## Learnig rate decay

- Goal: Faster optimization slowly reduce learning rate
- 1 epoch = 1 pass through data

- Learning decay:  $\alpha = \frac{1}{1 + decay.rate *epoch.num} * \alpha_0$
- Exponentially decay:  $\alpha = 0.95^{epoch.num} * \alpha_0$

• 
$$\alpha = \frac{K}{\sqrt{epoch.num}} * \alpha_0$$

- Staire decay: For each epoch divide  $\alpha$  by 2.
- Manual decay control of dataset.

#### Some Problems

Local optima or Saddle point.

 Problem of plateaus: Derivative is close to 0 for a long time - make learning slow.

# Build Mini-batch gradient descent

• Size of mini-batches = 2<sup>n</sup> (n is natural number)

• Shuffle (melanger aléatoirement) training set

## Good setting for hyperparameters

- The  $\alpha$ : learning rate most important
- Second importance:
  - Adam optimization:  $\beta_1 = 0.9$  and  $\beta_2 = 0.9$  and  $\varepsilon = 10^{-8}$
  - Mini batch size  $2^n$
  - # hidden units
- Third importance:
  - # layers
  - Learning rate decays

#### How to choose hyperparameters

- We have space search that includes multiple hyperparameters (>= 2 dimensions)
- Not use grid search
- Use random search in space search = random values for hyperparameters.
- Use coarse sample: Find the better point and resample close to this point.

# Use appropriate scale to pick hyperparameters

- Random choice is not uniformally distributed
- To uniform the random choice, we can do it on log scale
- $r = -4 * np.random.rand (r \in [a, b])$
- $\alpha = 10^{r}$

- For exponentially weighted average
- $\beta = 1 10^r$

#### Organize hyperparameter search

Pandas approach: Changing hyperparameter each day

• Caviar approach: If we have good power of calculus. Training many models in parallel.