

# Discrete Mathematics: Homework #2

Due on February 1, 2025 at 4:00pm

*Professor Satish Rao*

**Zachary Brandt**  
zbrandt@berkeley.edu

## Problem 1: Universal Preference

Suppose that preferences in a stable matching system are universal: all  $n$  jobs share the preferences  $C_1 > C_2 > \dots > C_n$  and all candidates share the preferences  $J_1 > J_2 > \dots > J_n$ .

- What pairing do we get from running the algorithm with jobs proposing? Prove that this happens for all  $n$ .
- What pairing do we get from running the algorithm with candidates proposing? Justify your answer.
- What does this tell us about the number of stable pairings? Justify your answer.

### Part A

The pairings we get from running the stable matching algorithm with jobs proposing is one where, for an index  $i$ , candidate  $C_i$  is paired with job  $J_i$ , i.e., the pairings we get are  $\{(C_1, J_1), (C_2, J_2), \dots, (C_n, J_n)\}$ .

To prove that this happens for all  $n$ , I'll use the principle of induction to prove the *base case* and the *inductive step*.

- Base Case:** When  $n = 1$ , the claim holds since there is only one possible pairing  $(C_1, J_1)$ .
- Inductive Hypothesis:** Assume that the pairing we get is  $\{(C_1, J_1), (C_2, J_2), \dots, (C_k, J_k)\}$  for some value of  $n = k$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Inductive Step:** For  $n = k + 1$ , we can show that the preferences and matching are the following:

Jobs	Candidates	Candidates	Jobs
$J_1$	$C_1 > C_2 > \dots > C_k > C_{k+1}$	$C_1$	$J_1 > J_2 > \dots > J_k > J_{k+1}$
$J_2$	$C_1 > C_2 > \dots > C_k > C_{k+1}$	$C_2$	$J_1 > J_2 > \dots > J_k > J_{k+1}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$J_k$	$C_1 > C_2 > \dots > C_k > C_{k+1}$	$C_k$	$J_1 > J_2 > \dots > J_k > J_{k+1}$
$J_{k+1}$	$C_1 > C_2 > \dots > C_k > C_{k+1}$	$C_{k+1}$	$J_1 > J_2 > \dots > J_k > J_{k+1}$

The algorithm takes  $k + 1$  days to produce a stable matching. The resulting pairing is as follows. The circles indicate the job that a candidate picked on a given day (and rejected the rest).

Candidate	Day 1	Day 2	...	Day $k$	Day $k + 1$
$C_1$	①, 2, ..., $k, k + 1$	①	...	①	①
$C_2$		②, ..., $k, k + 1$	...	②	②
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$C_k$				Ⓚ, $k + 1$	Ⓚ
$C_{k+1}$					Ⓚ+1

It takes 1 more day for the algorithm to terminate, and since candidate  $C_{k+1}$  is least preferred by all jobs, it will be proposed to last. The job proposing will be job  $J_{k+1}$ , since it is least preferred by all candidates. Therefore, we get a pairing  $\{(C_1, J_1), (C_2, J_2), \dots, (C_k, J_k), (C_{k+1}, J_{k+1})\}$ . By induction, we have shown that we get a pairing  $\{(C_1, J_1), (C_2, J_2), \dots, (C_n, J_n)\}$  for all  $n$ .

**Part B**

The pairings we get from running the stable matching algorithm with jobs proposing is one where, for an index  $i$ , candidate  $C_i$  is paired with job  $J_i$ , i.e., the pairings we get are  $\{(C_1, J_1), (C_2, J_2), \dots, (C_n, J_n)\}$ .

**Part C**

Because both the job-optimal and candidate-optimal stable matching algorithms produce the same pairing, there is only 1 stable pairing.