Notes in ECEN 5448

Zahary Vogel

December 2, 2015

1 Detectability

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$
$$y = Cx$$

 $\exists H_{n \times q} \text{ such that } (A - HC) \text{ is Hurwitz}$

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = (A\hat{x} + Bu) + H(y - \hat{y})$$
$$\hat{y} = C\hat{x}$$
$$\dot{e} = (x - \hat{x}) = (A - HC)e$$

If H is such that (A - HC) is Hurwitz then $e \to 0$.

1.1 Effect of Distrubance

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Ld$$
$$y = Cx + n$$

observer

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + H(y - \hat{y})$$

$$\hat{y} = C\hat{x}$$

$$\dot{e} = (x - \hat{x}) = Ax + Bu + Ld - (A\hat{x} + Bu + H(C(x - \hat{x})) + n)$$

$$= (A - HC)e + Ld - Hn$$

No matter the disturbance you have, the effect of the noise on the error is finite. (Paraphrase) Since (A-HC) is Hurwitz, the effect of the disturbances on the observer is finite (i.e. the Ln norm between the disturbance and state is finite).

1.2 Output stabilizable

Def: For $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$, y = Cx is output stabilizable if $\exists K$; if we let u = Ky, then the closed-loop system is stable.

So we have a feedback gain matrix K.

$$\dot{x} = Ax + BCKx = (A + BCK)x$$

Let, $u = \gamma y$ cause 1-D K.

$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \gamma & 0 \end{pmatrix} x$$
$$\dot{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} x$$

$$C(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - \gamma$$
$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\gamma}}{2}$$

which means one of the eigenvalues must be in the RHP, meaning it can't be Hurwitz.

To output stabilize the dynamics:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$y = Cx$$

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + H(y - \hat{y})$$

$$\hat{y} = C\hat{x}$$

$$u = -K\hat{x}$$

So that (A - BK) is Hurwitz. Then, we get:

$$\dot{x} = Ax - BK\hat{x}$$

$$\dot{\hat{x}} = (A - BK - HC)\hat{x} + HCx$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \hat{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -BK \\ HC & A - BK - HC \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \hat{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

rename part of the state:

$$e = x - \hat{x}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \hat{x} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x \\ e \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ I & -I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \hat{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{x} = Ax - BK(x - e) = (A - BK)x + BKe$$

$$\dot{e} = (\hat{x} - \hat{x}) = Ae + HCe = (A + HC)e$$

$$\dot{\begin{pmatrix} x \\ e \end{pmatrix}} = \begin{pmatrix} A - BK & BK \\ 0 & A + HC \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ e \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, call the block matrix \tilde{A} and the eigenvalues of \tilde{A} are the union of the eigenvalues of A - BK and A + HC (why?).

1.3 Realization

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$
$$y = Cx$$

Time-dominain transfer function: $H(\tau) = Ce^{A\tau}B$. Frequency-domain transfer function: $H(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B$.

A realization of a transfer function $H(\tau)$ is a linear system.

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$
$$y = Cx$$

such that $H(\tau) = Ce^{A\tau}B$. Note that realization is not unique. Minimal REalization: We say that the realization (A,B,C) of $H(\tau)$ is minimal if \forall realization $(\bar{A},\bar{B},\bar{C})$ of $H(\tau)$,

$$\dim(A) \le \dim(\bar{A})$$

Fact: A realization (A, B, C) is minimal iff (A, B) is controllable and (A, C) is observable.

PROOF: (A, B, C) minimal \implies (A, B) controllable, (A, C) observable.

If (A, B) is not controllable, we can transform them into:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_c & A_{cu} \\ 0 & A_u \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} B_c \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, (C_c C_{cu})$$

IN this case, you can show that:

$$Ce^{A\tau}B = C_c e^{A_c \tau} B_c$$

 $\implies (A_c, B_c, C_c)$ is a realization of smaller dimension. same thing for observability.

Now, for the \leftarrow . So we have observability and controllability, let us show that the realization is minimal.

$$Ce^{A(t-\tau)}B = \tilde{C}e^{\tilde{A}(t-\tau)}\tilde{B}$$

multiply from the left by $e^{A^T t} C^T$ will give:

$$\int_0^T e^{A^Tt} C^T C e^{At} dt e^{-A\tau} B = \int_0^T e^{A^Tt} C^T \tilde{C} e^{\tilde{A}t} dt e^{-\tilde{A}\tau} \tilde{B}$$

The integral term is called M.

$$= W_O(0, T)e^{-A\tau}B = Me^{-\tilde{A}\tau}\tilde{B}$$

now multiply from the right by $B^T e^{-A^T \tau}$ gives:

$$W_O(0,T) \int_{-T}^0 e^{-A\tau} B B^T e^{-A^T \tau} d\tau$$

$$= M \int_{-T}^{0} e^{-\tilde{A}\tau} \tilde{B} B^{T} e^{-A^{T}\tau} d\tau$$

call the integral N to get:

$$\implies W_O(0,T)W_C(0,T) = WN$$

these two matrices must be invertible, so LHS is invertible, so MN should be invertible. Note that if n is the dimension of A and \tilde{n} is the dimension of \tilde{A} .

then $M_{n \times \tilde{n}}$ and $N_{\tilde{n} \times n}$, so $\tilde{n} \geq n$ because of matrix dimensions.

2 next time

talk a little bit about linear quadratic regulators, touching on optimal control.

3 Notes

the K function mapping y to u is not linear in general, your notes will say otherwise.