

①

HYDROGEN ATOM : SOLUTION

2 BODY PROBLEM

We actually have electron and proton, 2 bodies, but

$$\underline{\text{BODY 1}} \text{ (proton)} \quad \vec{r}_1, m_1, \quad \vec{p}_1 = m_1 \vec{v}_1$$

$$\underline{\text{BODY 2}} \text{ (electron)} \quad \vec{r}_2, m_2, \quad \vec{p}_2 = m_2 \vec{v}_2$$

THE RELATIVE DISTANCE IS

$$\vec{r} \equiv \vec{r}_2 - \vec{r}_1$$

THE RELATIVE VELOCITY IS

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}_2 - \vec{v}_1 = \frac{\vec{p}_2}{m_2} - \frac{\vec{p}_1}{m_1}$$

THE CRIME OR MASS IS

$$\vec{R} = \frac{m_2 \vec{r}_2 + m_1 \vec{r}_1}{m_2 + m_1}$$

IF WE DEFINE

$$M = m_1 + m_2 \Rightarrow \vec{R} = \frac{m_2 \vec{r}_2 + m_1 \vec{r}_1}{M}$$

ITS VELOCITY IS

$$\vec{V} = \frac{m_2 \vec{v}_2 + m_1 \vec{v}_1}{M}$$

THE TOTAL MOMENTUM IS

$$\vec{P} = M \vec{V} = m_2 \vec{v}_2 + m_1 \vec{v}_1 = \vec{p}_2 + \vec{p}_1$$

WE DEFINE

$$m = \frac{m_1 m_2}{M}$$

AND

$$\vec{p} = m \vec{v} = m \left(\frac{\vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_1}{m_2 - m_1} \right)$$

IS THE RELATIVE MOMENTUM

THE ENERGY OF THE TWO BODIES IS

$$E = \frac{p_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m_2} + V(r_2 - r_1)$$

WE SAY THAT THIS IS EQUAL TO

$$E = \frac{p^2}{2M} + \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(r)$$

INSTEAD

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{p_i^2 + p_1^2 + 2\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{p}_1}{M} + \frac{1}{m_1 m_2} \frac{p_2^2 m_1^2 + p_1^2 m_2^2 - 2\vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2 m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \right]$$

$$+ V(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{p_i^2 + p_1^2 + 2\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{p}_1}{m_1 + m_2} + \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2} \left[\frac{p_2^2 m_1^2 + p_1^2 m_2^2 - 2\vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2}{m_1^2 m_2^2} \right] \right]$$

+ $V(r)$ =

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[p_i^2 \left[\frac{1}{m_1 + m_2} \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) \right] + p_1^2 \left[\frac{1}{m_1 + m_2} \left(1 + \frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) \right] \right]$$

$$+ \frac{2\vec{p}_i \cdot \vec{p}_1}{m_1 + m_2} - \frac{2\vec{p}_1 \cdot \vec{p}_2}{m_1 + m_2} + V(r) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[p_i^2 \left(\frac{1}{m_2} \right) + p_1^2 \left(\frac{1}{m_1} \right) \right] + V(r_2 - r_1)$$

THE DYNAMICS SEPARATES IN THE ONE OR P

(NO TORQUE, NO POSITION, MASS PARRY)

AND p, r

$$\text{IF } m_1 \gg m_2 \Rightarrow m \approx m_1$$

$$\rightarrow r \approx r_1$$

WE MAY TREAT THE 2-BODY PROBLEM AS THE PHONE OR A SWING BODY
 WITH MASS $m \approx m_1$ AT DISTANCE $\approx \vec{r}$ FROM THE
 CENTER OF MASS

3

⇒

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \psi + \frac{\hat{L}^2}{2mr^2} \psi - \frac{e^2}{r} \psi = E \psi$$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} \right)$$

IF we write $\psi = R Y_{lm}(0, \phi)$ we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) R + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2} R - \frac{e^2}{r} R = E R$$

Let us define

$$\boxed{\zeta(n) = n R(n)} \Rightarrow R = \frac{\zeta}{n}$$

we have

$$n^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left(\frac{\zeta(n)}{n} \right) \right) = n^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial n}{\partial n} \zeta(n) - \frac{1}{n^2} \zeta(n) \right) = n \frac{\partial n}{\partial n} \zeta(n) - \zeta(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (n^2 \frac{\partial n}{\partial n} \zeta(n)) = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left[n^2 \frac{\partial n}{\partial n} \zeta(n) - \zeta(n) \right] =$$

$$= \cancel{\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \zeta(n)} + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} \zeta(n) - \cancel{\frac{1}{n^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \zeta(n)}$$

⇒

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} \zeta(n) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{l(l+1)}{n^2} \zeta(n) - \frac{e^2}{n} \zeta(n) \right\} - E \zeta(n) = 0$$

∴ (multiply by n)

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} \zeta(n) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{l(l+1)}{n^2} \zeta(n) + \frac{e^2}{n} \zeta(n) + E \zeta(n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2} \zeta(n) + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{2mr^2} + \frac{e^2}{n} + E \right] \zeta(n) = 0}$$

DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS

We Are Using

$$M \frac{L}{T^2} = F = \frac{e^2}{m} = \frac{e^2}{l^2} \Rightarrow [e^2] = \frac{[M][L^3]}{[T^2]}$$

THE QUANTITY

$$\frac{h^2}{e^2 m}$$

HAS DIMENSIONS

$$h = B \cdot T = M \frac{L^2}{T^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{M^2 L^4}{T^2} \cdot \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{T^2}{M L^3} = L$$

We Define

$$\frac{h^2}{e^2 m} = r_0 \quad (\text{BOHR RADIUS})$$

AND MEASURE IN UNITS OF r_0 ,

$$\mu = \frac{n}{r_0} \Rightarrow$$

$$r = n r_0$$

$$\Delta n = \Delta \mu \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial n} = \frac{1}{r_0} \Delta \mu \Rightarrow \Delta n = \frac{1}{r_0^2} \Delta \mu$$

\Rightarrow We Define The Relation By e^2

$$\frac{1}{r_0^2} \Delta \mu \{ (n) \} + \frac{2}{r_0} \left[-\frac{e(e+1)}{2r_0^2 m} r_0 + \frac{1}{r_0 \mu} + \frac{B}{e^2} \right] \{ (n) \} = 0$$



$$\Rightarrow \Delta \mu \{ \} + 2 \frac{m e^2}{r_0^2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{e(e+1)}{m} \frac{r_0^2}{m \mu^2} + \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{B}{e^2} \right] \{ (n) \} = 0$$

Note We MULTIPLY BY r_0^2



$$\partial^2_{\mu} \{(\mu) + \left[-\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\mu^2} + \frac{2}{\mu} + \frac{k_0}{\ell^2} E \right] \{(\mu) = 0$$

NOW WE NOTE THAT

$$\left[\frac{e^2}{k_0} \right] = \frac{ML^3}{T^2 L} = \frac{ML^2}{T^2} = [E]$$

\Rightarrow WE DEFINE

$$\boxed{\frac{e^2}{k_0} = E_0}$$

$$\partial^2_{\mu} \{(\mu) + \left[-\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\mu^2} + \frac{2}{\mu} + \frac{E}{E_0} \right] \{(\mu) = 0$$

WE ARE INTERESTED IN BOUND STATES IN THE ATOM, MEANING THAT

WITH A LARGER ENERGY $E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{e^2}{r} , n > 0$, $E > 0$ MEANS

POSITIVE E MAY GO BOUNDARY

$$\frac{E}{E_0} = -\frac{1}{n^2} \quad \left(\text{since } E_0 = \frac{e^2}{k_0} > 0 \right)$$

$(n > 0)$

\Rightarrow

$$\partial^2_{\mu} \{(\mu) + \left[-\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{\mu^2} + \frac{2}{\mu} - \frac{1}{n^2} \right] \{(\mu) = 0$$

NOW WE TRY TO UNDERSTAND HOW $\{(\mu)$ BEHAVES FOR

$\mu \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$)

$$\frac{1}{\mu^2} \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{\mu} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\partial^2_{\mu} \{(\mu) \approx \frac{1}{\mu^2} \{(\mu) \Rightarrow \{(\mu) \approx e^{-\frac{\mu}{m}}$$

Since $\mu > 0, m > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \{(\mu) \approx e^{-\frac{\mu}{m}} \quad (\text{otherwise places})$$

SEE ALSO CHECK THE DEFINITION FOR

$$u \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$\partial_n^l \{u\} \geq \frac{l(l+1)}{n^2} \{u\}$$

WE TRY ASSUMPTION AS

$$\{u\} = u^k$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_n^l \{u\} = k(k+1) u^{k-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow k(k-1) u^{k-2} = l(l+1) u^{k-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow k = l+1$$

WE ASSUME THE CORRECT FORM TO BE

$$\boxed{\{u\} = u^{l+1} e^{-\frac{u}{m}} f(u)}$$

FROM THIS ASSUMPTION, WE HAVE

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n (\{u\}) &= (l+1) u^l e^{-\frac{u}{m}} f(u) + u^{l+1} \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right) e^{-\frac{u}{m}} f(u) \\ &\quad + u^{l+1} e^{-\frac{u}{m}} \partial_n (f(u)) = \\ &= u^{l+1} e^{-\frac{u}{m}} f(u) \left[\frac{l+1}{u} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{\partial_n (f(u))}{f(u)} \right] = \\ &\geq \{u\} \left[\frac{l+1}{u} - \frac{1}{m} + \frac{\partial_n (f(u))}{f(u)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n^2(\{\zeta(u)\}) &= \delta_n(\{\zeta(u)\}) \left[\frac{l+1}{n} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\delta_n(f(u))}{f(u)} \right] + \\
 &+ \zeta(u) \left[-\frac{l+1}{n^2} + \frac{\delta_n^2(f(u))}{f(u)} - \frac{(\delta_n(f(u)))^2}{f^2(u)} \right] = \\
 &= \zeta(u) \left[\left(\frac{l+1}{n} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\delta_n(f(u))}{f(u)} \right)^2 - \frac{l+1}{n^2} + \frac{\delta_n^2(f(u))}{f(u)} - \frac{(\delta_n(f(u)))^2}{f^2(u)} \right] = \\
 &= \zeta(u) \left[\frac{(l+1)^2}{n^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{(\delta_n(f(u)))^2}{(f(u))^2} - \frac{2(l+1)}{n n} + \frac{2(l+1)\delta_n(f(u))}{n f(u)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{2\delta_n f(u)}{n f(u)} - \frac{l+1}{n^2} + \frac{\delta_n^2(f(u))}{f(u)} - \frac{(\delta_n(f(u)))^2}{f^2(u)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

VSILW $(l+1)^2 = (l+1)(l+1) = (l+1) + l(l+1)$ VER GWT

2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n^2(\{\zeta(u)\}) &= \zeta(u) \left[\frac{l+1}{n^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{n^2} - \frac{l+1}{n^2} - \frac{2(l+1)}{n n} + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{2(l+1)\delta_n(f(u))}{n f(u)} - \frac{2\delta_n(f(u))}{n f(u)} + \frac{\delta_n^2(f(u))}{f(u)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

SO THAT

$$\delta_n^2(\{\zeta(u)\}) + \left[-\frac{l(l+1)}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} \right] \zeta(u) \geq 0$$

BECOME

$$f(u) \left[\frac{\cancel{z(l+1)}}{u^2} - \frac{2(l+1)}{nu} + \frac{z(l+1) \partial_u(f(u))}{u f(u)} - \frac{2 \partial_u(f(u))}{u f(u)} + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial_u^2(f(u))}{f(u)} - \frac{\cancel{l(l+1)}}{u^2} + \frac{2}{u} - \frac{1}{u^2} \right] = 0$$

THIS MAY BE REWRITTEN AS $\boxed{\quad} = 0$ OR

PUTTING THAT ∂_u^2 AND ∂_u TRANS TOGETHER

\Rightarrow

$$\frac{1}{f(u)} \partial_u^2(f(u)) + \frac{z(l+1)n - 2u}{nu f(u)} \partial_u(f(u)) + \frac{2n - 2(l+1)}{nu} = 0$$

\Rightarrow

$$\partial_u^2(f(u)) + \frac{2[(l+1)n - u]}{nu} \partial_u(f(u)) + 2 \frac{n-l-1}{nu} f(u) = 0$$

TO SIMPLIFY THIS QUESTION WE CHANZ AGAIN VARIOUR TO

$$k = \frac{2u}{n} \Rightarrow \boxed{u = \frac{nk}{2}} \Rightarrow \partial_u = \partial_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial k} = \frac{2}{n} \partial_k$$

\Rightarrow

$$\frac{4}{n^2} \partial_k^2(f(u)) + \frac{2}{n} \cdot 2 \frac{(l+1)n - \frac{nk}{2}}{\frac{n^2 k}{2}} \partial_k(f(u)) + \\ + 2 \frac{n-l-1}{n^2 \frac{k}{2}} f(u) = 0$$

\Rightarrow

THAT'S HOW WE HAVE TO DO

2) \nearrow

$$\frac{4}{n^2} \left[\delta_n(f(u)) + \frac{z(l+1)-k}{k} \delta_n(f(u)) + \frac{n-l-1}{k} f(u) \right] = 0$$

We multiply $\frac{4}{n^2}$ and multiply by k

2) ~~\nearrow~~

$$k \delta_n(f(u)) + (z(l+1)-k) \delta_n(f(u)) + (n-l-1) f(u) = 0$$

We choose

$$\lambda \equiv z(l+1) \quad \beta \equiv n-l-1$$

$$2) k \delta_n(f(u)) + (\lambda - k) \delta_n(f(u)) + \beta f(u) = 0$$

LET US TRY TO SOLVE IT USING ASYMPS

$$f(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k u^k$$

$$2) k \delta_n(f(u)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j [j(j-1)] u^{j-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j [j(j-1)] u^{j-1}$$

$\boxed{j=1}$

\rightarrow since the $j=0$ term is 0

$$(2-\alpha) \Delta u(f(u)) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha(j) c_j h^{j-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j c_j h^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha(j) c_j h^{j-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j c_j h^j$$

↙ Answer Note: I don't write Δu , only if we have

$$\beta f(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta c_j h^j$$

⇒

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(c_j [j(j-1)] h^{j-1} + \alpha(j) h^{j-1} \right) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\beta-j) c_j h^j$$

$$j' = j-1, j = j'+1$$

⇒

$$\sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} c_{j'+1} [(j'+1)(j'+2)] h^{j'+1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\beta-j) c_j h^j$$

⇒

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^j \left[c_{j+1} [(j+1)(j+\alpha)] - c_j (\beta-j) \right] = 0$$

THIS IS TRUE IR

$$c_{j+1} = c_j \frac{(j-\beta)}{(j+1)(j+\alpha)}$$

WE KNOW THAT

$$j \rightarrow \infty$$

$$c_{j+1} \approx c_j \frac{1}{j}$$

(10)

THE SERIES BEHAVES AS THE EXPONENTIAL ASYMPTOTICALLY.

BUT THE EXP. DIVIDES IF $k \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$)

WE DO NOT WANT IT

WE NEED SOMETHING $c_j = 0$

$$\Rightarrow j = \beta \quad (j \text{ is an integer})$$

$$\text{BUT } \beta = m - l - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow m = l + 1 + j$$

$$\Rightarrow m \in \mathbb{N}, m > l$$

$$E = -\frac{E_0}{m^2} \quad \text{MINIMUM} \quad E = -E_0$$

PHYSICAL STATES

$$m = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

$$|m, l, m\rangle$$

$$l = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

NATURE DECIDES

$$m = -l, \dots, l$$

$$f(u) = 1 + \frac{\beta}{\lambda} u + \frac{\beta(\beta+1)}{2(\lambda+1)2!} + \frac{\beta(\beta+1)(\beta+2)}{\lambda(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)3!} u^3 + \dots$$

$$n = \frac{zu}{m} = \frac{zh}{mh_0}$$

$$R(n) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{h_0} \right)^{\ell+1} e^{-\frac{n}{mh_0}} f\left(\frac{zh}{mh_0}\right) = R_{mh_0}(u)$$

$$m=1 \quad R_d e^{-\frac{n}{h_0}} \quad \ell \geq 0 \quad m=0$$

$$\psi = e^{-\frac{n}{h_0}}$$