Isabelle/HOL Exercises Trees, Inductive Data Types

Representation of Propositional Formulae by Polynomials

Let the following data type for propositional formulae be given:

```
datatype form = T | Var nat | And form form | Xor form form
```

Here T denotes a formula that is always true, Var n denotes a propositional variable, its name given by a natural number, And f1 f2 denotes the AND combination, and Xor f1 f2 the XOR (exclusive or) combination of two formulae. A constructor F for a formula that is always false is not necessary, since F can be expressed by Xor T T.

Exercise 1: Define a function

evalf

that evaluates a formula under a given variable assignment.

definition

```
xor :: "bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool" where
"xor x y \equiv (x \land \neg y) \lor (\neg x \land y)"

primrec evalf :: "(nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow form \Rightarrow bool" where
"evalf e T = True"
| "evalf e (Var i) = e i"
| "evalf e (And f1 f2) = (evalf e f1 \land evalf e f2)"
| "evalf e (Xor f1 f2) = xor (evalf e f1) (evalf e f2)"
```

Propositional formulae can be represented by so-called *polynomials*. A polynomial is a list of lists of propositional variables, i.e. an element of type nat list list. The inner lists (the so-called *monomials*) are interpreted as conjunctive combination of variables, whereas the outer list is interpreted as exclusive-or combination of the inner lists.

Exercise 2: Define two functions

```
evalm :: (nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow bool evalp :: (nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow nat list list \Rightarrow bool
```

for evaluation of monomials and polynomials under a given variable assignment. In particular think about how empty lists have to be evaluated.

```
primrec evalm :: "(nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow bool" where
  "evalm e [] = True"
| "evalm e (x # xs) = (e x \land evalm e xs)"
primrec evalp :: "(nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow nat list list \Rightarrow bool" where
  "evalp e [] = False"
| "evalp e (m # p) = xor (evalm e m) (evalp e p)"
Exercise 3: Define a function
poly :: form \Rightarrow nat list list
that turns a formula into a polynomial. You will need an auxiliary function
mulpp :: nat list list <math>\Rightarrow nat list list \Rightarrow nat list list
to "multiply" two polynomials, i.e. to compute
((v_1^1 \odot \cdots \odot v_{m_1}^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (v_1^k \odot \cdots \odot v_{m_k}^k)) \odot ((w_1^1 \odot \cdots \odot w_{n_1}^1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (w_1^l \odot \cdots \odot w_{n_l}^l))
where \oplus denotes "exclusive or", and \odot denotes "and". This is done using the usual calcu-
lation rules for addition and multiplication.
primrec mulpp :: "nat list list \Rightarrow nat list list \Rightarrow nat list list" where
  "mulpp [] q = []"
| "mulpp (m # p) q = map (op @ m) q @ (mulpp p q)"
primrec poly :: "form ⇒ nat list list" where
  "poly T = [[]]"
| "poly (Var i) = [[i]]"
| "poly (Xor f1 f2) = poly f1 @ poly f2"
| "poly (And f1 f2) = mulpp (poly f1) (poly f2)"
Exercise 4: Now show correctness of your function poly:
theorem poly_correct: "evalf e f = evalp e (poly f)"
It is useful to prove a similar correctness theorem for mulpp first.
lemma evalm_app: "evalm e (xs @ ys) = (evalm e xs ∧ evalm e ys)"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
lemma evalp_app: "evalp e (xs @ ys) = (xor (evalp e xs) (evalp e ys))"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply (auto simp add: xor_def)
done
```

```
theorem mulmp_correct: "evalp e (map (op @ m) p) = (evalm e m \lambda evalp e p)"
   apply (induct p)
   apply (auto simp add: xor_def evalm_app)
done

theorem mulpp_correct: "evalp e (mulpp p q) = (evalp e p \lambda evalp e q)"
   apply (induct p)
   apply (auto simp add: xor_def mulmp_correct evalp_app)
done

theorem poly_correct: "evalf e f = evalp e (poly f)"
   apply (induct f)
   apply (auto simp add: xor_def mulpp_correct evalp_app)
done
```