


Notes on Electronic Oscillators

Zach Beever

1 Basic theory of linear oscillators

An electronic oscillator produces a stable, periodic signal from a DC power source. In order to sustain itself, an oscillator must make use of positive feedback. Starting from these simple observations, we may draw the basic block diagram of an oscillator,



which has the transfer function

$$\frac{V_{\text{out}}(j\omega)}{V_{\text{in}}(j\omega)} = \frac{A(j\omega)}{1 - A(j\omega)B(j\omega)}$$

At the frequency of oscillation ω_n , the gain of the system must be 1 and the total phase shift of the signal must be 360° . As $V_{\text{in}} = 0$ during oscillation, we must have


$$|A(j\omega_n)B(j\omega_n)| = 1$$

If $A(j\omega_n)$ is purely real, this implies $B(j\omega_n)$ must be real, too. This is the so-called Barkhausen criterion. It amounts to saying that our system must have complex poles on the $j\omega$ axis to exhibit oscillations.

The above is a theoretical ideal; it is impossible to place poles exactly on the $j\omega$ axis in practice. Therefore, real oscillators will always have some nonlinearities that makes such a simple analysis wrong (after all, the above use of transfer functions and block diagrams assumes we can take advantage of the linearity of the system). Nevertheless, this simple introduction is enough to get us started.

2 Simple oscillator design in the frequency domain


As a first swing at creating an oscillator, let's realize $A(j\omega)$ through a standard inverting amplifier topology:



Assuming we're working with frequencies and voltages wherein the operational amplifier is linear and ideal, this provides a phase shift of 180° and gain of R_2/R_1 .

Using the same topology for $B(j\omega)$ would be a bad idea, as the circuit would have no frequency selectivity, i.e. it would amplify everything (including noise). We want $B(j\omega)$ to be some circuit that will provide a phase shift of 180° at a particular frequency. After all, we want to make an oscillator, not a noise machine.


Remembering our studies of circuits, we guess a good first choice for $B(j\omega)$ to be a filter network. (Recall that lowpass and highpass RC circuits produce a phase shift in addition to frequency-dependent attenuation.) Take a look at a simple first order RC highpass filter,



Its transfer function is given by

$$\frac{V_{\text{out}}(j\omega)}{V_{\text{in}}(j\omega)} = \frac{R}{R + \frac{1}{j\omega C}} = \frac{j\omega RC}{1 + j\omega RC}.$$

This has a phase shift of 90° at $\omega = 0 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$, transitioning gradually to 0° as ω increases toward infinity. Since $\omega \neq 0 \text{ rad s}^{-1}$ for a sensible oscillator, we'll need at least three of these to produce a phase shift of 180° . Let's take the naive approach and simply connect 3 of them in series, keeping all C and R values the same to reduce the algebra in the coming steps,



The transfer function of this network is

$$\frac{V_{\text{out}}(j\omega)}{V_{\text{in}}(j\omega)} = \left(\frac{R}{R + Z_C} \right) \left(\frac{R}{R + Z_C + R \parallel Z_C} \right) \left(\frac{R}{R + Z_C + R \parallel (Z_C + R \parallel Z_C)} \right)$$

Setting $Z_C = -j/\omega C$ and feeding this into a computer algebra system yields

$$B(j\omega) = \frac{V_{\text{out}}(j\omega)}{V_{\text{in}}(j\omega)} = \frac{C^4 R^4 \omega^4 (C^2 R^2 \omega^2 - 5)}{C^6 R^6 \omega^6 + 26 C^4 R^4 \omega^4 + 13 C^2 R^2 \omega^2 + 1} + j \frac{C^3 R^3 \omega^3 (6 C^2 R^2 \omega^2 - 1)}{C^6 R^6 \omega^6 + 26 C^4 R^4 \omega^4 + 13 C^2 R^2 \omega^2 + 1}$$

from which we see that $\text{Im}(B(j\omega)) = 0$ when

$$\omega = \omega_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}RC}$$

i.e. $f_n = (2\pi\sqrt{6}RC)^{-1}$. At this frequency, the cascading filter network gives a gain of

$$|B(j\omega_n)| = \frac{1}{29}$$

and so we must choose $R_2 = 29R_1$ in the inverting amplifier used to realize $A(j\omega)$. The complete circuit is then





Figure 1: A phase-shift oscillator built using cascaded filters. The oscillator's frequency is $f_n = (2\pi\sqrt{6}RC)^{-1}$.

where we have used a buffer in the return loop to prevent loading of the filter network by R_1 . The circuit shown above is called a phase-shift oscillator. There are many variants of it, but they all consist of an inverting amplifier and a filter network.

Suppose in our design of this circuit we found the analysis too cumbersome. Another approach is to cascade three buffered filters for $B(j\omega)$, each of which provides a phase shift of 60° (or -60°). This time, let's use the RC lowpass filter as the building block (for sake of variety):



The transfer function of the above circuit is

$$\frac{V_{\text{out}}(j\omega)}{V_{\text{in}}(j\omega)} = \frac{\frac{1}{j\omega C}}{R + \frac{1}{j\omega C}} = \frac{1}{1 + j\omega RC},$$

This attains a phase shift of -60° when

$$\omega RC = \arctan(60^\circ)$$

or

$$\omega = \omega_n = \frac{1}{RC} \frac{\sqrt{3}/2}{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{RC}$$

i.e. $f_n = \sqrt{3}(2\pi RC)^{-1}$. At this frequency, the gain of each filter is $1/2$, making

$$|B(j\omega_n)| = \frac{1}{2^3} = \frac{1}{8}$$

so we must choose $R_2 = 8R_1$ in our realization of $A(j\omega)$. The complete circuit is





Figure 2: A phase-shift oscillator built using buffered filters. The oscillator's frequency is $f_n = \sqrt{3}(2\pi RC)^{-1}$.

In the case of this circuit, as well as in the case of the circuit using the unbuffered filter network, it is important to realize that unaccounted for losses necessitate a value of $R2$ larger than $8R1$ and $29R1$, respectively. While you might be tempted to think this would cause an increase in amplitude for each oscillation period, in reality the finite gain of the operational amplifier keeps this from happening.

3 A time domain approach

As a way to broaden our understanding, let's try our hand at designing an oscillator by thinking in terms of the time domain. Since sinusoids are solutions to second order differential equations, we can try combining two differentiators or two integrators. Integrators are more stable, so let's investigate using them. A generic format for the circuit is



Where we have purposefully avoided connecting the noninverting inputs to ground, expecting some modification to be made. (Try naively connecting two integrators together; nothing happens. Still, we expect *some* combination of two integrators to work, what with it being an active, second order circuit.)

Denoting the noninverting voltage by v_{p_i} —where i indexes the operational amplifier (1 for the left one, 2 for the right one)—the relation between v_{in_i} and v_{out_i} is found by remembering $v_{p_i} = v_{n_i}$ and that no current enters the input terminals,

$$\frac{v_{in_i} - v_{p_i}}{R} = C \frac{d}{dt} (v_{p_i} - v_{out_i})$$

Solving for v_{in_2} and substituting the resulting expression in for v_{out_1} gives

$$\frac{v_{in_1} - v_{p_1}}{R} = C \frac{d}{dt} \left(v_{p_1} - \left[v_{p_2} + RC \frac{d}{dt} (v_{p_2} - v_{out_2}) \right] \right)$$

or

$$v_{in1} = v_{p1} + RC \frac{d}{dt}(v_{p1} - v_{p2}) - R^2 C^2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(v_{p2} - v_{out2})$$

If we had initially set $v_{p1} = v_{p2} = 0$ V, the above expression would simplify to

$$v_{in1} = R^2 C^2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(v_{out2}),$$

which has the solution of an exponential, not a sinusoid. As we would prefer the simplest possible solution, let's try the other possible combinations with only one nonzero input variable. Leaving only v_{p2} nonzero would result in a first order equation, so we opt to examine the case where $v_{in1} = v_{p2} = 0$ V, reducing the input-output relationship to

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(v_{out2}) = -\frac{1}{R^2 C^2} \left(v_{p1} + RC \frac{d}{dt}(v_{p1}) \right)$$

This will have a sinusoidal solution with angular frequency $1/RC$ if the term in parentheses is equal to v_{out2} . This is encouraging, so let's set

$$v_{out2} = v_{p1} + RC \frac{d}{dt}(v_{p1})$$

The derivative of a voltage often shows up in the V - I equation for a capacitor, so we isolate it,

$$\frac{v_{out2} - v_{p1}}{R} = C \frac{d}{dt}(v_{p1})$$

This is a simple result: it tells us that if we connect a resistor between v_{out2} and v_{p1} , and a capacitor between v_{p1} and ground, v_{out2} will be sinusoidal. The finished oscillator circuit is





Figure 3: An oscillator built with two integrators. The oscillator's frequency is $f_n = (2\pi RC)^{-1}$.


4 Nonlinear oscillator design

Of course, we don't have to think of an oscillator in such an abstract manner. Let's try to make a square wave oscillator. This is accomplished by having a digital switch go on and off at a regular rate. One obvious way to make this self-sustaining is to have the switch control itself, albeit with a time delay.

A simple switch topology is that of the comparator built using an operational amplifier,



In the above circuit, the output voltage changes depending on whether the input to the inverting terminal is above (negative output) or below (positive output) the reference voltage at the noninverting terminal. The simplest way to connect a delayed output to the input is to use the output to charge a capacitor between the inverting terminal and ground a la



The problem with this is that the circuit quickly stabilizes to $v_p = v_n$. In order for it to produce sustained oscillations, the reference voltage needs to jump in tandem with the output. Of course, we don't even have to follow the analysis this far: in order for something to oscillate it must have positive feedback, and this is currently missing from the above circuit. We can correct these errors by setting V_- to ground and connecting V_+ to the output.




Figure 4: A relaxation oscillator. The frequency of oscillation is $f_n = -\frac{1}{2RC} \left[\ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+R_1/2R_2} \right) \right]^{-1}$.

We can examine this oscillator in greater detail. Any noise in the circuit will be greatly amplified, setting the output to one of the rail voltages. For the sake of discussion, let's imagine the output saturates to the positive rail. This will cause the capacitor to charge with time constant RC . When the capacitor's voltage goes beyond $v_{\text{out}} \cdot R_2/(R_1 + R_2)$, the inverting input will have a larger voltage than the noninverting input and so the output voltage will jump to the negative rail. From here, the capacitor will discharge with time constant RC until its voltage drops below $v_{\text{out}} \cdot R_2/(R_1 + R_2)$, at which point the output will jump to the positive rail and the process will repeat.

We can figure out the frequency of this circuit by looking at how long it takes the capacitor to swing from one reference voltage to the other. Starting from the negative reference voltage, the capacitor's voltage changes as

$$v_c(t) = \left(V_+ - V_- \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \right) (1 - e^{-t/RC}) + V_- \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}$$

Half of the oscillator's period marks the point when the above equals the positive reference voltage,

$$\left(V_+ - V_- \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \right) (1 - e^{-T/2RC}) + V_- \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} = V_+ \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}$$

With symmetric rails of $\pm V$ volts, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \left(V - V \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \right) (1 - e^{-T/2RC}) - V \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} &= V \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \\ V \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \right) (1 - e^{-T/2RC}) &= 2V \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} \\ 1 - e^{-T/2RC} &= \frac{2 \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}}{1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2}} \\ e^{-T/2RC} &= 1 - \frac{2R_2}{R_1 + 2R_2} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$T = -2RC \ln \left(1 - \frac{2R_2}{R_1 + 2R_2} \right)$$

Inverting this gives us the oscillation frequency


$$f_n = -\frac{1}{2RC} \left[\ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + R_1/2R_2} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

When $R_1 \gg R_2$, we can approximate this expression by

$$f_n \approx \frac{R_1}{4R_2RC} + \frac{1}{4RC}$$


which is linear in R_1 .

Armed with such this new square wave oscillator (called a relaxation oscillator), we can immediately obtain a triangle wave by attaching an integrator to its output,



where we have to make sure the time constant $\tau = R_3C_1$ is larger than the oscillator period, but small enough for the output to acquire an appreciable amplitude.

It's useful to think of how to rearrange circuits without disrupting their behavior. Is there anything we can do to the above circuit's topology without qualitatively changing its output? Instead of using the output of the first operational amplifier to mark each half period, let's see if we can accomplish the same task using the output of the second operational amplifier. Our tentative circuit is



where we are imagining that the first operational amplifier is still generating a square wave (as it must for the output of the second operational amplifier to be a triangle wave).

When the input to our integrator is high, the output voltage will decrease linearly (because the capacitor will charge linearly and its leftmost terminal is held at ground). When the output passes below a certain threshold, we want the first operational amplifier to swing to the negative rail. After that, the capacitor will begin to discharge and the output will increase linearly. When this passes above a certain threshold, we want the first operational amplifier to swing to the positive rail. The process then repeats.

We might be tempted to ground the inverting input of the first operational amplifier and connect its noninverting input to the circuit's output. But this would create extremely fast, small amplitude oscillations, as any deviations from ground would drive the circuit in the opposite direction. Just as when we designed the relaxation oscillator, we need to use the first operational amplifier's output to inform its reference voltage.

Imagine that the first operational amplifier has just swung to the positive rail. In this case, the output voltage is also positive. It then begins to decrease as the capacitor charges. If we attach a voltage divider

between the outputs of both operational amplifiers, the node between the two new resistors will similarly start at a high positive voltage, decreasing in value with time. But it will decrease *slower* than the circuit's output. If we connect this node to the first operational amplifier's noninverting input, our output can swing appreciably beyond ground before the comparator's input reaches ground, thereby solving the problem of the small, rapid oscillations produced by connecting the output of the second operational amplifier to the noninverting input of the first one. The final circuit is




Figure 5: A triangle wave oscillator. The frequency of oscillation is $f_n = \frac{R_1}{R_2} \frac{1}{4RC}$.

This has the advantage of needing one less capacitor than our previous design of a triangle wave oscillator. We can analyze this further to determine the oscillator's frequency. The value of v_{out} that corresponds to a flip in the first operational amplifier's output from positive to negative satisfies

$$(V_+ - v_{\text{out}}) \frac{R_2}{R_1 + R_2} + v_{\text{out}} = 0$$

or, solving for v_{out} ,

$$v_{\text{out}} = -V_+ \frac{R_2}{R_1}.$$

A half period corresponds to the time it takes to charge the capacitor from this value to its positive counterpart. During this entire period, the input to the integrator is V_+ , and so we may write

$$\begin{aligned} 2V_+ \frac{R_2}{R_1} &= \frac{1}{RC} \int_0^{T/2} V_+ dt \\ 2 \frac{R_2}{R_1} &= \frac{1}{RC} \frac{T}{2} \\ 4RC \frac{R_2}{R_1} &= T \end{aligned}$$


Inverting this gives us the oscillation frequency,

$$f_n = \frac{R_1}{R_2} \frac{1}{4RC}$$


We see another advantage to this design is the frequency's linear dependence on one of the resistors.

4.1 Timbral modifications

We can get a lot of mileage out of simple square and triangle wave oscillators. An easy way to extend our repertoire of waveforms is to replace the resistor determining the circuit's time constant with a parallel connection of two different resistors, each in series with a diode. For example,



allows us to independently control the rise and fall times of the triangle wave. This is an easy way to make a saw wave. We can use this same trick in our relaxation oscillator circuit to set the duty cycle,



The trouble with these methods is twofold: (1) the modification they provide is fixed and (2) they alter the frequency of the wave. We can address both of these issues by returning to our most recent triangle oscillator. The output of this can be fed to a comparator to produce a square wave. The reference voltage of the comparator can then be adjusted to change the duty cycle of the square wave without altering the frequency of oscillation. The complete circuit is

