Homework 1

Zi Han Zhao

1001103708

1 Longest chain rule

(a) What happen if the assumption is violated?

If the speed of block propagation is not fast enough, the latest chain information arrives some nodes much earlier than other nodes. They start to assemble next block but others don't. After a period of time, other nodes acquire the chain information which was already out of date. Then they will create another branches of the chain. If a group of attacker nodes syncs much faster than other nodes, they can assemble illegal blocks leading the chain as long as they are in consensus with each other. It breaks proof-of-work. Therefore, the blockchain never reaches consensus. It never forms the longest chain.

(b) Other assumptions

First is the hash rate of honest nodes is larger than attacker nodes. Attacker controls majority of hash rate will take up the longest chain, It can assemble any illegal blocks without checking double spending and other necessary verifications.

Second is that none of a single node or organization owns over 51% of the hash rate. Similar with the first assumption, with over 51% of hash rate, it can control the longest chain and control the whole trading market.

Third is that everyone agrees with POW consensus protocol. Obviously, hash rate should be regarded as the only proof of a valid block on the chain. In this way, the chain can be continuously extended to the longest one by everyone's mining.

2 What goes wrong without POW

First, double spending might occur. If all transactions committed are regarded as valid, no one is willing to verify each transaction and double spending will occur.

Second, it is much cheap to commit transactions. The chain is easier to produce a large number of branches. The longest chain is easily replaced by its branches. Then the transactions will be reverted.

Third, it leads to devaluation of the block rewards because of the low cost of committing transactions.

3 Transaction & block broadcasting method

Bitcoin is peer-to-peer network. Let say account A claim a transaction. There are several nodes near A. A will send it to the surrounding nodes. These nodes will broadcast the information to their

surrounding nodes. Eventually, all of the nodes acquire the transaction information. So is block committing.

4 # of blocks to wait

Following bitcoin whitepaper, I implemented a c program as follows:

```
#include <math.h>
#include <stdio.h>
//For (a) question
#define Q 0.2
//For (b) question
//#define Q 0.3
#define Z_MAX 40
double AttackerSuccessProbability(double q, int z){
    double p = 1.0 - q;
    double lambda = z * (q / p);
    double sum = 1.0;
    int i, k;
    for (k = 0; k \le z; k++){
        double poisson = exp(-lambda);
        for (i = 1; i <= k; i++)
            poisson *= lambda / i;
        sum -= poisson * (1 - pow(q / p, z - k));
    }
    return sum;
}
int main(){
    int i = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < Z_MAX+1; i++){
        printf("z = %d, double-spending risk = %lf\n", i, AttackerSuccessProbability(Q,i));
    return 0;
}
```

(a) 0.2 computation power, under 0.001 double-spending risk

The output from z = 0 to 20 is as follows:

```
z = 0, double-spending risk = 1.000000
z = 1, double-spending risk = 0.415899
z = 2, double-spending risk = 0.203929
z = 3, double-spending risk = 0.103242
z = 4, double-spending risk = 0.052998
z = 5, double-spending risk = 0.027416
z = 6, double-spending risk = 0.014251
z = 7, double-spending risk = 0.007432
z = 8, double-spending risk = 0.003885
z = 9, double-spending risk = 0.002035
z = 10, double-spending risk = 0.001067
z = 11, double-spending risk = 0.000560
z = 12, double-spending risk = 0.000294
z = 13, double-spending risk = 0.000155
```

```
z = 14, double-spending risk = 0.000081
z = 15, double-spending risk = 0.000043
z = 16, double-spending risk = 0.000023
z = 17, double-spending risk = 0.000012
z = 18, double-spending risk = 0.000006
z = 19, double-spending risk = 0.000003
z = 20, double-spending risk = 0.000002
```

It is found that the risk is lower than 0.001 after 11 blocks. So recipient needs to wait at least 11 blocks.

(b) 0.3 computation power, under 0.0001 double-spending risk

The output from z = 20 to 40 is as follows:

```
z = 20, double-spending risk = 0.002480
z = 21, double-spending risk = 0.001875
z = 22, double-spending risk = 0.001417
z = 23, double-spending risk = 0.001072
z = 24, double-spending risk = 0.000811
z = 25, double-spending risk = 0.000613
z = 26, double-spending risk = 0.000464
z = 27, double-spending risk = 0.000351
z = 28, double-spending risk = 0.000266
z = 29, double-spending risk = 0.000201
z = 30, double-spending risk = 0.000152
z = 31, double-spending risk = 0.000115
z = 32, double-spending risk = 0.000087
z = 33, double-spending risk = 0.000066
z = 34, double-spending risk = 0.000050
z = 35, double-spending risk = 0.000038
z = 36, double-spending risk = 0.000029
z = 37, double-spending risk = 0.000022
z = 38, double-spending risk = 0.000016
z = 39, double-spending risk = 0.000012
z = 40, double-spending risk = 0.000009
```

It is found that the risk is lower than 0.0001 after 32 blocks. So recipient needs to wait at least 32 blocks.