

Content Security Policy (CSP)

Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks. These attacks are used for everything from data theft, to site defacement, to malware distribution.

CSP is designed to be fully backward compatible (except CSP version 2 where there are some explicitly-mentioned inconsistencies in backward compatibility; more details [here](#) section 1.1). Browsers that don't support it still work with servers that implement it, and vice-versa: browsers that don't support CSP ignore it, functioning as usual, defaulting to the standard same-origin policy for web content. If the site doesn't offer the CSP header, browsers likewise use the standard [same-origin policy](#).

To enable CSP, you need to configure your web server to return the [Content-Security-Policy](#) HTTP header. (Sometimes you may see mentions of the `x-Content-Security-Policy` header, but that's an older version and you don't need to specify it anymore.)

Alternatively, the `<meta>` element can be used to configure a policy, for example:

```
<meta http-equiv="Content-Security-Policy"
      content="default-src 'self'; img-src https://*; child-src 'none';">
```

Threats

Mitigating cross-site scripting

A primary goal of CSP is to mitigate and report XSS attacks. XSS attacks exploit the browser's trust in the content received from the server. Malicious scripts are executed by the victim's browser because the browser trusts the source of the content, even when it's not coming from where it seems to be coming from.

CSP makes it possible for server administrators to reduce or eliminate the vectors by which XSS can occur by specifying the domains that the browser should consider to be valid sources of executable scripts. A CSP compatible browser will then only execute scripts loaded in source files received from those allowed domains, ignoring all other scripts (including inline scripts and event-handling HTML attributes).

As an ultimate form of protection, sites that want to never allow scripts to be executed can opt to globally disallow script execution.

Mitigating packet sniffing attacks

In addition to restricting the domains from which content can be loaded, the server can specify which protocols are allowed to be used; for example (and ideally, from a security standpoint), a server can specify that all content must be loaded using HTTPS. A complete data transmission security strategy includes not only enforcing HTTPS for data transfer, but also marking all [cookies with the `secure` attribute](#) and providing automatic redirects from HTTP pages to their HTTPS counterparts. Sites may also use the [Strict-Transport-Security](#) HTTP header to ensure that browsers connect to them only over an encrypted channel.

Using CSP

Configuring Content Security Policy involves adding the [Content-Security-Policy](#) HTTP header to a web page and giving it values to control what resources the user agent is allowed to load for that page. For example, a page that uploads and displays images could allow images from anywhere, but restrict a form action to a specific endpoint. A properly designed Content Security Policy helps protect a page against a cross-site scripting attack. This article explains how to construct such headers properly, and provides examples.

Specifying your policy

You can use the [Content-Security-Policy](#) HTTP header to specify your policy, like this:

```
Content-Security-Policy: policy
```

The policy is a string containing the policy directives describing your Content Security Policy.

Writing a policy

A policy is described using a series of policy directives, each of which describes the policy for a certain resource type or policy area. Your policy should include a `default-src` policy directive, which is a fallback for other resource types when they don't have policies of their own (for a complete list, see the description of the `default-src` directive). A policy needs to include a `default-src` or `script-src` directive to prevent inline scripts from running, as well as blocking the use of `eval()` . A policy needs to include a `default-src` or `style-src` directive to restrict inline styles from being applied from a `<style>` element or a `style` attribute. There are specific directives for a wide variety of types of items, so that each type can have its own policy, including fonts, frames, images, audio and video media, scripts, and workers.

For a complete list of policy directives, see the reference page for the [Content-Security-Policy header](#).

Examples: Common use cases

This section provides examples of some common security policy scenarios.

Example 1

A web site administrator wants all content to come from the site's own origin (this excludes subdomains.)

```
Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'self'
```

Example 2

A web site administrator wants to allow content from a trusted domain and all its subdomains (it doesn't have to be the same domain that the CSP is set on.)

```
Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'self' trusted.com *.trusted.com
```

Example 3

A web site administrator wants to allow users of a web application to include images from any origin in their own content, but to restrict audio or video media to trusted providers, and all scripts only to a specific server that hosts trusted code.

```
Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'self'; img-src *; media-src media1.com media2.com; script-src userscripts.example.com
```

Here, by default, content is only permitted from the document's origin, with the following exceptions:

- Images may load from anywhere (note the "*" wildcard).
- Media is only allowed from media1.com and media2.com (and not from subdomains of those sites).
- Executable script is only allowed from userscripts.example.com.

Example 4

A web site administrator for an online banking site wants to ensure that all its content is loaded using TLS, in order to prevent attackers from eavesdropping on requests.

```
Content-Security-Policy: default-src https://onlinebanking.jumbobank.com
```

The server permits access only to documents being loaded specifically over HTTPS through the single origin onlinebanking.jumbobank.com.

Example 5

A web site administrator of a web mail site wants to allow HTML in email, as well as images loaded from anywhere, but not JavaScript or other potentially dangerous content.

```
Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'self' *.mailsite.com; img-src *
```

Note that this example doesn't specify a `script-src`; with the example CSP, this site uses the setting specified by the `default-src` directive, which means that scripts can be loaded only from the originating server.

Testing your policy

To ease deployment, CSP can be deployed in report-only mode. The policy is not enforced, but any violations are reported to a provided URI. Additionally, a report-only header can be used to test a future revision to a policy without actually deploying it.

You can use the `Content-Security-Policy-Report-Only` HTTP header to specify your policy, like this:

```
Content-Security-Policy-Report-Only: policy
```

If both a `Content-Security-Policy-Report-Only` header and a `Content-Security-Policy` header are present in the same response, both policies are honored. The policy specified in `Content-Security-Policy` headers is enforced while the `Content-Security-Policy-Report-Only` policy generates reports but is not enforced.

Enabling reporting

By default, violation reports aren't sent. To enable violation reporting, you need to specify the `report-uri` policy directive, providing at least one URI to which to deliver the reports:

```
Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'self'; report-uri http://reportcollector.example.com/collector.cgi
```

Then you need to set up your server to receive the reports; it can store or process them in whatever manner you determine is appropriate.

Violation report syntax

The report JSON object contains the following data:

`blocked-uri`

The URI of the resource that was blocked from loading by the Content Security Policy. If the blocked URI is from a different origin than the `document-uri`, then the blocked URI is truncated to contain just the scheme, host, and port.

`disposition`

Either `"enforce"` or `"report"` depending on whether the `Content-Security-Policy-Report-Only` header or the `Content-Security-Policy` header is used.

`document-uri`

The URI of the document in which the violation occurred.

`effective-directive`

The directive whose enforcement caused the violation. Some browsers may provide different values, such as Chrome providing `style-src-elem` / `style-src-attr`, even when the actually enforced directive was `style-src`.

`original-policy`

The original policy as specified by the `Content-Security-Policy` HTTP header.

`referrer`

The referrer of the document in which the violation occurred.

`script-sample`

The first 40 characters of the inline script, event handler, or style that caused the violation. Only applicable to `script-src*` and `style-src*` violations, when they contain the `'report-sample'`

`status-code`

The HTTP status code of the resource on which the global object was instantiated.

violated-directive

The name of the policy section that was violated.

Sample violation report

Let's consider a page located at `http://example.com/signup.html` . It uses the following policy, disallowing everything but stylesheets from `cdn.example.com` .

Content-Security-Policy: default-src 'none'; style-src cdn.example.com; report-uri `_/csp-reports`

The HTML of `signup.html` looks like this:

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html>
  <head>
    <title>Sign Up</title>
    <link rel="stylesheet" href="css/style.css">
  </head>
  <body>
    ... Content ...
  </body>
</html>
```

Can you spot the mistake? Stylesheets are allowed to be loaded only from `cdn.example.com` , yet the website tries to load one from its own origin (`http://example.com`). A browser capable of enforcing CSP would send the following violation report as a POST request to `http://example.com/_/csp-reports` , when the document is visited:

```
{
  "csp-report": {
    "document-uri": "http://example.com/signup.html",
    "referrer": "",
    "blocked-uri": "http://example.com/css/style.css",
    "violated-directive": "style-src cdn.example.com",
    "original-policy": "default-src 'none'; style-src cdn.example.com; report-uri _/csp-reports"
  }
}
```

As you can see, the report includes the full path to the violating resource in `blocked-uri` . This is not always the case. For example, if the `signup.html` attempted to load CSS from `http://anothercdn.example.com/styleSheet.css` , the browser would *not* include the full path, but only the origin (`http://anothercdn.example.com`). The CSP specification [gives an explanation](#) of this odd behavior. In summary, this is done to prevent leaking sensitive information about cross-origin resources.

Browser compatibility

[Report problems with this compatibility data on GitHub](#)

	Chrome	Edge	Firefox	Internet Explorer	Opera	Safari	WebView Android		
Content-Security-Policy	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet Explorer10	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	Chrome
Content-Security-Policy.base-uri	Chrome40	Edge79	Firefox35	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera27	Safari10	WebView Android	Yes	Chrome

	Chrome	Edge	Firefox	Internet Explorer	Opera	Safari	WebView Android		
<u>Content-Security-Policy.block-all-mixed-content</u>	ChromeYes	Edge79	Firefox48	Internet ExplorerNo	OperaYes	Safari?	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.child-src</u>	Chrome40	Edge15	Firefox45	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera27	Safari10	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.connect-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.default-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.font-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.form-action</u>	Chrome40	Edge15	Firefox36	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera27	Safari10	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.frame-ancestors</u>	Chrome40	Edge15	Firefox33	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera26	Safari10	WebView Android	?	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.frame-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.img-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.manifest-src</u>	ChromeYes	Edge79	Firefox41	Internet ExplorerNo	OperaYes	SafariNo	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.media-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<meta> element support	ChromeYes	Edge18	Firefox45	Internet ExplorerNo	OperaYes	SafariYes	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.navigate-to</u>	ChromeNo	EdgeNo	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	OperaNo	SafariNo	WebView Android	No	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.object-src</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.plugin-types</u>	Chrome40–90	Edge15–90	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera27–76	Safari10	WebView Android	?–90	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.prefetch-src</u>	ChromeNo	EdgeNo	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	OperaNo	SafariNo	WebView Android	No	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.referrer</u>	Chrome33–56	EdgeNo	Firefox37–62	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera?–43	SafariNo	WebView Android	4.4.3–56	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.report-sample	Chrome59	Edge79	Firefox?	Internet Explorer?	Opera46	Safari15.4	WebView Android	59	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.report-to</u>	Chrome70	Edge79	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	OperaNo	SafariNo	WebView Android	70	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.report-uri</u>	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
<u>Content-Security-Policy.require-sri-for</u>	Chrome54	Edge79	Firefox49–68	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera41	SafariNo	WebView Android	54	ChromeAndroid

	Chrome	Edge	Firefox	Internet Explorer	Opera	Safari	WebView Android		
Content-Security-Policy.require-trusted-types-for	Chrome83	Edge83	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera69	SafariNo	WebView Android	83	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.sandbox	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox50	Internet Explorer10	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.script-src	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
With external scripts	Chrome59	Edge79	Firefox?	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera?	Safari?	WebView Android	59	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.script-src-attr	Chrome75	Edge79	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera62	SafariTP	WebView Android	75	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.script-src-elem	Chrome75	Edge79	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera62	SafariTP	WebView Android	75	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.strict-dynamic	Chrome52	Edge79	Firefox52	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera39	Safari15.4	WebView Android	52	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.style-src	Chrome25	Edge14	Firefox23	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera15	Safari7	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.style-src-attr	Chrome75	Edge79	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera62	SafariTP	WebView Android	75	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.style-src-elem	Chrome75	Edge79	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera62	SafariTP	WebView Android	75	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.trusted-types	Chrome83	Edge83	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera69	SafariNo	WebView Android	83	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.unsafe-hashe	Chrome69	Edge79	FirefoxNo	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera56	Safari15.4	WebView Android	69	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.upgrade-insecure-requests	Chrome43	Edge17	Firefox42	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera30	Safari10.1	WebView Android	43	ChromeAndroid
Worker support	ChromeYes	Edge79	Firefox50	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera?	Safari10	WebView Android	Yes	ChromeAndroid
Content-Security-Policy.worker-src	Chrome59	Edge79	Firefox58	Internet ExplorerNo	Opera48	Safari15.5	WebView Android	59	ChromeAndroid
<div> <div>Full support</div> <div>In development. Supported in a pre-release version.</div> <div>No support</div> <div>Compatibility unknown</div> <div>Experimental. Expect behavior to change in the future.</div> <div>Non-standard. Check cross-browser support before using.</div> <div>Deprecated. Not for use in new websites.</div> <div>See implementation notes.</div> <div>User must explicitly enable this feature.</div> <div>Uses a non-standard name.</div> </div>									

A specific incompatibility exists in some versions of the Safari web browser, whereby if a Content Security Policy header is set, but not a Same Origin header, the browser will block self-hosted content and off-site content, and incorrectly report that this is due to the Content Security Policy not allowing the content.

See also

- [Content-Security-Policy](#) HTTP Header
- [Content-Security-Policy-Report-Only](#) HTTP Header

- [Content Security in WebExtensions](#)
- [CSP in Web Workers](#)
- [Privacy, permissions, and information security](#)
- [CSP Evaluator](#) - Evaluate your Content Security Policy
- [CSP Scanner](#) - Improve your Content Security Policy

Last modified: Apr 27, 2022, [by MDN contributors](#)