

$$2. \quad \forall x ((\forall y (P_1(x, y) \rightarrow P_2(y) \vee P_3(y))) \rightarrow ((\forall y (P_1(x, y) \rightarrow P_2(y))) \vee (\forall y (P_1(x, y) \rightarrow P_3(y))))))$$

First we simplify the implication symbols highlighted in green.

$$\forall x (\neg (\neg \forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y) \vee P_3(y))) \vee ((\forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y))) \vee (\forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_3(y))))))$$

We can then coalesce the second and third terms disjoined together.

$$\forall x (\neg (\forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y) \vee P_3(y))) \vee (\forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y) \vee \neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_3(y))))$$

We can then simplify with the identity $x \vee x = x$

$$\forall x (\neg (\forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y) \vee P_3(y))) \vee (\forall y (\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y) \vee P_3(y))))$$

And then we substitute $P_4(x, y)$ for $\neg P_1(x, y) \vee P_2(y) \vee P_3(y)$

$$\forall x (\neg (\forall y P_4(x, y)) \vee (\forall y P_4(x, y)))$$

We can therefore see this is a tautology as

$$\forall x \forall y \neg P_4 \vee P_4 \text{ is always true. QED.}$$