

# Metatranscriptomics

Dr. Natalia Zajac


Metagenomics, 9.12

### **Overview**

- What is metatranscriptomics how does it relate to RNAseq and how does it differ from metagenomics?
- Processing of reads and statistical analysis
  - Quality filtering
  - Assembly/Mapping to databases
  - Functional and taxonomic annotation
- Visualization
- Research questions and case studies




# What is metatranscriptomics?



TM7

16S rRNA can tell us WHO IS THERE? But is what you see a cause or consequence?



functional genomics

center zurich

f. g. c. z


Shotgun metagenomics
can tell us WHO IS
THERE? And WHAT IS
THEIR FUNCTIONAL
POTENTIAL?

Metatranscriptomics can tell us WHO IS DOING WHAT? – microbiome activity

Aromatic amino-acid metabolism



# What is metatranscriptomics?



- FUNCTION: Which genes and pathways are actively expressed within a community
- TAXONOMY: Which taxa are responsible for the metabolic activity BUT NOT WHO IS THERE

Metabolic potential - highly similar across samples (with few differences in functional gene abundance from river to ocean)

Gene expression - highly variable and generally was independent of changes in salinity



### **EXAMPLE**

Research | Open Access | Published: 06 September 2017

Perilipin-2 modulates dietary fat-induced microbial global gene expression profiles in the mouse intestine

<u>Xuejian Xiong</u>, <u>Elise S. Bales</u>, <u>Diana Ir</u>, <u>Charles E. Robertson</u>, <u>James L. McManaman</u>, <u>Daniel N. Frank</u> ≥ & John Parkinson

<u>Microbiome</u> **5**, Article number: 117 (2017) | <u>Cite this article</u> **2958** Accesses | **9** Citations | **3** Altmetric | <u>Metrics</u>

### Genotype


Diet	Wild type	Plin2-KO			
	55	<b>5 5 5</b>			
	<b>5 5 5</b>	<b>5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6</b>			

- Plin2 (Perilipin2) involved in lipid uptake, interacts with lipid droplets
- Study comparing WT and Plin2-null mice - exposure to high-fat/low-carb (HF) or lowfat/high-carb (LF) diets

RNA-Seq 20-30 million sequence reads/mouse



### **EXAMPLE**





Perilipin2 KO mice fed a high fat diet exhibited a similar microbiome as WT mice fed the same diet....

functional genomics

f. g. c. z

...however metabolic pathways are differentially expressed – host genotype impacts microbiome function







### **CHALLENGES**


In a typical RNA-Seq experiment applied to a single eukaryotic organism, **mRNA** is **isolated**. After **fragmentation** and **sequencing**, reads are mapped to a reference genome using standard software such as **BWA and STAR** to provide: 1) support that the transcript is expressed; 2) the relative abundance of the transcript; and 3) the presence and abundance of isoforms

#### Resourc

Comprehensive annotation of the transcriptome of the human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* using RNA-seq

Vincent M. Bruno, <sup>1</sup> Zhong Wang, <sup>2</sup> Sadie L. Marjani, <sup>3</sup> Ghia M. Euskirchen, <sup>4</sup> Jeffrey Martin, <sup>2</sup> Gavin Sherlock, <sup>4,5</sup> and Michael Snyder<sup>1,4,5</sup>

Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, Yale University, New Hoven, Connecticut 06520, USA; \*DOE Join Genome Institute (FGI), Walnut Creek, California 94598, USA; \*Department of Genetics, Yale University School of Medicine, New Horon, Connecticut 06520, USA; \*Department of Genetics, Stanford University Medical School, Stanford. California 94305-51210, USA



For microbiome samples we have the following problems:

- a) Lack of a polyA signal makes it difficult to isolate bacterial mRNA and resulting in (massive) rRNA contamination
- b) Environmental microbiome samples lack reference genomes making it difficult to map reads back to their source transcripts
- c) Host contamination





## **CHALLENGES**

RNA quality deteriorates rapidly – Method of storage and preparation can impact taxa recovered and has yet to be standardized

Best(?): Process immediately to extract RNA then store at -80

Next best(?): Snap freeze in liquid nitrogen and store at -80

Avoid use of RNALater – it lyses some cells and can interfere with RNA extraction kits (e.g Ambion RiboPure Bacteria Kit / LifeTech Trizol Plus)



### **Standard Sequencing Recommendations**

NovaSeq; 100bp single-end reads; 40M reads/sample Packs of 200M reads

### Costs for UZH and ETHZ research groups

	Library preparation	190 CHF / sample
	Sequencing 200M reads (5 samples)	1037 CHF
	Bioinformatics (up to 15 samples, 3 comparisons)	880 CHF



Number of biological replicates

At least 2!




Power analyses challenging






## **HOW MANY READS IS ENOUGH**





~5 million mRNA reads provide 90-95% of ECs in a microbiome With kits yielding mRNA read rates of ~25%, this suggests 20 million/sample mRNA





## **Preprocessing**

0101 10


### *Trimmomatic - removal of:*


- low quality
- Adaptors


BWA/BLAT – alignment of reads to (and removal)

- Host transcriptome
- rRNA databases

SortMeRNA/Infernal – removal of rRNA










### **rRNA**

functional genomics center zurich


f. Q. C. Z.

- Reads assigned to the rRNA database can be used for taxonomic classification
- BUT VERY OFTEN rRNA DEPLETION KITS ARE USED BEFORE EXTRACTION

Not all species are equally depleted










### **ASSEMBLY**




Reads can be assembled de novo into genes

functional genomics

f. Q. C. Z.

- Assembly improves annotation accuracy
- Originally Trinity was used but now Spades
- Pros:
  - splicing better handled for eukaryotes
- Cons:
  - time consuming,
  - computationally intensive,
  - hardly needed for bacteria
  - Chimeras, missasembled contigs there are tools for identification of those





### **Annotation with databases**

- Relies on sequence similarity searches with tools such as BLAT, BLAST, BWA
- BWA, BLAT rely on near perfect matches
- Local alignment with Smith-Waterman algorithm
- BLAST very time consuming → Diamond in a blastx mode, much faster

# Summary of BLASTX matches for a mouse metatranscriptome % of Read Length

		55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100
	35	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	40										0.0
	45				0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	50					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1
	55				0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3
당	60	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.7	1.6
match	65	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.9	1.3	1.5
ð	70	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	2.2	1.6	1.7
2 %	75	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.6	3.8	2.0
•	80	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.7	2.4	4.9
	85	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.5	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.8	2.7	3.3
	90	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.9	0.6	0.6	1.7	1.9	3.3	4.0
	95	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.7	1.9	3.7	4.8
	100	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.3	2.9	8.6	12.1

You want to filter based on % of read length but also % ID of match

MANY READS STILL COME OUT UNANNOTATED



## **Annotation with databases - taxonomy**

Assigning RNA reads to taxa might reveal which critical functions are associated with whom It could also help binning for the assembly.

JOURNAL ARTICLE

The Subsystems Approach to Genome Annotation and its Use in the Project to Annotate 1000 Genomes

Ross Overbeek X, Tadhg Begley, Ralph M. Butler, Jomuna V. Choudhuri, Han-Yu Chuang, Matthew Cohoon, Valérie de Crécy-Lagard, Naryttza Diaz, Terry Disz, Robert Edwards ... Show more

Nucleic Acids Research, Volume 33, Issue 17, 1 September 2005, Pages 5691-5702, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki866

Published: 01 January 2005 Article history ▼

### Subsystems

JOURNAL ARTICLE

Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation 3

Nuala A. O'Leary, Mathew W. Wright, J. Rodney Brister, Stacy Ciufo, Diana Haddad, Rich McVeigh, Bhanu Rajput, Barbara Robbertse, Brian Smith-White, Danso Ako-Adjei ... Show more

### RefSeq NCBI



Genome Biology

**Open Access** 

Nucleic Acids Research, 2013, Vol. 41, No. 1 e3


**NBC - 2011** 

Kraken: ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments Derrick E Wood<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Steven L Salzberg<sup>2,3</sup>

METHOD

**KRAKEN - 2014** 


### **Annotation with databases - taxonomy**



- How Kraken works already mentioned
- Mapping to Refseq or Subsystems done with Diamond (blastx type of search)
- A lot of the methods work on Nearest Neighbour to assign sequence to the genome
- Kaiju is based on Burrows Wheeler Transform (BWT) and also uses a database e.g. RefSeq
  - Fast
  - Accounts for sequencing errors
  - Needs large amount of memory
  - Features a GUI to explore results




# **Annotation with databases - taxonomy**



- Diamond used in standardized workflows
- For a SPF mouse gut microbiome –
   DIAMOND annotates most reads












## Mappings -> gene expression

To normalize expression to account for differences in gene length - read counts are converted to *Reads per kilobase of transcript mapped (RPKM)* 

Longer transcripts should have more reads mapping to them




 $RPKM_{geneA} = 10^9 C_{geneA} / NL$ 

C<sub>geneA</sub> = number of reads mapped to geneA N = total number of reads L = length of transcript in units of Kb

Several softwares are available to do mapping and calculate normalized expression measurements across different samples including Bowtie and Cufflinks

But also implemented in several standardized workflows

## Functional annotation/stratification



Mapping transcripts to more general functions


- Gene Ontology Annotation can help statify functions assigned to transcripts: EggNOG, OMA, Pannzer2
- Mapping transcripts into more general functions – transcripts might not be important but might code for similar functions





## **Functional categories**

Genes and proteins form parts of interconnected functional modules

Grouping gene functions into larger categories can help explain which metabolic processes are most important in the sample/ different between samples.




















# **Taxonomy from mRNA expression** ≠ **rRNA abundance**

- Comparison of mRNA vs. rRNA based abundance estimates
- Abundance not the same as expression!!
- Differences can be subtle but also can be big






10 01 101








# Statistical/ comparative analysis





### DESeq2 takes in a count table

### Normalisation

- geometric mean is calculated for each gene across all samples,
- counts for a gene in each sample is divided by this mean,
- median of these ratios in a sample is the size factor for that sample


### Variance estimation (dispersion and fold changes)

- within-group variance calculated between replicates
- shrinkage estimation for dispersions and fold changes
- dispersion value is estimated for each gene through a model fit procedure.

### 3. Differential expression


 negative binomial generalized linear models fitting for each gene and the Wald test for significance testing

### Samsa2 (https://github.com/transcript/samsa2



#### **Remarks**

•All tools nicely wrapped up



### **Tutorial – Bacterial Vaginosis**

- multifactorial disease characterized by a shift from the Lactobacillus speciesdominated microbial community toward a taxonomically diverse anaerobic community
- 8 women diagnosed with BV
- 4 successfully treated, 4 not
- Paired-end seq performed on a HiSeq 2500 Sequencer to yield 2 × 110-bp

