

2	NESTED LOOPS			2	48	8 (50)	00:00:01
3	VIEW			2	26	8 (50)	00:00:01
4	MINUS						
5	SORT UNIQUE			2	224	8 (50)	00:00:01
6	UNION-ALL						
7	NESTED LOOPS SEMI			1	56	1 (0)	00:00:01
8	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN		1	26	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 9	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN		1	30	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 10	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN		1		0 (0)	00:00:01
11	NESTED LOOPS SEMI			1	56	1 (0)	00:00:01
12	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN		1	26	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 13	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN		1	30	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 14	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN		1		0 (0)	00:00:01
15	INTERSECTION						
16	SORT UNIQUE			1	56		
17	NESTED LOOPS SEMI			1	56	1 (0)	00:00:01
18	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN		1	26	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 19	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN		1	30	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 20	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN		1		0 (0)	00:00:01
21	SORT UNIQUE			1	56		
22	NESTED LOOPS SEMI			1	56	1 (0)	00:00:01
23	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN		1	26	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 24	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN		1	30	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 25	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN		1		0 (0)	00:00:01
* 26	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_OSoba		1		0 (0)	00:00:01
27	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	OSoba		1	35	0 (0)	00:00:01

```

" 9 - filter("KUN"."JMENO"='Tessie')
" 10 - access("JEZDEC_KUN"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN")
" 13 - filter("KUN"."JMENO"='Amur')
" 14 - access("JEZDEC_KUN"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN")
" 19 - filter("KUN"."JMENO"='Tessie')
" 20 - access("JEZDEC_KUN"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN")
" 24 - filter("KUN"."JMENO"='Amur')
" 25 - access("JEZDEC_KUN"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN")
" 26 - access("RESULT"."ID_OSoba"="OSoba"."ID_OSoba")

```

Diskuze:

Z prováděcího plánu je zřejmé že operace minus, union mnoho vnořených selectů nám způsobují ne zcela efektivní dotaz. Pojďme to spravit..

Druhé provedení:

```

SELECT o.jmeno
FROM osoba o
JOIN jezdec_kun jk ON o.id_osoba = jk.id_osoba
JOIN kun k ON jk.id_kun = k.id_kun
WHERE k.jmeno IN ('Tessie', 'Amur')
GROUP BY o.jmeno
HAVING COUNT(DISTINCT CASE WHEN k.jmeno = 'Tessie' THEN k.id_kun END) = 1
AND COUNT(DISTINCT CASE WHEN k.jmeno = 'Amur' THEN k.id_kun END) = 0 OR
COUNT(DISTINCT CASE WHEN k.jmeno = 'Tessie' THEN k.id_kun END) = 0
AND COUNT(DISTINCT CASE WHEN k.jmeno = 'Amur' THEN k.id_kun END) = 1;

```

Id	Operation	Name	Rows	Bytes	Cost (%CPU)	Time
0	SELECT STATEMENT		1	91	2 (50)	00:00:01
* 1	FILTER					
2	SORT GROUP BY		1	91	2 (50)	00:00:01
3	NESTED LOOPS		1	91	1 (0)	00:00:01
4	NESTED LOOPS		1	91	1 (0)	00:00:01
5	NESTED LOOPS		1	61	1 (0)	00:00:01
6	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN	1	26	1 (0)	00:00:01
7	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	OSOBA	1	35	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 8	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_OSOBA	1		0 (0)	00:00:01
* 9	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN	1		0 (0)	00:00:01
* 10	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN	1	30	0 (0)	00:00:01

```

" 1 - filter(COUNT(DISTINCT CASE ""K"". ""JMENO"" WHEN 'Tessie' THEN ""K"". ""ID_KUN"" END )=1 AND "
"          COUNT(DISTINCT CASE ""K"". ""JMENO"" WHEN 'Amur' THEN ""K"". ""ID_KUN"" END )=0 OR COUNT(DISTINCT "
"          CASE ""K"". ""JMENO"" WHEN 'Tessie' THEN ""K"". ""ID_KUN"" END )=0 AND COUNT(DISTINCT CASE "
"          ""K"". ""JMENO"" WHEN 'Amur' THEN ""K"". ""ID_KUN"" END )=1)"
" 8 - access("""O"". ""ID_OSOBA""=""JK"". ""ID_OSOBA"")"
" 9 - access("""JK"". ""ID_KUN""=""K"". ""ID_KUN"")"
" 10 - filter("""K"". ""JMENO""='Amur' OR ""K"". ""JMENO""='Tessie')"
```

Závěr:

Po zbavení se drahe operace minus a unionu a zkonstruování dotazu pomocí jednoho selectu a joinu s having by klauzolí se nám podařilo snížit CPU time.

Dotaz kategorie C

Zadání: Vyber všechny koně které ošetřuje jenom veterinář, jehož id je 13 a zároveň je neošetřuje nikdo jiný

První provedení:

```

(
select k.jmeno, k.vek, k.pohlavi
from kun k join kun_veterinar vet on k.id_kun = vet.id_kun
where
vet.id_osoba = 13
)
minus
(
select k.jmeno, k.vek, k.pohlavi
from kun k join kun_veterinar vet on k.id_kun = vet.id_kun
where
vet.id_osoba != 13
)
```

Id	Operation	Name	Rows	Bytes	Cost (%CPU)	Time
----	-----------	------	------	-------	-------------	------

0	SELECT STATEMENT		9	2508	4 (50)	00:00:01
1	MINUS					
2	SORT UNIQUE		9	684	2 (50)	00:00:01
3	NESTED LOOPS		9	684	1 (0)	00:00:01
4	NESTED LOOPS		9	684	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 5	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_KUN_VETERINAR	9	234	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 6	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN	1		0 (0)	00:00:01
7	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN	1	50	0 (0)	00:00:01
8	SORT UNIQUE		24	1824	2 (50)	00:00:01
9	NESTED LOOPS		24	1824	1 (0)	00:00:01
10	NESTED LOOPS		24	1824	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 11	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_KUN_VETERINAR	24	624	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 12	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN	1		0 (0)	00:00:01
13	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN	1	50	0 (0)	00:00:01

```
" 5 - access("VET"."ID_OSOBA"=13)"
" filter("VET"."ID_OSOBA"=13)"
" 6 - access("K"."ID_KUN"="VET"."ID_KUN")"
" 11 - filter("VET"."ID_OSOBA"<>13)"
" 12 - access("K"."ID_KUN"="VET"."ID_KUN")"
```

Diskuze:

Z prováděcího plánu je zřejmé že operace minus není zcela efektivní a nejspíše by šla nahradit nějakým joinem v kombinaci s ORDER BY klauzolí, pojďme na to s chutí...

Druhé provedení:

```
SELECT k.jmeno, k.vek, k.pohlavi
FROM kun k
JOIN (
  SELECT id_kun, COUNT(ID_OSOBA) AS vet_count
  FROM kun_veterinar
  GROUP BY id_kun
) kvc ON k.id_kun = kvc.id_kun
JOIN kun_veterinar kv ON kvc.id_kun = kv.id_kun AND kv.id_osoba = 13 and vet_count = 1
```

Id	Operation	Name	Rows	Bytes	Cost (%CPU)	Time	
0	SELECT STATEMENT		10	890	1 (0)	00:00:01	
1	NESTED LOOPS		10	890	1 (0)	00:00:01	
2	NESTED LOOPS		10	890	1 (0)	00:00:01	
3	NESTED LOOPS		10	390	1 (0)	00:00:01	
4	VIEW		33	429	1 (0)	00:00:01	
* 5	FILTER						
6	HASH GROUP BY		33	429	1 (0)	00:00:01	
7	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_KUN_VETERINAR	33	429	1 (0)	00:00:01	
* 8	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN_VETERINAR	1	26	0 (0)	00:00:01	
* 9	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN	1		0 (0)	00:00:01	
10	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN	1	50	0 (0)	00:00:01	

```

5 - filter(COUNT(*)=1)
" 8 - access("KVC"."ID_KUN"="KV"."ID_KUN" AND "KV"."ID_OSOBA"=13)
" 9 - access("K"."ID_KUN"="KVC"."ID_KUN")

```

Závěr:

Po zbavení se drahe operace minus a zkonstruování dotazu pomocí jednoho selectu a joinu s klauzolí having by se nám podařilo snížit CPU time.

Dotaz kategorie D

Zadání: Jezdec, co jezdí všechny koně plemena Starokladrubský kůň

První provedení:

```

SELECT r.JMENO
FROM OSOBA r
WHERE NOT EXISTS (
  SELECT *
  FROM kun k
  WHERE k.plemeno = 'Starokladrubský kůň'
  AND NOT EXISTS (
    SELECT *
    FROM jezdec_kun jk
    WHERE jk.id_kun = k.id_kun
    AND jk.id_osoba = r.id_osoba
  )
);

```

Id	Operation	Name	Rows	Bytes	Cost (%CPU)	Time
0	SELECT STATEMENT		20	700	11 (0)	00:00:01
* 1	FILTER					
2	TABLE ACCESS FULL	OSOBA	32	1120	3 (0)	00:00:01
* 3	FILTER					
* 4	TABLE ACCESS FULL	KUN	2	60	3 (0)	00:00:01
* 5	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN	1	26	0 (0)	00:00:01

```

" 1 - filter( NOT EXISTS (SELECT 0 FROM "KUN" "K" WHERE NOT EXISTS (SELECT "
" 0 FROM "JEZDEC_KUN" "JK" WHERE "JK"."ID_KUN"=:B1 AND "JK"."ID_OSOBA"=:B2) "
" AND "K"."PLEMENO"='Starokladrubský kůň'))"
" 3 - filter( NOT EXISTS (SELECT 0 FROM "JEZDEC_KUN" "JK" WHERE "
" "JK"."ID_KUN"=:B1 AND "JK"."ID_OSOBA"=:B2))"
" 4 - filter("K"."PLEMENO"='Starokladrubský kůň')
" 5 - access("JK"."ID_OSOBA"=:B1 AND "JK"."ID_KUN"=:B2)

```

Druhé provedení:

```

select jmeno from osoba j
where
(select count (distinct id_kun)
from jezdec_kun k
join kun using (id_kun)
where (j.id_osoba = k.id_osoba)
and plemeno = 'Starokladrubský kůň' )
=
(
(select count (distinct id_kun)
from jezdec_kun k
join kun using (id_kun)
where plemeno = 'Starokladrubský kůň' )
)

```

Id	Operation	Name	Rows	Bytes	Cost (%CPU)	Time
0	SELECT STATEMENT		32	1120	4 (0)	00:00:01
* 1	FILTER					
2	TABLE ACCESS FULL	OSOBA	32	1120	3 (0)	00:00:01
3	SORT GROUP BY		1	56		
4	NESTED LOOPS SEMI		1	56	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 5	INDEX RANGE SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN	1	26	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 6	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN	2	60	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 7	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN	1		0 (0)	00:00:01
8	SORT AGGREGATE		1	13		
9	VIEW	VM_NWVW_1	3	39	2 (50)	00:00:01
10	SORT GROUP BY		3	129	2 (50)	00:00:01
11	NESTED LOOPS SEMI		3	129	1 (0)	00:00:01
12	INDEX FULL SCAN	PK_JEZDEC_KUN	27	351	1 (0)	00:00:01
* 13	TABLE ACCESS BY INDEX ROWID	KUN	1	30	0 (0)	00:00:01
* 14	INDEX UNIQUE SCAN	PK_KUN	1		0 (0)	00:00:01

```

" 1 - filter( (SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT "K"."ID_KUN") FROM "KUN" "KUN","JEZDEC_KUN" "K" "
" WHERE "K"."ID_OSOBA"=:B1 AND "K"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN" AND "
" "KUN"."PLEMENO"='Starokladrubský kůň')= (SELECT COUNT("vm_col_1") FROM (SELECT "
" "K"."ID_KUN" "$vm_col_1" FROM "JEZDEC_KUN" "K","KUN" "KUN" WHERE "
" "K"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN" AND "KUN"."PLEMENO"='Starokladrubský kůň' GROUP BY "
" "K"."ID_KUN") "VM_NWVW_1"))"
" 5 - access("K"."ID_OSOBA"=:B1)"
" 6 - filter("KUN"."PLEMENO"='Starokladrubský kůň')"
" 7 - access("K"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN")"
" 13 - filter("KUN"."PLEMENO"='Starokladrubský kůň')"
" 14 - access("K"."ID_KUN"="KUN"."ID_KUN")"

```

Závěr:

Obě řešení jsou si blízké z hlediska efektivity (CPU time), pokud by databáze měla více řádků tak by případ 2 byl o něco pomalejší ⇒ prohledává více řádků.