Isabelle/HOL Exercises Trees, Inductive Data Types

Folding Lists and Trees

Some more list functions

Recall the summation function

```
primrec sum :: "nat list \Rightarrow nat" where "sum [] = 0" | "sum (x # xs) = x + sum xs"
```

In the Isabelle library, you will find (in the theory List.thy) the functions foldr and fold1, which allow you to define some list functions, among them sum and length. Show the following:

```
lemma sum_foldr: "sum xs = foldr (op +) xs 0"
   apply (induct xs)
   apply auto
done
lemma length_foldr: "length xs = foldr (λ x res. 1 + res) xs 0"
   apply (induct xs)
   apply auto
done
```

Repeated application of *foldr* and *map* has the disadvantage that a list is traversed several times. A single traversal is sufficient, as illustrated by the following example:

```
lemma "sum (map (\lambda x. x + 3) xs) = foldr h xs b"
```

Find terms h and b which solve this equation.

```
lemma "sum (map (\lambda x. x + 3) xs) = foldr (\lambda x y. x + y + 3) xs 0" apply (induct xs) apply auto done
```

Generalize this result, i.e. show for appropriate h and b:

```
lemma "foldr g (map f xs) a = foldr h xs b"
```

Hint: Isabelle can help you find the solution if you use the equalities arising during a proof attempt.

```
lemma "foldr g (map f xs) a = foldr (\lambda x acc. g (f x) acc) xs a"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
The following function rev_acc reverses a list in linear time:
primrec rev_acc :: "['a list, 'a list] ⇒ 'a list" where
  "rev_acc [] ys = ys"
| "rev_acc (x#xs) ys = (rev_acc xs (x#ys))"
Show that rev_acc can be defined by means of foldl.
lemma rev_acc_foldl_aux [rule_format]:
  "\foralla. rev_acc xs a = foldl (\lambda ys x. x # ys) a xs"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
lemma rev_acc_foldl: "rev_acc xs a = foldl (\lambda ys x. x # ys) a xs"
  by (rule rev_acc_foldl_aux)
Prove the following distributivity property for sum:
lemma sum_append [simp]: "sum (xs @ ys) = sum xs + sum ys"
  apply (induct xs)
  apply auto
done
Prove a similar property for foldr, i.e. something like foldr f (xs @ ys) a = f (foldr f
xs a) (foldr f ys a). However, you will have to strengthen the premises by taking into
account algebraic properties of f and a.
definition
  left_neutral :: "['a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b, 'a] \Rightarrow bool" where
  "left_neutral f a == (\forall x. (f a x = x))"
definition
  assoc :: "['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow bool" where
  "assoc f == (\forall x y z. f (f x y) z = f x (f y z))"
lemma foldr_append:
  "\llbracket left_neutral f a; assoc f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow foldr f (xs @ ys) a = f (foldr f xs a)
(foldr f ys a)"
  apply (induct xs)
    apply (simp add: left_neutral_def)
```

```
apply (simp add: assoc_def)
done
Now, define the function prod, which computes the product of all list elements
  prod :: "nat list ⇒ nat"
defs
  prod_def: "prod xs == foldr (op *) xs 1"
directly with the aid of a fold and prove the following:
lemma "prod (xs @ ys) = prod xs * prod ys"
  apply (simp only: prod_def)
  apply (rule foldr_append)
    apply (simp add: left_neutral_def)
  apply (simp add: assoc_def)
done
Functions on Trees
Consider the following type of binary trees:
datatype 'a tree = Tip | Node "'a tree" 'a "'a tree"
Define functions which convert a tree into a list by traversing it in pre-, resp. postorder:
primrec preorder :: "'a tree \Rightarrow 'a list" where
  "preorder Tip
                        = []"
| "preorder (Node 1 x r) = x # ((preorder 1) @ (preorder r))"
primrec postorder :: "'a tree ⇒ 'a list" where
  "postorder Tip
                          = [] "
| "postorder (Node 1 x r) = (postorder 1) @ (postorder r) @ [x]"
You have certainly realized that computation of postorder traversal can be efficiently rea-
lized with an accumulator, in analogy to rev_acc:
primrec postorder_acc :: "['a tree, 'a list] \Rightarrow 'a list" where
                             xs = xs''
  "postorder_acc Tip
| "postorder_acc (Node 1 x r) xs = (postorder_acc 1 (postorder_acc r (x#xs)))"
Define this function and show:
lemma postorder_acc_aux [rule_format]:
  "\forall xs. postorder_acc t xs = (postorder t) @ xs"
  apply (induct t)
```

```
apply auto
done
lemma "postorder_acc t xs = (postorder t) @ xs"
  by (rule postorder_acc_aux)
postorder_acc is the instance of a function foldl_tree, which is similar to foldl.
primrec foldl_tree :: "('b => 'a => 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow 'b" where
   "foldl_tree f a Tip
| "foldl_tree f a (Node 1 x r) = (foldl_tree f (foldl_tree f (f a x) r) 1)"
Show the following:
\mathbf{lemma} \ "\forall \ \mathbf{a.} \ \mathit{postorder\_acc} \ \mathbf{t} \ \mathbf{a} = \mathit{foldl\_tree} \ (\lambda \ \mathit{xs} \ \mathit{x.} \ \mathit{Cons} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{xs}) \ \mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{t}"
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
done
Define a function tree_sum that computes the sum of the elements of a tree of natural
numbers:
primrec tree_sum :: "nat tree \Rightarrow nat" where
                              = 0"
   "tree_sum Tip
| "tree_sum (Node 1 x r) = (tree_sum 1) + x + (tree_sum r)"
and show that this function satisfies
lemma "tree_sum t = sum (preorder t)"
  apply (induct t)
  apply auto
done
```