rolled back, the consumed gas will not be returned [27].

- **Transaction** Gavin Wood et al. [28] described a transaction as a cryptography-signed instruction that is executed by an external actor, which can be a human or another contract. The transaction describes these fields:
  - *nonce* is the number of transactions sent by the sender.
  - *gasPrice* is the number of wei to be paid per unit of gas.
  - *gasLimit* is the amount of gas that can be used for transactions.
  - *to* is the address to which that contract sends a transaction.

$$h: M \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n, \text{with } h(m) = \hat{m}$$




Figure 1.2: Image of hash function [9]

- *value* is the number of wei that is transferred in the transaction.
- **Message** is fired by contract and contains all attributes the same as a transaction, but *gasPrice* [27].

#### 1.6.4 Contract

It is an account on the Ethereum blockchain that has its own code and is controlled by code. The code inside the contract is triggered whenever it receives a message, allowing it to read and write contract storage or send a message.

A contract in Ethereum is an autonomous agent that performs some operations that are programmed to fulfill the user's goals, meaning that the contract is an autonomous agent that is executed when it receives a message or transaction, having control over its balance and the key/value store as constant variables. The keys and values stored in the contract are long-lasting and get used whenever the contract starts running [27].

### 1.6.5 Smart Contract

The term smart contract was coined in 1994 by Nick Szabo [22] who released that DLT can also be used for smart contracts. According to Nick Szabo "*Smart contract is a computerized transaction protocol that executes the terms of a contract*"<sup>2</sup>. He visualized an away to write agreement that enforces the conditions between parties involved in a transaction automatically and more efficiently. Smart contracts are run by each node as part of the block creation process. Block creation occurs when transactions take place in the block. An important part of a smart contract is that each contract has its own address. Since the contract code is carried to the transaction, a node can create the specific transaction, assigning an address to the contract, and this transaction is capable of running contract code at the time of creation.

After that, the contract will be part of the block, and the address will never change. Whenever the node wants to call a method inside the contract, it should send a message to the address of the contract that has the method and input data. The contract will run as part of the creation of a new block and then return value or store data on the blockchain [18].

**Solidity** is a high-level, truing complete language with a Java script similar syntax. The contract is similar to classes in an object-oriented language, which contain fields for persistent storage of contracts and methods to be invoked by internal and external transactions. For interacting with another contract, we either need to create a new instance of this contract or make a transaction to a known contract address.

In principle, Solidity provides some basics to access blocks and transaction details, like: *msg.sender* for accessing the address of an account or *msg.value* to access the amount of *wei* transferred by the transaction. It also uses some functions to transfer money to another contract, such as *call* and *send*. These functions get used to transfer value and translate into an internal call to a transaction, which causes the contract to also execute code or may fail to execute due to insufficient gas [12].

---

<sup>2</sup><https://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/rob/Courses/InformationInSpeech/CDROM/Literature/LOTwinterschool2006/szabo.best.v2.pdf>

## Chapter 2

# Blockchain as the Infrastructure of Semantic Web

A distributed ledger is increasingly used to represent transactions between multiple parties throughout the world. It is difficult to search for specific information in a distributed ledger without an index. Therefore, indexing data in the distributed ledger is a requirement that provides the ability to search across multiple ledgers, enhancing the power and usability of this system as well [3].

### 2.1 Distributed Ledgers and Indexing

A distributed ledger based on a blockchain does not have central control. Blockchains are organized into multiple blocks; the initial block is created manually, and the other blocks are added by some consensus process between nodes. The Ethereum smart contract provides the possibility to automatically control what happens with cryptocurrency on the blockchain without involving untrusted external sources. Ethereum smart contracts have an account that can normally store, update, or perform a function with the input and output. As smart contracts are time-ordered, where data are stored in blocks, the data must be indexed. Indexing the smart contract gives us the capability to access the data, search, analyze services on the distributed ledger, and expose them to the outside world for more interactions. There are different levels of indexing smart contracts: The basic level is the fundamental level for the next step. It indexes basic entities such as accounts and blocks related to distributed levels, and data can be stored or retrieved here. At the functional level, smart contracts contain a lot of functional interfaces that depict the other functionality of platforms such as Ethereum [3].

### 2.1.1 Why Do We Use Ontology for Blockchain?

Generally, blockchain is a distributed database that is replicated over all nodes and uses a cloud computing architecture. In the other word, data in database distributed across many organizations. Thus, data should have a common interpretation to be understandable for organizations. These interpretations are applicable via a formal specification that enables verification and inference within software and applications executed on the network.

This is where ontology plays the main role in ensuring a common interpretation of data in the shared database among different enterprises. Blockchain as a modeling form uses a different type of ontology [11]:

**Informal/Semi ontology** facilitates search and enhances a better understanding of the business process for developing and applying on the blockchain [11].

**Formal ontology** helps the formal specification automate inference and verify the operation of the blockchain. In the other word, blockchain modeling based on a formal ontology can help with the development of smart contracts to execute on the blockchain [11].

Also, we can use ontologies to capture data within the blockchain: On the one hand, it facilitates a better understanding of blockchain concepts for humans. On the other hand, it enables interlinking with other linked data to convey deductions and formal reasoning [11].

Vocabulary used within an ontology increases the transparency of transactions by describing the transaction in the context of linked data and facilitating the graphical representation of the location of such transactions. Thus, it also increases the capability of analysis by users [11].

### 2.1.2 Linked Data

According to Tim Berners-Lee et al. [16], 'Linked data is about using the Web to create typed links between data from different sources'. When information is presented as




Figure 2.1: Illustration of ontology diagram [4]

linked data, other related information can be easily retrieved, and new information can also be easily linked to it. *Berners-Lee* described four rules for linked data:

- **URIs** (Uniform Resource identifier) as names.
- **HTTP** to search for names.
- (**SPARQL, RDF**) provides related information about what a user looking for.
- **Link** to other URLs to provide more information [25].




Figure 2.2: Linked data diagram [25]

### 2.1.3 RDF

The Resource Description Framework (RDF) is a family of W3C specifications. RDF is used to describe and model information. It describes a subject that predicts an object and is called a triple. i) Subjects that RDF expressions describe. ii) Predict specific properties, attributes, or relationships to describe a resource. iii) The object is the name of a property or value [25].

### 2.1.4 SPARQL

According to Wikipedia's definition, 'it is a semantic query language for a dataset that makes us able to retrieve and modify data stored in RDF format known as triples'. SPARQL can query the one, two, or all elements of triples.

### 2.1.5 OWL (Ontology Web Language)

Ontology web language is made to represent knowledge about things and the relations among them. OWL is a computational logic-based language, which means the language modeled in OWL can operate in a computer program like negation, intersection, and so on [25].

### 2.1.6 Evolution of World Wide Web

The evolution and interaction of people on the Internet are classified based on three technologies:

- *Web 1.0* also known as *web of document* is the earliest website with the basic capability of linking to other websites.
- *Web 2.0* known as *web of data* has the capability of providing space for users to collaborate on content creation or modification.
- *Web 3.0* is strengthened by the semantic web, where people have access to linked information on the web. But recently, with the arrival of distributed technologies like blockchain and Ethereum which get used by Web 3.0, this is a new focus on this [10].

## 2.2 Vocabularies

### 2.2.1 Vocabulary in Distributed Ledger

Generating linked data requires the use of a standard ontology or vocabulary to explain blockchain concepts. Interfaces between distributed ledgers and the semantic web are still in their early stages. There are some systems and vocabularies that specify such a vocabulary like FlexLedger, EthOn, and BLONDiE. [3].

**FlexLedger** describes HTTP interfaces to blockchains, with a standard vocabulary and responses to these interfaces. It is a protocol for decentralized ledger and graph data models that represent ledger creation, querying, and data modeling using JSON-LD.

However, FlexLedger does not have an explicit vocabulary about ontology, nor does it have a concrete ontology for itself.

It is striking to say that the FlexLedger is not suitable to implement in some graph models like graph chains because in the FlexLedger meta and the content data are stored together in the same graph, whereas the GraphChain blocks content is stored outside the blockchain in a separate graph [24].

**EthOn** is an OWL ontology that describes blockchain classes such as '*blocks*', '*accounts*', '*message*', '*state*' and relations such '*has parent block*' [5].




Figure 2.3: EthOn contract model(blue arrow is object properties, green arrow is data properties, purple circle is instance and blue one is class) [5]

**BLONDIE (Blockchain Ontology with Dynamic Extensibility)** is another OWL ontology for describing the blockchain structure, like EthOn. But it is more generic than EthOn. For example, EthOn and BLONDIE both defined some terms such as '*account*',




Figure 2.4: EthOn properties [5]

'block', and 'transaction', as well as some attributes such as 'transaction payload' or 'miner address'. BLONDiE defines some other concepts for different blockchains, such as 'BitcoinBlockHeader' and 'EthereumBlockHeader' as subclasses of 'BlockHeader'. At the moment, BLONDiE supports two cryptocurrencies like bitcoin and Ethereum, where all links and relations between objects and attributes are represented in RDF (Resource Description Framework) [3].




Figure 2.5: BLONDIE usage example [25]

### 2.2.2 Vocabulary in Smart Contract

As mentioned earlier, EthOn and BLONDIE are both similar concepts that can be used for smart contracts. There are many works on semantic annotation of the web and HTTP-APIs that may enable us to annotate smart contracts as well. However, the contracts are not web APIs, and the implementation may differ, but the main concept does not. In other words, the vocabularies used to annotate web services are also used to annotate smart contracts. It seems that the combination of a distributed ledger with a smart contract and web service due to profitability will become common [3].

## 2.3 Semantify Blockchain

### 2.3.1 Semantic Blockchain

With increasing the use of blockchain technology recently, the need for semantic reasoning on the distributed ledger is on the increase as well. The blockchain is the best platform to utilize semantic web principles in this technology and add a new trusted property to a dataset. Using semantic web technology on the blockchain is a novel idea

and the way how to apply this technology in blockchain and smart contracts is also a controversial issue.

There are some **definition of semantic blockchain**:

- *Semantic blockchain is the representation of stored data on distributed ledger using linked data.*
- *Semantic blockchain is the applying semantic web standard on the blockchain that these standards are based on RDF [25].*

### 2.3.2 Semantification Process

Semantic blockchain or semantic distributed ledger affects the industrial world and subsequently, the result leads to the start development of new applications and frameworks to combine two worlds. there are some ways to semantify blockchain as bellow:

- Mapping the blockchain data to RDF making usage of vocabulary, ontology, and so on.
- Storage of data in a blockchain is expensive, The only way is to store the hashing point to the data set in the blockchain and then share RDF on the blockchain.
- Creating semantic blockchain that exchanges internal data protocol in RDF format [25].

### 2.3.3 Semantic Ontology Mapping using BLONDiE

To generate RDF, it needs to map the basic blockchain entities to relevant semantic web terms, concepts, and ontologies. To make the query more efficient, BLONDiE is extended in two ways: Firstly, records relating to both blocks and transactions have been augmented with an attribute for the hash of each entity. Secondly, records relating to the transactions have been augmented with links to entities like blocks or smart contracts. Blockchain stores just a binary form of each contract with metadata. To interact with the contract, the Application Binary Interface (ABI) specification is required. This specification is in the form of JSON and is created when a smart contract is compiled and stored on the blockchain. The ABI determines all functions of contracts and provides descriptions of input, and output parameters for each contract [3].

```
"abi": [
  {
    "anonymous": false,
    "inputs": [
      {
        "indexed": true,
        "internalType": "uint256",
        "name": "hash",
        "type": "uint256"
      },
      {
        "indexed": false,
        "internalType": "string",
        "name": "uri",
        "type": "string"
      }
    ],
    "name": "modifiedLicense",
    "type": "event"
  },
  {
    "constant": false,
    "inputs": [
      {
        "internalType": "uint256",
        "name": "hash",
        "type": "uint256"
      },
      {
        "internalType": "string",
        "name": "uri",
        "type": "string"
      }
    ],
    "name": "licenseData",
    "outputs": [],
    "payable": false,
    "stateMutability": "nonpayable",
    "type": "function"
  }
],
```

Figure 2.6: Smart contract application Bbinary interface (ABI)

# Chapter 3

## DALICC

According to Pellegrini [20], DALICC stands for Data Licenses Clearance Center. It is a software framework that helps people legally secure data from a third party, detect licensing conflicts, and reduce the costs of rights clearance by enabling automated clearance rights in the creation of derivative works [20].

**Rights Expression Language (RELS)** are used to explain machine-readable form of rights for access management and digital asset. The most popular several RELs vocabularies such as MPEG-21, ODRL-2.0, ccREL, XACML and WAC that serve the rights management in different areas like data licensing, application, etc[17].

### 3.1 DALICC Requirements

The following requirements would be addressed by the DALICC framework:

- *Tackling license heterogeneity:*

It is possible to combine the various contents that have the same license with different names. But that would make it much more difficult to license the result of the combined contents. To solve this issue, DALICC provides a set of machine-readable representations of licenses that allow us to compare licenses to each other to identify the equivalent licenses. It guides the user to possible conflicts between various combined licenses.

- *Tackling REL heterogeneity:*

Combining licenses is simple if they are expressed through the same RELs. But it is difficult to compare licenses that have been represented by different RELs.

DALICC resolves this problem by representing RELs based on the semantic web and mapping the terms to each other. It will represent existing RELs based on W3C-approved standards, thus allowing mapping between various RELs to be created.

- *Compatibility check, conflict detection, and neutrality of the rules:*

It is difficult to be sure the meaning of the different terms in semantics is aligned. These problems result from indicating the classes, instances, and properties, which cannot be handled just by mapping.

This is where DALICC comes into play and helps the user with a workflow that defines the usage context and then gathers additional information to detect conflicts and ambiguous concepts. Based on this information, DALICC makes reasoning over the set of licenses and infers instructions to the user on how to process the license processing.

- *Legal validity of representations and machine recommendations:*

According to Anna Fensel et al. [17], the semantic complexity of licensing issues means that the semantics of RELs must be aligned within the specific application scenario. This includes a correct interpretation of the various national legislation according to the country of origin of a jurisdiction (i.e., German Urheberrecht vs. US copyright), the resolution of problems that are derived from multilingual, and the consideration of existing case law in the resolution of licensing conflicts [17].

To solve this problem, DALICC will check the legal validity of machine-readable licenses and the compatibility of reasoning engine output with the law. DALICC output will be tested against the law, checked for semantic precision derived from different languages, and adjusted accordingly [17].

## 3.2 DALICC Software Architecture

To address the above challenges DALICC framework consists of four components:

- **License composer** is a tool that allowed the license to be created from a set of questions that are mapped to ODRL, ccREL, and the DALICC vocabularies and concepts.
- **License library** is a repository that represents licenses in a machine-readable format, the former as ORDL policies and the letters as plain text.
- **License annotator** allows attaching licenses to the dataset, either by choosing available licenses in the license library or creating a new license using license composer.
- **License negotiator** as a main component in the DALICC framework that checks the license compatibility and supports license conflicts by detecting equivalence licenses having various names [17].

# **Chapter 4**

## **Implementation: DApp**

DApp is often described as P2P, trustless with a special characteristic that there is not a single server to control it. DApp includes at least one User interface or frontend that could be a Mobile app, Desktop application installed on a computer.

The data relating to the application could be provided by a single group or company or may provide by end users themselves.

DApp uses the ethereum as backend of its data storage and processing using smart contracts. The user interface of DApp is usually like traditional websites but is one website plus one or multiple smart contracts [15].

### **4.1 Ethereum DApp**

The benefit of using the Ethereum blockchain in DApps are as follows [15]:

- 1- The user can see what is going on before submitting any data.
- 2- Once the user has interaction, no one can temper or delete data.
- 3- The user of the application can directly participate in application management.

### **4.2 Project Concepts**

In this section, we focused on the requirements that we need to address in our application.




Figure 4.1: DApp infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 Contract and Ontology Specification

Here, it is explained some terms related to smart contracts and the ontology of our application. And we needed them as the primary requirement to build up this dApp.

- **Definition 1 (Owner)** is the address of deployed contract or transaction to the blockchain. That is the first person who interacts with the contract. In this case, the owner can change her/his license of the file.
- **Definition 2 (Non-owner)** is the another user that make not action. In this case, can retrieve license information related to specific files.
- **Definition 3 (Licensor)** is the address currently interact with smart contract. In

this case, can license his/her file.

- **Definition 4 (Semantic mappings):** As we do not have the authority to change stored data on the Ethereum blockchain, one idea is to create a template to have a semantic view of the blockchain. To reach this purpose, we need a mapping between stored transaction data in the blockchain and transaction concepts defined as ontology, then make a query on produced data from this mapping. This process consists of three following requirements:
  - *Transaction Schema* are actually some attributes resulted from web3.eth functions that EthOn data properties would be mapped to.
  - *Transaction Triple template* defines for the relationship between concepts (block or transaction) and properties. It is known as 'Subject predicts Object' that Subject is the web3.eth properties, predict known as EthOn ontology predictor (data properties) and Object (web3.eth properties) is a place that would be replaced by the transaction schema on the mapping.
  - *Triplize* is the function that generates data in RDF format by creating a mapping between the two above parameters as input.
- **Definition 5 (Prefix)** name is the label or local part separated with ':' and is the abbreviation of terms that referenced resources explicitly. According to Wikipedia, Prefixes are declared on the top of the SPARQL query and our triple template, so that the statements can reference to.  
**Definition 6 (Triple)** defined in Wikipedia as a statement with three entities that codifies semantic data in the form of *Subject-predict-Object* expressions.

#### 4.2.2 Technology Usage

##### DApp<sup>1</sup>

Based on Internet definition, it is a type of open-source smart contract application that runs on a decentralized peer-to-peer network rather than a centralized server. It allows users to transparently execute a transaction on a distributed network.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.techtarget.com/iotagenda/definition/blockchain-dApp>

DApp is similar to centralized apps, as they use frontend and backend. The backend's app is supported by a centralized server or database and Backend's DApp runs on a decentralized P2P network and is supported by smart contracts which store on the Ethereum blockchain.

#### **Decentralized application characteristic:**

*Open source:* All the required are decided by consensus of all available users on a network.

*Decentralized storage:* Data is stored on decentralized blocks.

*Validation:* As the application runs on blockchain. They offer validation of data using cryptographic tokens which are needed for the network.

#### **Ethereum**

it is explained in section 1.4.

#### **Solidity**

it is explained in section 1.5.5.

#### **SHA3-256**

It is explained in section 1.5.3.

#### **Java Script Tools**

- Truffle is the smart contract development tools and testing network for blockchain applications and supports developers who are looking to build their dApp, etc.  
Truffle offers some different features:

- *Smart contract Managing:* Truffle helps to Manage artifacts of smart contracts used in dApp and supports library linking, deploying, and some other Ethereum dApps.
  - *Contract testing system:* Truffle helps developers construct smart contract testing systems for all their contracts.

- *Network management* helps developers by managing their artifacts.
- *Truffle console* allows the developer to access all truffle commands and built contracts <sup>2</sup>.
- `React.js` is an open-source JavaScript framework which used as a frontend. In react, a developer builds a web application by using reusable individual components that are assembled from an application's whole user interface. React has the advantage of providing a feature that combines the speed of JavaScript with a more efficient method of managing DOM to render web pages faster and create more responsive web application <sup>3</sup>.
- `crypto.js` is the JavaScript library that performs data encryption and decryption. It is a collection of standard algorithms including SHA3-256 <sup>4</sup>.
- `Web3.js` helps developer to connect to Ethereum blockchain. It is a collection of libraries that allows developers to perform some actions like sending ether, checking data from smart contracts, and creating smart contracts <sup>5</sup>.
- `axios` provides the HTTP requests from the browser and handles request/response data <sup>6</sup>.
- `HDwallet provider` is a package helps developer to connect to network by configuring the connection network to the Ethereum blockchain through *infura*. This provider is used by truffle when we deploy the contract. In addition, metamask providers are also used when we want to interact with the contract in the browser. HDwallet provider provides a custom URL: '`http://127.0.0.1:7545`'. This will spawn a development blockchain locally on port 7545 <sup>7</sup>.

---

<sup>2</sup><https://moralis.io/truffle-explained-what-is-the-truffle-suite>

<sup>3</sup><https://blog.hubspot.com/website/react-js>

<sup>4</sup><https://github.com/jakubzapletal/crypto-js>

<sup>5</sup><https://www.datastax.com/guides/what-is-web3.js>

<sup>6</sup><https://axios-http.com/docs/intro>

<sup>7</sup><https://github.com/trufflesuite/truffle-hdwallet-provider>

- `Express.js` is node.js framework for authority dApp. It provides HTTP methods (GET, POST) to call functions for particular URL routes <sup>8</sup>.  
When we run dApp, we have an HTTP server located on port 3000.
- `FS` provides some functionality to interact with the file system, mostly used functions like: `readFileSync`, `writeFileSync` and `appendFileSync` <sup>9</sup>.

## Semantic Web Tools

- *EthOn Ontology* is semantic view of ethereum blockchain. It encompasses different classes and relations to cover different concepts of Ethereum like blocks, transactions, and messages to formalize RDF triple. We used classes and properties related to transaction concepts as a template to model our transaction to DALICC <sup>10</sup>.
- *SPARQL query* is defined in the section 2.1.4.
- *Command-Line SPARQL* is a utility of Apache Jena that runs queries on remote SPARQL endpoint or RDF files that would be located on a local computer or web. We used the command as follows <sup>11</sup>:
  - Using Command line : `sparql -data rdfFile -query sparqlFile`

## Ethereum Tools

- *Infura* is a web3 backend and infrastructure-as-a-Service (IaaS) provider that offers a range of tools to help the developer to build dApp to connect to the Ethereum blockchain <sup>12</sup>.
- *Metamask* is a wallet used to interact with the Ethereum blockchain. It allows users to connect network through a browser extension or mobile app. Metamask

---

<sup>8</sup><https://www.simplilearn.com/tutorials/nodejs-tutorial/what-is-express-js>

<sup>9</sup><https://blog.risingstack.com/fs-module-in-node-js/>

<sup>10</sup><https://axios-http.com/docs/intro>

<sup>11</sup><http://richard.cyganiak.de/blog/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/jena-sparql-cli-v1.pdf>

<sup>12</sup><https://blog.infura.io/post/getting-started-with-infura-28e41844cc89>

wallet, including all accounts, each account has its private key <sup>13</sup>.

- *Faucet(ETH faucet)* is the platform that gives some test tokens to a user to test smart contracts or send transactions before deploying them to the mainnet <sup>14</sup>.
- *Testnets* are the test blockchain networks that perform similarly to the main blockchain (mainnet). This allows developers to execute their contracts on test blockchain freely before executing on main network <sup>15</sup>.  
Infura's new testnet faucet is Sepolia ETH which provides the most reliable and high-volume faucets for developers.
- *Web3.eth* is a package that allows interacting with the Ethereum blockchain. It contains many functions to provide more information about executed smart contracts or transactions on the blockchain <sup>16</sup>. In our case, some functions including: *getBlock*, *getTransaction* and *getTransactionReceipt* are chosen to provide some more details which are mapped to EthOn ontology objects properties. These retrieved properties would be used later in triple.
- *Knowledge graph* indicates classes and relations. The idea is to use a graph-based data model to clarify survived transaction, their classes, and relations in much more detail.

## 4.3 Project Architecture

### 4.3.1 Backend

The backend of this project relies on the Ethereum platform using smart contracts. In addition, DALICC license library the user can license here/his data or retrieve license

---

<sup>13</sup><https://originstamp.com/blog/metamask-what-is-it-and-how-does-it-work/>

<sup>14</sup><https://changelly.com/blog/best-ethereum-faucets/>

<sup>15</sup><https://blog.infura.io/post/introducing-infuras-eth-testnet-faucet-get-0-5-eth-daily-to-test-your-dapps>

<sup>16</sup><https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html>




Figure 4.2: Transaction illustration

information. In the next paragraph, we will more focused on authenticated users as the main challenge in decentralized apps and data licensing systems by users.

### Authenticated user <sup>17</sup>

Authentication of users on Ethereum for validation purposes is the essential feature

---

<sup>17</sup><https://medium.com/coinmonks/how-to-do-authentication-in-decentralized-application-dapp-d9bc66b6249c>

when building dApp. Therefore, programming the functionality of Ethereum authentication for dApps is important for blockchain developers. In this dApp, we consider two solutions for Ethereum authentications as follows:

- *login to metamask*: Metamask is the most popular cryptocurrency wallet (Ethereum account) to support the Ethereum blockchain. Additionally, metamask is a bridge for web3 authentication to an Ethereum-based decentralized application. By logging into metamask, users can submit transactions or check the stored data on the blockchain.
- *Using smart contract*: The address of the metamask is passed to smart contract as a licensor, then it will use this address as an owner to license his/her file.

**Licensing in smart contract** as follow:

**License information:**

It represents three elements: licensor address that is passed by metamask, license of data, and the URI related to this license.

**Storage license information:**

Each smart contract that runs on the Ethereum blockchain would be maintained state in its permanent storage. The license information is stored in its storage and is changeable only by the smart contract itself.

**Retrieve license information:**

As mentioned earlier, only a smart contract can change the data in its memory. Thus, we can consider smart contract as validating system for license requests. The JavaScript library web3.js is an interface to the Ethereum network for the frontend of this dApp. This allows the frontend to access the smart contract, retrieving or changing license information.

### 4.3.2 Frontend

Frontend of this project build on React.js, HTML, and CSS. The React.js used in this frontend for the user interface.

## User roadmap

The licensing data from the user interface includes three phases:

- The user gets asked to select a license type from which to be loaded from DALICC Library via axios and get a request in frontend. Then, after selecting data in the next field, the user should check the file, if the selected file is already licensed or not. Depending on this verification, the user receives either the confirmation message 'License detected' or the rejection message 'License not detected'.
- The user should go further by pressing the 'License data/ Retrieve license' button to get license information for the confirmation message of the last phase or license his/her file.  
License information contains some information like license type, license URI retrieved from the DALICC library, and the address of the owner of this license. For licensing data, the user gets asked to log in to metamask for the authentication process and this address would be passed to smart contract as owner of this license. The licensing process will be done by receiving the hash value of licensed data.
- In the last phase, the user can observe receipt of this transaction in a table that contains transaction details from the interaction between *web3.eth* and *ethOn* ontology.

## Interaction with backend

Since the backend code (smart contract) of the dApp is on a decentralized network, we focused on the interaction between the smart contract and the Ethereum network. The communication between the fronted and backend is taken over by Java Script library *web3.js*. For this purpose, web3 provides this connection either with HDWallet-provider to connect to the test network (Sepolia in our case) or Ethereum provider.

## Interaction with DALICC

To retrieve the license, an HTTP GET request is sent to the DALICC library endpoint and returns the license which encompasses two elements: license title and license URI. The user can choose just the DALICC title as a license then the URI of the associated




Figure 4.3: Sequence diagram for licensing file

title would be stored subsequently in the smart contract for further processing.

### License receipts

After committing a transaction in the blockchain, the user can get transaction details via `web3.eth`, then send transaction details in RDF format to the server to store into a file. The produced turtle file will be resulted into a readable format and would be returned to frontend by HTTP GET request from frontend.

### 4.3.3 DApp Architecture

This application encompasses some components:

- User interface
- Smart contract to communicate with DALICC library
- Ethereum network to support transaction
- Transaction receipt
- EthOn ontology
- SPARQL query




Figure 4.4: DApp architecture

## 4.4 Implementation

This section comprises the implementation details in a smart contract and some main functions in the application.

### 4.4.1 Smart Contract Logic

As mentioned before, the backend code of this application is on the Ethereum network. In this section, we will contemplate more on each functionality of the contract in this dApp.

- *Owned contract*

This smart contract contains the function to prevent non-owner users to call the function. This contract contains a function that helps us to restrict access to some function in another contract.

- *PrimaryLicenseContract*

This is the main contract that communicates with two other contracts to represent the public interface of the licensing system. It provides functions to licensing data or retrieves license information.

- *LicenseManager contract*

This smart contract is responsible for saving the address of the license or creating one for the new file.

- *License contract*

The hash value and licensor address would be stored in this contract. License contract represents only one license and associate license contract for this license. The license contract should have been created by the license manager.

How Does Smart Contract work?




Figure 4.5: Smart contract visualization

- **Licensing system process**

To license data, two parameters are needed. The first one is the hash of related data and the second one is the address related to the URI of the license. This smart contract as a *caller* take over the process:

#### License data

To license data, the function `licenseData` is used which declares with two parameters: the first one is the hash associated with selected data, and the second one is the URI of the selected license. By pressing the 'License data/Retrieve license' on the frontend, the function `licenseData` would be triggered and perform the steps as follow:

This function checks if the target address is the zero account, which means the transaction creates a new contract: if no, function `deleteHash`, otherwise `getOrCreateLicenseForUri` would be called. How does licensing data work?

- `licenseData` function checks if the specified hash is linked to a license and the caller is licensor, then `deleteHash` is called and the hash of related data and

address of licensor would be passed.

**Definition** *deleteHash*: This function is accessible just for the owner (function caller), which means the caller of this function must be the owner and the passed address should be the licensor. Then the link between the hash and license will be dropped.

- *getOrCreateLicenseForUri* of the license manager is called and the URI of the selected data as input parameter would be passed and returns the address to license contract which represents this URI.

**Definition** *getOrCreateLicenseForUri*: This function checks if the caller of this function is the owner, then exists a license contract for a given URI. If so, the address of this license contract would be returned. Otherwise, a new license contract will be created and the address of the contract will be stored and returned. The caller's address of the caller also will be used later as the owner of this contract.

- *addHash* function of the License contract is called with two parameters: the first one is the hash of data, and the second one is the function caller.

**Definition** *addHash*: This function is accessible just for the owner (function caller), the link between the hash value and the license is created. The second parameter would be stored also as licensor.

- At the end, the event should be emitted to fire the new changes in *PrimaryLicenseContract*.

- **Change license data**

This is doable just by the licensor in the same way as license data.

- **Retrieve license information**

To retrieve license information function *getLicenseInfo* is called having a hash of data as a parameter and checks if there is some license information related to this hash, then is returned the address otherwise, returns a null address and string.

#### 4.4.2 Forntend Workflow

- Define type: In this step, the user gets asked to select a license type among many different licenses. These licenses are loaded from DALICC License Library via axios GET request. And user must choose one of them to continue license processing.




Figure 4.6: Define type from DALICC library

- Define Content: In this step, the user should choose the file or data that wants to be licensed. Subsequently, the hash SHA3-256 of the selected file is calculated which is used to retrieve license information later.
- Check Your Data: In this step, the user should check the selected data: if it is licensed before or not. He/she receives just a message either confirmation 'License is detected' or rejection 'License is not detected'. He or she should go further to obtain more information.

## Ontology-Dalicc-Connector

ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)  
You have selected: ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

sparql-query-plugin-3.0.0.jar

LicenseOutput:  
Msg:  
VerifiedUser:

Figure 4.7: Define file from local device

## Ontology-Dalicc-Connector

ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)  
You have selected: ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

sparql-query-plugin-3.0.0.jar

LicenseOutput:  
Msg: No license detected: Please License Your Data  
VerifiedUser:

Figure 4.8: Check if file is already licensed?

- License data/Retrieve License information: Here, the user receives the result of that last step, by pressing the hash value of selected data SHA3-256 is calculated and passed to the smart contract using *web.js* interface:
  - License information of the selected file or data from which the user received the 'License detected' message from the last step. The user receives some information related to the license, licensor address, and URI related to the license.

## Ontology-Dalicc-Connector

ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

You have selected: ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

truffle.js

LicenseOutput:  
Msg: License detected...  
VerifiedUser:

Figure 4.9: Message for licensed data

## Ontology-Dalicc-Connector

ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

You have selected: ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

truffle.js

LicenseOutput:  
Msg: License detected...  
VerifiedUser: Licensor: "0x17ca380c67f6EB2774cb1F8Fac05Da3071b706A4" <br /> License: <a target="\_blank" href="https://dalicc.net/licenselibrary/OdcPublicDomainDedicationAndLicence">"ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)"</a>

Figure 4.10: License information for licensed data

- Start licensing he/her file, if he/she received 'No license detected' from the last

step. To license data, the user gets asked to log into metamask to pass this account as the address of the licensor to the smart contract. The SHA3-256 hash value is calculated and passed by the contract to the Web3 Javascript interface. Users can see this hash in the frontend, depending on the successful transaction or he/she receives the error message for failed transaction on the front end.




Figure 4.11: Licensing data process

- Send transaction: After receiving the hash of the transaction in the frontend, the user goes further to get a receipt of this licensing having all details about the transaction. To have this receipt user sends a transaction receipt that is not readable to the server for more processing on this raw result, converting to RDF format data and making a query to produce more readable RDF-based data.
- Get transaction receipt: In the last step, the user can get a receipt of all transactions that have been done till now as a table.

## Ontology-Dalicc-Connector

ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)  
You have selected: ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

code-generation-2.0.0.jar

LicenseOutput: 0xea8646596f57cccd4b6fec05b44f21f4790cef920fd20a9db74c87d458e45b488  
Msg: No license detected: Please License Your Data  
VerifiedUser:

Figure 4.12: License hash after confirming transaction

act File Upload x + Confirmed transaction  
localhost:3000 Transaction 65 confirmed! View on Sepolia Etherscan

## Ontology-Dalicc-Connector

ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)  
You have selected: ODC Public Domain Dedication and Licence (PDDL)

sparql-query-plugin-3.0.0.jar

LicenseOutput: 0x94b9a98ddf0fef644c22c681d4f16a98a63f8d0fc56d81a12883a5b11e95fab0  
Msg: No license detected: Please License Your Data  
VerifiedUser:

Figure 4.13: Transaction confirmation

txNonce	blockDifficulty	blockGasLimit	txIndex	txHash	blockExtraData	BlockHash
44	0	30000000	3	0x34d80e463124a683a69783be350109daaad19ed28d5f5f205eb...	0x0883010b01846765746888676f312e32302e31856c696e7578	0x5a77190c920b04239129283969e44d3f6701939f6e0ee82e4285a...
42	0	30000000	1	0x340952651fb42abf7003a483a4d2e87371091572a9c42f906e262...	0xd883010b02846765746888676f312e32302e31856c696e7578	0x45a1b437517f5e744b7eefc9542b4565f20ec0e5f1cb68a488b23b...
67	0	30000000	2	0xeaa8646596f57cc4d8b6fec09b44f2147f865cb3a507b6700c3d2f00...	0xd883010b05846765746888676f312e32302e31856c696e7578	0xd8060d92a316bd366691046a7db7df97ac1643e9ae093a42f906e26...
68	0	30000000	1	0x27c62575539cd7f865cb3a507b6700c3d2f00...	0xd883010b05846765746888676f312e32302e32856c696e7578	0xadd8d52357e2f71fe3eef7b7663525f25f8a2bbfd85b8538214e3...
48	0	30000000	3	0xd2c426bc0cda13f04946cf84d70c7f6972c101d0b18dc15ad70bd...	0x496c6c756d696e61746520446d6f163726174697a6520447374726...	0x2dc96f5d3d3244f8c2df98aa54903a44703c771289616df7a44bbe5f...
46	0	30000000	3	0x270710b6367169c56b408a3a0eb2dff9d3be767e213546ca100a3...	0x	0x111a7a7479fd032d529466750c447a5008c037a9a083a1793a3f...
49	0	30000000	0	0xaa2749671b28e39c142c9f9d7897f5a13a1833fad54fb80debf7...	0x496c6c756d696e61746520446d6f163726174697a6520447374726...	0x8717eb94636751c8063b4b956db21970a54eeb314fd488db...
47	0	30000000	4	0xe5e022ce34de8e2e88bc1805c0437495b3bbbe0c8b82cbe...	0x	0x78a3a982294e381a690319dfb53c2921c120e1543d17490d0f...
40	0	30000000	1	0xc96ea505e5f4fb06db084d5ae80079f611d98ff277d8784ec9edd...	0xd883010b05846765746888676f312e32302e32856c696e7578	0x335917d91e720d0e6c5b58d6fc000bal981a64b3748047858620...
44	0	30000000	3	0x34d80e463124a683a69783be350109daaad19ed28d5f5f205eb...	0xd883010b01846765746888676f312e32302e31856c696e7578	0x5a77190c920b04239129283969e44d3f6701939f6e0ee82e4285a...
42	0	30000000	1	0x340952651fb42abf7003a483a4d2e87371091572a9c42f906e262...	0xd883010b02846765746888676f312e32302e31856c696e7578	0x45a1b437517f5e744b7eefc9542b4565f20ec0e5f1cb68a488b23b...
67	0	30000000	2	0xeaa8646596f57cc4d8b6fec09b44f2147f90ce9f920d2a9d74c87d...	0xd883010b05846765746888676f312e31392e35856c696e7578	0xd8060d92a316bd366691046a7db7df97ac1643e9ae093a42f906e26...
68	0	30000000	1	0x27c62575539cd7f865cb3a507b6700c3d2f00...	0xd883010b05846765746888676f312e32302e32856c696e7578	0xadd8d52357e2f71fe3eef7b7663525f25f8a2bbfd85b8538214e3...
48	0	30000000	3	0xd2c426bc0cda13f04946cf84d70c7f6972c101d0b18dc15ad70bd...	0x496c6c756d696e61746520446d6f163726174697a6520447374726...	0x2dc96f5d3d3244f8c2df98aa54903a44703c771289616df7a44bbe5f...
46	0	30000000	3	0x270710b6367169c56b408a3a0eb2dff9d3be767e213546ca100a3...	0x	0x111a7a7479fd032d529466750c447a5008c037a9a083a1793a3f...

Figure 4.14: Result of semantic mapping

BlockNumber	blockGasUsed	BlockSize	sha3Uncles	stateRoot	txGasUsed	txGasPrice	tx
3288506	6920779	68752	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x964b90012a3e096a5f1bdb55bf6321f70ac8f13210a1b0b85039f...	941284	295232519-	0x34d80e463124a683a69783be350109daaad19ed28d5f5f205eb...
3288444	5608561	65103	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x8fe19a3cab13f859dabe56823b78f049c3dc3d730965b07d97e410...	871171	295232519-	0x340952651fb42abf7003a483a4d2e87371091572a9c42f906e26...
3502167	3018281	20032	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0xc562eebf06f485b102dbdc4b4d63205653cdf27641c8e0c136a0d...	132102	295232519-	0x8646596f57cc4d8b6fec05b44f214790ce9f20d2a9d74c87d...
3502178	14440298	63958	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0xaaa27360821837c34221fe2c9cb7ab969e9d52c2787c3d89599f...	132102	295232519-	0x27c62575539cd7f865cb3a507b6700c3d2f00...
3290415	13385962	90230	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x7498e38065183f6bdabc05e800785b8c2e1550d2be091cc3e...	906541	295232519-	0x2dc426bc0cda13f04946cf84d70c7f6972c101d0b18dc15ad70bd...
3289830	12725847	59175	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x19627b257975d4c0ad5f72a5464063dfff684fc2d43a9c8d34f...	139062	295232519-	0x2707130b636769c56b408a3a0eb2dff9d3be767e213546ca100a...
3290433	8141646	66588	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x043eab6e973a633997d9613e43060d6f913181fbefb7e70927e...	139062	295232519-	0xaaa27496871b28e39c142ce9fad97897f5a13a1833fad54fb80debf...
3290244	6601980	44329	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0xb64cc01037c67584768e20672a11cebe87deeb74f20c7c14910...	139062	295232519-	0x5ec022ce34de8e2e88bc1805c8479837435b3bbe0c8b823cbe...
3288397	18087739	125363	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x5e54dfef64cea7801583f5b6dabc12c88662d034954b5b26e9c9a...	936900	295232519-	0xc96ea05c5e4fb06db084d5ae8007f9611d98ff9277d8784ec9edd...
3288506	6920779	68752	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x964b90012a3e096a5f1bb55bf6321f70ac8f13210a1b0b85039f...	941284	295232519-	0x34d80e463124a683a69783be350109daaad19ed28d5f5f205eb...
3288444	5608561	65103	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x8fe19a3cab13f859dabe56823b78f049c3dc3d730965b07d97e410...	871171	295232519-	0x340952651fb42abf7003a483a4d2e87371091572a9c42f906e26...
3502167	3018281	20032	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0xc562eebf06f485b102dbdc4b4d63205653cdf27641c8e0c136a0d...	132102	295232519-	0x8646596f57cc4d8b6fec05b44f214790ce9f20d2a9d74c87d...
3502178	14440298	63958	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0xaaa27360821837c34221fe2c9cb7ab969e9d52c2787c3d89599f...	132102	295232519-	0x27c62575539cd7f865cb3a507b6700c3d2f00...
3290415	13385962	90230	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x7498e38065183f6bdabc05e800785b8c2e1550d2be091cc3e...	906541	295232519-	0x2dc426bc0cda13f04946cf84d70c7f6972c101d0b18dc15ad70bd...
3289830	12725847	59175	0x1dcc4de8dec75d7aab85b567b6cc41ad312451b948a7413f0a1...	0x19627b257975d4c0ad5f72a5464063dfff684fc2d43a9c8d34f...	139062	295232519-	0x2707130b636769c56b408a3a0eb2dff9d3be767e213546ca100a...

Figure 4.15: Result of semantic mapping

# **Chapter 5**

## **Conclusion**

This work contributes to integrating semantic licenses from the DALICC library with smart contracts and some problems that are still open in the literature special when considering the few works that integrate blockchain with semantic web.

For this purpose, We focused on two things as the infrastructure of our work:

One is the Ethereum Platform which is developed and implemented to keep the system reliable, secure, autonomous, and decentralized.

The second one is Ethon ontology which formalizes the concepts and terms of the Ethereum Blockchain in OWL, describing the Ethereum objects as classes in ontology. It covers the main Blockchain concepts as Blocks, Accounts, Transactions, Contract Messages, and the relation between the instances of these classes. therefore, licensing system has been created which keeps the integrity of license information and also provided a semantic view of such deployment environment using Ethon ontology concepts.

To do this, the user is guided to start licensing and get its licensing receipt step by step. This DApp also has its limitations and for most of them, solutions have been defined. Thus, in this work, we have developed the basic methods for the license attachment to data and also developed semantic mapping for the result of this attachment process as a receipt.

## **Appendix A**

### **Semantic mapping column in web3**

The appendix comprises semantic mapping elements described and the source code for the smart contracts described in forth chapter.

Column	Type
number	bigint
block_hash	hex_string
parentHash	hex_string
block_nonce	hex_string
sha3Uncles	hex_string
logsBloom	hex_string
transactionsRoot	hex_string
stateRoot	hex_string
miner	hex_string
difficulty	bigint
totalDifficulty	bigint
size	bigint
extraData	hex_string
gasLimit	bigint
gasUsed	bigint
timestamp	bigint
tx_hash	hex_string
tx_nonce	bigint
blockHash	hex_string
blockNumber	bigint
transactionIndex	bigint
value	bigint
gas	bigint
gasPrice	bigint
input	hex_string
status	boolean
blockHash	hex_string
blockNumber	bigint
transactionHash	hex_string
transactionIndex	bigint
from	hex_string
to	hex_string
gasUsed	50 bigint
cumulativeGasUsed	bigint

## Appendix B

# RDF triple template in semantic mapping

```
bi:{{number}} a ethon:Block .
bi:{{number}} ethon:number "{{number}}"^^xsd:integer .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockHash "{{block_hash}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
bi:{{number}} ethon:hasParentBlock ibb:{{parentHash}} .
bi:{{parentHash}} ethon:parentHash "{{parentHash}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockNonce "{{block_nonce}}"^^xsd:integer .
bi:{{number}} ethon:knowsOfUncle ibu:{{sha3Uncles}} .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockLogsBloom "{{logsBloom}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
bi:{{number}} ethon:hasTxTrie ibtx:{{transactionRoot}} .
bi:{{number}} ethon:hasPostBlockState ibs:{{stateRoot}} .
bi:{{stateRoot}} ethon:stateRoot "{{stateRoot}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockDifficulty "{{difficulty}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockSize "{{size}}"^^xsd:integer .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockExtraData "{{extraData}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockGasLimit "{{gasLimit}}"^^xsd:integer .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockGasUsed "{{gasUsed}}"^^xsd:integer .
bi:{{number}} ethon:blockCreationTime "{{timestamp}}"^^xs:dateTime .
txi:{{blockNumber}} ethon:number "{{number}}"^^xsd:integer .
txi:{{blockNumber}} ethon:containsTx tx:{{tx_hash}} .
txi:{{tx_hash}} ethon:cumulativeGasUsed "{{gas}}"^^xsd:integer .
txi:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txHash "{{tx_hash}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
txi:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txNonce "{{tx_nonce}}"^^xsd:integer .
txi:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txIndex "{{transactionIndex}}"^^xsd:integer .
txi:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txGasPrice "{{gasPrice}}"^^xsd:integer .
txi:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txGasUsed "{{gas}}"^^xsd:integer .
txri:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txHash "{{tx_hash}}"^^xs:hexBinary .
txri:{{tx_hash}} ethon:hasReceipt "{{status}}"^^xsd:boolean .
txri:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txGasUsed "{{gasUsed}}"^^xsd:integer .
txri:{{tx_hash}} ethon:cumulativeGasUsed "{{cumulativeGasUsed}}"^^xsd:integer .
txri:{{tx_hash}} ethon:txIndex "{{transactionIndex}}"^^xsd:integer .
```

## Appendix C

### SPARQL query example

```
prefix ethon: <http://ethon.consensys.net/>
prefix bi: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibu: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibs: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibb: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibtx: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibb: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix txi: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix tx: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix txi: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix txri: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransactionreceipt/>
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>
prefix xs: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#int.maxInclusive>

SELECT ?subject ?predicate ?object
WHERE {
  ?subject ?predicate ?object
}
LIMIT 25
```

## Appendix D

# SPARQL query example

```
prefix ethon: <http://ethon.consensys.net/>
prefix eth: <http://ethon.consensys.net/>
prefix bi: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibu: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibs: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibb: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.2.11/web3-eth.html#getblock/>
prefix ibt: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix tx: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix txi: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix ibtx: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransaction/>
prefix txri: <https://web3js.readthedocs.io/en/v1.7.3/web3-eth.html#gettransactionreceipt/>
prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#>

SELECT * WHERE {
  SELECT ?number ?blockHash ?parentHash ?blockNonce ?sha3Uncles ?logsBloom ?stateRoot
    ?blockDifficulty ?blockSize ?blockExtraData ?blockGasLimit ?blockGasUsed
    ?blockCreationTime ?txHash

    WHERE
    {
      { ?block ethon:number ?number . }
      { ?block ethon:blockHash ?blockHash . }
      { ?block ethon:hasParentBlock ?parentHash . }
      { ?block ethon:blockNonce ?blockNonce . }
      { ?block ethon:knowsOfUncle ?sha3Uncles . }
      { ?block ethon:blockLogsBloom ?logsBloom . }
      { ?block ethon:hasPostBlockState ?stateRoot . }
      { ?block ethon:blockDifficulty ?blockDifficulty . }
      { ?block ethon:blockSize ?blockSize . }
      { ?block ethon:blockExtraData ?blockExtraData . }
      { ?block ethon:blockGasLimit ?blockGasLimit . }
      { ?block ethon:blockGasUsed ?blockGasUsed . }
      { ?block ethon:blockCreationTime ?blockCreationTime . }

    }
  }

  {
    SELECT ?number ?tx ?txGasUsed ?txHash ?txNonce ?txIndex ?txGasPrice
    WHERE
    {
      { ?block ethon:number ?number . }
      { ?block ethon:containsTx ?tx . }
      { ?tx ethon:txGasUsed ?txGasUsed . }
      { ?tx ethon:txHash ?txHash . }
      { ?tx ethon:txNonce ?txNonce . }
      { ?tx ethon:txIndex ?txIndex . }
      { ?tx ethon:txGasPrice ?txGasPrice . }
    }
  }
}
```

## Appendix E

# Smart contract

```
pragma solidity >=0.5.0 <0.7.0;

contract Owned {
    address owner;

    constructor() public {
        owner = msg.sender;
    }

    modifier onlyOwner {
        require(msg.sender == owner, "Only Owner can do this operation");
    }
}

contract License is Owned {
    mapping(uint => address) private licensors;
    string public uri;

    constructor(address _owner, string memory _uri) public {
        owner = _owner;
        uri = _uri;
    }

    function checkValidity(uint hash) public view returns(address) {
        return licensors[hash];
    }

    function addHash(uint hash, address licensor) onlyOwner public {
        require(licensors[hash] == address(0) || licensors[hash] == licensor,
               "Only the licensor can update his license");
        licensors[hash] = licensor;
    }

    function deleteHash(uint hash, address licensor) onlyOwner public {
        require(licensors[hash] == licensor,
               "Only the licensor can delete his license");
        licensors[hash] = address(0);
    }
}
```

## Appendix F

### Smart contract

```
contract LicenseManager is License {  
    mapping(string => address) private licenseUrIs;  
    constructor (address _owner) License (_owner, uri) public {  
        owner = _owner;  
    }  
  
    function getLicenseContract(string memory uri) public view returns(address) {  
        return licenseUrIs[uri];  
    }  
  
    function getOrCreateLicenseForUri(string memory uri) onlyOwner public returns(address) {  
        address addressOfLicense = licenseUrIs[uri];  
        if(addressOfLicense == address(0)) {  
            addressOfLicense = address(new License(owner, uri));  
            licenseUrIs[uri] = addressOfLicense;  
        }  
        return addressOfLicense;  
    }  
}
```

## Appendix G

### Smart contract

```
contract PrimaryLicenseContract {
    event modifiedLicense(uint indexed hash, string uri);
    mapping(uint => address) private hashes;
    LicenseManager licenseManager = new LicenseManager(address(this));

    function licenseData(uint hash, string memory uri) public {
        address addressOfLicense = hashes[hash];
        if(addressOfLicense != address(0)) {
            License retrievedLicense = License(addressOfLicense);
            require(retrievedLicense.checkValidity(hash) == msg.sender, "Only the licensor can update
            retrievedLicense.deleteHash(hash, msg.sender);
        }

        addressOfLicense = licenseManager.getOrCreateLicenseForUri(uri);
        License retrievedLicense = License(addressOfLicense);
        retrievedLicense.addHash(hash, msg.sender);
        hashes[hash] = addressOfLicense;
        emit modifiedLicense(hash, uri);
    }

    function getLicenseInfo(uint hash) public view returns(bool, string memory, address) {
        address addressOfLicense = hashes[hash];
        if(addressOfLicense == address(0)) {
            return (false, "", address(0));
        }

        License retrievedLicense = License(addressOfLicense);
        return (true, retrievedLicense.uri(), retrievedLicense.checkValidity(hash));
    }
}
```





# Bibliography

- [1] Paul Baran. On distributed networks. 51, 1964. URL <https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs244/papers/DistributedCommunicationsNetworks.pdf>.
- [2] Konstantinos Christidis, Michael Devetsikiotis. Blockchains and smart contracts for the internet of things, 3 2016.
- [3] Allan Third, John Domingue. Linked data indexing of distributed ledgers. In *Companion Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web Companion*, volume 8, 5 2017. doi: 10.1145/3041021.3053895.
- [4] Matthew English, Soeren Auer, John Domingue. Block chain technologies and the semantic web: A framework for symbiotic development. 15, 2016.
- [5] Umar Rashid, Allan Third, John Domingue. Web service for semantic negotiation of smart contracts. 6, 1 2018. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=BJg2gCu1e7>.
- [6] P.K. Paul, P.S. Aithal, Ricardo Saavedra, Surajit Ghosh. Blockchain technology and its types. 13, 2021. URL <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359051731>.
- [7] Manav Gupta. *Blockchain for dummies*. John Wiley, 2018.
- [8] Natarajan, Harish, Krause, Solvej, Gradstein, Helen. *Distributed Ledger Technology(DLT) and Blockchain*. World Bank Group, 2017.
- [9] Morris J.Dworkin. Sha-3 standard: Permutation-based hash and extendable-output functions. 37, 2015. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.202>. URL <https://www.nist.gov/publications/sha-3-standard-permutation-based-hash-and-extendable-output-functions>.
- [10] Bijoy Chhetrin, Pranay Kujur. Evolution of world wide web. 6, 2015. URL <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280944777>.
- [11] Henry M. Kim, Marek Laskowski. Toward an ontology-driven blockchain design for supply-chain provenance. 18, 2018. doi: doi:10.1002/isaf.1424.
- [12] Ilya Grishchenko, Matteo Maffei, and Clara Schneidewind. A semantic framework for the security analysis of ethereum smart contracts. 27, 2018. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89722-6\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-89722-6_10).
- [13] Kalimeris Markos. Indexes for blockchain data, 2 2019.

- [14] Faraz Masood. An overview of distributed ledger technology and its applications. 7, 2018. doi: 10.26438/ijcse/v6i10.422427.
- [15] William Metcalfe. Ethereum, smart contracts, dapps. 17, 2020. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3376-1\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3376-1_5).
- [16] Christian Bizer, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany Tom Heath, Talis Information Ltd, United Kingdom Tim Berners-Lee, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA. Linked data - the story so far. 27, 2009. doi: 10.4018/jswis.2009081901ÂSource:OAI.
- [17] Anna Fensel, Tassilo Pellegrini, Simon Steyskal, Oleksandra Panasiuk. Automated rights clearance using semantic web technologies. 16, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-55433-3\_14.
- [18] Sebastian Payrott. introduction to ethereum and smart contracts. 68, 2017. URL <https://auth0.com/blog/an-introduction-to-ethereum-and-smart-contracts-part-2/>.
- [19] Max Luke, Anna Dimitrova, Stephen James Lee, Zdenek Pekarek. Blockchain in electricity: a critical review of progress to date. 38, 2018. URL <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/332138382>.
- [20] Tassilo Pellegrini. Detecting licensing conflicts with dalicc. 5, 2017. URL [http://ffhoarep.fh-oeoe.at/bitstream/123456789/1025/1/Panel\\_120\\_ID\\_193.pdf](http://ffhoarep.fh-oeoe.at/bitstream/123456789/1025/1/Panel_120_ID_193.pdf).
- [21] Sukrit Kalra, Seep Goel, Mohan Dhawan, Subodh Sharma. Zeus: Analyzing safety of smart contracts. 15, 2 2018. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.14722/ndss.2018.23082>.
- [22] Nick Szabo. Smart contracts: Building blocks for digital markets. 11, 2018. URL <http://www.truevaluemetrics.org/DBpdfs/BlockChain/Nick-Szabo-Smart-Contracts-Building-Blocks-for-Digital-Markets-1996-14591.pdf>.
- [23] Pablo Lamela Seijas , Simon Thompson and Darryl McAdams. Scripting smart contracts for distributed ledger technology. 30, 12 2016.
- [24] Mirek Sopek, Przemyslaw Gradzki, Witold Kosowski , Dominik Kozuski, Rafa Troczak, Robert Trypuz. Companion proceedings of the the web conference 2018. In *GraphChain – A Distributed Database with Explicit Semantics and Chained RDF Graphs*, volume 8, 5 2018. doi: 10.1145/3184558.3191554.
- [25] Hector Ugarte. A more pragmatic web 3.0: Linked blockchain data. 15, 1 2017. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.10304.12807/1. URL [https://semanticblocks.files.wordpress.com/2017/03/linked\\_blockchain\\_paper\\_final.pdf](https://semanticblocks.files.wordpress.com/2017/03/linked_blockchain_paper_final.pdf).
- [26] Jose Luis Romero Ugarte. Distributed ledger technology. 11, 11 2018.
- [27] Wesley Egbertsen, Gerdinand Hardeman, Maarten van den Hoven, Gert van der Kolk and Arthur van ijsewijk. Replacing paper contracts with ethereum smart contracts contract innovation with ethereum. 35, 2016. URL <https://allquantor.at/blockchainbib/pdf/egbertsen2016replacing.pdf>.
- [28] DR.Gavin Wood. Ethereum: A secure decentralized generalised transaction ledger. 34, 2017. URL <https://gavwood.com/paper.pdf>.

- [29] Tamer Özsu. Distributed database systems. 25, 2002. URL [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228806512\\_Distributed\\_Database\\_Systems](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228806512_Distributed_Database_Systems).