

Operating Systems Design

8. Real-Time Scheduling

Paul Krzyzanowski
pxk@cs.rutgers.edu

1

What's wrong with priorities?

- Fixed priorities:
 - Should I be #4? ... #6? ... #15?
- Dynamic priorities
 - I have no idea what my priority is because the CPU changes it!

2

Real-time demands

- We don't always need a LOT of CPU time but we may need it at the right intervals
 - E.g., decode 30 frames per second of video
- We might have tight deadlines
 - E.g., complete task within the next 500 msec.
- Conventional process scheduling algorithms focused on fairness, compromise, and providing the best overall experience

3

Deadlines in real-time systems

- Start time (**release time**)
 - E.g., response to a sensor: start within 20 msec from sense time
- Stop time (**deadline**)
 - Scheduler must allot enough CPU time to complete
- **Hard deadline**
 - There is no value to the computation if it completes after the deadline
 - **Safety critical system**: critical start time and deadline
- **Soft deadline**
 - The value of a late result diminishes with time

4

Process types

- **Terminating process**
 - Runs and exits
 - How much time does it take to run to completion?
 - Deadline = time to finish
- **Nonterminating process**
 - Interested in time between events
 - E.g., fill a 4 KB audio buffer every 500 msec
 - E.g., decode a video frame every 67 msec
 - Compute time = time to compute periodic event
 - Deadline = time to have periodic results ready

5

How much can we do?

- Don't expect magic
- E.g.,
 - decoding 1 video frame takes 20 msec
 - we want to decode 2 video frames at 30 frames/sec
 - *We'll fail*: $2 \times 30 \times 20 = 1200 \text{ msec} > 1000 \text{ msec}$

- If T =period, D =deadline, C =compute time:

$$C \leq D \leq T$$

6

Earliest Deadline Scheduling

- Each process tells OS its time deadline
- Scheduler picks the process in greatest danger of missing its deadline
 - Usually one process runs to completion if it has an earlier deadline
 - Will be preempted if a process with an even earlier deadline starts

7

Least Slack Scheduling

- Consider **remaining time** and **deadline**
- Look not only at the deadline but how much we can procrastinate

$$\text{slack} = (\text{time to deadline}) - (\text{amount of computation})$$
- E.g., suppose
 - C (compute time) = 5 msec
 - D (deadline) = 20 msec from now
 - slack = D - C = 15 msec

8

Least Slack vs. Earliest Deadline First

Earliest Deadline First

- We always work on the earliest deadline process and delay others

Least Slack

- Get a balanced result in that we keep the differences to deadlines balanced

If there's not enough time for everything:

- EDF: may hit only the early deadlines
- LS: all deadlines may be missed but roughly by the same amount

9

Rate monotonic analysis

- Method of assigning static priorities to periodic processes
- Must know all real-time processes running at the same time and their period

10

Assigning priorities


- Highest frequency (smallest period) process gets the highest priority
- Successively lower frequency processes get lower priorities
- Scheduling is via a simple priority scheduler
- If two processes have the same priority, they can round-robin

11

Rate monotonic example

- Process A runs every 50 msec for 20 msec
- Process B runs every 50 msec for 10 msec
- Process C runs every 30 msec for 10 msec

Rate monotonic analysis:
Schedule C first, then A or B



12

