1 Quantum algebra, geometry, and spin

1.1. Make it clear why the action of any Schrodinger evolution is linear, despite the fact that \mathcal{H} may be a highly non-linear function of the ps and qs.

Given that the operator representing a Schrodinger evolution is identified with the partial derivative operator, its linearity is simply a consequence of the linearity of partial derivatives.

1.2. See if you can explain why the $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ integral converges whenever both $\langle \phi | \phi \rangle$ and $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle$ converge. Hint: Consider what is implied by the integral of $|\phi - \lambda \psi|^2$ being non-negative over any finite region of \mathbb{E}^3 , deriving an inequality connecting the square modulus of the integral of $\bar{\phi}\psi$ with the product of the integral of $\bar{\phi}\phi$ with the integral of $\bar{\psi}\psi$. As an intermediate step, find conditions on complex numbers a, b, c, d that imply $a + \lambda b + \bar{\lambda}c + \bar{\lambda}\lambda d \geq 0$ for all λ .

The non-negativity of the integral of $|\phi - \lambda \psi|^2$ over any finite region Ω of \mathbb{E}^3 implies

$$\int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x - \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} \bar{\psi} \phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x + \lambda \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} \bar{\psi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \ge 0.$$

We can rewrite this as

$$\int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x - 2 \mathrm{Re} \left(\lambda \int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \right) + |\lambda|^2 \int_{\Omega} \bar{\psi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \ge 0.$$

If we express the middle term in polar form (with angle θ), we find

$$-2\operatorname{Re}\left(\left|\lambda\int_{\Omega}\bar{\phi}\psi\,\mathrm{d}^{3}x\right|e^{i\theta}\right), = -2\left|\lambda\int_{\Omega}\bar{\phi}\psi\,\mathrm{d}^{3}x\right|\cos\theta$$
$$\geq -2\left|\lambda\int_{\Omega}\bar{\phi}\psi\,\mathrm{d}^{3}x\right|,$$

and hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x - 2|\lambda| \Big| \int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big| + |\lambda|^2 \int_{\Omega} \bar{\psi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \ge 0.$$

This is a quadratic equation in $|\lambda|$. In order for the inequality to hold true, the parabola formed must never dip below the x-axis, i.e. the discriminant of the above equation must be less than or equal to 0. In other words, we have

$$\Big| \int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big|^2 \leq \Big(\int_{\Omega} \bar{\phi} \phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big) \Big(\int_{\Omega} \bar{\psi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big)$$

Since both integrals on the right are convergent, we may take the limit as Ω goes to \mathbb{E}^3 to show that $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ converges,

$$\Big| \int_{\mathbb{B}^3} \bar{\phi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big| \leq \Big| \int_{\mathbb{B}^3} \bar{\phi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big|^2 \leq \Big(\int_{\mathbb{B}^3} \bar{\phi} \phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big) \Big(\int_{\mathbb{B}^3} \bar{\psi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x \Big)$$

1.3. Following on from Exercise [22.2], show that the normalizable wavefunctions indeed constitute a vector space.

Take the standard function space definitions of addition and multiplication as pointwise operations. Clearly, all 'standard properties,' such as associativity and commutativity, are immediately satisfied. We need only check whether the space is closed. If two normalizable (but not necessarily normalized) wave functions ϕ and ψ are added together to produce σ , we may normalize the latter by dividing by \sqrt{D} , where

$$\|\sigma\| = \int \bar{\sigma}\sigma \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int (\bar{\phi} + \bar{\psi})(\phi + \psi) \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \bar{\phi}\phi + \bar{\phi}\psi + \bar{\psi}\phi + \bar{\psi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \bar{\phi}\phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x + \int \bar{\phi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x + \int \bar{\psi}\phi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x + \int \bar{\psi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= A + B + \bar{B} + C$$

$$= D$$

Here, the finite nature of A and C was given by the normalizability of ϕ and ψ . Meanwhile, the finite nature of B and \bar{B} was shown in the previous exercise.

Closure under scalar multiplication is also simple to address. If $\|\phi\| = A$, then, by the linearity of integration, $\|s\phi\| = |s|^2 A$, and hence ϕ can be normalized by dividing by $s\sqrt{A}$.

1.4. Verify this, stating carefully which properties of integration are being used.

Going down the list, we see

$$\langle \phi | \psi + \chi \rangle = \int \bar{\phi}(\psi + \chi) \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \bar{\phi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x + \int \bar{\phi}\chi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \langle \phi | \psi \rangle + \langle \phi | \chi \rangle$$

$$\langle \phi | a \psi \rangle = \int \bar{\phi} a \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= a \int \bar{\phi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= a \langle \phi | \psi \rangle$$

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \int \bar{\phi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \bar{\phi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \bar{\psi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \frac{\int \bar{\psi}\psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x}{\langle \psi | \phi \rangle}$$

where we have used the properties superposition, homogeneity, and commutativity with complex conjugation, respectively.

Finally, if $\psi \neq 0$, then

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \int \bar{\psi} \psi \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$
$$= \int |\psi|^2 \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$
$$\geq 0$$

then

1.5. Show why.

By the third listed property, we see

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi + \chi | \psi \rangle &= \overline{\langle \psi | \phi + \chi \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle \psi | \phi \rangle + \langle \psi | \chi \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle \psi | \phi \rangle} + \overline{\langle \psi | \chi \rangle} \\ &= \langle \phi | \psi \rangle + \langle \chi | \psi \rangle \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \langle a\phi|\psi\rangle &= \overline{\langle \psi|a\phi\rangle} \\ &= \overline{a\langle \psi|\phi\rangle} \\ &= \bar{a}\langle \phi|\psi\rangle \end{split}$$

1.6. Show how $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ can be defined from the norm. *Hint*: Work out the norms of $\phi + \psi$ and $\phi + i\psi$.

Doing as Penrose suggests, we find

$$\begin{split} \|\phi + \psi\| &= \langle \phi + \psi | \phi + \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi | \phi \rangle + \langle \phi | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \phi \rangle + \langle \psi | \psi \rangle \\ &= \|\phi\| + 2 \mathrm{Re}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle) + \|\psi\| \\ \|\phi + i\psi\| &= \langle \phi + i\psi | \phi + i\psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \phi | \phi \rangle + i \langle \phi | \psi \rangle - i \langle \psi | \phi \rangle + \langle \psi | \psi \rangle \\ &= \|\phi\| - 2 \mathrm{Im}(\langle \phi | \psi \rangle) + \|\psi\| \end{split}$$

Therefore, we may define $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$ as

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\|\phi + \psi\| - i \|\phi + i\psi\| \Big)$$

1.7. Spell this argument out a little more fully. Can you explain why we should expect the Leibniz property to hold for a Hilbert-space scalar product?

Because the Hilbert-space scalar product of ϕ and ψ is defined in terms of the convergent integral

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \int \bar{\phi} \psi \mathrm{d}^3 x,$$

we may use the Leibniz integral rule to find

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int \bar{\phi} \psi \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\bar{\phi} \psi \right) \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\bar{\phi}}{\mathrm{d}t} \psi + \bar{\phi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \int \frac{\overline{\mathrm{d}\phi}}{\mathrm{d}t} \psi \mathrm{d}^3 x + \int \bar{\phi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \phi \middle| \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi \middle| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \psi \right\rangle$$

In the above, it was necessary to have the integral be convergent to take the derivative under the integral sign. We have kept the derivative as an ordinary derivative through the assumption that the coordinate parameters of ϕ and ψ do not depend on time.

1.8. Explain all this in detail.

To prove that observables maintain their eigenvalues, we examine the characteristic equation of an arbitrary, time-evolved observable,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{H}} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) &= \det(\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{U}_{t} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{U}_{t} - \lambda \mathbf{U}_{t}^{*} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{U}_{t}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*} [\mathbf{Q} - \lambda \mathbf{I}] \mathbf{U}_{t}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}) \det(\mathbf{Q} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \det(\mathbf{U}_{t}) \\ &= \det(\mathbf{Q} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \end{aligned}$$

Since this is the same as the original observable's characteristic equation, the two share the same set of eigenvalues.

Meanwhile, all scalar products are held because

$$\langle \phi |_{H} \mathbf{Q}_{H} | \psi \rangle_{H} = \langle \phi | \mathbf{U}_{t} \mathbf{U}_{t}^{*} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{U}_{t} \mathbf{U}_{t}^{*} | \psi \rangle$$
$$= \langle \phi | \mathbf{Q} | \psi \rangle$$

1.9. See if you can confirm this.

We can easily verify this by first recalling that

$$\mathbf{U}_t = e^{\frac{i\mathcal{H}t}{\hbar}}.$$

Using the product rule gives

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{H}} &= i\hbar\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big(\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_{t}\Big) \\ &= i\hbar\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big(\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\Big)\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_{t} + i\hbar\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\mathbf{Q}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big(\mathbf{U}_{t}\Big) \\ &= i\hbar\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\Big(-\frac{i\mathcal{H}}{\hbar}\Big)\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_{t} + i\hbar\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_{t}\Big(\frac{i\mathcal{H}}{\hbar}\Big) \\ &= \mathcal{H}\mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_{t} - \mathbf{U}_{t}^{*}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{U}_{t}\mathcal{H} \\ &= \mathcal{H}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{H}} - \mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}\mathcal{H} \\ &= [\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{Q}_{\mathrm{H}}] \end{split}$$

In the above, we have made use of the fact that \mathcal{H} commutes with itself, and hence with the matrix exponential of itself (the time-evolution operator).

1.10. Show that any eigenvalue of a Hermitian operator \mathbf{Q} is indeed a real number.

Consider the action of **Q** on one of its normalized eigenvectors $|\lambda_i\rangle$. We know that

$$\mathbf{Q}|\lambda_i\rangle = \lambda_i|\lambda_i\rangle$$
$$\langle \lambda_i|\mathbf{Q}^* = \langle \lambda_i|\bar{\lambda}_i\rangle$$

Taking the scalar product of both sides of the above yields

$$\langle \lambda_j | \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{Q} | \lambda_i \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_j \lambda_i \langle \lambda_j | \lambda_i \rangle$$

But, since $\mathbf{Q}^* = \mathbf{Q}$, we can apply \mathbf{Q}^2 to $|\lambda\rangle$ to obtain

$$\langle \lambda_j | \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{Q} | \lambda_i \rangle = \lambda_i^2 \langle \lambda_j | \lambda_i \rangle$$

Subtracting one from the other and examining the case when i = j gives

$$|\lambda_i|^2 = \lambda_i^2$$

This can only be true if $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

1.11. See if you can prove this. *Hint*: By considering the expression $\langle \psi | (\mathbf{Q}^* - \bar{\lambda} \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{Q} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) | \psi \rangle$, show first that if $\mathbf{Q} | \psi \rangle = \lambda | \psi \rangle$, then $\mathbf{Q}^* | \psi \rangle = \bar{\lambda} | \psi \rangle$.

Expanding out the above expression gives

$$\langle \psi | (\mathbf{Q}^* - \bar{\lambda} \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{Q} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q}^* \mathbf{Q} | \psi \rangle - \lambda \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q}^* | \psi \rangle - \bar{\lambda} \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q} | \psi \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$$

If λ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{Q} , the lefthand side evaluates to zero. Meanwhile, the righthand side becomes

$$0 = \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^* | \psi \rangle - \lambda \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q}^* | \psi \rangle - |\lambda|^2 \langle \psi | \psi \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^* | \psi \rangle - \lambda \langle \psi | \mathbf{Q}^* | \psi \rangle,$$

where we have made use of the fact that \mathbf{Q} is normal in switching the order of operations of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{Q}^* . Since

$$\mathbf{Q}^*|\psi\rangle = \omega|\psi\rangle \implies \langle\psi|\mathbf{Q} = \bar{\omega}\langle\psi|,$$

the previous equation implies

$$|\omega|^2 \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \lambda \omega \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$$

or simply

$$\bar{\omega}\omega = \lambda\omega$$

That is, $\omega = \bar{\lambda}$, and so

$$\mathbf{Q}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle \implies \mathbf{Q}^*|\psi\rangle = \bar{\lambda}|\psi\rangle$$

Now consider the scalar product of

$$\langle \lambda_j | \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} | \lambda_i \rangle$$

On the one hand, this is equivalent to operating on $|\lambda_i\rangle$ with \mathbf{Q}^2 , which yields

$$\langle \lambda_j | \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} | \lambda_i \rangle = \lambda_i^2 \langle \lambda_j | \lambda_i \rangle$$

On the other, using $\langle \lambda_j | \mathbf{Q} = \lambda_j \langle \lambda_j |$ gives

$$\langle \lambda_j | \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} | \lambda_i \rangle = \lambda_j \lambda_i \langle \lambda_j | \lambda_i \rangle.$$

Subtracting one from the other yields the condition

$$\lambda_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_i)\langle \lambda_i | \lambda_i \rangle = 0.$$

If all of the eigenvalues are distinct and nonzero, the only way for such a condition to hold when $i \neq j$ is when $\langle \lambda_j | \lambda_i \rangle = 0$, i.e. when the eigenvectors of **Q** are mutually orthogonal.

1.12. Show this, from the algebraic properties of $\langle | \rangle$ by methods used in Exercise [22.2].

In 22.2, we showed that

$$\langle \phi | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \phi \rangle \le \langle \phi | \phi \rangle \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$$

from which it immediately follows that

$$\frac{\langle \phi | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle \langle \psi | \psi \rangle} \le 1$$

If $\phi = C\psi$, we this becomes

$$\frac{\langle \phi | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \phi \rangle}{\langle \phi | \phi \rangle \langle \psi | \psi \rangle} = \frac{\bar{C} \langle \psi | \psi \rangle C \langle \psi | \psi \rangle}{\bar{C} C \langle \psi | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \psi \rangle} = 1.$$

1.13. Show that if an observable \mathbf{Q} satisfies some polynomial equation, then every one of its eigenvalues satisfies the same equation.

Suppose we have

$$a_n \mathbf{Q}^n + a_{n-1} \mathbf{Q}^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 \mathbf{Q} + a_0 = 0.$$

Acting on this with $|q\rangle$, an eigenvector of **Q**, reveals

$$(a_n q^n + a_{n-1} q^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 q + a_0)|q\rangle = 0.$$

Since $|q\rangle$ is not the zero vector, we must have

$$a_n q^n + a_{n-1} q^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 q + a_0 = 0$$

1.14. Show this.

Using $\mathbf{E}^* = \mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E}^2 = \mathbf{E}$, we see

$$\langle \psi | \mathbf{E}^* (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}) | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}) | \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi | \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^2 | \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi | \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} | \psi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \psi | \mathbf{0} | \psi \rangle$$

$$= 0$$

i.e. $\mathbf{E}|\psi\rangle$ and $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E})|\psi\rangle$ are orthogonal.

1.15. Why?

By the Pythagorean theorem, we have

$$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E})^* (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}) | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \mathbf{E}^* \mathbf{E} | \psi \rangle$$

Therefore, probability of either projection (onto **E** or orthogonal to it) is described by the amount the norm of $|\psi\rangle$ is reduced in such a space.

1.16. Can you see a simple reason for this?

If we do as Penrose suggests and think of a photon spinning about its direction of motion, we can see that a 180° change in direction that leaves its direction of spin unaltered must necessarily flip the polarization of the photon.

1.17. Explain more fully why the correct answer is given by 'projection'.

As Penrose points out, the measuring device can only tell us yes or no. Because of this, we might expect to find a measurement of either one would simply indicate that the particle is within the associated eigenspace, but it is more precise than this. The original state has an effect on the final. Following Penrose's example, where $|\rho+\rangle$ and $|\rho-\rangle$ are in the no eigenspace and $|\tau+\rangle$ and $|\tau-\rangle$ span the yes eigenspace, we find a measurement of makes the following mapping of states

$$\begin{split} |\tau+\rangle + |\rho+\rangle &\rightarrow |\rho+\rangle \\ |\tau+\rangle + |\rho-\rangle &\rightarrow |\rho-\rangle \\ |\tau-\rangle + |\rho+\rangle &\rightarrow |\rho+\rangle \\ |\tau-\rangle + |\rho-\rangle &\rightarrow |\rho-\rangle \end{split}$$

This is most appropriately captured by projection.

- 1.18. Use quaternions to check this.
- 1.19. Check this. Explain how their multiplication rules relate to those of quaternions.

We first compute all necessary products,

$$\mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{2} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_{3}
\mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{1} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_{3}
\mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{3} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_{1}
\mathbf{L}_{3}\mathbf{L}_{2} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_{1}
\mathbf{L}_{3}\mathbf{L}_{1} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}
\mathbf{L}_{3}\mathbf{L}_{1} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar^{2}}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_{2}$$

From the above, we can immediately see

$$\mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{2} - \mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{1} = i\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{L}_{3} + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{L}_{3} = i\hbar\mathbf{L}_{3}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{2}\mathbf{L}_{3} - \mathbf{L}_{3}\mathbf{L}_{2} = i\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{L}_{1} + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{L}_{1} = i\hbar\mathbf{L}_{1}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{3}\mathbf{L}_{1} - \mathbf{L}_{1}\mathbf{L}_{3} = i\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{L}_{2} + i\frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{L}_{2} = i\hbar\mathbf{L}_{2}$$

From the products we computed at the beginning of this exercise, we see

$$\mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{L}_2 \mathbf{L}_3 = \frac{i\hbar}{2} \mathbf{L}_3^2 = \frac{i\hbar^3}{8} \mathbf{I}$$

If we drop the factors of $\hbar/2$ from these matrices, there is a clear correspondence between their products and the multiplication rules for quaternions. The primary difference is the additional factor of i in the Pauli products.

1.20. Do this explicitly.

Let's pick (arbitrarily) the generator corresponding to the first Pauli matrix,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{L}_1 = -\frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Noting that $\mathcal{L}_1^2 = -\mathbf{I}/4$, we can exponentiate this through an angle θ to find

$$\exp(\theta \mathcal{L}_{1}) = \mathbf{I} + \theta \mathcal{L}_{1} + \frac{1}{2!} \theta^{2} \mathcal{L}_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{3!} \theta^{3} \mathcal{L}_{1}^{3} + \frac{1}{4!} \theta^{4} \mathcal{L}_{1}^{4} + \cdots$$

$$= \left[\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\theta^{2}}{4} \mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{4!} \frac{\theta^{4}}{16} \mathbf{I} + \cdots \right] + \left[\theta \mathcal{L}_{1} - \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\theta^{3}}{4} \mathcal{L}_{1} + \cdots \right]$$

$$= \left[1 - \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{4!} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{4} + \cdots \right] \mathbf{I} + \left[\frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{3} + \cdots \right] \mathcal{L}_{1}$$

$$= \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \mathbf{I} + \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \mathcal{L}_{1}$$

If $\theta = 2\pi$, this becomes

$$\exp(2\pi\mathcal{L}_1) = \cos(\pi)\mathbf{I} + \sin(\pi)\mathcal{L}_1 = -\mathbf{I}.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_i^2 = -\mathbf{I}/4$ holds for each generator corresponding to a Pauli matrix, we would have arrived at the same result if we were to choose \mathcal{L}_2 or \mathcal{L}_3 instead of \mathcal{L}_1 .

1.21. See if you can work this out, from the information given.

The symmetry of the indices of the spin-tensor, coupled with their restriction to either 0 or 1, implies that the only meaningful distinction between two spin-tensors is the number of 1s (or, equivalently, the number of 0s) they have in their indicial signature. This can range from 0 to n for a total of n+1 independent components.

1.22. Check this commutation directly from the angular-momentum commutation rules.

For ease of reference, the angular-momentum commutation rules are

$$[\mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{L}_j] = i\hbar \epsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{L}_k,$$

where no summation is implied. Using the above, together with the identity [AB, C] = A[B, C] + [A, C]B, we find

$$\begin{split} [\mathbf{J}^2, \mathbf{L}_i] &= [\mathbf{L}_1^2 + \mathbf{L}_2^2 + \mathbf{L}_3^2, \mathbf{L}_i] \\ &= [\mathbf{L}_1^2, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_2^2, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_2^2, \mathbf{L}_i] \\ &= \mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_i]\mathbf{L}_1 + \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_i]\mathbf{L}_2 + \mathbf{L}_3[\mathbf{L}_3, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_3, \mathbf{L}_i]\mathbf{L}_3 \end{split}$$

Because the above expression is symmetric in the indices 1, 2, and 3, we can rewrite it (using $[\mathbf{L}_i, \mathbf{L}_i] = 0$) as

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{J}^2, \mathbf{L}_i] &= \mathbf{L}_j[\mathbf{L}_j, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_j, \mathbf{L}_i]\mathbf{L}_j + \mathbf{L}_k[\mathbf{L}_k, \mathbf{L}_i] + [\mathbf{L}_k, \mathbf{L}_i]\mathbf{L}_k \\ &= i\hbar(\epsilon_{jik}\mathbf{L}_j\mathbf{L}_k + \epsilon_{jik}\mathbf{L}_k\mathbf{L}_j + \epsilon_{kij}\mathbf{L}_k\mathbf{L}_j + \epsilon_{kij}\mathbf{L}_j\mathbf{L}_k) \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{jik}(\mathbf{L}_j\mathbf{L}_k + \mathbf{L}_k\mathbf{L}_j) + i\hbar\epsilon_{kij}(\mathbf{L}_k\mathbf{L}_j + \mathbf{L}_j\mathbf{L}_k) \\ &= i\hbar\epsilon_{jik}(\mathbf{L}_j\mathbf{L}_k + \mathbf{L}_k\mathbf{L}_j) - i\hbar\epsilon_{jik}(\mathbf{L}_k\mathbf{L}_j + \mathbf{L}_j\mathbf{L}_k) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where it is implied that $i \neq j \neq k$.

- 1.23. Consider the operators $\mathbf{L}^+ = \mathbf{L}_1 + i\mathbf{L}_2$ and $\mathbf{L}^- = \mathbf{L}_1 i\mathbf{L}_2$ and work out their commutators with \mathbf{L}_3 . Work out \mathbf{J}^2 in terms of \mathbf{L}^{\pm} and \mathbf{L}_3 . Show that if $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of \mathbf{L}_3 , then so also is each of $\mathbf{L}^{\pm}|\psi\rangle$, whenever it is non-zero, and find its eigenvalue in terms of that of $|\psi\rangle$. Show that if $|\psi\rangle$ belongs to a finite-dimensional irreducible representation space spanned by such eigenstates, then the dimension is an integer 2j, where j(j+1) is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{J}^2 for all states in the space.
- 1.24. Why?

If the uncertainty in momentum is zero, the uncertainty in position must be maximum: the state is spread throughout the entire space of variables \mathbf{x} .

1.25. Obtain this expression.

We have

$$\begin{split} \langle \{a,b\} | \{w,z\} \rangle &= \left(\langle \uparrow | \bar{a} + \langle \downarrow | \bar{b} \right) \left(w | \uparrow \rangle + z | \downarrow \rangle \right) \\ &= \bar{a} w \langle \uparrow | \uparrow \rangle + \bar{a} z \langle \uparrow | \downarrow \rangle + \bar{b} w \langle \downarrow | \uparrow \rangle + \bar{b} z \langle \downarrow | \downarrow \rangle \\ &= \bar{a} w + \bar{b} z \end{split}$$

- 1.26. See if you can derive this fact in two different ways: (i) finding the direction explicitly in some suitable Cartesian frame, where the state $\{a,b\}$ defines b/a as a point on the complex plane of Fig. 8.7a; (ii) without direct calculation, using the fact that because \mathbf{H}^2 is a representation space of SO(3), every direction of spin is included, yet $\mathbb{P}\mathbf{H}^2$ is not 'big enough' to contain any more states than this.
- 1.27. Show this.

The probability of obtaining a measurement of \nearrow when the state is \nwarrow is given by the squared projection of one onto the other,

$$\|\langle \nearrow | \nwarrow \rangle\|^2$$
.

If we use a spherical system of coordinates with the zenith aligned along the \nwarrow state, then the above expression is dependent only on the zenith angle θ that the \nearrow state makes with respect to this axis. In particular, we see that it is 1 when θ is 0^circ , 0 when θ is 180° , and that it should vary as $\cos \theta$ (being a projection). The expression that meets these requirements is

$$\|\langle \nearrow | \nwarrow \rangle\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta).$$

1.28. Confirm this.

This is exactly what we have done in the previous problem. The division by the diameter is simply enacting the normalization required by a well-defined probability.

- 1.29. Verify all this. Why do I not worry about the sign of q?
- 1.30. See if you can prove this, using the 'fundamental theorem of algebra' stated in Note 4.2. *Hint*: Consider the polynomial $\psi_{AB...F}\zeta^A\zeta^B\cdot\zeta^F$, where the components of ζ^4 are $\{1,z\}$.
- 1.31. See if you can show all this using the geometry of §22.9. Apply this result to the orthogonality of the various eigenstates of L_3 .
- 1.32. Can you derive this spherical polar expression?

An easy way to get the metric on S^2 is to rewrite the line element using spherical coordinates and simply read off the components. The relationships between Cartesian and spherical coordinates are

given by simple geometrical considerations,

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi$$
$$y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi$$
$$z = r \cos \theta$$

Setting r=1, taking the differential of the above equations, and squaring the results gives

$$dx = \cos \theta \cos \phi d\theta - \sin \theta \sin \phi d\phi$$

$$dx^{2} = \cos^{2} \theta \cos^{2} \phi d\theta^{2} - 2\cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \theta \sin \phi d\theta d\phi + \sin^{2} \theta \sin^{2} \phi d\phi^{2}$$

$$dy = \cos \theta \sin \phi \, d\theta + \sin \theta \cos \phi \, d\phi$$

$$dy^{2} = \cos^{2} \theta \sin^{2} \phi \, d\theta^{2} + 2 \cos \theta \cos \phi \sin \theta \sin \phi \, d\theta d\phi + \sin^{2} \theta \cos^{2} \phi \, d\phi^{2}$$

$$dz = -\sin\theta \,d\theta$$
$$dz^2 = \sin^2\theta \,d\theta^2$$

The line element is given by

$$ds^{2} = dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}$$

$$= \cos^{2}\theta(\cos^{2}\phi + \sin^{2}\phi)d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta(\cos^{2}\phi + \sin^{2}\phi)d\phi^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\theta^{2}$$

$$= (\cos^{2}\theta + \sin^{2}\theta)d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}$$

$$= d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}$$

and so, with coordinates ordered as (θ, ϕ) , the metric takes on the form

$$g_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad g^{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin^{-2} \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this, we can calculate the Christoffel symbols neccessary to compute the covariant derivative with

$$\Gamma^{a}_{bc} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ad} \left(\frac{\partial g_{db}}{\partial x^{c}} + \frac{\partial g_{dc}}{\partial x^{b}} - \frac{\partial g_{bc}}{\partial x^{d}} \right).$$

These are

$$\Gamma^{\theta}_{\theta\theta} = \Gamma^{\theta}_{\theta\phi} = \Gamma^{\theta}_{\phi\theta} = \Gamma^{\phi}_{\theta\theta} = \Gamma^{\phi}_{\phi\phi} = 0$$
$$\Gamma^{\theta}_{\phi\phi} = -\sin\theta\cos\theta$$
$$\Gamma^{\phi}_{\theta\phi} = \Gamma^{\phi}_{\phi\theta} = \cot\theta$$

The Laplacian on a scalar is then

$$\begin{split} \nabla^2 \Phi &= g^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b \Phi \\ &= g^{ab} \nabla_a \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^b} \\ &= g^{ab} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^a \partial x^b} - \Gamma^c_{ab} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^c} \right) \\ &= g^{\theta \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \theta^2} + g^{\phi \phi} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \phi^2} - \Gamma^{\theta}_{\phi \phi} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} \end{split}$$

which implies

$$\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}$$

on S^2 .

1.33. Explain why the points are antipodal.

Fig. 22.10 tells us that the components of a spinor $\{w, z\}$ map from the Riemann sphere to the point in the complex plane

$$u = \frac{z}{w}$$

where u can also be found by stereographically projecting the spinor from the Riemann sphere to the complex plane via the south pole.

In this case, the projected points are

$$u = e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}$$
 $v = -e^{-i\phi} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}$

where $u = \xi^1/\xi^0$ and $v = \eta^1/\eta^0$. Mapping u and v to the x-y plane, we can check their three-dimensional cartesian coordinates by

$$x = \frac{2\text{Re}(w)}{1 + |w|^2}$$
$$y = \frac{2\text{Im}(w)}{1 + |w|^2}$$
$$z = \frac{1 - |w|^2}{1 + |w|^2}$$

The points u and v can be rewritten as

$$u = \cos\phi \tan\frac{\theta}{2} - i\sin\phi \tan\frac{\theta}{2}$$
$$v = -\cos\phi \cot\frac{\theta}{2} + i\sin\phi \cot\frac{\theta}{2}$$

where $|u|^2 = \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $|v|^2 = \cot^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$. From this, we see that u maps to the point

$$\frac{1}{1+\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos\phi\tan\frac{\theta}{2} \\ -2\sin\phi\tan\frac{\theta}{2} \\ 1-\tan^2\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

while v maps to the point

$$\frac{1}{1+\cot^2\frac{\theta}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -2\cos\phi\cot\frac{\theta}{2} \\ 2\sin\phi\cot\frac{\theta}{2} \\ 1-\cot^2\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

If we multiply every coordinate of the above point by $(\tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2})/(\tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2})$, we find it becomes

$$\frac{1}{\tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + 1} \begin{pmatrix} -2\cos\phi \tan\frac{\theta}{2} \\ 2\sin\phi \tan\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is the negative of the point u mapped to, i.e. the two points are antipodal on the Riemann sphere. Thus, the given spinors represent antipodal points.

1.34. Calculate the ordinary spherical harmonics explicitly this way (up to an overall factor) for j = 1, 2, 3. Check that they are indeed eigenstates of ∇^2 and $\partial/\partial\phi$.

This question isn't as bad as it seems, we just have to remember the symmetry of the resulting product. For reference, the needed spinors are

$$\begin{split} \{\xi^0, \xi^1\} &= e^{i\phi/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}, e^{-i\phi/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \{\eta^0, \eta^1\} &= -e^{i\phi/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}, e^{-i\phi/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{split}$$

For j = 1, we have three values of m. The spherical harmonics corresponding to these can be found via

$$\begin{split} Y_1^1(\theta,\phi) &\propto \xi^0 \eta^0 = -e^{i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \propto e^{i\phi} \sin \theta \\ Y_1^0(\theta,\phi) &\propto \xi^0 \eta^1 + \xi^1 \eta^0 = \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \propto \cos \theta \\ Y_1^{-1}(\theta,\phi) &\propto \xi^1 \eta^1 = e^{-i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \propto e^{-i\phi} \sin \theta \end{split}$$

Things become more tricky for the j=2 case. While the extremum values of m are simple enough,

$$Y_2^2(\theta,\phi) \propto \xi^0 \xi^0 \eta^0 \eta^0 = e^{i2\phi} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \propto e^{i2\phi} \sin^2 \theta$$
$$Y_2^{-2}(\theta,\phi) \propto \xi^1 \xi^1 \eta^1 \eta^1 = e^{-i2\phi} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \propto e^{-i2\phi} \sin^2 \theta$$

the others are more tediuous. For m=1 we have

$$\begin{split} Y_2^1(\theta,\phi) &\propto \xi^1 \xi^0 \eta^0 \eta^0 + \xi^0 \xi^1 \eta^0 \eta^0 + \xi^0 \xi^0 \eta^1 \eta^0 + \xi^0 \xi^0 \eta^0 \eta^1 \\ &= 2 \xi^1 \xi^0 \eta^0 \eta^0 + 2 \xi^0 \xi^0 \eta^1 \eta^0 \\ &= 2 e^{i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^3 \frac{\theta}{2} - 2 e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^3 \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &= 2 e^{i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} (\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}) \\ &\propto e^{i\phi} \sin \theta \cos \theta \\ &\propto e^{i\phi} \sin 2\theta \\ Y_2^0(\theta,\phi) &\propto \xi^1 \xi^1 \eta^0 \eta^0 + 4 \xi^1 \xi^0 \eta^1 \eta^0 + \xi^0 \xi^0 \eta^1 \eta^1 \\ &= \sin^4 \frac{\theta}{2} - 4 \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \cos^4 \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &\propto 2 - 3 \sin^2 \theta \\ Y_2^{-1}(\theta,\phi) &\propto 2 \xi^1 \xi^1 \eta^1 \eta^0 + 2 \xi^0 \xi^1 \eta^1 \eta^1 \\ &= -2 e^{-i\phi} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^3 \frac{\theta}{2} + 2 e^{-i\phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos^3 \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &\propto e^{-i\phi} \sin 2\theta \end{split}$$

where we have made use of extensive trigonometric identities in the intervening steps, most notably $\cos\theta\sin\theta = \sin(2\theta)/2$ and $\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta = -\cos 2\theta$. In the case of $Y_2^0(\theta,\phi)$, a computer algebra system was employed. We will refrain from calculating the spherical harmonics corresponding to j=3 because the method is sufficiently clear from the above.

That each $Y_i^j(\theta,\phi)$ is an eigenfunction of $\partial/\partial\phi$ is immediately seen. While one could use the previously found Laplacian to check the harmonic nature of each of these functions, we will refrain from doing so and note that the found functions correspond directly to the known spherical harmonics up to a scale factor (and hence must satisfy the Laplacian).

1.35. Show that the commutators given in §22.8 for 3-dimensional angular momentum are contained in these.

- 1.36. Work out the details of these claims—where you may assume, for convenience, that the eigenvalues form a discrete rather than a continuous system. Assume first that there are no degenerate eigenvalues, and then show how the argument carries through when there are degeneracies. *Hint*: Express each eigenvector of **A** in terms of eigenvectors of **B**, and so on.
- 1.37. Establish the properties claimed in these four sentences.
- 1.38. Provide a simple reason why these two displayed operators must commute with p_a and M^{ab} . Hint: Have a look at §22.13.

The system's rest mass and its total angular momentum in its rest frame are part of its 'internal workings' in the sense of §22.13, and so the given operators must commute with the the symmetry operators p_a and M^{ab} .

1.39. How can S_a and p_a be orthogonal and proportional?

In the massless case $p_a p^a = 0$, and so

$$S_a p^a = s p_a p^a = 0,$$

i.e. S_a and p_a are both proportional and orthogonal.

1.40. Explain why. Hint: A glance at §22.4 may help.

If the internal state of the system and the observable $\mathbf Q$ are unchanged by some symmetry operation, then

$$|\psi\rangle \to \mathbf{S}|\psi\rangle$$

implies we must have

$$\langle \psi | \mathbf{Q} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \mathbf{S}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S} | \psi \rangle.$$

This is possible only if $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{S}^{-1}$.