3. REVIEW AND COMPARISON OF MOBILE APP DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORKS BY COMPARISON OF THEIR KEY FEATURES AND WHERE THEY CAN BE USED.

Framework	Language	Performance	Cost & Time to Market	UX & UI	Complexity	Community Support	Where it can be used
React Native	JavaScript	High: Utilizes native components via JavaScript bridge. Performance can suffer for complex UI animations.	Moderate: Single codebase for iOS and Android reduces development time. Some platform- specific customization may be needed.	Good: Native-like feel with platform-specific UI components.	Moderate: Learning curve due to JavaScript and platform- specific nuances.	Large: Extensive community support with active development and frequent updates.	Cross- platform (iOS, Android)
Flutter	Dart	High: Compiles to native code, providing near-native performance.	Low: Hot reload feature speeds up development. Single codebase for iOS and Android reduces time to market.	Excellent: Customizable widgets for pixel- perfect designs.	Moderate: Learning curve for Dart language and Flutter's reactive programming model.	Growing: Active community with increasing adoption and support.	Cross- platform (iOS, Android)
Xamarin	C#	High: Compiles to native code, providing near-native performance.	Moderate: Shared codebase reduces development time, but platform- specific adjustments may be required.	Good: Native UI with Xamarin. Forms or platform-specific UI with Xamarin.iOS and Xamarin.Android.	Moderate: Learning curve for C# and Xamarin APIs.	Large: Established community with strong Microsoft support.	Cross- platform (iOS, Android)
Ionic	HTML/CSS/JS	Moderate: Performance depends on the performance of the	Low: Rapid development with web technologies. Easy deployment to	Good: Utilizes web technologies for flexible and responsive UI.	Low: Easy to learn for web developers. Limited native features may	Large: Active community with extensive documentation and plugins.	Cross- platform (iOS, Android)

		WebView component.	multiple platforms.		require additional plugins.		
NativeScript	JavaScript	High: Direct access to native APIs for optimal performance.	Moderate: Shared codebase reduces development time. Platform- specific adjustments may be needed.	Good: Native UI components for seamless user experience.	Moderate: Learning curve due to JavaScript and native platform APIs.	Moderate: Supportive community with regular updates and plugins.	Cross- platform (iOS, Android)
Swift (iOS)	Swift	High: Native code execution ensures optimal performance on iOS devices.	High: Swift's modern language features and native development environment can speed up development but limited to iOS only.	Excellent: Leverages native iOS UI components for best user experience.	High: Swift can have a steep learning curve for developers new to the language and iOS development.	Large: Robust community supported by Apple's ecosystem.	iOS only
Kotlin (Android)	Kotlin	High: Kotlin compiles to bytecode and runs on the JVM, providing performance comparable to Java.	High: Kotlin's concise syntax and interoperability with Java can accelerate development.	Excellent: Utilizes modern Android UI toolkit (Jetpack) for flexible and intuitive UI.	Moderate: Kotlin's syntax may require adjustment for developers familiar with Java.	Large: Kotlin is officially supported by Google with growing community adoption.	Android only

References:

- $1. \quad \underline{\text{https://theonetechnologies.com/blog/post/flutter-vs-react-native-vs-xamarin-top-cross-platform-mobile-app-development-framework}$
- 2. https://surf.dev/mobile-app-development-frameworks/