### Probing the initial conditions of high-mass star formation

# IV. Gas dynamics and NH<sub>2</sub>D chemistry in high-mass precluster and protocluster clumps

Chuan-Peng Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Guang-Xing Li<sup>4</sup>, Thushara Pillai<sup>5</sup>, Timea Csengeri<sup>6</sup>, Friedrich Wyrowski<sup>6</sup>, Karl M. Menten<sup>6</sup>, and Michele R. Pestalozzi<sup>7</sup>

- National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100101 Beijing, P.R. China e-mail: cpzhang@nao.cas.cn
- <sup>2</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of FAST, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 100101 Beijing, P.R. China
- <sup>3</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> South-Western Institute for Astronomy Research, Yunnan University, Kunming, 650500 Yunnan, P.R. China
- <sup>5</sup> Institute for Astrophysical Research, 725 Commonwealth Ave, Boston University Boston, MA 02215, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, D-53121 Bonn, Germany
- <sup>7</sup> Istituto di Fisica dello Spazio Interplanetario INAF, via Fosso del Cavaliere 100, 00133, Roma, Italy

May 9, 2020

#### **ABSTRACT**

Context. The initial stage of star formation is a complex area of study because of the high densities ( $n_{\rm H_2} > 10^6 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$ ) and low temperatures ( $T_{\rm dust} < 18 \, {\rm K}$ ) involved. Under such conditions, many molecules become depleted from the gas phase by freezing out onto dust grains. However, the deuterated species could remain gaseous under these extreme conditions, which would indicate that they may serve as ideal tracers.

Aims. We investigate the gas dynamics and NH<sub>2</sub>D chemistry in eight massive precluster and protocluster clumps (G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, G23.98, G23.44, G23.97S, G25.38, and G25.71).

Methods. We present  $NH_2D \ 1_{11}$ - $1_{01}$  (at 85.926 GHz),  $NH_3$  (1, 1), and (2, 2) observations in the eight clumps using the PdBI and the VLA, respectively. We used 3D GAUSSCLUMPS to extract  $NH_2D$  cores and provide a statistical view of their deuterium chemistry. We used  $NH_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) data to investigate the temperature and dynamics of dense and cold objects.

Results. We find that the distribution between deuterium fractionation and kinetic temperature shows a number density peak at around  $T_{\rm kin}=16.1\,\rm K$  and the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are mainly located at a temperature range of 13.0 to 22.0 K. The 3.5 mm continuum cores have a kinetic temperature with a median width of 22.1 ± 4.3 K, which is obviously higher than the temperature in NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. We detected seven instances of extremely high deuterium fractionation of  $1.0 \leq D_{\rm frac} \leq 1.41$ . We find that the NH<sub>2</sub>D emission does not appear to coincide exactly with either dust continuum or NH<sub>3</sub> peak positions, but it often surrounds the star-formation active regions. This suggests that the NH<sub>2</sub>D has been destroyed by the central young stellar object (YSO) due to heating. The detected NH<sub>2</sub>D lines are very narrow with a median width of  $0.98\pm0.02\,\rm km~s^{-1}$ , which is dominated by non-thermal broadening. The extracted NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are gravitationally bound ( $\alpha_{\rm vir} < 1$ ), they are likely to be prestellar or starless, and can potentially form intermediate-mass or high-mass stars in future. Using NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) as a dynamical tracer, we find evidence of very complicated dynamical movement in all the eight clumps, which can be explained by a combined process with outflow, rotation, convergent flow, collision, large velocity gradient, and rotating toroids.

Conclusions. High deuterium fractionation strongly depends on the temperature condition. Tracing NH<sub>2</sub>D is a poor evolutionary indicator of high-mass star formation in evolved stages, but it is a useful tracer in starless and prestellar cores.

**Key words.** Stars formation – techniques interferometer – ISM clouds – methods: observational

#### 1. Introduction

High-mass ( $\geqslant 8\,M_{\odot}$ ) stars dominate the Galactic environment and its evolution but many aspects of their formation are still unclear (Shu et al. 1987; Churchwell 2002; Fuller et al. 2005; Bergin & Tafalla 2007; Zinnecker & Yorke 2007; Zhang et al. 2018). Firstly, the short time scales of high-mass protostellar objects and their large distances make it difficult to characterize their evolutionary stages. Secondly, star formation in the early stage takes place at the densest and coldest clumps (Pillai et al. 2007, 2011). At high densities ( $n_{\rm H_2} > 10^6\,{\rm cm}^{-3}$ ) and low temperatures ( $T_{\rm dust} < 18\,{\rm K}$ ), characteristic of the interiors of star-forming cores, many molecules are depleted from the gas phase

by freezing out onto dust grain surfaces (Walmsley et al. 2004; Bergin & Tafalla 2007). Fortunately, the deuterium fractionation of the remaining gas-phase species increases dramatically above the cosmic [D/H] abundance ratio of  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  (Oliveira et al. 2003) due to increased production of  $H_2D^+$  through the reaction of  $H_3^+$  with HD in places where CO is depleted (Roberts & Millar 2000). Deuterated molecules, particularly of N-bearing species, can thus serve as selective tracers of the coldest, densest gas in molecular clouds and star-forming cores (Friesen et al. 2018). Therefore, using deuterated species as tracers to probe the initial conditions of high-mass star formation is very useful.

Ammonia and its deuterated isotopologues are formed on grain surfaces through H/D-atom addition reactions to N atoms

Table 1: Properties of selected sample.

Sourcea	Infrared <sup>b</sup>	$H II^c$	Maser <sup>d</sup>	Outflow <sup>e</sup>	Evolutionary <sup>f</sup>	Distance
			Methanol		Stage	kpc
G18.17	quiet	no	no	no	prestellar	$3.73^{(1,2)}$
G18.21	quiet	no	no	no	prestellar	$3.60^{(1,2)}$
G23.97N	quiet	no	no	no	prestellar	$4.68^{(1,2)}$
G23.98	quiet	no	no	no	prestellar	$4.68^{(1,2)}$
G23.44-1	bright	yes	class II	yes	protostellar	$5.88^{(3)}$
G23.44-u	quiet	no	class II	no	protostellar	$5.88^{(3)}$
G23.97S	quiet	yes	class II	yes	protostellar	$4.70^{(1,2)}$
G25.38-1	quiet	no	no	yes	protostellar	$5.60^{(4,5,6)}$
G25.38-u	quiet	no	no	no	prestellar	$5.60^{(4,5,6)}$
G25.71-l	bright	yes	class II	yes	protostellar	$9.50^{(6,7)}$
G25.71-u	bright	yes	no	yes	protostellar	$9.50^{(6,7)}$

**Notes.** <sup>(a)</sup> Source coordinates are listed in Table 2. The "-l" and "-u" following source names indicate the lower and upper clusters in corresponding clumps. The coordinates of the infrared sources, H II regions, and masers are listed in Table A.1. <sup>(b)</sup> Mainly based on a threshold of MIPS  $24 \mu m$  flux  $S_{24 \mu m} = 15.0$  Jy at a distance of 1.7 kpc (Motte et al. 2007), this flux limit can be rescaled to the distance of the sources in this table (see the MIPS  $24 \mu m$  flux in Zhang et al. 2019a). <sup>(c)</sup> Compact H II region candidate, judged by whether there is a corresponding 1.3 cm continuum at its sensitivity (Zhang et al. 2019a). <sup>(d)</sup> Identified by the 6-GHz methanol multibeam maser catalogs (Green et al. 2010; Breen et al. 2015). <sup>(e)</sup> Associated with outflows (G23.44-1; Ren et al. 2011), (G23.97S; Cyganowski et al. 2008), (G25.38-1; Liu et al. 2011; Zhu et al. 2011), and (G25.71; de Villiers et al. 2014). <sup>(f)</sup> Identified by the presence or absence of star formation activity toward the center massive core within each source.

**References for distance.** (1) Wienen et al. (2012); (2) Reid et al. (2009); (3) Brunthaler et al. (2009); (4) Anderson & Bania (2009); (5) Ai et al. (2013); (6) Urquhart et al. (2013); (7) Lockman (1989).

(Brown & Millar 1989a,b; Fedoseev et al. 2015a) and in the gas phase by reactions with deuterated ions convert part of NH<sub>3</sub> to NH<sub>2</sub>D, NHD<sub>2</sub>, and ND<sub>3</sub> (Rodgers & Charnley 2001; Pillai et al. 2007). Substantial deuteration in both phases occurs after the disappearance of CO from the gas phase. It is likely that the relative abundances of the mentioned molecules could give us an idea of the evolutionary stage of a dense core, for example  $[N_2D^+]/[N_2H^+]$ ,  $[NH_2D]/[NH_3]$ , and  $[ND_3]/[NHD_2]$  (Crapsi et al. 2005; Roueff et al. 2005; Flower et al. 2006; Pillai et al. 2007; Pagani et al. 2009; Daniel et al. 2016b). Harju et al. (2017) presented principal reactions forming and destroying NH<sub>2</sub>D at the deuteration peak. The abundance of NH<sub>2</sub>D starts to increase gradually, first through the deuteron transfer to ammonia, primarily by HCND+ or DCNH+. The depletion of CO boosts the abundance of H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, which, in turn, is efficiently deuterated to H<sub>2</sub>D<sup>+</sup>, D<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>, and D<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> in successive reactions with HD. This stage is characterized by a rapid increase of N-bearing deuterated isotopologues. A detailed deuteration reaction network has been presented in more detail in Sipilä et al. (2015a,b).

Global organized bulk motions are ubiquitously observed in many star-forming regions with different evolutionary stages, for example, stellar wind in an infrared dust bubble (Zhang et al. 2013, 2016, 2019b) and supernova remnant (Zhou et al. 2016a,b), outflow driven by a powerful jet, large scale flow along a filament (Peretto et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2018; Yuan et al. 2018), and cloud-cloud collisions (Gong et al. 2017; Fukui et al. 2018). This suggests that the large-scale ( $\gtrsim 1 \,\mathrm{pc}$ ) dynamics associated with massive star formation could shape the molecular structure. Additionally, the small-scales ( $\leq 1 \,\mathrm{pc}$ ) motion could be identified by rotation, inflow, and flow in fiber (Keto 2007; Galván-Madrid et al. 2009; Csengeri et al. 2011a,b; Zhang et al. 2014). The complicated dynamical processes in different scales are linked to the formation of morphological structure and final mass of central star. Therefore, the gas dynamics associated with star formation warrants further study.

In this work, we mainly report gas dynamics using  $NH_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2), and we study o- $NH_2D$  1<sub>11</sub>-1<sub>01</sub> chemistry in eight highmass star-forming regions (G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, G23.98,

G23.44, G23.97S, G25.38, and G25.71). Four sources are infrared quiet and in a relatively early evolutionary stage, and the other four sources belong to evolved objects with embedded H II regions. The sample selection and source properties are listed in Table 1 (see also details in Zhang et al. 2019a). In followed Section 2, we describe the Plateau de Bure Interferometer (PdBI) and the Very Large Array (VLA) observations and data reduction. In Section 3, we show observational results of NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub>D lines. In Section 4, source extraction, optical depth, kinetic temperature, density, velocity dispersion, mass, and virial stability are presented and analyzed. In Section 5, we discuss gas dynamics and deuterium chemistry. In Section 6, we give a summary.

### 2. Observations and data reduction

The IRAM<sup>1</sup> PdBI and NRAO<sup>2</sup> VLA observations at 1.3 mm, 3.5 mm, and 1.3 cm continuum have been described and presented in Zhang et al. (2019a). Here we expand on the spectral observations and data.

#### 2.1. PdBI observations

The spectra in the PdBI observations were observed simultaneously along with the continuum in Mar. 25 – Apr. 12, 2005 and Feb. 27 – Mar. 17, 2006 (see details in Zhang et al. 2019a), but in separated correlator windows. Receiver 1 for covering the 1<sub>11</sub>-1<sub>01</sub> lines of o-NH<sub>2</sub>D at 85.926 GHz was tuned to 40 MHz bandwidth with 460 channels, leading to a velocity resolution of around 0.27 km s<sup>-1</sup> per channel. The rms noise of spectra was around 23 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>2</sub>D in the C+D configuration observations in sources G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, G23.98, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IRAM is supported by INSU/CNRS (France), MPG (Germany) and IGN (Spain).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Table 2: Parameters of the PdBI and VLA observations: phase center, beam, and rms.

Source	Phase cen	ter (J2000)	N	H <sub>2</sub> D be	am & rms		NH <sub>3</sub> beam	& rms
	h m s	0 / //	$'' \times ''$ ; ° mJy	/beam	$^{\prime\prime}$ $\times$ $^{\prime\prime}$ ; $^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$ m	Jy/beam	″×″;°	mJy/beam
			PdBI CD configura	tions	PdBI BCD config	gurations	VLA D confi	guration
G18.17	18 25 07.534	$-13\ 14\ 32.75$	$4.82 \times 2.52$ ; 21.22	~15			$4.61 \times 3.24$ ; 13.	.50 ~3.3
G18.21	18 25 21.558	-13 13 39.56	$5.01 \times 2.75$ ; 18.20	~13	_		$4.64 \times 3.24$ ; 15.	.06 ~3.6
G23.97N	18 34 28.833	-07 54 31.76	$4.15 \times 2.85$ ; 21.03	~13	_		$4.03 \times 3.34$ ; -5.	64 ~3.2
G23.98	18 34 27.823	-075328.76	$5.12 \times 4.06$ ; 10.53	~14	_		$4.03 \times 3.33$ ; -7.	06 ~3.3
G23.44	18 34 39.253	-08 31 36.23	_		$2.65 \times 1.60$ ; 17.16	6 ~11	$4.18 \times 3.42$ ; -7.	07 ~3.6
G23.97S	18 35 22.160	-08 01 26.53	_		$2.68 \times 1.59$ ; 18.89	9 ~10	$4.04 \times 3.34$ ; -3.	95 ~2.8
G25.38	18 38 08.108	-06 46 54.93	_		$2.68 \times 1.61$ ; 22.63	3 ~10	$4.10 \times 3.41$ ; -7.	48 ~2.6
G25.71	18 38 03.184	-06 24 14.30	$5.01 \times 3.44$ ; 16.79	~13	_		$4.06 \times 3.43$ ; -6.	29 ~2.6

G25.71, and around 12 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>2</sub>D in the B+C+D configuration observations in sources G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38 (see also Table 2).

The IRAM software package GILDAS<sup>3</sup> was used for the data reduction. The continuum contributions were subtracted from the spectral *uv*-table data sets, which were then cleaned with natural weighting, and imaged to obtain spectral images and maps. The region of a twice primary beam size was searched for cleaning components. No polygon was introduced to avoid any biased cleaning. The primary beam was around 58.5" at 86.086 GHz. The data have been corrected for primary beam attenuation. The detailed observations, data calibration and reduction have been presented in Zhang et al. (2019a).

#### 2.2. VLA observations

The spectra (J, K) = (1, 1) and (2, 2) transitions of NH<sub>3</sub> were simultaneously covered in the eight clumps, using the 2-IF spectral line mode of the correlator with NRAO<sup>4</sup> VLA D-configuration on November 2005 (project ID AW0669). The bandwidth was 6.25 MHz, with 127 channels of around 49 kHz ( $\sim$ 0.617 km s<sup>-1</sup>) each. The NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) transitions have five and three hyperfine structure (HfS) lines, respectively, and the frequencies of the strongest HfS lines are at 23.6945 and 23.7263 GHz, respectively. Due to a narrow bandwidth of 6.25 MHz at around 23.7 GHz, it can just cover, at most, four HfS lines in five NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1). The primary beam was about 2', and the typical synthesized beam size was about  $3.0'' \times 2.5''$  at 1.3 cm. The raw data from the observations was exported to MIRIAD<sup>5</sup> and GILDAS by AIPS<sup>6</sup> for calibration and imaging. The spectra and continuum were calibrated with primary beam correction. The rms noise of the spectra was between 2.5 and 3.5 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) data (see also Table 2). The detailed observations, data calibration, and reduction can be found in Zhang et al. (2019a).

#### 3. Observational results

#### 3.1. NH<sub>2</sub>D

The  $1_{11}$ - $1_{01}$  transitions of o-NH<sub>2</sub>D at around 85.926 GHz have six HfS lines (Tiné et al. 2000; Müller et al. 2005; Daniel et al. 2016a). However, two of them blend into one at current spectral resolution (see Figure 1). Therefore we can only distinguish




Fig. 1: Spectra  $NH_2D$ ,  $NH_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) overlaid with their HfS fits for the first two  $NH_2D$  cores (see Table A.2). The spectra are derived by averaging the lines within each  $NH_2D$  core scale. Other sources and spectra are presented in Appendix Figure A.1.




Fig. 2: NH<sub>2</sub>D integrated-intensity contours overlaid on a 3.5 mm continuum with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D with  $\sigma=33.6\,\mathrm{mJy}$  beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The green ellipses with red numbers indicate the positions of extracted NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The symbols " $\blacktriangle$ ", " $\blacksquare$ ", and " $\bigstar$ " indicate the positions of masers, H II regions, and infrared sources, respectively. The synthesized beam sizes are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> http://www.cfa.harvard.edu/sma/miriad/

<sup>6</sup> http://www.aips.nrao.edu/index.shtml




Fig. 3: NH<sub>2</sub>D integrated-intensity contours overlaid on an NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) integrated-intensity image with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D with  $\sigma = 33.6$  mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The synthesized beam sizes are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.3.

Table 3: Parameters of o-NH<sub>2</sub>D HfS lines.

o-NH <sub>2</sub> D	Frequency	Relative velocity	Relative intensity
$1_{1,1} - 1_{0,1}$	MHz	$\mathrm{km}\;\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	
F = 0 - 1	85924.7829	5.189	0.111
F = 2 - 1	85925.7031	1.979	0.139
F = 2 - 2	85926.2703	0.000	0.417
F = 1 - 1	85926.3165	-0.162	0.083
F = 1 - 2	85926.8837	-2.140	0.139
F = 1 - 0	85927.7345	-5.108	0.111

its five emission lines. Table 3 lists their frequencies, relative velocities, and relative intensities in theoretical calculations (Tiné et al. 2000).

The NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are extracted with 3D GAUSSCLUMPS algorithm (see Section 4.1). The core positions and sizes are indicated using green ellipses with core numbers in Figure 2. The NH<sub>2</sub>D spectra of only the first two cores are shown in Figure 1 for source G23.44 (other sources are shown in Appendix Figure A.1), but the whole corresponding parameters are listed in Table A.2 including velocity, line width, brightness temperature, and opacity<sup>7</sup>. We also present the integrated-intensity contours of NH<sub>2</sub>D superimposed on the 3.5 mm continuum emission image in Figure 2, and on the NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) integrated-intensity maps in Figure 3. The integrated velocity range covers all the six HfS lines of the NH<sub>2</sub>D (Tiné et al. 2000). We find that the NH<sub>2</sub>D peak positions are often not consistent with the 3.5 mm emission, and there exist obvious offsets between them. In Figures 4 and 5, the integrated-intensity contours of the NH<sub>2</sub>D are also overlaid on line-center velocity and line-width maps of the NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) for further investigating their dynamics.

#### 3.2. NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2)

In Figure 1, we also present the spectra of NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) and (2,2) from each corresponding  $NH_2D$  core (see Section 4.1). These spectra were derived from the average within a corresponding core size. In Table A.2, we list their line width and brightness temperature by spectral Gaussian fitting derived in assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) conditions. In our previous work, Zhang et al. (2019a), we present the integrated-intensity contours of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) overlaying on a 3.5 mm emission image, respectively. The NH<sub>3</sub> peak positions are almost consistent with the 3.5 mm emission, but the  $NH_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) have much more extended structure than the 3.5 mm emission distribution. This is mainly because, independent of observations<sup>8</sup>, the 3.5 mm continuum might be more compact because of the internal heating sources, and NH<sub>3</sub> is also optically thick, as we show in Table 2, which also means it is possible to see more extended NH<sub>3</sub> emission.

In Figure 4, we present velocity distributions (moment 1) of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) superimposed on NH<sub>2</sub>D emission. It is very obvious that there is a steep velocity gradient in the eight molecular clumps, such as G18.17 and 23.97N in east-west direction, G23.44, G25.38, and G25.71 in north-south direction, and the other sources (G23.98 and G23.97S) having two velocity components crossing into together. In Figure 5, we present line width distribution (moment 2) of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) line overlaid with integrated-intensity contours (moment 0) of NH<sub>2</sub>D line. We can see that three high-mass star forming regions (G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38) have clearly NH<sub>2</sub>D emission offset from the largest line broadening, indicating that the NH<sub>2</sub>D emission is often devoid of the dynamically dominated and active regions. Figures 6 and A.6 show the spectra NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) at the peak position of 3.5 mm continuum distribution for each source. The NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines present high velocity (wings) emission. The integrated-intensity maps of the blue- and red-shifted spectral wings of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) are shown in Figure 7 and A.7, where the background is 3.5 mm emission. To judge the possibility of outflow or rotation movements, Figures 8 and A.8 show their position-velocity diagrams using the main HfS line of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) along the position-velocity slice indicated with the solid and dashed lines in Figures 7 and A.7, respectively.

#### 4. Analysis

#### 4.1. NH<sub>2</sub>D core extraction

Assuming that the flux density of each  $NH_2D$  core can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution, the three dimensional (3D) GAUSSCLUMPS procedure (Stutzki & Guesten 1990; Kramer et al. 1996, 1998) in the GILDAS software package was used to characterize them. This methodology has been described in detail and successfully applied in Kramer et al. (1996, 1998). We consider the sources with line intensity above  $5\sigma$  (see  $\sigma$  in Table 2) before primary beam correction and line width more than three channels, and fit a Gaussian shaped 3D core with Gaussian size larger than beam size to the surrounding region. For sources G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, G23.98, and G25.71, we identify  $NH_2D$  cores using CD configuration observations, and for sources G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38, we identify them using BCD configuration observations. The identified cores are overlaid onto 2D integrated intensity maps (see Figures 2 and

 $<sup>^7</sup>$  In Figure A.1, some main HfS lines in NH<sub>2</sub>D show multi-velocity components (e.g., G18.17 No. 2, G18.17 No. 5, and G23.44 Nos, 1, 3, 7, 8). For comparison, we only consider the strongest velocity components associated with the corresponding NH<sub>3</sub> line. This could bring some error into the line width.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The VLA and PdBI observations have different sensitivities to the same spatial structures, but it is not the main reason.




Fig. 4: Velocity distribution (moment 1) of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) line overlaid with integrated-intensity contours (moment 0) of NH<sub>2</sub>D line with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D with  $\sigma$  = 84.1 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The synthesized beam sizes are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. The lines with arrows show the position-velocity cutting direction in Figure 8. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.4.




Fig. 5: Line width distribution (moment 2) of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) line overlaid with integrated-intensity contours (moment 0) of NH<sub>2</sub>D line with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D with  $\sigma$  = 84.1 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The synthesized beam sizes are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.5.

A.2). The velocity, line width, brightness temperature, and opacity are derived from the spectral average within the measured Gaussian size of each core by fitting the HfS lines of  $NH_2D$  and  $NH_3$ . The detailed extraction steps are also presented in Zhang et al. (2019a). The core parameters are listed in Tables A.2 and A.3.




Fig. 6: Spectra NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) and (2,2) obtained toward a single and the brightest pixel at 3.5 mm continuum. The coordinates of the spectra are indicated at the lower panel of Figure 8. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.6.

#### 4.2. HfS fitting

The transitions of NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) and (2,2) at around 23.6945 and 23.7263 GHz have five and three groups of HfS lines (Kukolich 1967; Ho 1977), respectively. This allows for the investigation of spectral profiles and the estimation of line parameters. The outer pair of HfS lines of the NH<sub>3</sub> (2,2) are too weak to be identified (see Figure 1) with the current sensitivity. We thus do not fit the HfS of NH<sub>3</sub> (2,2), but fit the HfS of NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) to obtain the optical depth and a single component Gaussian profile for the NH<sub>3</sub> (2,2) main line. We use command "METHOD NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1)" in the CLASS module of the GILDAS package to do Gaussian fitting for the HfS lines assuming in LTE condition. We also estimate the line parameters of the NH<sub>2</sub>D, by fitting its six HfS lines assuming in LTE condition (see their relative intensities in Table 3).

Some spectra of NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) shown in Figures 1 and A.1 display anomalies in the inner satellite lines on the blue side (e.g., G18.17 Nos.1 and 2, G23.97N No.1, G23.98 No.1) and in the outer satellite lines on the red side (e.g., G18.21 Nos. 1–8), which may indicate a non-LTE condition. While the "METHOD NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1)" in CLASS assumes an LTE condition, these anomalies cannot be fitted with the current method. The anomalies of one of the inner satellites could be explained due to systematic motions (Park 2001). On the other hand, the anomaly with the outer satellites being brighter is indicative of non-LTE condition due to HfS selective photon trapping (see, e.g., Stutzki & Winnewisser 1985). Some spectra of NH<sub>2</sub>D also display such anomalies (e.g., G18.17 No. 1 and 9, G23.97N No. 1). This also could be explained by systematic motions or HfS selective photon trapping.

#### 4.3. Optical depth

The optical depths of  $NH_2D$  and  $NH_3$  (1, 1) are derived by HfS fitting and listed in Table A.2. Figure 9 displays the optical depth  $\tau$  distribution between  $NH_2D$  and  $NH_3$  (1, 1). The distribution does not follow any linear relation. The optical depths of the  $NH_3$  (1, 1) range from 1.0 to 9.1 with a median width of 4.05  $\pm$  0.04, indicating that the  $NH_3$  (1, 1) is often optically thick in the cores. The optical depths in the  $NH_2D$  cores range from 0.2 to 8.4 with a median width of 3.22  $\pm$  0.10, most of which have  $\tau_{NH_2D} \gtrsim 1$ .




Fig. 7: Blueshifted and redshifted NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) integrated-intensity contours overlaid on a 3.5 mm continuum. The blue and red contours are the blueshifted and redshifted velocity components, respectively. The blue contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) with  $\sigma=7.2\,\mathrm{mJy\,beam^{-1}km\,s^{-1}}$ , and the red ones with  $\sigma=4.8\,\mathrm{mJy\,beam^{-1}km\,s^{-1}}$ . The black numbers indicate the positions of extracted NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The synthesized beam sizes are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. The lines with arrows show the position-velocity cutting direction in Figure 8. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.7.

Therefore, both  $NH_3$  and  $NH_2D$  are usually optically thick in the dense sources.

#### 4.4. Excitation temperature

Figure 10 presents the relation between excitation temperatures  $T_{\rm ex}$  of NH<sub>2</sub>D and NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) main groups for all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The excitation temperatures of the NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) range from 7.0 to 13.0 K with a median width of 10.21  $\pm$  0.28 K, while the excitation temperatures in the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores range from 3.9 to 10.0 K with a median width of 4.92  $\pm$  0.09 K. Therefore, the NH<sub>2</sub>D have lower excitation temperature than the NH<sub>3</sub> in the cores.

#### 4.5. Kinetic temperature

Temperature in the dense core is vital in determining the chemical reaction rate of deuteration (Millar et al. 1989; Roberts & Millar 2000). Ammonia rotational lines NH $_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) belong to the most useful tracers of the dense cores of molecular clouds, owing to the excitation and chemical properties (Ho & Townes 1983; Benson & Myers 1989; Tafalla et al. 2002; Friesen et al. 2009). They can remain gaseous in and nearby the cold, dense interior parts of starless and prestellar cores. They can thus be used as a precise tracer in probing the dust temperature ( $\lesssim 30\,\mathrm{K}$ ) of dense and cold clumps, and in detecting the dynamical motions including outflow and rotation.

The kinetic temperature  $T_{\rm kin}$  can be estimated from the rotational temperature  $T_{\rm rot}$  by using NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) transitions (Ott et al. 2011), assuming that the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores have the same temperature condition as the NH<sub>3</sub> location. The calculation pro-




Fig. 8: Position-velocity diagrams of the main line of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) HfS along the position-velocity slice indicated with solid and dashed lines in Figure 4 (see also Figure 7). The arrows show the position-velocity cutting direction. Contour levels start at  $3\sigma$  level and increase in steps of  $3\sigma$  with  $\sigma=3.3$  mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> for source G23.44. Blue dashed lines show a possible rotating toroids curve. The central mass, the central position, and the systemic velocity are indicated in the panel. Other sources are presented in Appendix Figure A.8.

cedure of the kinetic temperature for each NH<sub>2</sub>D core is the same as the estimation for the continuum cores in Zhang et al. (2019a). The derived kinetic temperatures are listed in Table A.3 and presented in Figures 11 and 12. It is quite evident that the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores have a colder condition than the continuum cores. Most NH<sub>2</sub>D cores have a temperature ranging from 13.5 to 18.5 K with a median width of  $16.1 \pm 0.5$  K. Few NH<sub>2</sub>D cores have kinetic temperatures above 20 K. These cores with temperature above 20 K are always close to the central protostellar cores (see the right panel in Figure 16), traced by strong 3.5 mm and 1.3 cm continuum. The statistics shows that the number of NH<sub>2</sub>D cores becomes small in a condition of relatively high temperature, for example these close to the central hot protostellar cores. It is possible that the NH<sub>2</sub>D excitation have been inhibited to some extent in such condition. Furthermore, the protostellar cores have a kinetic temperature ranging from around 10 to 40 K, and the median width is  $22.1 \pm 4.3$  K, which is obviously higher than the kinetic temperature in the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores.

#### 4.6. Density and mass

We adopted the analysis routine described in Appendix of Pillai et al. (2007) to estimate the NH<sub>2</sub>D column density (see Ta-




Fig. 9: Relation between optical depths  $\tau$  of NH<sub>2</sub>D and NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) main groups for all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The dashed line corresponds to  $\tau_{\text{NH}_3(1,1)} = \tau_{\text{NH}_2\text{D}}$ .




Fig. 10: Relation between excitation temperatures  $T_{\rm ex}$  of NH<sub>2</sub>D and NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) main groups for all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The dashed line corresponds to  $T_{\rm ex\,NH_3(1,1)} = T_{\rm ex\,NH_2D}$ .

ble A.3). The derivation of  $NH_3$  column density (see Table A.3) follows the standard formulation in Bachiller et al. (1987). The  $H_2$  densities of continuum cores are discussed in Zhang et al. (2019a).

Due to the fact that there is no reliable abundance ratio available between  $NH_2D$  and molecular hydrogen  $H_2$ , we use the measured continuum flux within the Gaussian size of each  $NH_2D$  core to derive a corresponding  $H_2$  column density and core mass (see Table A.3). If the continuum flux is lower than  $3\sigma$ , we use the  $3\sigma$  as an upper limit. The  $H_2$  volume density is estimated by assuming the  $NH_2D$  cores are in a spherical structure. The derived densities are listed in Table A.3. The derived  $H_2$  volume density for the  $NH_2D$  cores ranges from  $1.8 \times 10^5$  to  $2.4 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> with a median width of  $(5.3 \pm 1.4) \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, while that for the continuum cores ranges from  $1.5 \times 10^5$  to  $4.6 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> with a median width of  $(1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Therefore, the  $NH_2D$  emission distributions are in a relatively less dense condition than the continuum cores.

The masses of NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are also estimated with a corresponding 3.5 mm continuum emission within the Gaussian size of each NH2D core. We calculate the mass of NH2D cores, using 3.5 mm dust opacity 0.002 cm<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup> (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994), dust emissivity 1.7, and gas-to-dust mass ratio 100, and the derived kinetic temperature. The calculation processes have been shown in Section 4.4 of Zhang et al. (2019a). The derived parameters are listed in Table A.3. Figure 14 shows  $M_{\rm NH_2D}$ - $R_{\rm eff}$ distributions of all continuum and NH<sub>2</sub>D cores for comparisons. According to Kauffmann & Pillai (2010), we also plot a threshold between high-mass and low-mass star candidates in Figure 14, as it can be used to determine whether the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are high-mass star formation candidates. The statistics shows that masses of the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores at a scale of  $R_{\rm eff} \approx 0.05 \, \rm pc$  range from 5.9 to 54.0  $M_{\odot}$  with a median width of 13.8  $\pm$  0.6  $M_{\odot}$ . This indicates that some of the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores may be intermediate- or high-mass candidates unless they further fragment.

#### 4.7. Deuterium fractionation

Deuterium fractionation is defined as  $D_{\rm frac} = N_{\rm NH_2D}/N_{\rm NH_3} = [{\rm NH_2D}]/[{\rm NH_3}]$  (see calculations for NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub>D column densities in Section 4.6). We follow the analytical method of spectra NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub>D in Pillai et al. (2007) to estimate the deuterium fractionation for our detected NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The derived results are listed in Table A.3. The deuterium fractionation range in  $0.03 \le D_{\rm frac} \le 1.41$  with a median width of  $0.48 \pm 0.01$ . Seven of these cores have  $D_{\rm frac} > 1.0$ .

#### 4.8. Thermal and non-thermal velocities

In a gas at kinetic temperature  $T_{\rm kin}$ , individual atoms will have random motions away from or towards the observer, leading to red- or blue-wards frequency shifts. The thermal  $\sigma_{\rm ther}$  and non-thermal  $\sigma_{\rm nth}$  one-dimensional velocity dispersion in each source arising from a Maxwellian velocity distribution is:

$$\sigma_{\text{ther}} = \sqrt{\frac{kT_{\text{kin}}}{m_{\text{NH}_2D}}},$$
(1)

$$\sigma_{\rm nth} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta \nu_{\rm NH_2D}^2}{8 \ln(2)} - \sigma_{\rm ther}^2},$$
 (2)

where k is the Boltzmann constant,  $m_{\rm NH_2D}$  is the molecular mass of the deuterated ammonia, and  $\Delta v_{\rm NH_2D}$  is the Gaussian line width FWHM of the NH<sub>2</sub>D.

The  $NH_2D$  cores have quite narrow line widths with a median width of  $0.98 \pm 0.02 \, km \, s^{-1}$ . Based on Equations 1 and 2, the thermal and non-thermal velocity dispersion have a median width of  $0.09 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.41 \pm 0.01 \, km \, s^{-1}$ , respectively (see also Figure 11), indicating that only a very small part of thermal velocity contribute into the  $NH_2D$  line width. Therefore, the non-thermal line broadening takes much higher weighting than the thermal velocity contribution. Comparing the line widths of the  $NH_2D$  cores with the extracted 3.5 mm continuum cores in Zhang et al. (2019a), it is obvious that the 3.5 mm continuum cores have larger velocity dispersion than the  $NH_2D$  cores within a similar source size. Therefore the  $NH_2D$  cores are less turbulent than the 3.5 mm continuum cores.




Fig. 11: Relation between velocity line widths  $\Delta v$  and kinetic temperatures  $T_{\rm kin}$  for all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The dashed line in lower right panel corresponds to  $\Delta v_{\rm NH_3(1,1)} = \Delta v_{\rm NH_2D}$ .

#### 4.9. Position-velocity diagrams

In Figure 8, we present position-velocity diagrams in two different directions along the position-velocity slice indicated with the solid and dashed lines in Figure 7, respectively. We also present possible Keplerian rotation curves in Figure 8 via

$$v_{\text{kep}}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{\text{core}}}{r}},$$
 (3)

where  $v_{\rm kep}$  is the Keplerian velocity, G is the gravitational constant,  $M_{\rm core}$  is the continuum core mass, and r is the radius from the central continuum peak position. In this work, the possible central mass within Keplerian orbit is up to  $80\,M_\odot$ . The existence of circumstellar disks (>  $30\,M_\odot$ ) has remained elusive up to now. This observational result is likely to prove unsettling in the areas of theory and simulations. Therefore, the rotating structures are referred to as toroids (Beltrán & de Wit 2016), so as to distinguish them clearly from accretion disks in Keplerian rotation.

The diagrams in Figures 8 and A.8 show what are obviously dynamical features of rotating toroids (Beltrán & de Wit 2016), such as G23.44, G23.97S, G25.38, and G25.71. We also present NH $_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) lines at the peak position of 3.5 mm continuum distributions in Figures 6 and A.6. The spectra show broadening line widths with somewhat blueshifted profiles, such

as G23.44, G23.97S, G25.38, and G25.71. The characteristics shown in Figures 6, A.6, 8, and A.8 indicate that such sources are dynamically active and that their envelopes (traced by NH<sub>3</sub>) may be rotating and infalling into the central dense cores (traced by 3.5 mm). It is likely that the central dense cores are boosting their masses by accretion to form a high-mass star in future. For the other four sources (G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, and G23.98), however, their dynamical motions are relatively quiescent with a little narrower line width than the other four sources (see Figure A.6). We also present their possible rotating toroids structure in Figure A.8. It seems that the G18.21 shows some dynamical features of rotating toroids. Although the massive gas clumps (e.g., G23.97N and G23.98) do not have any embedded protostellar source down to Herschel far-infrared detection limits, the fragmentation and dynamical properties of the gas and dust are consistent with early collapse motion and clustered star formation, which was also argued by Beuther et al. (2013). Additionally, the central continuum cores in G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, and G23.98 have relatively quiescent dynamical movements, but their large-scale gas distributions beyond the core size show a large velocity gradient (see Figures A.4 and A.8).

The possible central mass within Keplerian orbit velocity for each source is roughly estimated and indicated in Figure A.8. The sources G23.44, G23.97S, G25.38, and G25.71 have rela-




Fig. 12: Histogram of the kinetic temperatures  $T_{\rm kin}$  estimated with lines NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) for NH<sub>2</sub>D and 3.5 mm cores, respectively. The two downwards arrows show the corresponding median width.

tively large central mass with around  $80 M_{\odot}$ , while the masses in sources G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, and G23.98 range from 10 to  $30 M_{\odot}$ . The evidence in Figure A.8 may suggest that the accretion has started in prestellar core stage (e.g., G23.97N and G23.98), and the accretion rate continues to increase in protostellar stages (e.g., G23.44 and G23.97S).

#### 4.10. Virial parameter

The virial theorem can be used to test whether one NH<sub>2</sub>D core is in a stable state. We assume a simple spherical fragment with a density distribution of  $\rho \propto r^{-2}$ , where r is the radius of spherical fragment. If ignoring magnetic fields, bulk motions, and external pressure of the gas, the virial mass of a fragment can be estimated with the formula (MacLaren et al. 1988; Evans 1999):

$$M_{\rm vir} \simeq 126 R_{\rm eff} \, \Delta v_{\rm nth}^2 \, (M_{\odot}),$$
 (4)

where  $R_{\rm eff}$  is the source effective radius in pc and  $\Delta v_{nth}$  is the non-thermal line width for NH<sub>2</sub>D main line (see also Equation 2). A similar derivation for virial mass can be found in Zhang et al. (2019a). However, one exception is that the velocity dispersion in this work was estimated with NH<sub>2</sub>D non-thermal velocity rather than NH<sub>3</sub>. The corresponding parameters are listed in Table A.3.

Comparing the virial mass  $M_{\rm vir}$  with the NH<sub>2</sub>D core  $M_{\rm NH_2D}$ , if the virial parameter  $\alpha_{\rm vir} = M_{\rm vir}/M_{\rm NH_2D} < 1$ , the dense source is gravitationally bound, potentially unstable, and to collapse; if  $\alpha_{\rm vir} > 1$ , the source is not gravitationally bound, in a stable or expanding state. In Figure 15, we show  $M_{\rm vir}-M_{\rm NH_2D}$  distributions of all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The statistics shows that the virial parameters range from 0.11 to 3.48 with a median width of 0.55  $\pm$  0.02. This indicates that the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are mostly gravitationally bound.

#### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Complex gas dynamics

NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) is a good tracer of relatively dense gas and extended molecular clouds, and often used as dynamical tracer






Fig. 13: Histogram for the ratio (Mach number  $\mathcal{M} = \sigma_{\rm nth}/c_{\rm s}$ ) of the non-thermal velocity dispersion  $\sigma_{\rm nth}$  to the local sound speed  $c_{\rm s}$  estimated with lines NH<sub>2</sub>D and NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) for NH<sub>2</sub>D cores, respectively. The two downwards arrows show the corresponding median width.

(e.g., Galván-Madrid et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2014). To investigate the dynamical structure of the molecular clumps, we present the velocity distributions (moment 1) of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) in Figure 4, which shows large velocity gradient and complicated distribution. Steep velocity gradient clearly exists in the eight molecular clumps, such as G18.17 and 23.97N in east-west direction, G23.44, G25.38, and G25.71 in north-south direction, and the other sources (G23.98 and G23.97S) having two velocity components crossing into together. The integrated-intensity maps in Figure 7 show multiple emission peaks, even the blue- and redshifted components present crossed distributions. It seems to be common to observe this dynamical phenomenon not only in the prestellar stage (e.g., G23.98) but in the protostellar stage as well (e.g., G23.97S). Csengeri et al. (2011a,b) explained this movement as a convergent flow. It is also likely that the molecular clumps are colliding with each other or simply overlapping in the plane of the sky but still physically separated in the third spatial dimension. In clumps G18.21, G23.98, G23.44, and G23.97S, the interaction regions of the possible convergent or colliding flows show relatively broad line width with no evidence of elevated gas temperatures (see Figures 5 and A.5), while in clump G23.97S, we find a relatively high temperature as evidence of convergent or colliding flows (see the temperature distribution in Figures 4 and D.10 of Zhang et al. 2019a). Furthermore, Figures 8 and A.8 show possible rotating toroids signatures in all the eight sample. Thus, the convergent flow, the colliding flow, and the rotating toroids are coexistent in the clumps in a complicated way.

In Figures 1 and A.1, the hyperfine lines of NH<sub>3</sub> (1,1) in some cores exhibit anomalous intensity ratios. For example, G18.17 Nos. 1, 2, and 6, G23.97N No. 1, G23.98 Nos. 1 and 4, G23.44 Nos. 1, 3, 5, 6, G23.97S No. 1, G25.38 No. 3, and G25.71 No. 1 show obviously stronger inner satellites on the blue side than on the red side, while G18.21 Nos. 1–9 have stronger outer satellites on the red side. The anomaly is only partially attributable to a non-LTE effect on the hyperfine transitions (Park 2001; Stutzki & Winnewisser 1985). It was suggested by Park (2001) that the hyperfine line intensity ratios could be trac-





ing a systematic motion inside the dense cores. Park (2001) also found that expansion (outward motion) can strengthen the inner as well as outer satellite lines on the red (blue) side, while suppressing those (inward motion) on the other side, and that the line anomaly becomes prominent as the gas density increases. The anomalies further suggest complicated dynamical motions in the dense cores.

Since the detected NH<sub>2</sub>D lines are very narrow  $(< 1.0 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}})$ , and just cover several channels, it is really difficult to discuss the dynamics of the NH<sub>2</sub>D lines. We tried to use the blue- and red-shifted wings of the NH<sub>2</sub>D line to check whether one can find evidence of flow motions, but nothing was found. For the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores, the Mach number (the ratio of the non-thermal velocity dispersion  $\sigma_{\rm nth}$  to the sound speed  $c_{\rm s}$  in Figure 13) traced by NH<sub>2</sub>D has a median width of  $4.83 \pm 0.29$ , which is 1.5 times smaller than the Mach number traced by NH<sub>3</sub>. Therefore, the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores have a much more quiescent dynamics than the NH<sub>3</sub> cores (see also the Mach number in Figure 13). This suggests that the NH<sub>2</sub>D distributions have a very small velocity gradient. Figures 5 and A.5 also shows that the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are often located at dynamically quiescent regions, relatively, for example in sources G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38. In Figure 7, the blue- and red-shifted spectral wing emission seems to be correlated with each 3.5 mm source separately, such as G18.17, G18.21, G23.44, and G25.71. It is likely that such individual sources have multiple velocity components. For clumps G23.97N, G23.97S, and G25.38, we find that the central continuum cores are located at the shearing positions of blue- and red-shifted components. It is likely that the central continuum cores are the power sources, which produce a large velocity dispersion of about 5 km s<sup>-1</sup> probably derived by outflow motions.

#### 5.2. Offset between NH<sub>2</sub>D cores and continuum peak

Seen from low-angular resolution of single-dish observations, deuterated species often have a good positional association with




Fig. 15:  $M_{\rm vir}$ - $M_{\rm NH_2D}$  distributions of all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The corresponding data are listed in Table A.3. The dashed gray line shows a threshold of virial parameter  $\alpha = M_{\rm vir}/M_{\rm NH_2D} = 1$ .

the cold cores in early stage. However, Roueff et al. (2005) found that deuterated species do not peak in protostars themselves, but at offset positions, and suggested that protostellar activity decreases deuteration built in the prestellar phase. Pillai et al. (2012) reported that the  $\rm H_2D^+$  peak is not associated with either a dust continuum or  $\rm N_2D^+$  peak. Friesen et al. (2014) revealed that there exists offset between  $\rm H_2D^+$  core and continuum peak positions, probably due to heating from undetected, young, low luminosity protostellar source or first hydrostatic core, or HD depletion in the cold center of the condensation in their opinion. As has also been argued by Pillai et al. (2011), the cold (< 20 K) and dense (>  $10^6 \, \rm cm^{-3})$  situations are two necessary conditions for producing a high NH<sub>2</sub>D abundance.

In Figures 2 and 3, we overlaid NH<sub>2</sub>D integrated intensity contours on NH<sub>3</sub> integrated intensity and 3.5 mm continuum images, respectively. Figure 16 displays the relation of the deuterium fractionation and kinetic temperature versus the projected offset distance to 3.5 mm continuum peak position for each NH<sub>2</sub>D core. We find that the NH<sub>2</sub>D peak positions are often not associated with either dust continuum or NH3 emission peak positions. Clumps G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, and G23.98 have very weak infrared and millimeter emission, but strong and extended NH<sub>2</sub>D emission distributions. For clumps G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38, the NH<sub>2</sub>D distributions are extended and surrounding the 3.5 mm continuum peak positions, and their minimum projected offset distances to the continuum peak nearby are 0.13, 0.12, and 0.17 pc, respectively (see also Figure 16). In Figure 2, for source G25.71, we only detected two weak NH<sub>2</sub>D emission. One core is located at the continuum peak position, another is far away from the continuum peak. Considering that G25.71 is an evolved source with an embedded protostellar core, it is really strange that the NH<sub>2</sub>D core (No. 1) has almost no projected offset from the peaked bright continuum core, which has a relatively high dust temperature of  $28.8 \pm 6.7 \,\mathrm{K}$ . It may be possible that this NH<sub>2</sub>D core is just located in line of sight toward the continuum core. It is also likely that the 3.5 mm core in G25.71 has a very cold and thick NH<sub>2</sub>D envelope covering the hot dust insides. Generally, large projected offsets exist between the NH<sub>2</sub>D core and continuum peak positions, and the projected offsets are larger in evolved objects




Fig. 16: Deuterium fractionation  $D_{\text{frac}}$  and kinetic temperature  $T_{\text{kin}}$  versus the projected offset distance to 3.5 mm continuum peak position for each NH<sub>2</sub>D core.

(e.g., G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38) than those in the earlier evolutionary stages, (e.g., G23.97N and G23.98).

By measuring the kinetic temperatures of NH<sub>2</sub>D cores (see Figure 12), we find a suitable condition for producing a highlevel abundance of NH<sub>2</sub>D: dust temperature between 13.0 K and 22.0 K (see also Section 5.3), and the corresponding column density derived from 3.5 mm continuum ranges from  $4.0 \times 10^{22}$  to  $36.0 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>. The NH<sub>2</sub>D distributions are also devoid of a bright infrared emission (see their infrared distributions in Zhang et al. 2019a), masers, and H II regions (see Figures 2 and A.2). Based on the analysis above, we suggest that the NH<sub>2</sub>D emission close to the central bright continuum core (protostellar core) has been destroyed by an embedded young stellar object (YSO) due to its heating. The detected NH<sub>2</sub>D cores may be just the fragments of the cold and dense envelope associated with high-mass star-forming core insides. It is also very likely that the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are massive starless seeds, some of which are possible to form future high-mass stars (see Figure 14).

#### 5.3. Very high deuterium fractionation

Deuterium fractionation is believed to be a fossil of cold chemistry in the early cold evolutionary phase (Parise et al. 2009; Pillai et al. 2012). Using single-dish observations, Pillai et al. (2007) found that 65% of the observed sample have strong NH<sub>2</sub>D emission with a high deuterium fractionation of 0.1  $\leq$   $D_{\rm frac} \leq$  0.7. Toward G29.96e and G35.20w with interferometer, Pillai et al. (2011) obtained another deuterium fractionation of 0.06  $\leq$   $D_{\rm frac} \leq$  0.37. Recently, Busquet et al. (2010) reported a high value of  $D_{\rm frac} \sim$  0.8 in a pre-protostellar core close to high-mass star-forming region IRAS 20293+3952. By modeling the observed spectra, Harju et al. (2017) derived the fractionation ratios with  $D_{\rm frac} \sim$  0.4.

High deuteration is mainly produced by two pathways: gasphase ion-molecule chemistry and ice-grain surface chemistry (e.g., Rodgers & Charnley 2001; Millar 2002, 2003; Hatchell 2003; Roueff et al. 2005; Pillai et al. 2007). The root ion-neutral fractionation reaction is:

$$H_3^+ + HD \rightarrow H_2D^+ + H_2 + 230 \,\text{K},$$
 (5)

which dominates at a temperature of < 20 K, generally (e.g., Millar et al. 1989; Ceccarelli et al. 2014; Harju et al. 2017; De Si-

mone et al. 2018). Neutral molecules like CO can destroy  $\rm H_2D^+$ , thereby lowering the deuterium enhancement. Roberts & Millar (2000) suggested that at around 10 K, accretion of neutrals onto the dust grains, especially CO, leads to the formation of doubly deuterated molecules. Based on the above, we expect to see a correlation between the deuteration fractionation  $D_{\rm frac}$  and temperature  $T_{\rm kin}$ . Figure 17 displays deuterium fractionation versus kinetic temperature for all the extracted NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. We find that the distribution between deuterium fractionation and kinetic temperature<sup>9</sup> shows a number density peak at around  $T_{\rm kin} = 16.1 \, {\rm K}$  and  $D_{\rm frac} \sim 0.4$ , and the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are mainly located at a temperature range of  $13.0 - 22.0 \, {\rm K}$  (see also the histogram of the kinetic temperatures in Figure 12).

Figure 17 also displays a gas phase model predictions from Roueff et al. (2005) for comparison. Most of our sample have much higher deuterium fractionation than the model. Therefore, current models of gas phase reaction even under conditions of high depletion are not capable of explaining the high fractionation observed in this work. The gas-grain chemical reactions are expected to explain the production of the high deuterium fractionation. However, few corresponding gas-grain chemical models are currently available. Additionally, deuterium fractionation  $D_{\rm frac} > 1.0$  warrant closer scrutiny. We attribute such anomalous values to missing short spacing information in our data. However, comparing with single-dish observations in Pillai et al. (2007), the deuterium fractionation has been up to 0.7 in clump G18.17. Therefore, it is reasonable for the three higher deuterium fractionations (e.g.,  $D_{\rm frac}\sim 1.06$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D core No. 1,  $D_{\rm frac}\sim 1.37$  for No. 4, and  $D_{\rm frac}\sim 1.26$  for No. 6 in clump G18.17) with higher spatial resolution from interferometer observations.

As seen from the diagram between the deuterium fractionation and kinetic temperature in Figure 17, these data points are just scattering from 13.0 to 22.0 K, and the median value is around 16.1 K. Therefore, the suitable condition for NH<sub>2</sub>D production mainly ranges from 13.0 to 22.0 K, and the deuterium fractionations will reach up to the maximum at 16.1 K. For these higher than 22.0 K, the activity of NH<sub>2</sub>D production is likely in-

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  However, we have to note that the NH<sub>2</sub>D excitation temperature is smaller than the NH<sub>3</sub> excitation temperature (see also Figure 10). Then by using the NH<sub>3</sub> temperatures the NH<sub>2</sub>D column density will be overestimated and in turn the deuterium fractionation.




Fig. 17: Deuterium fractionation  $D_{\rm frac}$  versus kinetic temperature  $T_{\rm kin}$  for all NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The solid line is the latest gas phase model predictions from Roueff et al. (2005). The contours show the number density distribution of the cores. The data points with error bars are derived from this work.

hibited, and maybe they have been dissociated (e.g., Rodgers & Charnley 2001; Millar 2002, 2003; Roueff et al. 2005). When it is less than 13.0 K, it is possible that NH<sub>2</sub>D also tends to be frozen out onto dust grain (Brown & Millar 1989a,b; Fedoseev et al. 2015a). However, we also should not ignore that the tracer of kinetic temperature, NH<sub>3</sub>, may have been seriously frozen onto the dust grain before NH<sub>2</sub>D has (Fedoseev et al. 2015b). Therefore, it may be not suitable to use NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub>D as tracers to study dense gas at a temperature condition of  $T_{\rm kin}$  < 13.0 K.

In Figure 16, we plot the relation in the deuterium fractionation and kinetic temperature versus the projected offset distance to 3.5 mm continuum peak position for each  $NH_2D$  core. We find that the  $NH_2D$  cores are located within a projected radius region between 0.02 and 0.5 pc. It seems that the deuterium fractionation or kinetic temperature distribution does not vary significantly with the changes of the projected offset distances between between 0.02 and 0.5 pc. In other projected offset areas, we detected few  $NH_2D$  cores. This diverges from what has been suggested by Friesen et al. (2018). It is possible that the cold dust envelopes are extremely thick enough, leading to that most of heating from the central hot source has been cooled down by the envelopes.

## 5.4. Deuteration is a poor evolutionary indicator of star formation in evolved stage

Many previous works (e.g., Fontani et al. 2011; Brünken et al. 2014; Ceccarelli et al. 2014) argued that the deuteration can be used as an evolutionary indicator of star formation in a wide range of evolutionary stages, for example, from high-mass starless core candidates (HMSCs) to high-mass protostellar objects (HMPOs) and ultracompact (UC) H II regions (for a definition of these stages see e.g. Beuther et al. 2007). Busquet et al. (2010) found that in a high-mass star-forming region (harbouring an UC H II region), the deuterium fractionation increases until the onset of star formation and decreases afterwards. The method is to check the changes of deuterium abundance in different evolutionary stages. Since the evolved sources often have high dust

temperature (> 30 K), the growth of deuterium fractionation will be inhibited easily (see Setion 5.3). So, what is the nature of the deuterium emission that still can be detected even in evolved objects (e.g., HMPOs and UC H II regions)? We think that previous observations mainly focused on using single-dish telescopes or interferometers with relatively low spatial resolution. They were not able to resolve the distributions of deuterium species from central bright sources, for example HMSCs, HMPOs, and UC H II regions.

In our PdBI NH<sub>2</sub>D observations, we find that the positions detected with NH<sub>2</sub>D emission are often offset far away from the protostellar cores, traced by bright 3.5 mm and 1.3 cm continuum (see Section 5.2). Fontani et al. (2006) proposed two scenarios: in the first one, the cold gas is distributed in an external shell not yet heated up by the high-mass protostellar object, a remnant of the parental massive starless core, due to heavily thick envelope of high-mass star formation; in the second one, the cold gas is located in cold and dense cores close to the high-mass protostellar cores but not associated with them. We also argue that the NH<sub>2</sub>D that we detected does not emit from the evolved objects indeed. Considering that the high-mass stars often form in clusters (Tutukov 1978; Kurtz et al. 2000), the detected NH<sub>2</sub>D cores may be just some cold and dense fragments of the neighbouring evolved objects. Basically, the detected NH<sub>2</sub>D cores belong to prestellar or starless objects (see Section 5.2). Therefore, these deuterium production should have few correlation with the HMPOs and UC H II regions.

In this work, we do not see much discrepancy (see Figure 17) in deuteration fractionation between the early evolutionary stage of sources (e.g., G18.17, G18.21) and the evolved objects (e.g., G23.44, G23.97S). This further suggests that the habitat conditions where NH<sub>2</sub>D remains gaseous have no direct correlation with different evolutionary stages but, rather, they depend mainly on the temperature conditions between 13.0 and 22.0 K and the density condition of around  $5.3 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. The HMPOs and UC H II regions often have high dust temperature of > 30 K, which is too high for significant deuterium fractionation. It is for this reason that, in principle, the observed cold and dense gas responsible for the NH<sub>2</sub>D emission may be not associated with the high-mass evolved objects. Therefore, NH<sub>2</sub>D is a poor evolutionary indicator of high-mass star formation in evolved stages, but a useful tracer in the starless and prestellar cores.

#### 6. Summary

At the early stages (e.g., prestellar or starless core stages) of star formation, most species tend to be frozen out onto dust grains, except deuterium molecules and ions. Using the PdBI and the VLA, we presented o-NH<sub>2</sub>D  $1_{11}$ - $1_{01}$  and NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1), (2, 2) observations in eight massive precluster protocluster clumps including G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, G23.98, G23.44, G23.97S, G25.38, and G25.71. We used 3D GAUSSCLUMPS to extract NH<sub>2</sub>D cores and provided a statistical view of their deuterium chemistry.

We detected seven instances of extremely high deuterium fractionation of  $1.0 \le D_{\rm frac} \le 1.41$  in the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. Current gas phase models have difficulty for explaining the high fractionation observed in this work. The gas-grain chemical reactions are needed to explain the production of the high deuterium fractionation. In addition, we found that the distribution between deuterium fractionation and kinetic temperature shows a number density peak at around  $T_{\rm kin} = 16.1$  K, and the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are mainly located at a temperature range of 13.0 to 22.0 K. The 3.5 mm continuum cores have a kinetic temperature with the median width of  $22.1 \pm 4.3$  K, which is obviously higher than

the temperature in  $NH_2D$  cores. This suggests that the high deuterium fractionation strongly depends on the temperature condition.

We found that the  $NH_2D$  emission is often not associated with either a dust continuum or  $NH_3$  emission peak positions. For the protocluster clumps G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38, the  $NH_2D$  distributions are extended and surrounding the 3.5 mm continuum peak positions, and their minimum projected offset distances to the continuum peak nearby are 0.13, 0.12, and 0.17 pc, respectively. We also found that large projected offsets exist between the  $NH_2D$  core and continuum peak positions, and the projected offsets are larger in the more evolved objects, for example G23.44, G23.97S, and G25.38 in protostellar core stage than those in the earlier evolutionary stages, for example G23.97N and G23.98 in prestellar core stage.

We found that the NH<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>2</sub>D are often optically thick in these clumps with a median width of  $4.05 \pm 0.04$  and  $3.22 \pm 0.10$ , respectively. The masses of the NH<sub>2</sub>D cores at a scale of  $R_{\rm eff} \approx 0.05$  pc range from 5.9 to  $54.0\,M_{\odot}$  with a median width of  $13.8 \pm 0.6\,M_{\odot}$ . The NH<sub>2</sub>D cores are mostly gravitationally bound  $(\alpha_{\rm vir} < 1)$ , are likely prestellar or starless, and can potentially form intermediate-mass or high-mass stars in future.

The derived volume density of the  $NH_2D$  cores is between  $1.8\times10^5$  and  $2.4\times10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> with a median width of  $(5.3\pm1.4)\times10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, while that of continuum cores ranges from  $1.5\times10^5$  to  $4.6\times10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> with a median width of  $(1.4\pm0.1)\times10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Therefore, the  $NH_2D$  distributions are in a relatively less dense condition than the continuum cores.

The detected  $NH_2D$  line widths are very narrow with a median width of  $0.98 \pm 0.02 \, \mathrm{km \ s^{-1}}$ , where the thermal and nonthermal velocity dispersion have a median width of  $0.09 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.41 \pm 0.01 \, \mathrm{km \ s^{-1}}$  in the  $NH_2D$  cores, respectively. Therefore, non-thermal motions still contribute significantly to the line width of  $NH_2D$ .

We found that the detected  $NH_2D$  cores belong to prestellar or starless object stages. The association between the  $NH_2D$  cores and the evolved objects is not significant. The remaining of  $NH_2D$  mainly depends on the suitable temperature of around 13.0 to 22.0 K and the density of  $\sim 5.3 \times 10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Therefore, we suggest that the  $NH_2D$  is a useful tracer in prestellar or starless cores, but cannot be used as a precise indicator in other evolved stages.

Using NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) as a dynamical tracer, we found evidence of very complicated dynamical movement in all the eight clumps, either outflow and rotation or convergent flow and colliding each other, not only in earlier stage of clumps but also in evolved objects. The velocity signatures that indicate to rotating toroids are also identified. The sample partly present obvious dynamical characteristic of rotating toroids, suggesting that accretion has started and continues to increase gradually from the prestellar core stage (e.g., G23.97N and G23.98) to the protostellar stage (e.g., G23.44 and G23.97S). Additionally, the central continuum cores in G18.17, G18.21, G23.97N, and G23.98 have relatively quiescent dynamical movements, but their large-scale gas distributions beyond the core size show a large velocity gradient.

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referees for constructive comments that improved the manuscript. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11703040, and the National Key Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) No. 2015CB857101. C.-P. Zhang acknowledges support by the NAOC Nebula Talents Program and the China Scholarship Council in Germany as a postdoctoral researcher (No. 201704910137).

```
References
Ai, M., Zhu, M., Xiao, L., & Su, H.-Q. 2013, Research in Astronomy and Astro-
   physics, 13, 935
Anderson, L. D. & Bania, T. M. 2009, ApJ, 690, 706
Bachiller, R., Guilloteau, S., & Kahane, C. 1987, A&A, 173, 324
Beltrán, M. T. & de Wit, W. J. 2016, A&A Rev., 24, 6
Benson, P. J. & Myers, P. C. 1989, ApJS, 71, 89
Bergin, E. A. & Tafalla, M. 2007, ARA&A, 45, 339
Beuther, H., Churchwell, E. B., McKee, C. F., & Tan, J. C. 2007, Protostars and
   Planets V, 165
Beuther, H., Linz, H., Tackenberg, J., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A115
Breen, S. L., Fuller, G. A., Caswell, J. L., et al. 2015, MNRAS, 450, 4109
Brown, P. D. & Millar, T. J. 1989a, MNRAS, 240, 25P
Brown, P. D. & Millar, T. J. 1989b, MNRAS, 237, 661
Brünken, S., Sipilä, O., Chambers, E. T., et al. 2014, Nature, 516, 219
Brunthaler, A., Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., et al. 2009, ApJ, 693, 424
Busquet, G., Palau, A., Estalella, R., et al. 2010, A&A, 517, L6
Ceccarelli, C., Caselli, P., Bockelée-Morvan, D., et al. 2014, Protostars and Plan-
   ets VI, 859
Churchwell, E. 2002, ARA&A, 40, 27
Crapsi, A., Caselli, P., Walmsley, C. M., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, 379
Csengeri, T., Bontemps, S., Schneider, N., Motte, F., & Dib, S. 2011a, A&A,
Csengeri, T., Bontemps, S., Schneider, N., et al. 2011b, ApJ, 740, L5
Cyganowski, C. J., Whitney, B. A., Holden, E., et al. 2008, AJ, 136, 2391
Daniel, F., Coudert, L. H., Punanova, A., et al. 2016a, A&A, 586, L4
Daniel, F., Rist, C., Faure, A., et al. 2016b, MNRAS, 457, 1535
De Simone, M., Fontani, F., Codella, C., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 476, 1982
de Villiers, H. M., Chrysostomou, A., Thompson, M. A., et al. 2014, MNRAS,
   444, 566
Evans, II, N. J. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 311
Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., & Linnartz, H. 2015a, MNRAS, 446, 449
Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., Zhao, D., Lamberts, T., & Linnartz, H. 2015b, MN-
   RAS, 446, 439
Flower, D. R., Pineau Des Forêts, G., & Walmsley, C. M. 2006, A&A, 449, 621
Fontani, F., Caselli, P., Crapsi, A., et al. 2006, A&A, 460, 709
Fontani, F., Palau, A., Caselli, P., et al. 2011, A&A, 529, L7
Friesen, R. K., Beltrán, M. T., Caselli, P., & Garrod, R. T. 2018, in Astronom-
   ical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, Vol. 517, Science with a Next
   Generation Very Large Array, ed. E. Murphy, 381
Friesen, R. K., Di Francesco, J., Bourke, T. L., et al. 2014, ApJ, 797, 27
Friesen, R. K., Di Francesco, J., Shirley, Y. L., & Myers, P. C. 2009, ApJ, 697,
   1457
Fukui, Y., Torii, K., Hattori, Y., et al. 2018, ApJ, 859, 166
Fuller, G. A., Williams, S. J., & Sridharan, T. K. 2005, A&A, 442, 949
Galván-Madrid, R., Keto, E., Zhang, Q., et al. 2009, ApJ, 706, 1036
Gong, Y., Fang, M., Mao, R., et al. 2017, ApJ, 835, L14
Green, J. A., Caswell, J. L., Fuller, G. A., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 409, 913
Harju, J., Daniel, F., Sipilä, O., et al. 2017, A&A, 600, A61
Hatchell, J. 2003, A&A, 403, L25
Ho, P. T. P. 1977, PhD thesis, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOL-
   OGY.
Ho, P. T. P. & Townes, C. H. 1983, ARA&A, 21, 239
Kauffmann, J. & Pillai, T. 2010, ApJ, 723, L7
Keto, E. 2007, ApJ, 666, 976
Kramer, C., Stutzki, J., Rohrig, R., & Corneliussen, U. 1998, A&A, 329, 249
Kramer, C., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 1996, A&A, 307, 915
Kukolich, S. G. 1967, Physical Review, 156, 83
Kurtz, S., Cesaroni, R., Churchwell, E., Hofner, P., & Walmsley, C. M. 2000,
   Protostars and Planets IV, 299
Liu, T., Wu, Y., Zhang, Q., et al. 2011, ApJ, 728, 91
Lockman, F. J. 1989, ApJS, 71, 469
Lu, X., Zhang, Q., Liu, H. B., et al. 2018, ApJ, 855, 9
MacLaren, I., Richardson, K. M., & Wolfendale, A. W. 1988, ApJ, 333, 821
Millar, T. J. 2002, Planet. Space Sci., 50, 1189
Millar, T. J. 2003, Space Sci. Rev., 106, 73
Millar, T. J., Bennett, A., & Herbst, E. 1989, ApJ, 340, 906
Motte, F., Bontemps, S., Schilke, P., et al. 2007, A&A, 476, 1243
Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, Journal of
   Molecular Structure, 742, 215
Oliveira, C. M., Hébrard, G., Howk, J. C., et al. 2003, ApJ, 587, 235
Ossenkopf, V. & Henning, T. 1994, A&A, 291, 943
Ott, J., Henkel, C., Braatz, J. A., & Weiß, A. 2011, ApJ, 742, 95
Pagani, L., Daniel, F., & Dubernet, M.-L. 2009, A&A, 494, 719
Parise, B., Leurini, S., Schilke, P., et al. 2009, A&A, 508, 737
```

Park, Y. S. 2001, A&A, 376, 348

Peretto, N., Fuller, G. A., André, P., et al. 2014, A&A, 561, A83

Pillai, T., Caselli, P., Kauffmann, J., et al. 2012, ApJ, 751, 135 Pillai, T., Kauffmann, J., Wyrowski, F., et al. 2011, A&A, 530, A118

- Pillai, T., Wyrowski, F., Hatchell, J., Gibb, A. G., & Thompson, M. A. 2007, A&A, 467, 207
- Reid, M. J., Menten, K. M., Zheng, X. W., et al. 2009, ApJ, 700, 137 Ren, J. Z., Liu, T., Wu, Y., & Li, L. 2011, MNRAS, 415, L49 Roberts, H. & Millar, T. J. 2000, A&A, 361, 388

- Rodgers, S. D. & Charnley, S. B. 2001, ApJ, 553, 613
- Roueff, E., Lis, D. C., van der Tak, F. F. S., Gerin, M., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2005, A&A, 438, 585
- Shu, F. H., Adams, F. C., & Lizano, S. 1987, ARA&A, 25, 23
- Sipilä, O., Caselli, P., & Harju, J. 2015a, A&A, 578, A55
- Sipilä, O., Harju, J., Caselli, P., & Schlemmer, S. 2015b, A&A, 581, A122
- Stutzki, J. & Guesten, R. 1990, ApJ, 356, 513
- Stutzki, J. & Winnewisser, G. 1985, A&A, 144, 13
- Tafalla, M., Myers, P. C., Caselli, P., Walmsley, C. M., & Comito, C. 2002, ApJ, 569, 815
- Tiné, S., Roueff, E., Falgarone, E., Gerin, M., & Pineau des Forêts, G. 2000, A&A, 356, 1039
- Tutukov, A. V. 1978, A&A, 70, 57
- Urquhart, J. S., Thompson, M. A., Moore, T. J. T., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 400
- Walmsley, C. M., Flower, D. R., & Pineau des Forêts, G. 2004, A&A, 418, 1035
- Wienen, M., Wyrowski, F., Schuller, F., et al. 2012, A&A, 544, A146
- Yuan, J., Li, J.-Z., Wu, Y., et al. 2018, ApJ, 852, 12
- Zhang, C.-P., Csengeri, T., Wyrowski, F., et al. 2019a, A&A, 627, A85
- Zhang, C.-P., Li, G.-X., Wyrowski, F., et al. 2016, A&A, 585, A117 Zhang, C.-P., Li, G.-X., Zhou, C., Yuan, L., & Zhu, M. 2019b, A&A, 631, A110
- Zhang, C.-P., Liu, T., Yuan, J., et al. 2018, ApJS, 236, 49
- Zhang, C.-P., Wang, J.-J., & Xu, J.-L. 2013, A&A, 550, A117
- Zhang, C.-P., Wang, J.-J., Xu, J.-L., Wyrowski, F., & Menten, K. M. 2014, ApJ, 784, 107
- Zhou, P., Chen, Y., Safi-Harb, S., et al. 2016a, ApJ, 831, 192
- Zhou, P., Chen, Y., Zhang, Z.-Y., et al. 2016b, ApJ, 826, 34
- Zhu, M., Davis, C. J., Wu, Y., et al. 2011, ApJ, 739, 53
- Zinnecker, H. & Yorke, H. W. 2007, ARA&A, 45, 481

#### **Appendix A: Tables and Figures**

Table A.1: Coordinates of infrared sources, H II regions, and masers.

Source	α (J2000)	δ (J2000)	1	b
Bource	h m s	· / //	0	0
Infrared so	urces			
G18.17	18 25 07.60	-13 14 31.73	18.175196	-0.298597
G18.21	18 25 21.56	$-13\ 13\ 37.80$	18.214882	-0.341650
G23.97N	18 34 28.81	-075430.77	23.966176	+0.139036
G23.98	18 34 27.90	-075329.19	23.979640	+0.150223
G23.44-1	18 34 39.24	-08 31 39.19	23.436575	-0.184295
G23.44-u	18 34 39.16	-08 31 23.88	23.440278	-0.182195
G23.97S	18 35 22.11	-08 01 24.47	23.965439	-0.109116
G25.38-1	18 38 08.09	-06 46 53.73	25.382845	-0.147931
G25.38-u	18 38 08.04	-06 46 30.62	25.387294	-0.145579
G25.71-l	18 38 03.15	-06 24 15.22	25.709478	+0.043806
G25.71-u	18 38 02.77	-06 23 46.82	25.715834	+0.048689
H II region	S			
G23.44-1	18 34 39.20	-08 31 39.91	23.436396	-0.184384
G23.97S	18 35 22.28	-08 01 22.76	23.966253	-0.109648
G25.71-l	18 38 03.12	-06 24 15.27	25.709481	+0.043770
G25.71-u	18 38 02.78	-06 23 47.17	25.715764	+0.048615
Methanol r	nasers			
G23.44-1	18 34 39.27	-08 31 39.30	23.436606	-0.184422
G23.44-u	18 34 39.18	-08 31 25.40	23.439862	-0.182314
G23.97S	18 35 22.21	-08 01 22.50	23.966121	-0.109239
G25.71-1	18 38 03.15	-06 24 14.90	25.709557	+0.043843

Table A.2: Parameters of the identified NH2D cores: position, velocity, line width, brightness temperature, optical depth and excitation temperature.

.17	arcsec					TAIL ST	MINH3(1,1)	* INDNH3(2,2)	77,111	(11)6	- CANH2D	(1 'T) ELINT
71		$\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$		$km s^{-1}$	$km s^{-1}$	К	K	K			K	K
- 0 w 4 w v	Center: R.A.=18	Center: R.A.=18 25 07.534, DEC.=-	.13									
0 w 4 w v	(4.40, 3.09)	$49.98 \pm 0.01$	$0.97 \pm 0.02$	$1.15 \pm 0.04$	$1.20 \pm 0.14$	$3.49 \pm 0.08$	$11.20 \pm 0.76$	$8.05 \pm 0.26$	$7.19 \pm 0.55$	$5.86 \pm 0.70$	$6.35 \pm 0.33$	$12.73 \pm 1.50$
w 4 w √	(1.10, 4.44)	$49.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.83 \pm 0.04$	$1.42 \pm 0.09$	$1.07 \pm 0.09$	$2.94 \pm 0.21$	$8.38 \pm 1.05$	+1	+1	+1	+1	$11.00 \pm 1.52$
4 w v	(-4.31, 9.40)	$49.61 \pm 0.02$	$0.74 \pm 0.06$	+1	$1.11 \pm 0.21$	$2.47 \pm 0.10$	$6.74 \pm 0.94$	+I	+1	+1	+1	$9.79 \pm 3.42$
νv	(-3.64, -1.86)	$49.41 \pm 0.03$	$1.17 \pm 0.06$	+1	$1.03 \pm 0.11$	$2.10 \pm 0.10$	$9.23 \pm 0.26$	+1	$4.99 \pm 0.51$	$3.25 \pm 0.44$	+1	$11.77 \pm 1.46$
y	(1.24, 8.80)	$50.37 \pm 0.05$	$1.06 \pm 0.11$	+1	$1.51 \pm 0.32$	$1.97 \pm 0.24$	$8.06 \pm 1.32$	+1	+1	+1	+1	$9.92 \pm 0.89$
0	(-1.27, -3.77)	$49.36 \pm 0.07$	$1.68 \pm 0.13$	+1	$1.29 \pm 0.14$		$8.03 \pm 0.53$	$5.34 \pm 0.25$	$3.16 \pm 0.74$	+1	$4.87 \pm 0.61$	$11.03 \pm 1.28$
7	(6.28, 11.95)	$49.48 \pm 0.04$	$0.90 \pm 0.10$	$1.03 \pm 0.02$	$1.03 \pm 0.20$	$1.61 \pm 0.19$	$8.41 \pm 0.74$	$4.16 \pm 0.50$	$0.70 \pm 0.16$	$2.44 \pm 0.34$	$7.90 \pm 1.26$	$11.45 \pm 1.35$
8	(1.24, -3.80)	$49.30 \pm 0.04$	$0.88 \pm 0.14$	$1.49 \pm 0.14$	$1.15 \pm 0.15$	$1.64 \pm 0.16$	$6.61 \pm 0.19$	$4.03 \pm 0.47$	$2.45 \pm 0.59$	$1.67 \pm 0.53$	$4.77 \pm 0.67$	$10.74 \pm 2.80$
6	(-2.47, 4.41)	$48.99 \pm 0.03$	$1.00 \pm 0.07$	$1.03 \pm 0.08$	$1.03 \pm 0.26$		$7.03 \pm 0.40$	+1	$1.64 \pm 0.35$	$2.12 \pm 0.38$	$6.08 \pm 0.85$	$10.77 \pm 1.58$
	(0.64, 11.32)	$49.24 \pm 0.11$	$1.26 \pm 0.21$	$1.70 \pm 0.07$	$1.61 \pm 0.19$	+1	$7.59 \pm 0.93$	$3.59 \pm 0.59$	+1	$3.15 \pm 0.45$	$4.41 \pm 0.33$	$10.00 \pm 1.23$
G18.21	Center: R.A.=18 25 21.558, DEC	25 21.558. DEC.=-	$-13\ 13\ 39.56$									
	(-1.23.3.70)	46.63 + 0.04	$1.01 \pm 0.08$	$1.26 \pm 0.11$	$1.69 \pm 0.15$	$2.01 \pm 0.07$	9.86 + 1.15	$7.62 \pm 0.90$	+	7.84 + 1.44	+	$11.86 \pm 2.14$
2	(0.01, 1.90)	$46.62 \pm 0.05$	1.06 + 0.12	$1.50 \pm 0.07$	2.06 + 0.15	2.02 + 0.06	$10.20 \pm 0.94$		2.17 + 0.64	7.48 + 1.04	5.82 + 0.97	11.86 + 1.62
1 ("	(3.78 – 15.76)	46.68 + 0.03	0.79 + 0.08	1 +	$1.03 \pm 0.04$	1 56 + 0 10	6 53 + 0 80	1 +	1 +	2 48 + 0 69	6 55 + 2 01	$10.02 \pm 2.21$
٠ 4	(5.08.5.02)	47.00 ± 0.23	132 + 011	1 +	1.03 ± 0.34	1.28 ± 0.15	7.43 + 0.58	1 +	1 +	7 37 + 1 03	6 95 + 1 20	921 + 1 16
+ <b>v</b>	(-3.14.7.55)	46.84 + 0.03	$0.53 \pm 0.06$	1 +	$1.03 \pm 0.14$	1.40 ± 0.11	6 40 + 0 86	1 +	1 +	$7.45 \pm 0.52$	$451 \pm 0.51$	073 + 062
,	(-1.21 - 3.75)	46 14 + 0 10	1 88 + 0 22	1 +	$1.62 \pm 0.03$	1.02 ± 0.19	$7.37 \pm 0.33$	4 46 + 0 39	265 ± 625	7.58 + 1.10	$4.01 \pm 0.01$	1 +
7	(5.04 –1.29)	46.85 ± 0.05	1.15 ± 0.22	1 +	1.83 + 0.27	$1.36 \pm 0.07$	6 94 + 0 47	1 +	1 +	8 86 + 0 47	1 +	8 77 + 4 90
- ∝	(-3.14 - 0.64)	$46.33 \pm 0.03$	1.22 ± 0.11	1 +	1.0 ± 0.21	$1.53 \pm 0.07$	7.86 + 0.46	1 +	3 50 ± 0.75	7.01 + 1.10	471 + 0 54	0.76 + 1.33
U23 97N	(-5.14, -0.04) Center: R A = 18 34		-07 54 31 76	-1	1.10 ± 0.10	-1	0t:0 + 08:7	-	-1	-1	-1	-1
	(12.70 - 3.11)	77.32 + 0.02	0.87 ± 0.03	1.03 + 0.00	$1.04 \pm 0.03$	2 62 + 0 19	10 00 + 0 38	7 31 + 0 13	8 44 + 0 93	7 17 + 0 66	5 13 + 0 31	$11.54 \pm 0.97$
, (	(7.63 - 1.29)	$77.72 \pm 0.03$	$0.97 \pm 0.03$	$1.03 \pm 0.03$	1.15 + 0.08	1 93 + 0 18	9 56 + 0 40	5 89 + 0 31	171+087	2 68 + 0 39	6 16 + 1 81	12 19 + 1 54
G23.98	Center: R.A.=18	Center: R.A.=18 34 27.823. DEC.=-	-07.5328.76	1			1				1	
-	(4.46, -0.62)	$82.59 \pm 0.04$	$0.86 \pm 0.09$	$1.03 \pm 0.01$	$1.12 \pm 0.15$	$1.09 \pm 0.04$	$5.70 \pm 0.14$	$3.63 \pm 0.17$	$3.53 \pm 0.38$	$4.88 \pm 0.47$	$4.73 \pm 0.43$	$8.08 \pm 0.61$
2	(-0.66, -9.44)	$81.65 \pm 0.04$	$0.69 \pm 0.07$	$1.03 \pm 0.01$	$1.03 \pm 0.48$	$0.91 \pm 0.03$	$4.57 \pm 0.12$	$3.10 \pm 0.03$	$3.60 \pm 0.68$	$4.96 \pm 0.79$	$4.36 \pm 0.46$	$7.05 \pm 0.83$
G23.44	Center: R.A.=18 34 39.253, DEC	34 39.253, DEC.=-	-083136.23									
1	(2.58, 1.92)	$99.94 \pm 0.07$	$2.00 \pm 0.14$	$3.13 \pm 0.04$	$3.40 \pm 0.11$	$1.84 \pm 0.13$	$10.20 \pm 0.94$	$8.00 \pm 0.74$	$3.19 \pm 0.70$	$4.66 \pm 0.19$	$6.12 \pm 0.84$	$11.87 \pm 0.46$
2	(-9.87, 0.61)	$101.44 \pm 0.05$	$1.06 \pm 0.08$	$1.84 \pm 0.12$	$2.30 \pm 0.29$	$1.18 \pm 0.10$	$6.86 \pm 0.65$	+1	$4.75 \pm 0.88$	$4.32 \pm 0.62$	$4.61 \pm 0.46$	$9.36 \pm 1.19$
3	(5.42, 3.52)	$100.02 \pm 0.03$	$1.17 \pm 0.06$	+1	$2.71 \pm 0.12$	$1.98 \pm 0.05$	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	$10.12 \pm 0.49$
4	(-7.69, -0.64)	$101.42 \pm 0.04$	$0.99 \pm 0.09$	+1	$2.70 \pm 0.15$	$1.35 \pm 0.08$	$8.36 \pm 0.65$	+1	+1	$4.34 \pm 0.61$	$6.19 \pm 1.20$	$10.65 \pm 1.38$
5	(-6.74, -20.15)	$99.92 \pm 0.03$	$0.85 \pm 0.05$	+1	$1.41 \pm 0.41$	$0.87 \pm 0.12$	$7.65 \pm 0.72$	$4.83 \pm 0.33$	$6.64 \pm 0.84$	$5.19 \pm 0.76$	$4.52 \pm 0.34$	$9.36 \pm 1.15$
9	(4.48, -0.96)	$100.23 \pm 0.08$	$1.51 \pm 0.14$	+1	$3.30 \pm 0.22$	$1.19 \pm 0.08$	$8.93 \pm 0.32$	+1	+1	$3.03 \pm 0.20$	+1	$11.40 \pm 0.67$
7	(3.83, 5.12)	$100.00 \pm 0.05$	$0.75 \pm 0.16$	+1	$2.62 \pm 0.10$	$1.52 \pm 0.07$	$8.27 \pm 0.96$	+1	$4.81 \pm 0.99$	+1	$4.33 \pm 0.53$	$10.30 \pm 0.68$
8	(-0.31, -8.64)	$100.72 \pm 0.03$	$0.54 \pm 0.06$	+1	+1	$1.10 \pm 0.02$	$4.87 \pm 0.78$	+1	+1	+1	+1	$11.29 \pm 0.72$
6	(-7.05, -15.36)	$99.49 \pm 0.11$	$1.08 \pm 0.24$	$1.98 \pm 0.06$	$2.22 \pm 0.14$	$0.57 \pm 0.08$	$7.64 \pm 1.02$	$4.21 \pm 0.52$	$^{+1}$	$3.19 \pm 0.33$	$8.49 \pm 3.33$	$9.68 \pm 0.83$
10	(-7.03, -6.09)	$101.31 \pm 0.06$	$0.83 \pm 0.18$	+1	$2.37 \pm 0.23$	$1.06 \pm 0.04$	$8.84 \pm 0.53$	$7.67 \pm 0.34$	$5.67 \pm 1.65$	+1	$4.14 \pm 0.53$	$10.73 \pm 0.62$
G23.97S	Center: R.A.=18 35 22.160, DEC	35 22.160, DEC.=-	-08 01 26.53									
_	(4.11, -10.50)	$73.39 \pm 0.07$	$1.20 \pm 0.13$	$1.74 \pm 0.07$	$1.33 \pm 0.11$	$1.07 \pm 0.06$	$4.95 \pm 0.14$	$4.75 \pm 0.16$	$0.30 \pm 0.12$	$7.16 \pm 0.35$	$9.98 \pm 2.91$	$8.26 \pm 0.28$
2	(1.19, -5.95)	$74.02 \pm 0.27$	$1.07 \pm 0.11$	+1	$3.60 \pm 0.17$	$0.77 \pm 0.12$	$6.38 \pm 0.34$	$5.14 \pm 0.29$	$1.51 \pm 0.21$	$9.09 \pm 0.50$	$5.12 \pm 0.37$	$9.59 \pm 0.71$
3	(3.23, -15.74)	$72.86 \pm 0.04$	$0.77 \pm 0.09$	$1.44 \pm 0.12$	$2.15 \pm 0.33$	$^{\rm H}$	$5.87 \pm 0.26$	$3.46 \pm 0.11$	$5.03 \pm 0.90$	$4.11 \pm 0.98$	$4.13 \pm 0.39$	$8.25 \pm 1.59$
	(1.10, 9.52)	$69.74 \pm 0.27$	$0.83 \pm 0.12$	$3.08 \pm 0.21$	$3.81 \pm 0.25$	$0.71 \pm 0.04$	$4.73 \pm 0.27$	$3.54 \pm 0.29$	$4.62 \pm 0.31$	$1.14 \pm 0.45$	$3.86 \pm 0.09$	$10.82 \pm 3.42$
G25.38	Center: R.A.=18	Center: R.A.=18 38 08.108, DEC.=-	-06 46 54.93									
_	(0.13, -4.70)	$96.39 \pm 0.02$	$0.71 \pm 0.06$	$1.37 \pm 0.04$	$1.55 \pm 0.13$	$2.83 \pm 0.21$	$9.35 \pm 0.56$	$6.48 \pm 0.34$	$4.46 \pm 1.08$	+I	$5.72 \pm 0.83$	$11.40 \pm 0.91$
2	(-7.02, -12.09)	$96.32 \pm 0.03$	$0.72 \pm 0.05$	+1	$1.18 \pm 0.22$	+1	$7.08 \pm 0.36$	$4.15 \pm 0.24$	$5.67 \pm 1.06$	+1	$4.66 \pm 0.47$	$9.88 \pm 1.13$
3	(-7.75, 2.91)	$97.36 \pm 0.11$	$1.95 \pm 0.20$	$2.03 \pm 0.07$	$2.24 \pm 0.14$	$1.21 \pm 0.13$	$8.02 \pm 0.69$	$6.08 \pm 0.57$	$1.29 \pm 0.56$	$3.73 \pm 0.46$	$5.26 \pm 1.26$	$9.82 \pm 1.06$
	(-3.22, -15.10)	$95.94 \pm 0.05$	$0.79 \pm 0.07$	$1.06 \pm 0.08$	$1.03 \pm 0.07$	+1	$6.29 \pm 0.28$	$3.44 \pm 0.20$	$5.10 \pm 0.66$	+1	$4.17 \pm 0.23$	$9.32 \pm 1.13$
G25.71	Center: R.A.=18 38 03.184, DEC	38 03.184, DEC.=-	-06 24 14.30			700	. 00		. 101	. 90 6	770.00	70.00
٦,	(0.50, 0.02)	$97.54 \pm 0.23$	$1.82 \pm 0.23$	$2.19 \pm 0.04$	$3.03 \pm 0.15$	$0.35 \pm 0.06$	$11.00 \pm 0.61$ 8 25 ± 1 38	$9.69 \pm 0.4$ / $5.15 \pm 1.08$	$1.04 \pm 0.36$	$3.98 \pm 0.22$	$4.36 \pm 0.66$	$12.96 \pm 0.68$

Note: Offsets: Derived from NH<sub>2</sub>D core extraction using the GAUSSCLUMPS. Other parameters: Derived by HfS fittings of NH<sub>2</sub>D and NH<sub>3</sub> using the GILDAS. 7NH<sub>2</sub>D and 7NH<sub>3</sub>(1,1); Main group opacity of HfS components.

Table A.3: Parameters of the identified NH<sub>2</sub>D cores: size, temperature, mass, density, and deuterium fractionation.

Sources	FWHM	$R_{ m eff}$	$T_{ m kin}$	$M_{\rm H_2}$	$M_{ m vir}$	$\alpha_{ m vir}$	$N_{ m NH_2D}$	$N_{ m NH_3}$	$N_{\rm H_2}$	$n_{\mathrm{H}_2}$	$D_{\mathrm{frac}}$
No.	arcsec	pc	K	$M_{\odot}$	$M_{\odot}$	pc	10 <sup>14</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>14</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>22</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	$10^{5} \text{cm}^{-3}$	[NH <sub>2</sub> D]/[NH <sub>3</sub> ]
G18.17											
1	5.09	0.055	$15.2 \pm 1.1$	$14.5 \pm 1.3$	$6.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.43 \pm 0.04$	$30.0 \pm 2.4$	$28.5 \pm 3.0$	$11.9 \pm 1.0$	$6.3 \pm 0.5$	$1.05 \pm 0.14$
2	5.09	0.055	$17.1 \pm 2.3$	$7.3 \pm 1.1$	$4.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.61 \pm 0.11$	$9.4 \pm 2.0$	$19.6 \pm 3.3$	$5.9 \pm 0.9$	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	$0.48 \pm 0.13$
3	4.11	0.045	$17.6 \pm 2.7$	$6.5 \pm 1.1$	$2.8 \pm 0.5$	$0.43 \pm 0.10$	$3.1 \pm 0.6$	$8.4 \pm 3.1$	$8.1 \pm 1.4$	$5.3 \pm 0.9$	$0.36 \pm 0.15$
4	5.90	0.064	$15.9 \pm 1.0$	$15.7 \pm 1.1$	$10.6 \pm 1.0$	$0.68 \pm 0.08$	$25.0 \pm 2.8$	$18.4 \pm 2.1$	$9.6 \pm 0.7$	$4.3 \pm 0.3$	$1.36 \pm 0.22$
5	4.53	0.049	$14.2 \pm 1.7$	$8.2 \pm 1.1$	$6.7 \pm 1.5$	$0.81 \pm 0.21$	$17.9 \pm 3.5$	$27.1 \pm 3.8$	$8.5 \pm 1.1$	$5.0 \pm 0.7$	$0.66 \pm 0.16$
6	3.34	0.036	$17.7 \pm 1.6$	$6.4 \pm 0.6$	$12.7 \pm 2.0$	$1.97 \pm 0.37$	$22.8 \pm 5.7$	$18.2 \pm 2.3$	$12.2 \pm 1.2$	$9.8 \pm 1.0$	$1.25 \pm 0.35$
7	4.70	0.051	$15.7 \pm 1.7$	$7.4 \pm 0.9$	$4.9 \pm 1.1$	$0.67 \pm 0.17$	$2.7 \pm 0.7$	$11.0 \pm 1.6$	$7.1 \pm 0.9$	$4.0 \pm 0.5$	$0.25 \pm 0.07$
8	5.19	0.056	$17.2 \pm 2.0$	$11.4 \pm 1.5$	$5.1 \pm 1.7$	$0.45 \pm 0.16$	$9.2 \pm 2.7$	$12.0 \pm 3.3$	$8.9 \pm 1.2$	$4.6 \pm 0.6$	$0.77 \pm 0.31$
9	3.63	0.039	$17.4 \pm 2.3$	$6.5 \pm 1.0$	$4.8 \pm 0.7$	$0.73 \pm 0.15$	$7.1 \pm 1.6$	$10.6 \pm 1.9$	$10.5 \pm 1.5$	$7.8 \pm 1.1$	$0.67 \pm 0.19$
10	3.96	0.043	$14.2 \pm 1.3$	$8.2 \pm 0.9$	$8.4 \pm 2.9$	$1.03 \pm 0.37$	$20.4 \pm 3.6$	$21.2 \pm 3.1$	$11.1 \pm 1.2$	$7.5 \pm 0.8$	$0.96 \pm 0.22$
G18.21											
1	5.89	0.062	$15.8 \pm 2.5$	$13.0 \pm 2.4$	$7.6 \pm 1.3$	$0.59 \pm 0.14$	$9.2 \pm 2.9$	$43.6 \pm 8.4$	$8.5 \pm 1.6$	$4.0 \pm 0.7$	$0.21 \pm 0.08$
2	5.79	0.061	$15.0 \pm 1.4$	$14.6 \pm 1.5$	$8.3 \pm 2.0$	$0.57 \pm 0.14$	$9.9 \pm 3.1$	$46.9 \pm 5.9$	$9.9 \pm 1.0$	$4.8 \pm 0.5$	$0.21 \pm 0.07$
3	4.71	0.049	$14.3 \pm 1.5$	$6.6 \pm 0.8$	$3.6 \pm 0.7$	$0.55 \pm 0.13$	$3.8 \pm 1.9$	$10.2 \pm 2.7$	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	$4.0 \pm 0.5$	$0.37 \pm 0.21$
4	4.74	0.050	$13.5 \pm 1.1$	$7.1 \pm 0.7$	$10.7 \pm 1.8$	$1.51 \pm 0.29$	$4.1 \pm 1.2$	$42.8 \pm 5.8$	$7.2 \pm 0.7$	$4.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.10 \pm 0.03$
5	4.98	0.052	$15.8 \pm 2.6$	$5.9 \pm 1.1$	$1.6 \pm 0.4$	$0.26 \pm 0.08$	$7.4 \pm 1.6$	$33.5 \pm 6.8$	$5.4 \pm 1.0$	$3.0 \pm 0.6$	$0.22 \pm 0.07$
6	5.14	0.054	$14.0 \pm 1.1$	$6.8 \pm 0.6$	$23.7 \pm 5.7$	$3.48 \pm 0.89$	$21.7 \pm 6.9$	$34.9 \pm 4.5$	$5.9 \pm 0.5$	$3.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.62 \pm 0.21$
7	6.17	0.065	$13.4 \pm 0.9$	$8.0 \pm 0.6$	$10.5 \pm 2.1$	$1.31 \pm 0.28$	$15.2 \pm 4.2$	$48.0 \pm 3.7$	$4.8 \pm 0.4$	$2.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.32 \pm 0.09$
8	4.32	0.045	$13.9 \pm 1.1$	$6.8 \pm 0.6$	$9.2 \pm 1.2$	$1.34 \pm 0.22$	$19.5 \pm 4.9$	$28.0 \pm 3.7$	$8.3 \pm 0.8$	$5.4 \pm 0.5$	$0.70 \pm 0.20$
G23.97N											
1	5.58	0.076	$14.3 \pm 0.5$	$27.2 \pm 1.2$	$6.9 \pm 0.5$	$0.25 \pm 0.02$	$31.9 \pm 3.7$	$29.4 \pm 2.1$	$11.8 \pm 0.5$	$4.5 \pm 0.2$	$1.09 \pm 0.15$
2	4.97	0.068	$18.3 \pm 1.4$	$13.6 \pm 1.2$	$7.1 \pm 1.2$	$0.52 \pm 0.10$	$6.9 \pm 3.6$	$14.1 \pm 1.6$	$7.4 \pm 0.6$	$3.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.49 \pm 0.26$
G23.98											
1	5.53	0.075	$15.2 \pm 0.9$	$11.5 \pm 0.8$	$6.6 \pm 1.4$	$0.58 \pm 0.13$	$13.0 \pm 1.9$	$21.2 \pm 1.8$	$5.1 \pm 0.4$	$2.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.62 \pm 0.11$
2	5.33	0.073	$15.2 \pm 2.5$	$11.5 \pm 2.2$	$4.0 \pm 0.9$	$0.35 \pm 0.10$	$10.7 \pm 2.3$	$21.6 \pm 4.1$	$5.4 \pm 1.0$	$2.2 \pm 0.4$	$0.50 \pm 0.15$
G23.44	2.10	0.054	101 26	160 20	27.2 2.7	1.61 0.01	27.5	72 ( 10.0		· · ·	0.25 0.10
1	3.18	0.054	$18.1 \pm 2.6$	$16.9 \pm 2.8$	$27.2 \pm 3.7$	$1.61 \pm 0.34$	$27.5 \pm 6.3$	$73.6 \pm 10.8$	$14.3 \pm 2.3$	$7.6 \pm 1.2$	$0.37 \pm 0.10$
2	3.15	0.054	$19.9 \pm 3.6$	$15.2 \pm 3.1$	$7.3 \pm 1.1$	$0.48 \pm 0.12$	$22.0 \pm 4.5$	$44.0 \pm 8.1$	$13.1 \pm 2.7$	$7.1 \pm 1.4$	$0.50 \pm 0.13$
3	4.32	0.074	$15.2 \pm 1.2$	$20.7 \pm 1.8$	$12.4 \pm 1.4$	$0.60 \pm 0.08$	$16.6 \pm 3.5$	$46.3 \pm 3.9$	$9.5 \pm 0.8$	$3.7 \pm 0.3$	$0.36 \pm 0.08$
4	2.26	0.039	$22.3 \pm 4.8$	$13.4 \pm 3.2$	$4.5 \pm 0.8$	$0.34 \pm 0.10$	$8.5 \pm 2.7$	$50.3 \pm 10.3$	$22.4 \pm 5.3$	$16.8 \pm 4.0$	$0.17 \pm 0.06$
5	3.40	0.058	$16.0 \pm 2.3$	$19.4 \pm 3.2$	$4.9 \pm 0.6$	$0.25 \pm 0.05$	$24.1 \pm 3.4$	$23.8 \pm 4.0$	$14.3 \pm 2.4$	$7.2 \pm 1.2$	$1.01 \pm 0.22$
6	2.43	0.042	$21.1 \pm 1.8$	$14.3 \pm 1.4$	$11.7 \pm 2.2$	$0.82 \pm 0.17$	$24.2 \pm 8.3$	$50.2 \pm 4.5$	$20.6 \pm 2.0$	$14.4 \pm 1.4$	$0.48 \pm 0.17$
7	2.32	0.040	$15.3 \pm 2.0$	$20.5 \pm 3.0$	$2.6 \pm 1.2$	$0.13 \pm 0.06$	$15.5 \pm 4.6$	$46.8 \pm 6.5$	$32.5 \pm 4.8$	$23.8 \pm 3.5$	$0.33 \pm 0.11$
8	2.50	0.043	$39.5 \pm 12.9$	$7.3 \pm 2.5$	$1.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.14 \pm 0.06$	$11.3 \pm 3.8$	$30.4 \pm 9.1$	$9.9 \pm 3.4$	$6.8 \pm 2.3$	$0.37 \pm 0.14$
9	2.69	0.046	$16.1 \pm 1.8$	$19.3 \pm 2.4$	$6.5 \pm 3.0$	$0.34 \pm 0.16$	$1.4 \pm 0.8$	$28.3 \pm 3.6$	$22.8 \pm 2.8$	$14.4 \pm 1.8$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$
10	2.97	0.051	$20.4 \pm 3.1$	$14.8 \pm 2.5$	$4.1 \pm 2.0$	$0.28 \pm 0.14$	$20.8 \pm 7.6$	$61.4 \pm 9.4$	$14.3 \pm 2.4$	$8.2 \pm 1.4$	$0.34 \pm 0.13$
G23.97S	2.01	0.050	170 20	115 05	0.1.20	0.62 0.10	16.06	(20000	122 22		0.02 0.01
1	3.81	0.052	$17.9 \pm 2.8$	$14.5 \pm 2.5$	$9.1 \pm 2.0$	$0.63 \pm 0.18$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$	$62.0 \pm 9.8$	$13.3 \pm 2.3$	$7.4 \pm 1.3$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
2	4.04	0.055	$18.3 \pm 1.9$	$14.1 \pm 1.6$	$7.7 \pm 1.6$	$0.55 \pm 0.13$	$7.0 \pm 1.2$	$84.1 \pm 8.8$	$11.5 \pm 1.3$	$6.1 \pm 0.7$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$
3	2.82	0.039	$17.3 \pm 2.2$	$15.1 \pm 2.1$	$2.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.18 \pm 0.05$	$16.7 \pm 3.6$	$28.5 \pm 4.9$	$25.3 \pm 3.6$	$19.1 \pm 2.7$	$0.59 \pm 0.16$
4	3.04	0.042	$31.9 \pm 6.8$	$7.7 \pm 1.7$	$3.2 \pm 1.0$	$0.41 \pm 0.16$	$19.4 \pm 3.4$	$31.2 \pm 10.9$	$11.1 \pm 2.5$	$7.8 \pm 1.8$	$0.62 \pm 0.24$
G25.38	4.00	0.065	10.2	22.0 2.	25 05	0.16 0.65	10 6 0 7	240 2:			0.55 0.15
1	4.00	0.065	$18.2 \pm 1.6$	$23.8 \pm 2.4$	$3.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$13.6 \pm 3.5$	$24.9 \pm 2.4$	$14.0 \pm 1.4$	$6.2 \pm 0.6$	$0.55 \pm 0.15$
2	3.14	0.051	$16.2 \pm 1.5$	$27.2 \pm 2.9$	$3.1 \pm 0.5$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	$17.6 \pm 3.5$	$16.2 \pm 2.1$	$25.9 \pm 2.8$	$14.7 \pm 1.6$	$1.09 \pm 0.26$
3	4.53	0.074	$19.4 \pm 3.1$	$22.2 \pm 3.9$	$34.9 \pm 7.3$	$1.57 \pm 0.43$	$11.0 \pm 4.9$	$40.8 \pm 6.7$	$10.2 \pm 1.8$	$4.0 \pm 0.7$	$0.27 \pm 0.13$
4	3.16	0.052	$16.1 \pm 1.0$	$27.3 \pm 1.9$	$3.7 \pm 0.7$	$0.14 \pm 0.03$	$17.2 \pm 2.7$	$12.2 \pm 1.1$	$25.7 \pm 1.8$	$14.5 \pm 1.0$	$1.41 \pm 0.26$
G25.71	2.04	0.100	20.0 . 6.7	22.0 . 0.2	44.4 . 11.5	1.25 . 0.40	00.25	(0.0 . 16.1	60.15	10.07	0.12 - 0.05
1	3.94	0.109	$28.8 \pm 6.7$	$32.8 \pm 8.3$	$44.4 \pm 11.6$	$1.35 \pm 0.49$	$9.2 \pm 3.5$	69.8 ± 16.1	$6.9 \pm 1.7$	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	$0.13 \pm 0.05$
2	4.57	0.126	$15.7 \pm 2.6$	$54.0 \pm 10.0$	$6.0 \pm 1.9$	$0.11 \pm 0.04$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$21.8 \pm 3.8$	$8.5 \pm 1.6$	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$

Note: FWHM and  $R_{\rm eff}$ : Derived from NH<sub>2</sub>D core extraction by using the GAUSSCLUMPS.  $T_{\rm kin}$ : Estimated from the rotational temperature  $T_{\rm rot}$  by using NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) and (2, 2) transitions (Ott et al. 2011).  $M_{\rm H_2}$ ,  $N_{\rm H_2}$ , and  $n_{\rm H_2}$ : Estimated from the corresponding 3.5 mm continuum flux to each NH<sub>2</sub>D core size.  $N_{\rm NH_2D}$ : Adopting the analyze routine described in Appendix of Pillai et al. (2007) to estimate the NH<sub>2</sub>D column density.  $N_{\rm NH_3}$ : Following the standard formulation in Bachiller et al. (1987) to estimate the NH<sub>3</sub> column density.

 $M_{\rm vir} \simeq 126\,R_{\rm eff}\,\Delta v_{\rm nth}^2\,(M_{\odot})$  and  $\alpha_{\rm vir}=M_{\rm vir}/M_{\rm NH_2D}$  (MacLaren et al. 1988; Evans 1999): The  $\Delta v_{\rm nth}$  is the non-thermal line width for NH<sub>2</sub>D main line.  $D_{\rm frac}$ : Deuterium fractionation is defined as  $D_{\rm frac}=N_{\rm NH_2D}/N_{\rm NH_3}=[{\rm NH_2D}]/[{\rm NH_3}]$ .




Fig. A.1: Spectra  $NH_2D$ ,  $NH_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) overlaid with their HfS fits for all  $NH_2D$  cores (see Table A.2). The spectra are derived by averaging the lines within each  $NH_2D$  core scale.




Fig. A.1: Continued.




Fig. A.1: Continued.




Fig. A.2: NH<sub>2</sub>D integrated-intensity contours overlaid on a 3.5 mm continuum with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)}=60.4$ , 60.9, 51.1, 53.2, 33.6, 23.4, 26.9, 50.4 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The green ellipses with red numbers indicate the positions of extracted NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The symbols " $\blacktriangle$ ", " $\blacksquare$ ", and " $\bigstar$ " indicate the positions of masers, H II regions, and infrared sources, respectively. The synthesized beam sizes of each subfigure are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm.




Fig. A.3:  $NH_2D$  integrated-intensity contours overlaid on an  $NH_3$  (1, 1) integrated-intensity image with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for  $NH_2D$  with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)}=60.4$ , 60.9, 51.1, 53.2, 33.6, 23.4, 26.9, 50.4 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The red numbers indicate the positions of extracted  $NH_2D$  cores. The synthesized beam sizes of each subfigure are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm.




Fig. A.4: Velocity distribution (moment 1) of  $NH_3$  (1, 1) line overlaid with integrated-intensity contours (moment 0) of  $NH_2D$  line with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for  $NH_2D$  with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)}=60.3$ , 60.9, 51.1, 53.2, 84.1, 48.3, 49.0, 50.4 mJy beam $^{-1}$ km s $^{-1}$ . The synthesized beam sizes of each subfigure are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. The lines with arrows show the cutting direction in Figure A.8.




Fig. A.5: Line width distribution (moment 2) of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) line overlaid with integrated-intensity contours (moment 0) of NH<sub>2</sub>D line with velocity range covering all the six HfS lines. The contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>2</sub>D with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)}=60.3$ , 60.9, 51.1, 53.2, 84.1, 48.3, 49.0, 50.4 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The synthesized beam sizes of each subfigure are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm.




Fig. A.6: Spectra of  $NH_3$  (1, 1) and (2, 2) obtained toward a single and the brightest pixel at 3.5 mm continuum. The coordinates of the spectra are indicated at each corresponding lower panel of Figure A.8.




Fig. A.7: Blueshifted and redshifted NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) integrated-intensity contours overlaid on a 3.5 mm continuum. The blue and red contours are the blueshifted and redshifted velocity components, respectively. The blue contour levels start at  $-3\sigma$  in steps of  $3\sigma$  for NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)} = 5.0$ , 6.8, 3.2, 4.9, 7.2, 5.5, 5.3, 5.6 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>, and the red ones with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)} = 5.7$ , 9.1, 4.5, 3.7, 4.8, 4.8, 5.2, 5.0 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>km s<sup>-1</sup>. The black numbers indicate the positions of extracted NH<sub>2</sub>D cores. The synthesized beam sizes of each subfigure are indicated at the bottom-left corner. The dashed circle indicates the primary beam of the PdBI observations at 3.5 mm. The lines with arrows show the position-velocity cutting direction in Figure A.8.




Fig. A.8: Position-velocity diagrams of the main line of NH<sub>3</sub> (1, 1) HfS along the position-velocity slice indicated with solid and dashed lines in Figure A.4 (see also Figure A.7). The arrows show the position-velocity cutting direction. Contour levels start at  $3\sigma$  level and increase in steps of  $3\sigma$  with  $\sigma_{(a)-(h)} = \sim 3.4$ ,  $\sim 4.4$ ,  $\sim 2.5$ ,  $\sim 2.5$ ,  $\sim 3.3$ ,  $\sim 3.4$ ,  $\sim 2.7$ , and  $\sim 2.8$  mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> for source G18.17, G18.21, ..., G25.71, respectively. Blue dashed lines show a possible rotating toroids curve. The central mass, the central position, and the systemic velocity are indicated in each subfigure.