# Response to Referees

## Referee 1

1. Motivate, clarify, and defend what is being done at each stage of discussion. Introduce logical sign-posting.
2. Change “analytic philosophy” to “analytical philosophy” throughout.
3. Distinguish between morality, moral judgments, and moral responsibility.
4. More fully explicate the two opposing concepts of the introduction.
5. Delete (or make more helpful) the reference to Heidegger’s *Dasein* and to guilt.
6. Show clearly what Nietzsche/Kafka/Foucault contribute to the question at hand.
7. The idea that the concept moral responsibility in contemporary life has collapsed requires detailed strong argument.
8. The conclusion seems to introduce at the last minute constructions that could have been helpful if deployed earlier in the discussion.

## Referee 2

1. The paper, as it stands, is textually too dense, and conceptually too sprouting.
2. There is a substantial mismatch between what the author promises to do and what s/he says in the conclusion.
3. Link the paper to contemporary debates.
4. The presentation of Kafka’s view is too brief to be helpful.
5. Replace a misguided popular image of Foucault’s work by a more accurate and more subtle account.
6. Could this more subtle Foucault have a role in the debate with Strawson, and if he could, what would that role be?