Isabelle/HOL Exercises Lists

Searching in Lists

Define a function first_pos that computes the index of the first element in a list that satisfies a given predicate:

```
first\_pos :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat
```

The smallest index is 0. If no element in the list satisfies the predicate, the behaviour of first_pos should be as described below.

```
primrec first_pos :: "('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat" where "first_pos P [] = 0" | "first_pos P (x # xs) = (if P x then 0 else Suc (first_pos P xs))"
```

Verify your definition by computing

- the index of the first number equal to 3 in the list [1::nat, 3, 5, 3, 1],
- the index of the first number greater than 4 in the list [1::nat, 3, 5, 7],
- the index of the first list with more than one element in the list [[], [1, 2], [3]].

Note: Isabelle does not know the operators > and \ge . Use < and \le instead.

```
lemma "first_pos (\lambda x. x = 3) [1::nat, 3, 5, 3, 1] = 1" by auto
```

```
lemma "first_pos (\lambda x. 4 < x) [1::nat, 3, 5, 7] = 2" by auto
```

```
lemma "first_pos (\lambda x. 1 < length x) [[], [1, 2], [3]] = 1" by auto
```

Prove that first_pos returns the length of the list if and only if no element in the list satisfies the given predicate.

```
lemma "list_all (\lambda x. \neg P x) xs = (first_pos P xs = length xs)" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

Now prove:

```
lemma "list_all (\lambda x. \neg P x) (take (first_pos P xs) xs)" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

How can $first_pos$ (λ x. P x \vee Q x) xs be computed from $first_pos$ P xs and $first_pos$ Q xs? Can something similar be said for the conjunction of P and Q? Prove your statement(s).

```
lemma "first_pos (\lambda x. P x \vee Q x) xs = min (first_pos P xs) (first_pos Q xs)" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

For \wedge , only a lower bound can be given.

```
lemma "max (first_pos P xs) (first_pos Q xs) \leq first_pos (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) xs" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

Suppose P implies Q. What can be said about the relation between $first_pos\ P$ xs and $first_pos\ Q$ xs? Prove your statement.

```
lemma "(\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q x) \longrightarrow first_pos Q xs \leq first_pos P xs" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

Define a function **count** that counts the number of elements in a list that satisfy a given predicate.

```
primrec count :: "('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat" where "count P [] = 0" | "count P (x # xs) = (if P x then Suc (count P xs) else (count P xs))"
```

Show: The number of elements with a given property stays the same when one reverses a list with **rev**. The proof will require a lemma.

```
lemma count_append[simp]: "count P (xs @ ys) = count P xs + count P ys"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
```

lemma "count P xs = count P (rev xs)"

```
apply (induct xs)
apply auto
done

Find and prove a connection between the two functions filter and count.
lemma "length (filter P xs) = count P xs"
apply (induct xs)
apply auto
done
```