

Project Title: System Verification and Validation Plan for Mechatronics Engineering

Team #1, Back End Developers

Jessica Bae

Oliver Foote

Jonathan Hai

Anish Rangarajan

Nish Shah

Labeeb Zaker

October 31, 2022

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

Contents

1	Revision History	i
2	Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	iv
3	General Information	1
3.1	Summary	1
3.2	Objectives	1
3.3	Relevant Documentation	1
4	Plan	1
4.1	Verification and Validation Team	2
4.2	SRS Verification Plan	2
4.3	Design Verification Plan	3
4.4	Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan	3
4.5	Implementation Verification Plan	3
4.6	Automated Testing and Verification Tools	3
4.7	Software Validation Plan	5
5	System Test Description	5
5.1	Tests for Functional Requirements	5
5.1.1	Area of Testing1	5
5.1.2	Area of Testing2	6
5.2	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	6
5.2.1	Area of Testing1	6
5.2.2	Area of Testing2	7
5.3	Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements	7
6	Unit Test Description	7
6.1	Unit Testing Scope	7
6.2	Tests for Functional Requirements	8
6.2.1	Module 1	8
6.2.2	Module 2	9
6.3	Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements	9
6.3.1	Module ?	9
6.3.2	Module ?	9
6.4	Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules	10

7	Appendix	11
7.1	Symbolic Parameters	11
7.2	Usability Survey Questions?	11

List of Tables

[Remove this section if it isn't needed —SS]

List of Figures

[Remove this section if it isn't needed —SS]

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
T	Test

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms — you can simply reference the SRS
(Author, 2019) tables, if appropriate —SS]
[Remove this section if it isn't needed —SS]

This document ... [provide an introductory blurb and roadmap of the Verification and Validation plan —SS]

3 General Information

3.1 Summary

[Say what software is being tested. Give its name and a brief overview of its general functions. —SS]

3.2 Objectives

[State what is intended to be accomplished. The objective will be around the qualities that are most important for your project. You might have something like: “build confidence in the software correctness,” “demonstrate adequate usability.” etc. You won’t list all of the qualities, just those that are most important. —SS]

3.3 Relevant Documentation

[Reference relevant documentation. This will definitely include your SRS and your other project documents (design documents, like MG, MIS, etc). You can include these even before they are written, since by the time the project is done, they will be written. —SS]

Author (2019)

4 Plan

Verification and Validation plan will be done on both the hardware and software side individually as well as the integration. The Researcher/end user and developers will have different roles and techniques to test the device’s functionality and usability as described below.

4.1 Verification and Validation Team

Name	Role	Description
Dr Luciano Macedo	End user	Researcher who will be the end user, verifying all the user requirements and functionality in every sprints.
Johnathan Hai	Subsystem tester	There will be a set of test cases pre-defined for every functional requirement. Jonathan will be testing the specific functions against the test cases using unit testing, integration testing and boundary condition tests.
Jessica Bae	Black Box tester	Testing the specific functions without knowing how the internal workflow is structured. This will include stress testing for I/O for all subsystems and boundary condition testing. Valgrind will also be used for code profiling and debugging memory leaks.
Labeeb Zaker	Remote codebase manager	Ensuring the codebase on GitHub has no flaws, maintaining CI/CD, reviewing every commit added to ensure it meets the requirements without any possible case of errors.
Nish Shah	Automated tester	Maintaining the automated testing scripts to run certain functions against test cases that are repetitive to keep checking code is functional. Primarily using Python code coverage tools and unit testing frameworks for code development (unittest, Coverage.py).
Anish Rangarajan	Hardware & Automated tester	Verification and testing of embedded development in C using Cunit and bullseye coverage for unit testing framework and code coverage.
Oliver Foote	White Box & Database testing	Testing the internal workflow such that for a given set of inputs, an expected output is returned. Database validation using Orion for stress test of I/O and database functionality.

4.2 SRS Verification Plan

[List any approaches you intend to use for SRS verification. This may include ad hoc feedback from reviewers, like your classmates, or you may plan for something more rigorous/systematic. —SS]

[Maybe create an SRS checklist? —SS]

The SRS verification plan will follow a strict checklist for Functional and Non-functional requirements. The Non-functional requirements are important for SRS verification as requirements such as safety and comfort have to be taken into account for participants who will be wearing the device. The SRS verification plan will also involve an ad hoc feedback approach. This is to make sure all the requirements and goals receive several test-cases that could help with the SRS verification plan.

Checklist for SRS verification Plan:

4.3 Design Verification Plan

[Plans for design verification —SS]

[The review will include reviews by your classmates —SS]

[Create a checklists? —SS]

4.4 Verification and Validation Plan Verification Plan

[The verification and validation plan is an artifact that should also be verified. —SS]

[The review will include reviews by your classmates —SS]

[Create a checklists? —SS]

4.5 Implementation Verification Plan

[You should at least point to the tests listed in this document and the unit testing plan. —SS]

[In this section you would also give any details of any plans for static verification of the implementation. Potential techniques include code walk-throughs, code inspection, static analyzers, etc. —SS]

4.6 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

[What tools are you using for automated testing. Likely a unit testing framework and maybe a profiling tool, like ValGrind. Other possible tools include a static analyzer, make, continuous integration tools, test coverage tools, etc. Explain your plans for summarizing code coverage metrics. Linters are another important class of tools. For the programming language you select,

you should look at the available linters. There may also be tools that verify that coding standards have been respected, like flake9 for Python. —SS]

[If you have already done this in the development plan, you can point to that document. —SS]

[The details of this section will likely evolve as you get closer to the implementation. —SS]

Automated testing and verification tools will be based on the different programming languages and tools used in the development of the device. As covered in the development plan, (reference dev plan_{LL}) the following unit testing frameworks, profiling tools and linters will be used for verification of code:

- Python:
 - unittest will be used as the Unit testing framework for Python to cover test automation, aggregation of tests (integration testing) and independence of tests from the reporting framework.
 - Coverage.py will be used to measure code coverage and to gauge the effectiveness of tests performed.
 - Pylint will be used as the linter/static code analyser which will check for errors and enforce a coding standard.
- C:
 - CUnit will be used as a unit testing framework for embedded system development.
 - Bullseye coverage will be used for C code coverage analysis.
 - lint will be used as the linter/static code analyser for development in C.
- Valgrind will be used for Python (pytest-valgrind) and C as a debugging tool for code profiling.
- SQL/Database testing: Orion will be used to stress test an I/O coverage and to make sure the database functions as expected.

4.7 Software Validation Plan

[If there is any external data that can be used for validation, you should point to it here. If there are no plans for validation, you should state that here. —SS]

[You might want to use review sessions with the stakeholder to check that the requirements document captures the right requirements. Maybe task based inspection? —SS]

[This section might reference back to the SRS verification section. —SS]

5 System Test Description

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Subsets of the tests may be in related, so this section is divided into different areas. If there are no identifiable subsets for the tests, this level of document structure can be removed. —SS]

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good here. —SS]

5.1.1 Area of Testing¹

[It would be nice to have a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the requirements. References to the SRS would be good here. If a section covers tests for input constraints, you should reference the data constraints table in the SRS. —SS]

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Control: Manual versus Automatic

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Control: Manual versus Automatic

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

5.1.2 Area of Testing2

...

5.2 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[The nonfunctional requirements for accuracy will likely just reference the appropriate functional tests from above. The test cases should mention reporting the relative error for these tests. Not all projects will necessarily have nonfunctional requirements related to accuracy —SS]

[Tests related to usability could include conducting a usability test and survey. The survey will be in the Appendix. —SS]

[Static tests, review, inspections, and walkthroughs, will not follow the format for the tests given below. —SS]

5.2.1 Area of Testing1

Title for Test

1. test-id1

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

5.2.2 Area of Testing2

...

5.3 Traceability Between Test Cases and Requirements

[Provide a table that shows which test cases are supporting which requirements. —SS]

6 Unit Test Description

[Reference your MIS (detailed design document) and explain your overall philosophy for test case selection. —SS] [This section should not be filled in until after the MIS (detailed design document) has been completed. —SS]

6.1 Unit Testing Scope

[What modules are outside of the scope. If there are modules that are developed by someone else, then you would say here if you aren't planning on verifying them. There may also be modules that are part of your software, but have a lower priority for verification than others. If this is the case, explain your rationale for the ranking of module importance. —SS]

6.2 Tests for Functional Requirements

[Most of the verification will be through automated unit testing. If appropriate specific modules can be verified by a non-testing based technique. That can also be documented in this section. —SS]

6.2.1 Module 1

[Include a blurb here to explain why the subsections below cover the module. References to the MIS would be good. You will want tests from a black box perspective and from a white box perspective. Explain to the reader how the tests were selected. —SS]

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input:

Output: [The expected result for the given inputs —SS]

Test Case Derivation: [Justify the expected value given in the Output field —SS]

How test will be performed:

3. ...

6.2.2 Module 2

...

6.3 Tests for Nonfunctional Requirements

[If there is a module that needs to be independently assessed for performance, those test cases can go here. In some projects, planning for nonfunctional tests of units will not be that relevant. —SS]

[These tests may involve collecting performance data from previously mentioned functional tests. —SS]

6.3.1 Module ?

1. test-id1

Type: [Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Automatic, Static etc. Most will be automatic —SS]

Initial State:

Input/Condition:

Output/Result:

How test will be performed:

2. test-id2

Type: Functional, Dynamic, Manual, Static etc.

Initial State:

Input:

Output:

How test will be performed:

6.3.2 Module ?

...

6.4 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

[Provide evidence that all of the modules have been considered. —SS]

References

Author Author. System requirements specification. <https://github.com/...>, 2019.

7 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

7.1 Symbolic Parameters

The definition of the test cases will call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance.

7.2 Usability Survey Questions?

[This is a section that would be appropriate for some projects. —SS]

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

Appendix — Reflection

The information in this section will be used to evaluate the team members on the graduate attribute of Lifelong Learning. Please answer the following questions:

1. What knowledge and skills will the team collectively need to acquire to successfully complete the verification and validation of your project? Examples of possible knowledge and skills include dynamic testing knowledge, static testing knowledge, specific tool usage etc. You should look to identify at least one item for each team member.
2. For each of the knowledge areas and skills identified in the previous question, what are at least two approaches to acquiring the knowledge or mastering the skill? Of the identified approaches, which will each team member pursue, and why did they make this choice?