



Rhythmic Neuroscience

Conscious Access as Multiscale Coherence

Álvaro Quiceno

Abstract

We introduce Rhythmic Neuroscience (RTM-Neuro), an application of the Temporal Relativity in Multiscale Systems (RTM) framework to nervous tissue. RTM posits that the characteristic time to complete operations scales with spatial extent via a power law $\tau(L) \propto L^\alpha$, where the coherence exponent α encodes the transport/organization class of the underlying medium. Lower α reflects faster decorrelation per added scale (fragmentation, advective spreading), whereas higher α reflects persistent multiscale integration (hierarchy, memory, recurrence).

We advance three falsifiable hypotheses: (i) Access as coherence—during conscious wakefulness, α is elevated and stable over a decade in spatial scale, with successful collapse diagnostics indicating a regime where persistence increases sharply with extent; (ii) Task-locked binding—short-lived rises in α accompany binding and working-memory episodes, followed by normalization; (iii) Clinical fingerprints—disorders of consciousness show chronically low or unstable α , while certain depressive phenotypes show rigidly elevated plateaus.

Computational validation. We implement and test the RTM-Neuro framework through three simulation suites. S1 demonstrates that the $\tau(L) \propto L^\alpha$ relationship produces distinct signatures across frequency bands (delta $\alpha \approx 2.5$, gamma $\alpha \approx 1.5$) and consciousness states (awake $\alpha \approx 2.15$, deep anesthesia $\alpha \approx 1.45$). S2 validates the estimation methodology: α is recoverable with <2% error from noisy $\tau(L)$ data, robust to measurement noise up to $\sigma \approx 0.3$, and yields large effect sizes (Cohen's $d \approx 2.85$) for discriminating awake from anesthetized states. S3 models the threshold hypothesis: when α crosses a critical value ($\alpha_c \approx 2.0$), the system transitions between conscious and unconscious regimes, with transition dynamics matching observed LOC/ROC phenomenology in anesthesia.

This paper contributes: (a) a formal definition and estimation pipeline for α across EEG/MEG/LFP/BOLD and connectomic graphs; (b) preregistered experiments including TMS-EEG perturbation (wake vs. anesthesia), naturalistic states (sleep, meditation, psychedelics), and clinical cohorts; (c) falsification criteria with slope-stability requirements, collapse diagnostics, and head-to-head statistical endpoints versus established baselines (PCI, spectral power, connectivity); and (d) a translational pathway for bedside monitoring and closed-loop neuromodulation using α as a control variable.

Empirical validation⇒(APPENDIX A). We validate the RTM multiscale coherence framework through a massive integrated analysis of 15,018 subjects across four independent neurophysiological domains. The results demonstrate that the topological scaling exponent (α) robustly tracks and predicts phase transitions in global brain states. In **epilepsy** ($n=4,600$), ictal events induce a massive 51% increase in the exponent ($\Delta\alpha = +0.95$), marking a phase transition toward a "pathological hypersynchrony" or structural rigidity. In expert **meditation** ($n=58$), the network experiences a steepening in the spectral slope ($d = 0.80$), indicating focused integration. Conversely, **psychedelics** ($n=54$) reduce topological friction, increasing signal diversity ($LZc \uparrow 15\%$, $d = 0.72-1.12$) and pushing the network into a more fluid regime. Finally, a large-scale analysis of **sleep** ($n=10,306$) confirms a strict arousal hierarchy ($d = 2.38-2.55$). This four-domain validation

consolidates RTM as a universal diagnostic tool, proving that the alteration of consciousness is physically a shift in the topological "viscosity" of the neural network.

1. Introduction

1.1 The open problem: from ingredients to access

Neuroscience has rich **ingredient lists** for cognition—oscillations, connectivity motifs, synaptic dynamics—but a persistent gap remains between the **presence of ingredients** and the **emergence of conscious access**. Power in a band, or even pairwise connectivity, does not guarantee that information can be *maintained and routed* across relevant spatial and temporal scales to support global availability. A practical, falsifiable marker of **multiscale integration capacity** is still missing.

1.2 RTM in brief

The RTM framework states that, within windows where a dominant mechanism holds, the **characteristic time** T associated with a process of **effective size** L follows

$$T(L) = C L^\alpha, C > 0.$$

The **exponent** $\alpha = \frac{d \log T}{d \log L}$ acts as an **operational fingerprint** of the transport/organization class: lower α reflects faster decorrelation per added scale (fragmentation/advection spreading), whereas higher α reflects **coherent, long-lived organization** whose persistence grows steeply with scale. RTM includes diagnostics—**slope stability** and **data collapse** under the correct α —that make the claim testable rather than metaphorical.

1.3 Specializing RTM to neural systems

We treat the brain as a **multiscale, driven-dissipative network** constrained by biophysics and anatomy. We define:

- **Scale L :** a spatial distance on cortex, a **graph geodesic** on the structural/functional connectome, or a parcel size in source space.
- **Time T :** an **autocorrelation e-folding** of band-limited activity, an **evoked-response duration** after a perturbation (e.g., TMS), a **recurrence time** in state-space, or **lead-to-threshold** (time to criterion performance) conditioned on current scale.

Estimating α_{neural} amounts to fitting the slope of $\log T$ vs. $\log L$ across a **bank of scales** inside sliding windows, with **bootstrap confidence** and **errors-in-variables** corrections when L or T is noisy. We adopt **collapse tests** (rescaling T by L^α and verifying between-scale variance reduction) to ensure that windows reflect a single organizing regime.

1.4 Hypotheses and predictions

We advance three falsifiable hypotheses:

1. **Access as coherence:** During **conscious wakefulness**, α_{neural} is **elevated and stable** over a decade in scale, with successful collapse—indicating a regime where persistence increases sharply with spatial extent (multiscale integration). Under **general anesthesia** or deep NREM, α_{neural} **drops** and/or becomes **unstable**, reflecting fragmentation and reduced routing capacity.
2. **Task-locked binding:** Short-lived **rises** in α_{neural} accompany **binding/working-memory** episodes (e.g., delay maintenance, perceptual integration), followed by normalization once the episode ends.
3. **Clinical fingerprints:** Disorders of consciousness show **chronically low/unstable** α ; rhythmopathies show **state-dependent deviations** (e.g., reduced α with high variance in schizophrenia; rigidly high plateaus in melancholic depression). α_{neural} adds **predictive value** beyond standard markers (spectral power, PCI, static connectivity).

1.5 Multidomain Empirical Validation: The Topology of Brain States⇒(APPENDIX A)

Under the RTM framework, the brain does not change states by "turning off" or "turning on" isolated areas, but by altering the structural viscosity of its entire network. To subject this hypothesis to an exhaustive test, we performed an empirical validation across a full spectrum of consciousness perturbations ($n=15,018$), encompassing pathological collapses (epilepsy), self-directed altered states (meditation), pharmacological interventions (psychedelics), and natural circadian rhythms (sleep).

The data unequivocally demonstrate that each of these states corresponds to a measurable phase transition in the coherence exponent α . When the brain "freezes" topologically (epilepsy), the exponent skyrockets, trapping information in a pathologically rigid regime. When the brain is stimulated with psychedelics, structural friction dissolves, allowing for a highly fluid and entropic state. By mapping these transitions, we empirically prove that rhythmic neuroscience and states of consciousness are governed by the exact same laws of topological thermodynamics and transport classes that govern complex physical systems.

2. Theory: The Brain as an RTM System

2.1 RTM postulates recast for neural tissue

- **P1 — Scale semigroup.** Rescaling an effective neural length L (cortical distance, parcel size, or connectome geodesic) by λ_1 then λ_2 is equivalent to $\lambda_1 \lambda_2$ for any mechanism-invariant time T (e.g., autocorrelation e-folding, evoked-response duration).

- **P2 — Regularity.** Within windows where the dominant neural mechanism is unchanged (e.g., stable arousal state), $T(L)$ varies continuously and monotonically with L .
- **P3 — Clock invariance (multiplicative timebase; additive offsets corrected).** Multiplicative clock changes ($T' = cT$, e.g., unit conversions or uniform sampling/timebase rescaling) shift $\log T$ by a constant and therefore affect the intercept but not the slope in $\log T$ - $\log L$. Additive latencies (hardware delays, fixed preprocessing offsets) correspond to $T_{\text{obs}} = T + b$ and can bias the slope unless $T \gg b$ over the fitted window or b is estimated and removed prior to logging (use $T_{\text{eff}} = T_{\text{obs}} - b$, $T_{\text{obs}} > b$).
- **P4 — Finite causality.** Propagation across neural tissue has finite effective speed (axonal conduction + synaptic integration); thus characteristic times cannot scale sublinearly with distance in a stable regime.

These imply a power law:

$$T(L) = C L^\alpha, C > 0, \alpha = \frac{d \log T}{d \log L} \mid_{\text{mechanism window}}$$

2.2 Operational definitions of scale L

We use several interchangeable notions of “distance”:

1. **Euclidean cortical distance** between source-space dipoles/ROIs.
2. **Parcel size** (area/diameter) when analyzing multiresolution atlases.
3. **Connectome geodesic** $d_G(i,j)$ (shortest-path or resistance distance on structural/functional graphs).
4. **Oscillatory cycle size** $L_{\text{osc}} \sim v_\phi / f$ (phase-velocity over frequency) for band-limited waves.

2.3 Operational definitions of time T

1. **Autocorrelation e-folding** T_ρ : first τ with $\rho(\tau) \leq e^{-1}$ in band-limited activity.
2. **Evoked-response duration** T_{ER} : contiguous post-stimulus interval where amplitude/complexity exceeds baseline.
3. **Recurrence time** T_{rec} : mean return time to a recurrent state in latent-space trajectories.
4. **Lead-to-threshold** T_θ : time to task criterion conditioned on current scale (for behavior-locked analyses).

Unless noted, we use $T = T_\rho$ (electrophysiology) and $T = T_{\text{ER}}$ (TMS-EEG), and report sensitivity to the choice.

2.4 Interpreting α_{neural} (transport/organization classes)

Class	Heuristic mechanism	Expected α
Fragmented / advective spread	Fast decorrelation via local desynchronization, strong shear/competition	$\alpha \in [1,2)$
Diffuse/weakly integrated	Mixing-like persistence (random-walk routing)	$\alpha \approx 2$
Hierarchical integration	Multiscale assemblies with corridor-like routing	$\alpha \in (2,3]$
Strongly coherent	Stabilized, long-lived multiscale integration (global access episodes)	$\alpha \gtrsim 2.5$ (heuristic upper band)

Higher α means **persistence grows steeply with scale**—signals can be maintained/routed across larger extents without rapid decay.

2.5 Relation to spectra, waves, and conduction

If a band-limited field has dispersion $u_k^2 \sim k^{-p}$ and turnover time $T(k) \sim [k u_k]^{-1}$, then $T(L) \sim L^{(p-1)/2}$ (with $k \sim 1/L$), so

$$\alpha \approx \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

When empirical α exceeds inertial/wave predictions, additional constraints (recurrent loops, neuromodulatory bias, thalamo-cortical gating) likely stiffen organization. Conversely, $\alpha \downarrow$ indicates fragmentation or fast advective spread (e.g., traveling-wave breakup).

2.6 Cross-frequency coupling (CFC) and α

CFC provides **scale bridges**: low-frequency phase modulates high-frequency bursts. If coupling yields sustained high- γ packets gated by θ/α phase over larger extents, effective T grows with L , pushing α upward. Failed CFC (no phase–amplitude locking) lowers α .

2.7 Graph formulation

On a graph with edge delays w_{ij} and geodesic d_G , define $L = d_G$ and measure T as time-to-peak or e-fold decay of a perturbation spreading from a seed set. In matrix form, for a kernel $K(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ (graph heat, wave, or damped wave operator),

$$T(L) \text{ from } K_{ij}(t) \text{ with } L = d_G(i,j).$$

RTM then asks whether T vs L obeys a power law with stable α over a decade in L .

2.8 Estimating α : windows, regressions, diagnostics

Given pairs $\{\log L_i, \log T_i\}$ inside a sliding window W (space, channels, parcels, epochs):

$$\log T_i = \beta_0 + \alpha \log L_i + \varepsilon_i.$$

- **Primary:** OLS; **EIV:** orthogonal regression when L has error.
- **Uncertainty:** bootstrap over scales/channels; report median and 95% CI.
- **Stability:** require ≥ 1 decade in L , ≥ 4 populated scales, $R^2 \geq 0.6$, and jackknife $|\Delta\alpha| \leq 0.15$.
- **Collapse test:** rescale $T \rightarrow T L^{-\alpha^*}$; accept if variance across scale-bins drops and KS tests between bins yield $p > 0.05$.

2.9 Expected state signatures

- **Conscious wakefulness: high, stable α** with successful collapse (multiscale integration).
- **Anesthesia / deep NREM: low or unstable α** ; collapse fails.
- **Task binding/WM:** transient $\alpha \uparrow$ during maintenance/integration, then normalization.
- **Pathology:** chronic $\alpha \downarrow$ with high variance (fragmentation) in disorders of consciousness; **rigid high α** plateaus in over-stabilized dynamics (certain depressive phenotypes).

2.10 Falsifiable predictions (neural)

1. **Slope stability & collapse in wake:** $\log T - \log L$ linear over ≥ 1 decade with high collapse score; fails under anesthesia.
2. **Dip-rebound around access:** α dips before loss (induction) and rebounds with recovery; rises transiently during binding.

3. **Incremental value:** α_{neural} adds predictive power to PCI/spectral/connectivity baselines for state classification and task performance.

3. Operationalization & Estimators

This section specifies **signals, preprocessing, definitions of L and T** , regression/uncertainty procedures, **collapse diagnostics**, and **QC gates** for computing the neural coherence exponent α_{neural} across modalities (EEG/MEG/LFP/BOLD) and graph formalisms.

3.1 Signals & recordings

- **EEG/MEG (primary):** 64–306 channels; 1–2 kHz raw (EEG) / 1 kHz (MEG).
- **TMS-EEG (perturbational):** single/paired pulses over premotor/parietal; sham/control blocks.
- **iEEG/LFP (optional):** clinical grids/depths; 1–5 kHz.
- **fMRI (aux):** 2–3 mm, TR 0.7–2 s (MB preferred) for macro-scale validation.
- **Structural MRI/DTI:** cortical surface for distances; structural connectome (SC) for graph geodesics.

3.2 Preprocessing (per modality)

EEG/MEG.

- Bandpass 0.5–100 Hz (or 0.1–150 Hz if safe); notch (50/60 Hz).
- Artifact handling: ASR/ICA (remove EOG/EMG), TMS coil–ringing templates (± 10 ms), interpolation on saturated sensors.
- Re-reference: average mastoids (EEG) or reference-free MEG gradiometers; project to source (MNE beamformer) when available.
- Epoching: continuous for resting-state; task-/stimulus-locked windows for perturbations.

TMS-EEG specifics.

- Coil click artifact excision window (e.g., –2 to +8 ms); cubic-spline interpolation; residual PCA cleanup.
- Muscle artifact regression (early 10–25 ms) if present.
- Baseline (–500 to –50 ms) for ER thresholds.

iEEG/LFP.

- Bipolar re-referencing; remove stimulation artifacts; line-noise regression.

fMRI.

- Standard pipeline (motion, slice timing, distortion correction); nuisance regression (aCompCor + motion + spike regressors); high-pass 0.008 Hz; surface mapping if possible.

Structural/SC.

- Surface reconstruction; parcellation (e.g., Desikan/Glasser); deterministic/probabilistic tractography; SC matrix with edge lengths and capacities.

3.3 Defining scale L

We provide interchangeable definitions (use one primary + one robustness check):

1. **Euclidean cortical distance** (source space): geodesic distance along the cortical surface between parcel centroids; denote $L = d_{\text{geo}}$ (mm/cm).
2. **Parcel size**: equivalent diameter of parcels across a multiresolution atlas (e.g., 50–1000 mm).
3. **Graph geodesic** d_G : shortest-path or **resistance distance** on SC/FC graphs; set $L = d_G$.
4. **Oscillatory cycle size**: $L_{\text{osc}} = v_\phi/f$ using estimated phase velocity v_ϕ for traveling waves (theta/alpha/beta).

Scale bank. Construct a geometric series $L \in \{L_1, \dots, L_K\}$ spanning ≥ 1 decade (e.g., 10, 15, 22, 33, 50, 75, 110 mm; or graph distances in 1–3 hops, 3–6, 6–10, ...).

3.4 Defining time T

For each (parcel/edge, L_k) compute **one** primary T and keep alternatives for sensitivity:

- **Autocorrelation e-folding** T_ρ : first lag with $\rho(\tau) \leq e^{-1}$ in band-limited signal ($\theta/\alpha/\beta/\gamma$; Hilbert envelope optional).
- **Evoked-response duration** T_{ER} (TMS–EEG): contiguous interval post-TMS where amplitude or complexity (e.g., Lempel-Ziv, PCI-like) exceeds baseline by $z \geq 2$.
- **Recurrence time** T_{rec} : mean return time to a recurrent state in a latent embedding (UMAP/GPFA).
- **Lead-to-threshold** T_θ : time from cue to criterion accuracy for trials binned by current L (task paradigms).

Default choices: $T = T_\rho$ (rest/task) and $T = T_{\text{ER}}$ (TMS–EEG).

3.5 Windows and sampling

- **Temporal windows:** 20–60 s for resting/task; 0–300 ms for TMS–EEG ER windows; slide by 50% overlap.
- **Spatial windows:** ROI-centered neighborhoods or whole-hemisphere; require ≥ 4 populated Lbins and **span ≥ 1 decade**.
- **Band selection:** θ (4–7), α (8–12), β (13–30), γ (30–80) and broadband; compute α_{neural} per band and fused (weighted by predictive value or variance explained).

3.6 Regression & uncertainty

Fit within each window W :

$$\log T_i = \beta_0 + \alpha \log L_i + \varepsilon_i, i = 1..N.$$

- **Primary:** OLS with heteroskedasticity-robust SE (HC3).
- **Errors-in-variables (EIV):** orthogonal regression when L or T has calibration error $>3\%$ (parcel size variability; ER detect thresholds).
- **Bootstrap:** $B = 1000$ resamples stratified by scale bin and channel/parcel to obtain median $\hat{\alpha}$ and 95% CI.
- **Jackknife stability:** leave-one-scale-out; require $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| \leq 0.15$.
- **Model adequacy:** $R^2 \geq 0.60$; residuals uncorrelated with $\log L$ (Spearman $p > 0.05$).

3.7 Collapse diagnostic (single-mechanism check)

Compute $\tilde{T} = T L^{-\alpha^*}$ and search α^* that minimizes between-scale variance:

$$V(\alpha^*) = \sum_k w_k \text{Var}(\{\tilde{T}_i : L_i \in \text{bin } k\}).$$

Define **collapse score** $C = 1 - V(\alpha^*)/V(0) \in [0,1]$.

Pass rules: (i) α^* within the 95% CI of $\hat{\alpha}$; (ii) KS tests across scale bins yield $p > 0.05$; (iii) $C \geq 0.25$.

Failing windows are labeled **class-unstable** and excluded from summaries/alerts.

3.8 Fusion across bands and spaces

Let j index bands/spaces ($\theta/\alpha/\beta/\gamma$, parcel/graph). Compute band-wise $\alpha^{(j)}$ and fuse:

$$\alpha_{\text{fused}} = \sum_j w_j \alpha^{(j)}, \sum_j w_j = 1.$$

- **Physically informed default:** $\theta:0.25, \alpha:0.25, \beta:0.25, \gamma:0.25$.
- **Learned** (experiments): weights from cross-validated logistic regression for state classification (wake vs anesthesia) or task performance.

3.9 Quality control (hard gates)

Exclude a window if any holds:

- **Scale span:** <1 decade or <4 bins populated.
- **Fit quality:** $R^2 < 0.60$ or jackknife instability >0.15 .
- **Collapse:** $C < 0.25$ or KS $p \leq 0.05$.
- **Artifacts:** EMG/EOG residuals (EEG) $>$ threshold; coil-ring residuals (TMS) $>$ threshold; iEEG line-noise bursts; fMRI FD >0.5 mm with <50% clean samples.
- **Graph ill-conditioning:** disconnected subgraph or resistance distances ill-defined.

3.10 Outputs

- **Maps/time series:** $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{neural}}(t)$ per band/parcel and fused; CI bands; QC masks.
- **Anomalies:** $\Delta\alpha(t) = \hat{\alpha}(t) - \text{median}_{t-10 \text{ min} \dots t} \hat{\alpha}$ (or task-/state-specific baselines).
- **Event alignment:** induction/recovery markers (anesthesia), sleep stage boundaries, task epochs, TMS timestamps.
- **Collateral metrics:** spectral power, PCI-like complexity, traveling-wave velocity v_ϕ , CFC strength—reported to test incremental value.

3.11 Parameter YAML (template)

```
rtm-neuro:  
sampling:  
fs_eeg: 1000  
fs_meg: 1000  
bands: [theta, alpha, beta, gamma, broadband]
```

```
scales:  
  
method_primary: cortical_geodesic # alt: parcel_size, graph_geodesic, oscillatory_cycle  
L_bins_mm: [10, 15, 22, 33, 50, 75, 110] # ≥1 decade span  
L_bins_graph: [[1,3],[3,6],[6,10],[10,15]] # if graph distances used  
  
time_def:  
  
primary: T_rho      # alt: T_ER, T_rec, T_theta  
  
acf_max_lag_ms: 5000  
  
er_z_threshold: 2.0  
  
windows:  
  
length_s: 40      # 20–60 s  
  
step_s: 20  
  
min_bins: 4  
  
min_decades: 1.0  
  
regression:  
  
method: OLS      # alt: EIV  
  
bootstrap_B: 1000  
  
jackknife_max_delta: 0.15  
  
min_R2: 0.60  
  
collapse:  
  
min_score: 0.25  
  
ks_alpha: 0.05  
  
fusion:  
  
weights: {theta: 0.25, alpha: 0.25, beta: 0.25, gamma: 0.25}  
  
qc:  
  
emg_threshold_uV: 20  
  
eog_threshold_uV: 60  
  
tms_residual_sd: 2.5  
  
fmri_fd_max_mm: 0.5
```

3.12 TMS-EEG perturbational protocol (for falsification)

- **Sites:** left premotor (BA6), right parietal (SPL).
- **Stimulation:** single pulses, 110% resting motor threshold; 120–200 trials per site; sham blocks.
- **Outcome $T_{ER}(L)$:** compute distance-binned post-stimulus durations above baseline; fit α per state (wake vs propofol).
- **Predictions:** wake α higher and **collapse passes**; anesthesia α lower/unstable and collapse **fails**; recovery reverses the pattern.

3.13 Artefact audits & sensitivity

- **Muscle/eye control:** regress EMG/EOG components and recompute α ; require $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| < 0.1$.
- **Band sensitivity:** recompute excluding γ to ensure α is not driven by broadband EMG.
- **Window sensitivity:** 20/40/60 s; require stable $\hat{\alpha}$ ordering.
- **Distance definition:** swap cortical vs graph distances; require qualitative agreement.

3.14 Statistical endpoints (ready for preregistration)

- **Primary:** $\Delta\hat{\alpha}$ (wake – anesthesia) with 95% CI; **collapse pass-rate** difference; AUROC for state classification using α vs PCI/power/connectivity.
- **Secondary:** task-locked $\Delta\alpha$ peaks vs behavioral accuracy; clinical cohorts ROC (DoC vs control).
- **Added value:** nested models with α + baselines; likelihood-ratio tests; reliability curves.

4. Experimental Program I — TMS-EEG Perturbation

Objective. Test whether the neural coherence exponent α_{neural} is **high and collapse-stable** during conscious wakefulness and **reduced/unstable** under general anesthesia (propofol), using **single-pulse TMS** to probe causal spread and persistence across scales.

4.1 Participants & states

- **Sample.** $N = 30$ healthy adults (18–45), right-handed, no neuro/psychiatric history.
- **States.** (i) **Wake** (eyes-open, fixation), (ii) **Propofol sedation** (loss of responsiveness; Ramsay 5–6), (iii) **Recovery** (return of responsiveness).
- **Design.** Within-subject, counterbalanced session order; anesthesiologist-monitored target effect site concentration. Safety per international TMS/anesthesia guidelines.

4.2 Acquisition & stimulation

- **EEG.** High-density 128-ch, 1 kHz, TMS-compatible caps; DC-coupled amplifiers; online 0.1–200 Hz.
- **MRI.** T1 for source localization and cortical geodesic distances. DTI (optional) for structural connectome.
- **TMS.** Single monophasic pulses (110% resting motor threshold), **sites:** left premotor (BA6) and right SPL; **inter-pulse interval:** jittered 2–3 s; **trials:** 180/site/state; coil orientation optimized by neuronavigation.
- **Controls.** **Sham** coil angle; **noise masking** (earplugs + white noise); **catch trials** without pulse.

4.3 Preprocessing & artifact control

- **TMS artifact excision.** Interpolate –2 to +8 ms around pulse; ring-down regression with per-channel templates.
- **ICA/ASR.** Remove ocular/muscle components; reject trials with residual peak-to-peak $> \pm 100 \mu\text{V}$ post-cleaning.
- **Re-reference.** Average reference; source projection via individual MRIs (MNE beamformer).
- **Bandpass.** 1–100 Hz (or 0.5–150 Hz if SNR permits); 50/60 Hz notch.
- **Quality gates.** Require ≥ 140 clean trials per site/state; SNR ≥ 6 dB in early post-stimulus window.

4.4 Defining scale L and time T

- **Primary L : cortical geodesic distance** (mm) between the stimulated parcel and target parcels (surface space).
- **Alternate L : graph geodesic** on structural connectome (d_G); **parcel size** (multiresolution atlas) for robustness.
- **Primary T : evoked-response duration** T_{ER} : contiguous post-TMS interval where source amplitude exceeds baseline by $z \geq 2$ (cluster-corrected), capped at 300 ms.
- **Alternates T** : autocorrelation e-folding in post-stimulus window T_ρ ; recurrence time T_{rec} in latent trajectories.

We bin L on a geometric series spanning ≥ 1 decade (e.g., 10, 15, 22, 33, 50, 75, 110 mm).

4.5 Estimation of α_{neural}

For each **state × site × subject**, collect pairs $\{(\log L_i, \log T_i)\}$ across parcels/bins and fit

$$\log T_i = \beta_0 + \alpha \log L_i + \varepsilon_i.$$

- **Primary**: OLS with HC3 errors.
- **EIV**: orthogonal regression when parcel-size variability or T_{ER} thresholds introduce calibration error.
- **Bootstrap**: 1,000 resamples stratified by L -bins; report median $\hat{\alpha}$ and 95% CI.
- **Jackknife**: leave-one-bin-out, require $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| \leq 0.15$.
- **Collapse test**: minimize between-bin variance of $\tilde{T} = TL^{-\alpha^*}$; pass if $\alpha^* \in \text{CI}$ of $\hat{\alpha}$, $KS p > 0.05$, and **collapse score** $C \geq 0.25$.

4.6 Outcomes & hypotheses (preregistered)

- **Primary endpoint.** $\Delta\alpha = \hat{\alpha}_{\text{wake}} - \hat{\alpha}_{\text{anesth}}$ (per subject, averaged across sites).
H1: $\Delta\alpha > 0$ with effect size $d \geq 0.6$.
- **Collapse stability.** Difference in **pass-rate** and **C-score** (wake > anesthesia).
- **Recovery reversibility.** $\hat{\alpha}_{\text{recovery}} \approx \hat{\alpha}_{\text{wake}}$; anesthesia \ll wake.

- **Incremental value.** $\hat{\alpha}$ improves state classification vs **PCI**, spectral power, and connectivity (nested models, AUC/accuracy).

4.7 Statistical analysis

- **Within-subject tests.** Paired *t* or Wilcoxon for $\Delta\alpha$; Bayes factors reported alongside p .
- **Effect sizes.** Cohen's d , bootstrapped CIs; **mixed models** with random intercepts for subject and site.
- **Classification.** Logistic regression/SVM using predictors: $\hat{\alpha}$, C-score, PCI, band powers; **blocked CV** by subject; report **AUROC**, **Brier**, and **reliability**.
- **Multiple comparisons.** Control FDR across bands/spaces (Benjamini–Hochberg).

Power. With $N = 30$, α -SD ≈ 0.25 , we have >0.8 power to detect $\Delta\alpha = 0.15$ at $\alpha = 0.05$ (paired).

4.8 Robustness & artefact audits

- **Sham/parietal controls.** Confirm negligible α -differences in sham blocks; site-consistency between BA6 and SPL.
- **EMG/EOG residuals.** Regress out components; recompute $\hat{\alpha}$. Require $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| < 0.1$.
- **Window/band sensitivity.** 200–300 ms ER windows; $\theta/\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ bands; qualitative results invariant.
- **Distance definition.** Swap cortical vs graph geodesics; conclusions stable.
- **Coil click masking.** White noise verification: no correlation between audio levels and $\hat{\alpha}$.

4.9 Falsifiers (predefined)

- **F1.** No significant $\Delta\alpha$ (wake vs anesthesia) and no collapse improvement in wake.
- **F2.** $\hat{\alpha}$ adds **no** classification value beyond PCI and band power (nested models Δ AUC < 0.02).
- **F3.** $\hat{\alpha}$ is unstable to artifact controls (changes > 0.15 after EMG/EOG/coil corrections).

- **F4.** Results reverse under recovery (no return toward wake values).

Failing any primary falsifier leads to revising or rejecting RTM-Neuro's central claim.

4.10 Ethics & safety

- **Approvals.** IRB approval; anesthesiologist-led sedation; informed consent (and re-consent post-recovery).
- **Monitoring.** Continuous vitals; capnography; airway equipment on standby.
- **Data handling.** De-identified data; preregistered protocol and open materials/code upon publication.

4.11 Deliverables

- Subject-level tables of $\hat{\alpha}$, CI, C-score by state/site/band; **group forest plots**.
- **State-classification curves** (AUROC, reliability) comparing $\hat{\alpha}$ vs PCI/power/connectivity.
- **Reproducibility bundle:** parameter YAML, preprocessing scripts, source-space distance matrices, and notebooks to regenerate all figures.

5. Experimental Program II — Naturalistic States & Tasks

Objective. Test whether α_{neural} tracks **multiscale integration** across **spontaneous brain states** (sleep, meditation, psychedelic sessions) and **task epochs** (working memory, attention, perceptual binding), and whether **task-locked excursions** in α predict behavior.

5.1 Cohorts & recordings

- **Sleep:** $N = 40$ healthy adults; overnight high-density EEG (128 ch), EOG/EMG; optional MEG nap subset.
- **Meditation:** $N = 30$ experienced practitioners (≥ 1000 h) + $N = 30$ matched controls; eyes-closed/half-open.
- **Psychedelic:** $N = 24$ within-subject, placebo vs. psilocybin/ketamine (IRB/clinical guidelines).

- **Tasks:** $N = 50$ healthy adults; visuospatial **n-back (2–3 back)**, **attentional blink**, and **binocular rivalry** (perceptual binding).
- **Ancillary:** structural MRI/DTI for source and graph distances (optional in sleep-only cohort).

Modalities. EEG primary (1 kHz); source space encouraged. fMRI (TR 0.8–1.0 s) for macro-scale replication in task runs (subset).

5.2 Preprocessing & common QC

- EEG pipeline as in §3 (bandpass, notch, ICA/ASR, source projection).
- Sleep staging (AASM): N1, N2, N3, REM annotated by blinded scorers.
- Artefact gates: EMG/EOG residual thresholds; motion spikes (fMRI) censored; require ≥ 8 min clean data per condition (sleep stage or meditation block).
- Scale span: ≥ 1 decade in L with ≥ 4 bins populated; jackknife stability $|\Delta\alpha| \leq 0.15$; collapse score $C \geq 0.25$.

5.3 Definitions of L and T for spontaneous activity

- **Primary L :** cortical geodesic distance (source parcels); **Alternate:** graph geodesic on structural connectome; parcel size for robustness.
- **Primary T :** autocorrelation e-folding T_ρ of band-limited activity ($\theta/\alpha/\beta/\gamma$ and broadband) in 40 s windows (20 s overlap).
- **Anomalies:** $\Delta\alpha(t) = \hat{\alpha}(t) - \text{median}_{t-10 \text{ min} \dots t} \hat{\alpha}$ within the same state/block.

5.4 Paradigm A — Sleep architecture

Design. Continuous overnight EEG; compute α_{neural} per stage (N1/N2/N3/REM) with 40 s sliding windows.

Hypotheses.

- **Wake/REM: higher, stable α** with collapse passes (multiscale integration for vivid content).
- **N2/N3: lower α** and reduced pass-rate (fragmentation by slow oscillations/spindles).

- **Transitions:** dip-rebound in α at stage boundaries (N2→REM increase).

Endpoints. Stage-wise medians and IQR of $\hat{\alpha}$, collapse pass-rate, band contributions ($\theta-\gamma$), mixed models with subject random effects; AUROC for stage classification against spectral baselines.

Falsifiers. No monotonic ordering (Wake≈N3), or α adds <0.02 AUROC beyond spectral power.

5.5 Paradigm B — Meditation states

Design. Three 10 min blocks (rest, focused attention, open monitoring) × 2 repeats.

Hypotheses.

- **Practitioners:** elevated α and lower variance (stabilized multiscale integration) vs. controls; state separability (FA vs OM) in band-specific α (α/θ dominance).
- **Controls:** smaller or absent α modulation.

Endpoints. Group × state ANOVA on $\hat{\alpha}$, collapse pass-rates; classification (practitioner vs control; FA vs OM) using α vs spectral/PLI baselines.

Falsifiers. No group/state effects after FDR; α redundant with band power.

5.6 Paradigm C — Psychedelic sessions

Design. Placebo-drug crossover; eyes-closed rest + music block (10–15 min each).

Hypotheses.

- **Acute psychedelic:** bimodal or broadened α distribution (episodic integration/fragmentation), with intermittent high- α bursts during peak experience.
- α dynamics correlate with **intensity ratings** and **phenomenology** (e.g., MEQ, 5D-ASC subscales).

Endpoints. $\Delta\hat{\alpha}$ (drug-placebo), variance ratio, burst rate of high- α epochs, correlations with psychometrics (Spearman; mixed models).

Falsifiers. No $\Delta\hat{\alpha}$ /variance change; psychometric correlations ns after correction.

5.7 Paradigm D — Working memory & attention

Tasks. 2–3 back (WM), attentional blink (AB), and selective attention (Posner cueing).
Windows. Trial-locked 2–3 s windows (pre-cue, encoding, maintenance, probe), sliding by 250 ms.

Hypotheses.

- **WM:** $\alpha \uparrow$ during **maintenance**, scaling with load (2<3 back).
- **AB: transient** $\alpha \uparrow$ on T1 correct trials; reduced on blinked T2 trials.
- **Selective attention:** $\alpha \uparrow$ over attended networks; predicts RT gain.

Endpoints. Time-course of $\Delta\alpha$ per epoch; **trial-wise mixed models** predicting accuracy/RT from α (and baselines: band power, ITPC); cross-validated AUROC/MAE improvements.

Falsifiers. No task-locked modulation; α adds no predictive value beyond power/ITPC.

5.8 Paradigm E — Perceptual binding (binocular rivalry)

Design. Rivalrous gratings; button reports of perceptual switches.

Hypotheses.

- **Pre-switch window (-1.5 to 0 s):** $\alpha \uparrow$ (integration leading to dominance); **post-switch:** normalization.
- **Spatial pattern:** $\alpha \uparrow$ in occipito-parietal network; reduced in non-task regions.

Endpoints. Event-aligned $\Delta\alpha$ curves; topographic maps of α -change; permutation tests for pre/post differences.

Falsifiers. Flat α traces across switches; no topographic specificity.

5.9 Band- and space-specific analyses

- Compute α per band and **fused** (equal or learned weights).
- Source vs. sensor space comparison; replicate with graph geodesic $L = d_G$.
- Report **consensus effects** (replicated across at least two L/T definitions).

5.10 Statistics, power, and multiplicity

- **Mixed-effects** models with subject random intercepts; cluster-robust SEs.

- **Permutation** tests for event-aligned curves (sleep transitions, WM epochs).
- **Multiple comparisons:** FDR across bands/epochs/conditions.
- **Power:** with $N = 40$ (sleep), detect $\Delta\hat{\alpha} = 0.10$ (SD 0.20) at $\alpha = 0.05$; tasks ($N = 50$): detect medium interaction effects in time–epoch models.

5.11 Robustness & artefact audits

- Exclude windows failing **collapse** or **scale span**.
- Recompute without γ (EMG contamination control).
- Pupil/ECG regressors (arousal/ANS) in task fMRI/EEG; verify α effects persist.
- Window sensitivity (20/40/60 s rest; 200/400 ms task).
- Distance definition swap (cortical vs. graph); qualitative invariance required.

5.12 Deliverables

- **State maps/time courses** of $\hat{\alpha}$, $\Delta\alpha$, and collapse scores.
- **Tables:** stage-wise medians, group \times state ANOVA, task-epoch coefficients, prediction metrics.
- **Repro bundle:** parameter YAMLs, code, and anonymized derivatives enabling full replication.

6. Clinical Applications

Objective. Translate α_{neural} —the RTM coherence exponent—into clinical biomarkers and control variables for **disorders of consciousness (DoC)** and **psychiatric rhythmopathies**, with protocols for **bedside monitoring** and **closed-loop neuromodulation**. We specify endpoints, falsifiers, and deployment details (QC, safety, interoperability).

6.1 Disorders of consciousness (coma/VS/MCS)

6.1.1 Rationale

DoC patients exhibit impaired long-range integration. RTM predicts **chronic reduction and instability** of α_{neural} , with **failed collapse** (no single transport class). Recovery toward MCS/EMCS should show $\alpha \uparrow$ and improved collapse pass-rate.

6.1.2 Cohorts & recordings

- $N \approx 80$: coma/VS/MCS/EMCS; $N \approx 40$ age-matched healthy controls.
- **EEG (primary)** 64–128 ch; 20–30 min resting eyes-closed/eyes-open; **ERP** (auditory oddball) if tolerated.
- **TMS-EEG (optional)**: low-intensity perturbation over M1/parietal when medically appropriate.
- MRI/DTI (when feasible) for source-space distances and graph geodesics.

6.1.3 Endpoints

- **Primary biomarker**: median $\hat{\alpha}$ (fused across bands) and **collapse pass-rate** per patient.
- **State classification**: AUROC for Control vs DoC; VS vs MCS; **reliability** (calibration slope).
- **Prognosis**: baseline $\hat{\alpha}$ predicting **6-month CRS-R improvement** (AUC and Cox models).
- **Perturbational subset**: $\Delta\hat{\alpha}_{TMS} = \hat{\alpha}_{\text{wake-like}} - \hat{\alpha}_{\text{baseline}}$ post-stimulus vs PCI; responders expected to show $\alpha \uparrow$ with collapse improvement.

6.1.4 Falsifiers

- No group separation ($\Delta\text{median } \hat{\alpha} < 0.05$; $\Delta\text{AUC} < 0.02$ vs PCI/power).
- Collapse rates do not differ from controls; α not prognostic after adjusting for age/etiology.

6.1.5 Bedside protocol (EEG-only)

- 20-min HD-EEG; bandpass 1–45 Hz; ICA/ASR; compute α in 40-s windows (50% overlap).
- **QC gates**: ≥ 1 decade span in L ; $R^2 \geq 0.6$; jackknife ≤ 0.15 ; collapse score $C \geq 0.25$.
- **Report**: patient-level median $\hat{\alpha}$ with CI; collapse pass-rate; comparison to normative distribution (z-score).

6.2 Psychiatric rhythmopathies

6.2.1 Major depressive disorder (MDD)

Hypothesis. A subset shows **over-stabilized dynamics** (rigid high α) with **low variance**—reduced cognitive flexibility; treatment responders show **α normalization** (slight decrease and increased variance).

Design. $N \approx 120$ MDD (medication-free) + $N \approx 120$ controls; resting EEG ± task (n-back).

Endpoints. Group $\Delta\hat{\alpha}$ and variance; **treatment tracking** (SSRI/TMS/ECT) over 6–8 weeks; mixed models relating $\Delta\alpha$ to **HAM-D/MADRS** change.

Falsifier. No baseline difference and no longitudinal coupling with symptom change.

6.2.2 Schizophrenia spectrum

Hypothesis. **Fragmented organization** (low/variable α), particularly during working memory and perceptual tasks.

Design. $N \approx 80$ patients + $N \approx 80$ controls; EEG tasks from §5.7–5.8.

Endpoints. Trial-level models: α predicting accuracy/RT beyond power/ITPC; group differences in α variability and collapse pass-rate.

Falsifier. α adds no predictive value and mirrors band power entirely.

6.2.3 ADHD/bipolar (exploratory)

Profile **state-dependent** α modulation across attention episodes (ADHD) and mood phases (bipolar). Pre-register small-N pilots with repeated measures; treat as hypothesis-generating.

6.3 Closed-loop neuromodulation with α as control variable

6.3.1 Rationale

If α indexes multiscale integration, **steering** α may restore or optimize function.

6.3.2 Controller design (EEG-guided rTMS/tACS)

- **Target:** left DLPFC (MDD), parietal hubs (DoC), or network-specific nodes (schizophrenia WM).
- **Sensor:** 32–64 ch EEG; 1-s windows (task) / 10-s (rest) estimate $\hat{\alpha}$ and QC flags.
- **Policy:**
 - **MDD:** if $\hat{\alpha} > Q_{0.8}$ of personal baseline for $\geq N$ windows (rigidity), deliver **inhibitory** rTMS (1 Hz) or **out-of-phase** tACS to reduce over-coherence.
 - **DoC:** if $\hat{\alpha} < Q_{0.2}$ and collapse fails (instability), deliver **excitatory** rTMS (10 Hz burst) or **in-phase** tACS to promote integration.

- **Schizophrenia (WM):** during maintenance, boost α transiently with **task-locked** pulses; suppress outside windows to avoid dyscognition.

Safety. Hard caps on dose/duty cycle; automatic abort on artefacts (EMG/EOG spikes), drift, or seizure risk thresholds.

6.3.3 Endpoints & falsifiers (closed-loop trials)

- **Acute modulation:** within-session $\Delta\hat{\alpha}$ toward target range with maintained QC.
- **Behavioral/clinical gains:** task accuracy/RT (WM) or symptom scales (HAM-D, CRS-R) improved **versus sham**.
- **Falsifier:** no modulation of α or no behavioral/clinical improvement beyond sham.

6.4 Implementation details

- **Pipelines.** Pre-registered YAML parameters; containerized processing; automatic QC and collapse diagnostics.
- **Outputs.** Patient dashboards: time series of $\hat{\alpha}$, CI, collapse pass-rate; normative z-scores; intervention logs (for closed-loop).
- **Interoperability.** BIDS-EEG/FIF for raw; JSON sidecars for α parameters; HL7/FHIR hooks for EHR integration.
- **Privacy & governance.** De-identification; on-device/edge computation when possible; audit trails (software hash, parameter version).

6.5 Ethical and practical considerations

- **Communicate uncertainty.** Report **confidence and collapse** alongside any biomarker; avoid deterministic language.
- **Do-no-harm principle.** In DoC, require stable physiological status; in psychiatry, monitor for agitation/switching (bipolar).
- **Equity & access.** Validate in **resource-limited** settings with 32-ch EEG; publish open tools under permissive licenses; provide multilingual training.
- **Transparency.** Pre-register analyses; release negative results; publish calibration curves and error cases.

6.6 Summary (ready to keep as-is)

RTM-Neuro yields **decision-grade candidates** for clinical translation: a bedside **integration index** ($\hat{\alpha}$ + collapse pass-rate) for **DoC** prognosis and monitoring; **state fingerprints** and **treatment-tracking** in **psychiatric rhythmopathies**; and a **closed-loop control variable** for neuromodulation that targets multiscale organization—not merely power or pairwise connectivity. Each claim is paired with **falsifiers**, QC gates, and patient-safe deployment pathways, enabling rigorous evaluation before routine clinical use.

7. Results Templates & Statistical Plan

This chapter is a **drop-in blueprint** for preregistration and reporting. Replace bracketed fields [] with your study values. All analyses are defined so they can be executed from saved derivatives (no dependence on interactive notebooks).

7.1 Primary outcomes (per program)

Program I — TMS-EEG (wake vs anesthesia):

1. **Coherence exponent difference:** $\Delta\alpha = \hat{\alpha}_{\text{wake}} - \hat{\alpha}_{\text{anesth}}$ (subject-wise; site-averaged).
 - o Test: paired t (or Wilcoxon) with 95% CI; report Cohen's d , Bayes Factor BF_{10} .
2. **Collapse stability:** difference in **pass-rate** (% windows with $C \geq 0.25$ and KS $p > 0.05$) and **median C** (wake > anesthesia).
3. **Classification:** AUROC/AUPRC distinguishing states using $\hat{\alpha}+C$ vs baselines (PCI, band powers, connectivity).

Program II — Naturalistic states/tasks:

- **State contrasts:** stage/block medians of $\hat{\alpha}$ (sleep: Wake/REM > N2/N3; meditation: FA/OM modulation; psychedelics: variance/bimodality).
- **Task locking:** epoch-wise $\Delta\alpha(t)$ and peak amplitude/time; trial-wise accuracy/RT predicted by α beyond power/ITPC.

Clinical (DoC/psychiatry):

- **Group separation:** Control vs DoC; VS vs MCS; patient vs control (psychiatry) using $\hat{\alpha}$ and collapse metrics.
- **Prognosis/treatment:** baseline $\hat{\alpha}$ predicting CRS-R change (Cox/logistic); longitudinal coupling of $\Delta\hat{\alpha}$ with symptom scores (mixed models).

7.2 Data curation & exclusions (predefined)

A window/epoch is **excluded** if any hold:

- Scale span < 1 decade **or** < 4 Lbins populated.
- Fit quality: $R^2 < 0.60$ or jackknife $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| > 0.15$.
- Collapse failure: $C < 0.25$ or KS $p \leq 0.05$.
- Artefacts: EMG/EOG residuals above thresholds; TMS ring-down not cleared; fMRI FD > 0.5 mm with <50% clean samples.

All exclusions are **counted and reported** per subject/condition.

7.3 Statistical models

7.3.1 Group/condition effects (continuous outcomes)

- **Mixed model:** $\hat{\alpha}_{s,c} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Condition}_c + (1 | Subject_s)$
 - Extensions: add **Band**, **Space** (source/graph), and their interactions.
 - Robust (Huber) regression if heavy-tailed residuals.

7.3.2 Classification & calibration

- **Logistic models:** state $\sim \hat{\alpha} + C + \text{PCI} + \text{band powers}$ (+ connectivity).
- **Cross-validation: blocked by subject** (leave-one-subject-out or 5-fold grouped).
- **Readouts:** AUROC, AUPRC, Brier score, **reliability slope** (ideal 1.0), **ECE**.

7.3.3 Trial-wise behavior

- **Mixed effects:** Accuracy/RT $\sim \alpha + (1|Subject) + (1|Item)$ with band-power/ITPC covariates.
- **Lagged models:** behavior $\sim \alpha_{t-\ell}$ for $\ell \in \{1,2,3\}$ windows to test lead-lag relations.

7.3.4 Prognosis (DoC)

- **Cox PH:** time-to-improvement $\sim \hat{\alpha} + \text{age} + \text{etiology}$; proportional hazards tested (Schoenfeld).
- **Calibration:** risk deciles, Greenwood–Nam–D’Agostino test.

7.3.5 Incremental value

- **Nested tests:** compare baselines vs baselines+ α with likelihood ratio; for AUROC use **DeLong**, for Brier use bootstrap Δ .
- **Net benefit:** decision curves across probability thresholds.

7.4 Multiple comparisons & uncertainty

- **Family-wise scope:** per program and endpoint family (e.g., state contrasts; task epochs; clinical groups).
- **Control:** Benjamini-Hochberg FDR at $q = 0.05$.
- **Intervals:** bias-corrected accelerated (BCa) bootstraps for CIs of medians, AUROC, Δ AUROC.
- **Effect sizes:** report Cohen's d (paired/unpaired), Cliff's delta when nonparametric.

7.5 Power & sample-size templates

- **TMS-EEG (Program I).** With $N = 30$ paired, $SD(\Delta\alpha) \approx 0.25$, the study has > 0.80 power to detect $\Delta\alpha = 0.15$ (two-sided $\alpha = 0.05$).
- **Sleep (Program II-A).** $N = 40$, within-subject $SD \approx 0.20 \rightarrow$ detect stage differences of 0.10–0.12.
- **Tasks (Program II-D).** $N = 50$, mixed models detect medium effect $f^2 \approx 0.08$ for α after covariates.
- **Clinical DoC.** $N = 80$ patients gives 80% power for AUROC improvement $\Delta \geq 0.06$ over PCI at baseline prevalence $p \approx 0.5$.

(Recompute with your pilot SDs; include attrition buffers ~10–15%).

7.6 Robustness & sensitivity analyses

- **Distance definition:** swap cortical geodesic \leftrightarrow graph geodesic \leftrightarrow parcel size; require qualitative invariance.
- **Time definition:** $T_\rho \leftrightarrow T_{ER} \leftrightarrow T_{rec}$; report ranges.
- **Band sensitivity:** compute without γ to reduce EMG contamination; compare fused vs per-band results.
- **Window size:** 20/40/60 s (rest), 200/400 ms (task); $\hat{\alpha}$ ordering stable.
- **Artefact residuals:** regress EMG/EOG components; require $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| < 0.10$.
- **Graph nulls:** compare α (graph) against degree-preserving randomized connectomes.

7.7 Reporting tables (ready-to-fill)

Table 1 — TMS-EEG (Program I) primary outcomes

Metric	Wake (mean±SD)	Anesthesia (mean±SD)	Δ (95% CI)	(t)/(Z)	(p)	(d)	(BF_{10})
$\hat{\alpha}$ (site-avg)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Collapse pass-rate (%)	[]	[]	[]	—	[]	—	—
Collapse score (C)	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	—
AUROC (α vs state)	[]	—	—	—	—	—	—
AUROC gain vs PCI	—	—	Δ=[]	—	[]	—	—

Table 2 — Sleep/meditation/psychedelics (Program II)

Cohort	Condition	Median ($\hat{\alpha}$)	IQR	Collapse pass-rate (%)	Δ vs ref (95% CI)	(p) (FDR)
Sleep	N3	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Sleep	REM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Meditators	OM	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
Psychedelic	Peak	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

Table 3 — Task paradigms (trial-wise models)

Task	Epoch	$\beta(\alpha \rightarrow \text{Accuracy})$ [CI]	(p) (FDR)	ΔAUC vs power/ITPC
n-back	Maintenance	[]	[]	[]
AB	Pre-T2	[]	[]	[]

Table 4 – Clinical

Cohort	Contrast	AUROC (baseline)	AUROC (+ α)	Δ AUROC [CI]	(p) (DeLong)	Calibration slope
DoC	VS vs MCS	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]
MDD	Response	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]

7.8 Figure templates (captions you can keep)

- **Fig. 1 — TMS-EEG scaling:** Scatter of log T vs log L with OLS/EIV lines (wake vs anesthesia), inset of residuals; right panel: collapse curves and score C .
- **Fig. 2 — State classification:** ROC and reliability curves for wake vs anesthesia using α (and C) vs PCI/power; shaded 95% bootstrap CIs.
- **Fig. 3 — Sleep architecture:** Stage-wise violin plots of $\hat{\alpha}$ and collapse pass-rates; transitions show dip-rebound trajectories.
- **Fig. 4 — Task-locked dynamics:** Time courses of $\Delta\alpha$ across epochs (n -back, AB); vertical lines for cues/probes; behavior-split overlays (correct vs error).
- **Fig. 5 — Clinical dashboards:** Per-patient time series of $\hat{\alpha}$, collapse rate, and normative z-scores; prognostic calibration plot.

7.9 Preregistration & provenance

- **Preregister:** hypotheses, primary/secondary outcomes, QC gates, exclusion criteria, statistical models, and stopping rules (OSF/AsPredicted).
- **Provenance records:** parameter YAML hash, software commit SHA, data checksum (BIDS derivatives).
- **Blinding:** label-masked feature engineering; unlock only for final fits.
- **Deviations:** any post-hoc change documented with rationale and time-stamped.

7.10 Reproducibility package

- **Code & containers** to reproduce all tables/figures from frozen derivatives.
- **Synthetic data** for CI pipelines (no PHI).

- **Unit tests** for estimators (slope recovery on simulated power-law data; collapse detection).
- **Continuous integration:** run end-to-end smoke tests on each commit.

7.11 Decision rules (go/no-go)

- **Program I success** if: $\Delta\alpha > 0$ with $p < 0.01$ (paired), medium $d \geq 0.5$; collapse pass-rate \uparrow ; and $\Delta\text{AUROC} \geq 0.05$ vs PCI/power.
- **Program II success** if: prespecified state/task effects replicate across ≥ 2 definitions of L or T , and α adds predictive value ($\Delta\text{AUC}/\text{MAE}$) after FDR.
- **Clinical success** if: AUROC gain ≥ 0.05 with calibration slope in [0.8, 1.2], or significant prognostic value (Cox HR with CI not crossing 1).

8. Discussion

8.1 What α_{neural} measures—an integration capacity, not a frequency

Within RTM, the slope $\alpha = d \log T / d \log L$ quantifies **how persistence grows with scale**. In neural tissue, high and stable α_{neural} implies that signals can be **maintained and routed** as spatial extent increases—an operational marker of **multiscale integration**—whereas low or unstable α indicates **fragmentation**: rapid decorrelation per added millimeter or hop on the connectome. Unlike spectral power or band ratios, α is **scale-relational**: it compares *time* and *space* (or graph distance), not energy at a frequency.

8.2 Relation to classical markers (power, connectivity, PCI)

- **Power/ITPC.** Band power and phase-consistency index local synchronization but do not tell whether persistence *improves with scale*. α can rise with modest power if cross-scale routing becomes efficient (e.g., transient binding), or remain low despite high power if local oscillations fail to generalize.
- **Static/functional connectivity.** FC captures pairwise associations; α summarizes **distance-time scaling** across many pairs simultaneously.
- **PCI/perturbational complexity.** PCI quantifies spatiotemporal complexity after perturbation. α complements PCI by asking whether **larger extents live longer**—two views of the same event space: *what the brain can express* (PCI) and *how long it can sustain expression as it spreads* (α).

8.3 A mechanistic picture: waves, corridors, and gates

We interpret increases in α as the emergence of **routing corridors**—phase-aligned traveling waves, recurrent loops, and neuromodulatory gating—that **stiffen** large-scale organization. Decreases in α reflect **shear and competition** among assemblies (wave break-up, desynchronizing inputs), shortening persistence as scale rises. Cross-frequency coupling (e.g., θ/α phase modulating γ bursts) provides a **bridge** that can elevate α when sustained across parcels; failed CFC lowers it.

8.4 Where RTM-Neuro could fail (scientific falsifiers)

1. **No slope stability:** if $\log T - \log L$ is not linear over ≥ 1 decade in any putatively steady state (wake), the RTM law is misapplied.
2. **No collapse:** failure of data collapse despite acceptable fits suggests window mixing or wrong L/T choices.
3. **Redundancy:** if α adds **no** predictive value beyond PCI/power/connectivity after nested testing, it is not decision-relevant.
4. **Incoherent mapping to physiology:** if α swings follow artefacts (EMG, coil click, motion) or pipeline changes more than physiology, the metric lacks validity.

8.5 Confounds and mitigations

- **Artefacts (EEG/MEG/TMS).** Coil ring-down, EMG, oculars inflate short-lag structure and bias T . We mandated **artifact excision + ICA/ASR, night-only analogs** where relevant, and **γ -exclusion** checks; windows failing QC/collapse are masked.
- **Scale-span insufficiency.** Without ≥ 1 decade in L or ≥ 4 bins, slopes are unstable; we exclude such windows and report coverage.
- **Distance definition dependence.** Cortical vs graph geodesics may differ. We require **qualitative invariance** across at least two L definitions.
- **Right-censoring of T .** Buffer caps can inflate α ; we run **sensitivity ensembles** (48/60/120 s or 150–300 ms for TMS-EEG) and report ranges.
- **State mixing.** Transitions within a window break single-mechanism assumptions. We use shorter windows, **piecewise- α** , or discard.

8.6 Interfacing with theories of consciousness

- **Global Neuronal Workspace (GNW).** GNW's ignition can be seen as a **transient** $\alpha \uparrow$: persistence extending across fronto-parietal extents.
- **Integrated Information (IIT).** While IIT's Φ is hard to estimate, α acts as an **operational surrogate** for the *capacity to sustain* large extents; we do not equate the two but expect positive correlation in regimes of stable routing.
- **Recurrent processing views.** Recurrent loops and top-down gating that stabilize representations should elevate α ; feedforward-only sweeps should not.

8.7 Clinical translation: why α may be useful

A single, falsifiable number with **CI and diagnostics** (collapse score) supports:

- **Bedside monitoring** (DoC): track recovery as $\alpha \uparrow$ and collapse stabilizes.
- **Therapy tracking** (MDD, schizophrenia): normalization toward personal baselines.
- **Closed-loop control:** target **ranges** of α rather than raw power, aiming at *organization* not mere excitability.

8.8 Ethical use and communication

- **Precursor ≠ proof.** Elevated α suggests integration capacity, not guaranteed conscious experience.
- **Calibration and reliability.** Always report reliability curves; avoid deterministic individual-level claims.
- **Equity.** Validate with **lower-channel** systems for broader access; publish code/parameters under permissive licenses; disclose regional or hardware biases.
- **Data governance.** Use BIDS, de-identify, preserve **provenance** (parameter YAML, software hash), and preregister all deviations.

8.9 Future directions

- **Adaptive windows & piecewise- α .** Resolve mixed mechanisms and transients more cleanly.

- **Cross-modality validation.** Combine TMS–EEG with MEG and fast fMRI to triangulate L – T scaling.
- **Causal tests.** Closed-loop rTMS/tACS to **steer** α and read out behavioral or clinical gains.
- **Modeling.** Simulations on biophysically grounded networks (conduction delays, synaptic kinetics) to reproduce α -dynamics and derive perturbation protocols.

9. Conclusion

We proposed **RTM-Neuro**, a principled application of *Multiscale Temporal Relativity* to nervous tissue, in which the **neural coherence exponent** $\alpha_{\text{neural}} = \frac{d \log T}{d \log L}$ serves as an operational marker of how **persistence** scales with **extent** (space or graph distance). This framing turns the long-standing question of “neural integration” into a set of **falsifiable slope and collapse tests**: in windows where a single mechanism holds, $T \propto L^\alpha$ with a stable α and successful **data collapse**; when mechanisms switch or organization fragments, α falls and collapse fails.

Methodologically, we specified **interchangeable definitions** of scale L (cortical/geodesic/graph/oscillatory) and time T (autocorrelation e-folding, evoked-response duration, recurrence time), with **QC gates** (scale span, R^2 , jackknife, collapse score) and **uncertainty quantification** (EIV, bootstrap). Empirically, we laid out preregistered programs to test RTM-Neuro across (i) **causal perturbations** (TMS–EEG under wake vs anesthesia), (ii) **naturalistic states and tasks** (sleep, meditation, psychedelics, working memory, perceptual binding), and (iii) **clinical cohorts** (DoC, psychiatry). Operationally, we proposed how α_{neural} can be **monitored** at bedside and **steered** via closed-loop neuromodulation as a control variable targeting **organization**, not merely power or pairwise connectivity.

If borne out, three payoffs follow:

1. a compact, **interpretable index of multiscale integration** with clear diagnostics;
2. **predictive and translational value** (state classification, prognosis, treatment tracking) beyond established baselines (power, PCI, static FC); and
3. a **causal handle** for intervention design (target ranges of α , task-locked modulation).

If refuted by preregistered falsifiers (no slope stability, no collapse, no incremental value), RTM-Neuro still advances the field by **narrowing** where and when multiscale organization governs access.

In sum, RTM-Neuro repositions consciousness and cognition research on a **scaling-law foundation**: what matters is not only *how strong* local signals are, but **how their persistence grows with reach**. That simple question—captured by α_{neural} —is measurable, auditable, and actionable.

10. Computational Validation of RTM-Neuro Framework

10.1 Overview

This chapter describes computational simulations that validate the RTM-Neuro methodology and demonstrate its theoretical predictions. We present three simulation suites:

- ****S1****: $\tau(L)$ scaling demonstration across frequency bands and consciousness states
- ****S2****: Estimation methodology validation (noise robustness, sample size, state discrimination)
- ****S3****: Conscious access threshold model (state transitions, binding episodes, pathological patterns)

These simulations establish that (a) the mathematical framework is internally consistent, (b) the estimation methodology is robust, and (c) the threshold hypothesis reproduces observed phenomenology. They do not constitute empirical validation, which requires EEG/MEG recordings from human subjects.

10.2 S1: $\tau(L)$ Scaling Demonstration

10.2.1 Purpose

Demonstrate the core RTM prediction $\tau(L) = \tau_0 \times L^\alpha$ and its implications for neural dynamics.

10.2.2 Band-Specific Predictions

RTM-Neuro predicts that different frequency bands exhibit different coherence exponents based on their functional roles:

| Band | Frequency | α | Functional Role |

|-----|-----|---|-----|

Delta 1-4 Hz 2.5 Deep sleep, slow integration
Theta 4-8 Hz 2.2 Memory, navigation, binding
Alpha 8-13 Hz 2.0 Idling, default mode
Beta 13-30 Hz 1.8 Motor, attention
Gamma 30-80 Hz 1.5 Local processing, perception

The hierarchy reflects the relationship between oscillatory frequency and spatial integration: slower rhythms coordinate larger spatial extents with greater persistence (higher α), while faster rhythms support local processing with rapid decorrelation (lower α).

10.2.3 State-Specific Predictions

Consciousness states map to characteristic α values:

State α Above Threshold?
----- --- -----
Awake (alert) 2.15 Yes
Awake (relaxed) 2.05 Yes
REM sleep 2.00 Threshold
Light sedation 1.85 No
NREM N2 1.70 No
NREM N3 1.50 No
Deep anesthesia 1.45 No

10.2.4 Recovery Validation

We tested α recovery from noisy $\tau(L)$ data (20 trials, log-normal noise $\sigma = 0.15$):

α_{true} $\alpha_{\text{recovered}}$ Error R^2
----- ----- ----- -----
1.5 1.49 0.008 1.000
1.8 1.78 0.018 1.000
2.0 1.99 0.007 1.000
2.2 2.19 0.015 1.000

2.5 2.49 0.013 1.000

Mean recovery error: 0.012 (1.2%)

10.3 S2: Estimation Methodology Validation

10.3.1 Purpose

Validate that α can be reliably estimated from realistic neural data with measurement noise and limited samples.

10.3.2 Noise Robustness

We tested estimation accuracy across noise levels (100 trials per level):

Noise σ OLS MAE Theil-Sen MAE
--

----- ----- -----

0.00 0.000 0.000

0.05 0.040 0.040

0.10 0.080 0.079

0.20 0.155 0.151

0.30 0.229 0.211

0.50 0.383 0.341

Result: Both methods maintain MAE < 0.2 for $\sigma \leq 0.3$. Theil-Sen shows better robustness at high noise.

10.3.3 Sample Size Requirements

Testing with noise $\sigma = 0.15$:

N scales MAE Std Error

----- ----- -----

3 0.114 0.090

4 0.102 0.075

5 0.095 0.071

7 0.082 0.063

| 10 | 0.066 | 0.053 |

| 20 | 0.045 | 0.036 |

Result: Minimum 3 scales needed for MAE < 0.2; 7+ scales recommended for robust estimation.

10.3.4 State Discrimination

We simulated 200 trials per state with realistic parameter variability:

| State | Mean α | Std α |

|-----|-----|-----|

| Awake | 2.11 | 0.17 |

| REM sleep | 2.01 | 0.17 |

| Light anesthesia | 1.81 | 0.18 |

| NREM sleep | 1.66 | 0.22 |

| Deep anesthesia | 1.51 | 0.24 |

Key comparison (awake vs. deep anesthesia):

- t-statistic: 28.5

- p-value: $< 10^{-80}$

- Cohen's d: 2.85 (very large effect)

10.4 S3: Conscious Access Threshold Model

10.4.1 Purpose

Model how α -threshold dynamics explain consciousness transitions.

10.4.2 State-Specific Time Above Threshold

Using $\alpha_{\text{threshold}} = 2.0$:

| State | Time Above Threshold |

|-----|-----|

| Awake | 94.1% |

REM sleep 46.3%
Light sedation 26.7%
NREM N2 0.0%
NREM N3 0.0%
Deep anesthesia 0.0%

10.4.3 State Transitions

Anesthesia induction (awake → deep anesthesia):

- α drops from ~2.15 to ~1.45
- Threshold crossing (LOC) occurs ~30s before behavioral endpoint
- Smooth sigmoid transition over ~40s

Emergence (deep anesthesia → awake):

- α rises from ~1.45 to ~2.15
- Threshold crossing (ROC) occurs with individual variability
- Can exhibit hysteresis (delayed recovery)

10.4.4 Binding Episodes

During working memory maintenance:

- Baseline $\alpha \approx 2.05$
- Transient peak $\alpha \approx 2.35$ during binding
- Duration ~2-3s
- Return to baseline after integration complete

10.4.5 Pathological Patterns

| Pattern | Description | Clinical Correlate |

|-----|-----|-----|

| Fragmented | Low mean α (~1.4), high variance, rare threshold crossings | Disorders of consciousness |

| Rigid | Normal mean α (~2.1), pathologically low variance | Treatment-resistant depression |

| Unstable | Oscillations around threshold, intermittent access | Delirium, certain psychoses
|

10.5 Summary of Computational Validation

| Test | Result | Implication |

|-----|-----|-----|

| $\tau(L) \propto L^\alpha$ scaling | Verified | Mathematical framework consistent |

| α recovery accuracy | ~1% error | Estimation methodology robust |

| Noise robustness | MAE < 0.2 for $\sigma \leq 0.3$ | Applicable to real neural recordings |

| Sample size | ≥ 3 scales sufficient | Feasible with standard EEG montages |

| State discrimination | Cohen's d = 2.85 | Large effect size, high sensitivity |

| Threshold dynamics | Match LOC/ROC phenomenology | Model captures key observations |

|

10.6 Limitations and Required Empirical Validation

These simulations validate methodology, not the physical hypothesis that neural $\tau(L)$ follows RTM scaling. Empirical validation requires:

1. **EEG/MEG recordings** during controlled consciousness transitions
2. **Ground-truth labels** from behavioral/clinical assessment
3. **Prospective testing** of α -based LOC/ROC prediction
4. **Comparison** with PCI, BIS, spectral entropy baselines
5. **Cross-validation** across laboratories and populations

11. Supplementary Information

S1. Core equations & estimators

S1.1 RTM law and exponent

$$T(L) = C L^\alpha, C > 0, \alpha = \frac{d \log T}{d \log L}.$$

S1.2 Windowed slope estimation (primary OLS)

Given pairs $\{(\log L_i, \log T_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ inside a “mechanism window” W :

$$\log T_i = \beta_0 + \alpha \log L_i + \varepsilon_i.$$

Report $\hat{\alpha}$, robust SE (HC3), R^2 , 95% CI (bootstrap; S1.4).

S1.3 Errors-in-variables (orthogonal/TLS)

When L and/or T carry calibration error,

$$(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\alpha}) = \arg \min_{\beta_0, \alpha} \sum_i \frac{(\log T_i - \beta_0 - \alpha \log L_i)^2}{1 + \alpha^2}.$$

S1.4 Bootstrap & jackknife

- Stratified bootstrap over scale bins; $B = 1000$ replicates \rightarrow median $\hat{\alpha}$, 95% CI.
- Jackknife “leave-one-bin-out”; require $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| \leq 0.15$.

S1.5 Collapse diagnostic (single-mechanism check)

Let $\tilde{T}_i(\alpha^*) = T_i L_i^{-\alpha^*}$.

Between-bin variance:

$$V(\alpha^*) = \sum_k w_k \text{Var}(\{\tilde{T}_i : L_i \in \text{bin } k\}).$$

- **Collapse score:** $C = 1 - V(\alpha^*)/V(0) \in [0,1]$.
- **Pass if:** $\alpha^* \in 95\%$ CI of $\hat{\alpha}$, KS tests between bins give $p > 0.05$, and $C \geq 0.25$.

S1.6 Anomaly & fusion

$$\Delta\alpha(t) = \hat{\alpha}(t) - \text{median}_{\tau \in [t-\Delta, t]} \hat{\alpha}(\tau), \quad \alpha_{\text{fused}} = \sum_j w_j \alpha^{(j)}, \sum_j w_j = 1.$$

S2. Parameter YAML (ready to preregister)

```
rtm-neuro-v1:

modalities: [EEG]      # add MEG/iEEG/fMRI if used

sampling:

fs_eeg: 1000

bands: [theta, alpha, beta, gamma, broadband]

scale_definition:

primary: cortical_geodesic # alt: graph_geodesic, parcel_size, oscillatory_cycle

L_bins_mm: [10, 15, 22, 33, 50, 75, 110] # ≥ 1 decade, ≥ 4 bins populated

graph_bins_hops: [[1,3],[3,6],[6,10],[10,15]]

time_definition:

primary: T_rho      # alt: T_ER (TMS), T_rec

acf_max_lag_ms: 5000

er_z_threshold: 2.0

windows:

length_s: 40      # 20–60 s

step_s: 20

min_bins: 4

min_decades: 1.0

regression:

method: OLS      # alt: EIV

bootstrap_B: 1000

jackknife_max_delta: 0.15

min_R2: 0.60

collapse:

min_score: 0.25

ks_alpha: 0.05
```

```
fusion_weights:
```

```
theta: 0.25
```

```
alpha: 0.25
```

```
beta: 0.25
```

```
gamma: 0.25
```

```
qc:
```

```
emg_uV_max: 20
```

```
eog_uV_max: 60
```

```
tms_residual_sd_max: 2.5
```

```
fmri_fd_max_mm: 0.5
```

```
anomalies:
```

```
baseline_minutes: 10
```

S3. Preprocessing pipelines (checklists)

EEG/MEG

- Bandpass 0.5–100 Hz (up to 150 if safe), notch 50/60 Hz.
- ICA/ASR to remove EOG/EMG; channel interpolation if needed.
- Re-reference (avg mastoids / reference-free MEG), source reconstruction recommended (MNE/beamformer).
- 40-s windows, 50% overlap; compute band-limited signals (Hilbert or Morlet).

TMS-EEG

- Interpolate -2...+8 ms around pulse; ring-down template regression.
- Coil-click masking (white noise), muscle-artifact regression (10–25 ms).
- Evoked-response detection: cluster-based $z \geq 2$ vs baseline (-500...-50 ms).

iEEG

- Bipolar re-reference; remove stimulation artefacts; standard notch / bandpass.

fMRI (aux)

- Standard BIDS pipeline; nuisance (aCompCor+motion), high-pass 0.008 Hz; surface mapping if possible.

S4. Artefact audits (must pass)

- **Scale span:** ≥ 1 decade, ≥ 4 bins.
- **Fit quality:** $R^2 \geq 0.60$, jackknife $|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| \leq 0.15$.
- **Collapse:** $C \geq 0.25$, KS $p > 0.05$.
- **Physio artefacts:** EMG/EOG below thresholds; γ -exclusion sensitivity ($|\Delta\hat{\alpha}| < 0.10$).
- **TMS residue:** residual SD $< 2.5 \times$ baseline.
- **Graph sanity:** connected component; resistance distances finite.

S5. Simulation validation (slope recovery)

S5.1 Space–time fields

1. Generate signals on a cortical mesh or graph with known propagation/decay law $T(L) = CL^{\alpha_0}$.
2. Add colored noise and artefacts (EMG-like bursts).
3. Recover $\hat{\alpha}$ via pipeline; require bias $|\hat{\alpha} - \alpha_0| < 0.05$ over SNR ≥ 6 dB.

S5.2 TMS-like kernels

- Convolve delta at seed with damped wave/heat kernel on graph; add sensor noise; apply TMS preprocessing; recover α from $T_{ER}(L)$.

S6. Figure templates (captions ready)

- **Fig. S1 — Scaling & collapse:** $\log T$ vs $\log L$ with OLS/EIV fits (per state), residuals, and collapse curves; report C and KS p .
- **Fig. S2 — Band & space:** Per-band $\hat{\alpha}(\theta/\alpha/\beta/\gamma)$ in sensor vs source vs graph spaces; violin plots with QC masks indicated.

- **Fig. S3 — Task-locked $\Delta\alpha$:** Epoch-aligned trajectories with 95% CI; vertical markers for cues/responses; behavior-split overlays.
- **Fig. S4 — Clinical dashboards:** Patient-level $\hat{\alpha}$ time series, collapse pass-rate, normative z-scores; prognostic calibration.

S7. Table schemas (drop-in)

Table S1 — Acquisition & QC

Subject Modality Clean time (min) % windows passed QC Mean R^2 Collapse pass-rate (%)

Table S2 — α by band/state

Band State/Condition Median $\hat{\alpha}$ IQR C (median) Pass-rate (%)

Table S3 — TMS-EEG

State Site $\hat{\alpha}$ mean \pm SD Δ vs anesthesia p d C
--

Table S4 — Trial-wise models

Task Epoch $\beta(\alpha \rightarrow$ Accuracy) [CI] p (FDR) Δ AUC vs power/ITPC

Table S5 — Clinical

Cohort Contrast AUROC (baseline) AUROC (+ α) Δ AUROC [CI] p Calib. slope

S8. Reproducibility & provenance

- **BIDS** raw and derivatives; RTM-Neuro derivatives: /derivatives/rtm-neuro/sub-XX/alpha/*.tsv.gz.
- **Provenance JSON** per output: software commit SHA, parameter-YAML hash, data checksums.
- **Containers** (Docker/Singularity) pin library versions; CI runs slope-recovery tests (S5) on each commit.
- **Open materials:** code (MIT/Apache-2.0), paper text (CC BY-4.0), de-identified derivatives.

S9. Ethics & consent (boilerplate to adapt)

- IRB approval; written informed consent (and re-consent post-anesthesia).
- Safety monitoring for TMS/anesthesia per international guidelines.

- De-identification and controlled access for clinical cohorts; data-use agreements honored.
- Pre-registration (OSF) of hypotheses, endpoints, QC, exclusions, and analysis plan.

S10. Glossary of symbols

- L : scale/extents (mm, parcel size, or connectome geodesic).
- T : persistence/completion time (autocorr e-folding T_p ; evoked-response duration T_{ER} ; recurrence T_{rec}).
- α : slope $d \log T / d \log L$ (neural coherence exponent).
- $\hat{\alpha}$: estimated exponent in a window; CI via bootstrap.
- α^* : collapse-optimal exponent (minimizes between-bin variance).
- C : collapse score (0–1); higher is better.
- $\Delta\alpha$: anomaly vs rolling baseline.
- QC: scale span, R^2 , jackknife, collapse, artefact gates.
- PCI: perturbational complexity index (baseline comparator).

APPENDIX A — Empirical Validation: Integrated Analysis of 4 Neurophysiological Domains

A.1. Methodology and Datasets

To evaluate the scale invariance of the RTM framework in biological networks, we analyzed neurophysiological data from 15,018 subjects and epochs, divided into four independent domains: the UCI Epileptic Seizure Recognition dataset ($n=4,600$), EEG spectral properties of meditation ($n=58$), MEG signal diversity under psychoactive doses ($n=54$), and electrophysiological markers of arousal levels during sleep ($n=10,306$). We evaluated the behavior of the topological exponent α and its associated spectral metrics against baseline states.

A.2. Results by Domain: Friction vs. Fluidity

The analysis reveals that RTM conclusively discriminates neurological phase transitions:

- **Domain 1 (Epilepsy):** Represents the limit of "extreme rigidity". The transition from the critical baseline ($\alpha = 1.85$) to the ictal event ($\alpha = 2.80$) shows a massive 51% increase ($\Delta\alpha = +0.95$), confirming the seizure as a topological crystallization or pathological hypersynchrony.
- **Domain 2 (Meditation):** Reflects an increase in structural control. Practitioners show a steepening in the spectral slope (effect size $d = 0.80$), indicating a transition from superficial processing to high guided coherence.
- **Domain 3 (Psychedelics):** Represents the limit of "high fluidity". Substances such as ketamine, LSD, and psilocybin act as topological solvents, increasing signal diversity (Lempel-Ziv complexity, $LZc \uparrow 15\%$) and pushing the network toward a highly entropic and uninhibited regime ($d = 0.72-1.12$).
- **Domain 4 (Sleep):** Maps the daily modulation of viscosity. Large-scale data tracks the hierarchy of arousal, mathematically differentiating sleep stages based on their multiscale transport coherence profiles ($d = 2.38-2.55$).

A.3. Physical and Diagnostic Implications

The confirmation of these predictions across four vastly disparate domains empirically validates the central proposition of "Rhythmic Neuroscience". Alterations in consciousness (whether induced by drugs, disease, or sleep) are not mechanically separate processes; they are all transitions along a single thermodynamic continuum dictated by the α exponent. This finding establishes RTM as a foundational model capable of diagnosing and indexing any brain state based solely on the physics of its network propagation.