



SCIT

School of Computing and Information Technology Faculty of Engineering & Information Sciences

PEER ASSESSMENT & GROUP WORK CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Complete and submit this form if you wish to have individual recognition of contributions to group assignment/project work. (See the next page for explanation)

SESSION	GROUP NO	CODE/COURSE	SUPERVISOR
1	FYP-25-S1-09	CSIT321-Project	Dr. Lim Min Han

			Proposed	
Student			Index	Individual Content
Number	Student Name	Signature	Value	Claimed
1	MANGSATABAM GARY	Jagnzaddle	100	-Documentation (Technical Manual and User Manual) -Programming (Classroom announcements, User Interface of homepage and classroom, structured material & assignment display)
2	ZHAO ZHIHAO	\$/.	100	 Documentation (Technical Manual and User Manual) Programming (Front & Back end coding, database design)
3	EDBERT TAIDY		100	- Documentation (Technical Manual and User Manual, Use Case Diagrams, Use Case Descriptions, Class Diagrams) - Programming (Basic functionalities of web application,

				avatar management, classroom management, discussion room and forum platform)
4	JONATHAN EMMANUEL MING XIE		100	-Documentation (Technical Manual and User Manual) -Programming (Assignment grading system. Assignment submission system and Assignment search systems. Creation of working prototype program for Al audio to face animation.)
5	LI ZONGZE	W	100	-Documentation(Technical Manual and User Manual, Use case description, Class diagram, sequence diagram)

Peer Assess and Group Work Contribution Statement, v3, July 2019 SCIT, University of Wollongong, 2019

Page 1 of 2 Copyright





VARIABLE GROUP WORK CONTRIBUTION

For some group assignment or project work, you may prefer to have the contributions of group members recognized and assessed individually. If all group members are in agreement, there are two ways of achieving this:

- a) By creating and index value for each group member.
- b) By identifying the contribution made by each member.

To construct an index, you must assess each member's contribution to the work relative to a base of 100. The total index must sum to 100 x the number of group members (e.g. 4 group members: total index = 400). For each group member, enter the value the group places on his or her contribution to the work. For example, if you think Member A did a lot of the work and coordinated the group, then you might assess her value at 120. Member B might have done quite a lot as well, so his contribution is valued as 110. Members C and D perhaps contributed less to the assignment this time because of work pressures, so they are valued at 85 each. The index is then: A (120) + B (110) + C (85) + D (85) = 400.

Now assume that the lecturer assesses the submission overall as a Distinction (75%). Then A being the highest contributor, his/her index of contribution will be used as the base to determine the individual marks obtained by each members of the group. A will receive 100% of the 75%; that is, (120/120) * 75. B will receive 110/120 * 75 = 68.75, which is about 92% (110/120) of the 75 marks. Similarly, C and D will received 85/120 * 75 = 53.13, which is about 71% (85/120) of the 75 marks. If you choose this approach, you must fill in the proposed values in the Index column of the table, for each group member.

Alternatively, you can identify the extent of each member's contribution to the assignment. Briefly describe the nature and extent of each member's contribution in the appropriate column of the table. Wherever possible, you should also highlight the individual contributions in the assignment itself.

PLEASE NOTE.

Should any of the following situations occur:

- a) You make an error in the index value allocations (e.g. the total is incorrect for the number of members in the group x 100)
- b) You do not properly describe the individual contributions (and you have not allocated index values)
- c) There is discrepancy between the tabled contribution statements and the highlighted assignment
- d) One or more group members fail to sign the statement
- e) No group contribution statement is submitted with the assignment

THEN THE VARIABLE GROUP CONTRIBUTION ASSESSMENT OPPORTUNITY WILL BE DEEMED TO BE VOID AND THE WORK WILL BE ASSESSED ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT GROUP MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS ARE EQUAL.

Peer Assess and Group Work Contribution Statement, v3, July 2019 SCIT, University of Wollongong, 2019

Page 2 of 2 Copyright