

SWEN90010 — High Integrity Systems Engineering

Lecture 13 -Safe Language Subsets, SPARK Ada

Hira Syeda

hira.syeda@unimelb.edu.au

Level 2, Melbourne Connect

In the quest of programming high-integrity systems



Today, we continue our exploration of building systems with high assurance, integrity, and security

Last time, we looked at Ada—a language designed to make code more predictable and reliable

This lecture takes us a step further into Spark, a safer subset of Ada

Spark allows us to write bulletproof code that can be formally proven to be correct and secure

What's wrong with this code? MELBOURNE



```
int binarySearch(int [] list, int target) {
    int low = 0;
    int high = len(list) - 1;
    int mid;
    while(low <= high) {</pre>
        mid = (low + high)/2;
        if (list[mid] < target) {</pre>
             low = mid + 1;
        } else if (list[mid] > target) {
            high = mid - 1;
        } else {
             return mid;
    return -1;
```

What's wrong with this code? MELBOURNE



```
int binarySearch(int [] list, int target) {
    int low = 0;
    int high = len(list) - 1;
    int mid;
    while(low <= high) {</pre>
        mid = (low + high)/2;
        if (list[mid] < target) {</pre>
             low = mid + 1;
        } else if (list[mid] > target) {
            high = mid - 1;
        } else {
             return mid;
    return -1;
```



SAFE LANGUAGE SUBSETS

what do we mean by "safe" in "safe language"



when we say "safe language", we mean a programming language that is **designed** to prevent or eliminate classes of common programming errors that could lead to unpredictable, incorrect, or insecure behaviour at runtime

what do we mean by "safe" in "safe language"



a safe language:

- disallows undefined behaviour
- performs strong compile-time checks
- has clear, well-defined semantics
- restricts or controls low-level operations
- supports formal verification or runtime checks

Safe Languages



Which is safer? Ada C

Safe Languages



Which is safer? Ada C

What might make a programming language "safe"?

Predictability: when programs behave as the programmer intended

Safe Languages



Which is safer? Ada C

What might make a programming language "safe"?

Predictability: when programs behave as the programmer intended

Implies Verifiability

Doesn't always mean that the program won't crash.

Does mean that safe languages are simpler than unsafe ones.

Design Space



Clean Slate: Design a new, safe programming language

Safe Subset: use an existing language, without all of its unsafe bits.

Design Space



Clean Slate: Design a new, safe programming language e.g. Rust

Safe Subset: use an existing language, without all of its unsafe bits.

Design Space



Clean Slate: Design a new, safe programming language e.g. Rust

Safe Subset: use an existing language, without all of its unsafe bits.

e.g. SPARK Ada, MISRA C



Removes unsafe parts from a language.

Philosophy: Make programmer work harder in exchange for much simpler testing and verification.



Removes unsafe parts from a language.

Philosophy: Make programmer work harder in exchange for much simpler testing and verification.

Advantages



Removes unsafe parts from a language.

Philosophy: Make programmer work harder in exchange for much simpler testing and verification.

Advantages

Programs in a safe subset can be compiled and debugged using existing toolchains.



Removes unsafe parts from a language.

Philosophy: Make programmer work harder in exchange for much simpler testing and verification.

Advantages

Programs in a safe subset can be compiled and debugged using existing toolchains.

Programmers don't need to learn a new programming language.



Removes unsafe parts from a language.

Philosophy: Make programmer work harder in exchange for much simpler testing and verification.

Advantages

Programs in a safe subset can be compiled and debugged using existing toolchains.

Programmers don't need to learn a new programming language.

Resulting programs far more tractable to **automatically** analyse and verify.

- 1. Language Compliance
- (a) Do not stray outside the language definition.
- (b) Compile with all warnings enabled; use static source code analysers.
- 2. Predictable Execution
- (a) Use verifiable loop bounds for all loops meant to be terminating.
- (b) Do not use direct or indirect recursion.
- (c) Do not use dynamic memory allocation after task initialisation.
- (d) Use IPC messages for task communication.
- (e) Do not use task delays for task synchronisation.
- (f) Explicitly transfer write-permission (ownership) for shared data objects.
- (g) Place restrictions on the use of semaphores and locks.
- (h) Use memory protection, safety margins, barrier patterns.
- (i) **Do not use goto**, setjmp or longjmp.
- (j) Do not use selective value assignments to elements of an enum list.

3. Defensive Coding

- (a) Declare data objects at smallest possible level of scope.
- (b) Check the return value of non-void functions, or explicitly cast to (void).
- (c) Check the validity of values passed to functions.
- (d) Use static and dynamic assertions as sanity checks.
- (e) Use U32, I16, etc instead of predefined C data types such as int, short, etc.
- (f) Make the order of evaluation in compound expressions explicit.
- (g) Do not use expressions with side effects.

4. Code Clarity

- (a) Make only very limited use of the C pre-processor.
- (b) Do not define macros within a function or a block.
- (c) Do not undefine or redefine macros.
- (d) Place #else, #elif, and #endif in the same file as the matching #if or #ifdef.
- (e) Place no more than one statement or declaration per line of text.
- (f) Use short functions with a limited number of parameters.
- (g) Use no more than two levels of indirection per declaration.
- (h) Use no more than two levels of dereferencing per object reference.
- (i) Do not hide dereference operations inside macros or typedefs.
- (j) Do not use non-constant function pointers.
- (k) Do not cast function pointers into other types.
- (1) Do not place code or declarations before an #include directive.

4. Code Clarity

- (a) Make only very limited use of the C pre-processor.
- (b) Do not define macros within a function or a block.
- (c) Do not undefine or redefine macros.
- (d) Place #else, #elif, and #endif in the same file as the matching #if or #ifdef.
- (e) Place no more than one statement or declaration per line of text.
- (f) Use short functions with a limited number of parameters.
- (g) Use no more than two levels of indirection per declaration.
- (h) Use no more than two levels of dereferencing per object reference.
- (i) Do not hide dereference operations inside macros or typedefs.
- (j) Do not use non-constant function pointers.
- (k) Do not cast function pointers into other types.
- (l) Do not place code or declarations before an #include directive.

Maximise: portability, predictability, simplicity.

On Simplicity



"There are two ways of constructing a software design. One way is to make it so simple that there are obviously no deficiencies. And the other is to make it so complicated that there are no obvious deficiencies."

On Simplicity



"There are two ways of constructing a software design. One way is to make it so simple that there are obviously no deficiencies. And the other is to make it so complicated that there are no obvious deficiencies."

Tony Hoare (ACM Turing Award Lecture, 1980)

SPARK Ada



Start with Ada (already pretty safe language)

Remove:

Dynamic Memory Allocation

Tasks (but see Ravenscar Profile)

Goto

Unrestricted Access Types and Aliasing (pointers)

Side-effectful expressions and functions

Exception Handling

Add: Annotations

SPARK Examiner



Examine All

Examine File

Window

Examine All Sources

Checks for

- conformance to SPARK restrictions
- unused assignments,
- uses of uninitialised data,
- missing return statements
- violations of flow contracts ("Depends")

SPARK Prover



Window

Examine All Sources

Prove All Sources

Help

SPARK

Examine All

Examine File

Prove All

Prove File

Checks for

- conformance to SPARK restrictions
- Plus: uses of uninitialised data,
- possible run-time errors, and
- functional contracts ("Pre/Post" conditions)

```
procedure Swap (X, Y : in out Integer)
with Post => (X = Y'Old and Y = X'Old);
```

```
function Divide (X, Y : Integer) return Integer
with Pre => Y /= 0 and (if X = Integer'First then Y /= -1),
    Post => Divide'Result = X / Y;
```

SPARK Annotations



Mostly By Demonstration