

**Collaboration Policy:** You are encouraged to collaborate with up to 3 other students, but all work submitted must be your own *independently* written solution. List the computing ids of all of your collaborators in the `collabs` command at the top of the tex file. Do not share written notes, documents (including Google docs, Overleaf docs, discussion notes, PDFs), or code. Do not seek published or online solutions for any assignments. If you use any published or online resources (which may not include solutions) when completing this assignment, be sure to cite by naming the book etc. or listing a website's URL. Do not submit a solution that you are unable to explain orally to a member of the course staff. Any solutions that share similar text/code will be considered in breach of this policy. Please refer to the syllabus for a complete description of the collaboration policy.

**Collaborators:** jhs8cue, kd5eyn, spj6s

**Sources:** Cormen, et al, Introduction to Algorithms. (*add others here*)

### PROBLEM 1 DFS and Topological Sort

- Run DFS on the following graph. List start and finish times (beginning at  $t = 1$ ) for each node in the table shown below the image of the graph. Note: For this problem, by "start" we mean the discovery time, and by "end" we mean finish times.) Use  $V_1$  as your start node. To help us grade this more easily, when multiple nodes can be searched, always search neighboring nodes in increasing order (e.g., if  $V_2$  and  $V_3$  are both adjacent to the current node, search  $V_2$  first).

Vertex	$V_1$	$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$
Start	?	?	?	?	?
End	?	?	?	?	?

**Solution:**

Vertex	$V_1$	$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$
Start	1	2	8	3	5
End	10	7	9	4	6

- Using your answer above, give the specific *Topological Ordering* that would be produced by the *DFS-based* algorithm we discussed in class.

**Solution:**  $V_1, V_3, V_2, V_5, V_4$

**PROBLEM 2 True or False.** (You don't have to explain this in your submission, but you should understand the reason behind your answer.)

- If you use DFS to find a topological sorting on a directed graph, the last vertex discovered in the search could legally be the last vertex in the sorted ordering of the vertices. *Update: Assume your first call to `DFS-visit()` visits every node in the digraph!*

**Solution:** True

- For the disjoint set data structure we studied, if we had a  $\Theta(\log n)$  implementation of *find-set()*, then the order class for the time-complexity of *union( $i, j$ )* would be improved (i.e., better than the result we learned).

**Solution:** True

- C. Both path-compression and union-by-rank try to improve the cost of future calls to *find-set()* by making the trees representing a set shorter without changing the set membership for the items in that set.

**Solution:** True

### PROBLEM 3 *Kruskal's Runtime*

What is the runtime of Kruskal's algorithm if *find()* and *union()* are  $\Theta(1)$  time?

**Solution:** *find()* is  $\Theta(1)$  time when employing use of path compression, and thus *union()* will also be  $\Theta(1)$  time. Kruskal's MST algorithm with path compression has a runtime of  $\Theta(E * \log V)$  time

### PROBLEM 4 *Strongly Connected Components*

Your friend Kai wants to find a digraph's SCCs by initially creating  $G_T$  and running DFS on that. In other words, he believes he might be able to *first* do something with the the transpose graph as the first step for finding the SCCs. (The algorithm we gave you first did something with  $G$  and not with  $G_T$ .)

Do you think it's possible for Kai to make this approach work? If not, describe a counter-example or explain why this will fail.

If it is possible, explain the steps Kai's algorithm would have to do to complete the algorithm, and briefly say why this approach can lead to a correct solution.

**Solution:** Kai's algorithm will work, as it doesn't really matter what order  $G$  and  $G^T$  DFS is run on, as long as DFS is run on a graph to find discovery times then end times, and then run on the same graph with all of the edges reversed. Running DFS on the transpose first is the same as pretending  $G^T$  is actually  $G$  and vice versa and running our "normal" SCC algorithm. Both will identify the same SCCs.

**PROBLEM 5** *Executing Kruskal's MST Algorithm*

Run Kruskal's algorithm on the graph below. List the order in which the edges are added to the MST, referring to the edges by their provided labels.

(Consider how your answer would change if  $E_1$  had weight 12. However, you don't need to provide an answer to us for this part.)

Your answer (list of edges in order):

**Solution:**  $E_5, E_3, E_6, E_7, E_1$

**PROBLEM 6** *Difference between Prim's MST and Dijkstra's SP*

In a few sentences, summarize the relatively small differences in the code for Prim's MST algorithm and Dijkstra's SP algorithm.

**Solution:** Both Prim's MST and Dijkstra's SP are greedy algorithms that take in a graph  $G$  and a starting vertex  $s$ . What makes them different is that Dijkstra's keeps track of the shortest path for every vertex it processes in the priority queue, and updates say path if a shorter one is found. Prim's algorithm does not track weights, rather it simply adds the next lowest weight edge that connects a vertex not already in the spanning tree, and no active checking/updating is done once something is added.

**PROBLEM 7** *True or False. (You don't have to explain this in your submission, but you should understand the reason behind your answer.)*

- A. An *indirect heap* makes *find()* and *decreaseKey()* faster (among others), but *insert()* becomes asymptotically slower because the indices in the indirect heap must be updated while percolating value up towards the root of the heap.

**Solution:** False

- B. If all edges in an undirected connected graph have the same edge-weight value  $k$ , you can use either BFS or Dijkstra's algorithm to find the shortest path from  $s$  to any other node  $t$ , but one will be more efficient than the other.

**Solution:** True

- C. In the proof for the correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm, we learned that the proof fails if edges can have weight 0 because this would mean that another edge could have been chosen to another fringe vertex that has a smaller distance than the fringe vertex chosen by Dijkstra's.

**Solution:** False

**PROBLEM 8** *Gradescope Submission*

Submit a version of this `.tex` file to Gradescope with your solutions added, along with the compiled PDF. You should only submit your `.pdf` and `.tex` files.