



School of Software, SJTU

Computer Vision

Lecture 7: Filtering
Part I: Spatial Domain Filtering

Importance of Neighborhood



- Both the zebra and Dalmatians have black and white pixels in similar numbers.
- The difference between the two is the characteristic appearance of small group of pixels rather than individual pixel values.

Spatial Domain Filtering

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | ? | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |

- What is the value of the center pixel?

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 2 | ? | 3 |
| 3 | 4 | 2 |

- What assumptions are you making to infer the center value?

Spatial Domain Filtering

- Some neighborhood operations work with
 - the values of the image pixels in the neighborhood, and
 - the corresponding values of a subimage that has the same dimensions as the neighborhood.
- The subimage is called a **filter** (or mask, kernel, template, window).
- The values in a filter subimage are referred to as **coefficients**, rather than pixels.


Spatial Domain Filtering

- Operation: modify the pixels in an image based on some functions of the pixels in their neighborhood.
- Simplest: **linear filtering** (replace each pixel by a linear combination of its neighbors)
- Linear spatial filtering is often referred to as “convolving an image with a filter”.

Linear Filtering

$$f[m, n] = l \otimes g = \sum_{k, l} h[m - k, n - l] g[k, l]$$

Linear system:




Output?

$$f[m=0] = \sum_k h[-k]g[k]$$


$$f[m=1] = \sum_k h[1-k]g[k]$$

$$f[m=2] = \sum_k h[2-k]g[k]$$

Input:



$$f[m=0] = -2$$



$$f[m=1] = -4$$

$$f[m=2] = 0$$

Linear Filtering



For a linear spatially invariant system

$$f[m,n] = h \otimes g = \sum_{k,l} h[m-k, n-l] g[k,l]$$

m=0 1 2 ...

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 111 | 115 | 113 | 111 | 112 | 111 | 112 | 111 |
| 135 | 138 | 137 | 139 | 145 | 146 | 149 | 147 |
| 163 | 168 | 188 | 196 | 206 | 202 | 206 | 207 |
| 180 | 184 | 206 | 219 | 202 | 200 | 195 | 193 |
| 189 | 193 | 214 | 216 | 104 | 79 | 83 | 77 |
| 191 | 201 | 217 | 220 | 103 | 59 | 60 | 68 |
| 195 | 205 | 216 | 222 | 113 | 68 | 69 | 83 |
| 199 | 203 | 223 | 228 | 108 | 68 | 71 | 77 |

$g[m,n]$

\otimes

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| -1 | 2 | -1 |
| -1 | 2 | -1 |
| -1 | 2 | -1 |

$h[m,n]$

=

| | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|----|-----|------|------|-----|---|
| ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| ? | -5 | 9 | -9 | 21 | -12 | 10 | ? |
| ? | -29 | 18 | 24 | 4 | -7 | 5 | ? |
| ? | -50 | 40 | 142 | -88 | -34 | 10 | ? |
| ? | -41 | 41 | 264 | -175 | -71 | 0 | ? |
| ? | -24 | 37 | 349 | -224 | -120 | -10 | ? |
| ? | -23 | 33 | 360 | -217 | -134 | -23 | ? |
| ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |

$f[m,n]$

Linear Filtering


- Filtering process:
 - Masks operate on a neighborhood of pixels.
 - The filter mask is centered on a pixel.
 - The mask coefficients are multiplied by the pixel values in its neighborhood and the products are summed.

$$G[i, j] = \sum_{u=-k}^k \sum_{v=-k}^k H[u, v] F[i + u, j + v]$$

- The result goes into the corresponding pixel position in the output image.
- This process is repeated by moving the filter mask from pixel to pixel in the image.


Linear Filtering

- This is called the cross-correlation operation and is denoted by $G = H \otimes F$




Linear Filtering

- Be careful about indices, image borders and padding during implementation.




zero



wrap



clamp



mirror

Border padding examples.

Smoothing Spatial Filters

- Often, an image is composed of
 - some underlying ideal structure, which we want to detect and describe,
 - together with some random noise or artifact, which we would like to remove.
- Smoothing filters are used for blurring and for noise reduction.
- Linear smoothing filters are also called **averaging filters**.

Smoothing Spatial Filters

 $\frac{1}{9} \times$

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Averaging (mean) filter

 $\frac{1}{16} \times$

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |

Weighted average

Smoothing Spatial Filters

I

| | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 10 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 99 | 11 |
| 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 |

O

| | | | | | |
|---|----|---|---|---|---|
| X | X | X | X | X | X |
| X | 10 | | | | X |
| X | | | | | X |
| X | | | | | X |
| X | | | | | X |
| X | X | X | X | X | X |

F

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

1/9

$$1/9.(10 \times 1 + 11 \times 1 + 10 \times 1 + 9 \times 1 + 10 \times 1 + 11 \times 1 + 10 \times 1 + 9 \times 1 + 10 \times 1) =$$

$$1/9.(90) = 10$$

Smoothing Spatial Filters

I

| | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 10 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 99 | 11 |
| 10 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 10 |

F

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

O


| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|----|---|
| X | X | X | X | X | X |
| X | | | | | X |
| X | | | | | X |
| X | | | | | X |
| X | | | | 20 | X |
| X | X | X | X | X | X |

$1/9$

$$1/9.(10 \times 1 + 9 \times 1 + 11 \times 1 + 9 \times 1 + 99 \times 1 + 11 \times 1 + 11 \times 1 + 10 \times 1 + 10 \times 1) = 1/9.(180) = 20$$

Adapted from Shapiro and Stockman

Smoothing Spatial Filters




a b
c d
e f

FIGURE 3.35 (a) Original image, of size 500×500 pixels. (b)–(f) Results of smoothing with square averaging filter masks of sizes $n = 3, 5, 9, 15$, and 35 , respectively. The black squares at the top are of sizes $3, 5, 9, 15, 25, 35, 45$, and 55 pixels, respectively; their borders are 25 pixels apart. The letters at the bottom range in size from 10 to 24 points, in increments of 2 points; the large letter at the top is 60 points. The vertical bars are 5 pixels wide and 100 pixels high; their separation is 20 pixels. The diameter of the circles is 25 pixels, and their borders are 15 pixels apart; their gray levels range from 0% to 100% black in increments of 20% . The background of the image is 10% black. The noisy rectangles are of size 50×120 pixels.

Adapted from Gonzales and Woods

Smoothing Spatial Filters




a b c

FIGURE 3.36 (a) Image from the Hubble Space Telescope. (b) Image processed by a 15×15 averaging mask. (c) Result of thresholding (b). (Original image courtesy of NASA.)


Adapted from Gonzales and Woods

Smoothing Spatial Filters



Adapted from Gonzales and Woods

Spatial Resolution




2D Gaussian filter

$$G_{\sigma}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

A weighted average that weighs pixels at its center much more strongly than its boundaries.

Smoothing Spatial Filters

- If σ is small: smoothing will have little effect.
- If σ is larger: neighboring pixels will have larger weights resulting in consensus of the neighbors.
- If σ is very large: details will disappear along with the noise.





Smoothing Spatial Filters



Result of blurring using a uniform local model.

Produces a set of narrow horizontal and vertical bars ringing effect.



Result of blurring using a Gaussian filter.

Smoothing Spatial Filters

- Common types of noise:
- **Salt-and-pepper noise**: contains random occurrences of black and white pixels.
- **Impulse noise**: contains random occurrences of white pixels.
- **Gaussian noise**: variations in intensity drawn from a Gaussian normal distribution.



Original



Salt and pepper noise





Impulse noise



Gaussian noise

Smoothing Spatial Filters


Image
Noise




$$f(x, y) = \underbrace{\bar{f}(x, y)}_{\text{Ideal Image}} + \underbrace{\eta(x, y)}_{\text{Noise process}}$$

Gaussian i.i.d. ("white") noise:
 $\eta(x, y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$


Smoothing Spatial Filters




Smoothing Spatial Filters



No smoothing



$\sigma = 2$




$\sigma = 4$


Order-Statistical Filters

- Order-statistic filters are **nonlinear spatial filters** whose response is based on
 - ordering (ranking) the pixels contained in the image area encompassed by the filter, and then
 - replacing the value of the center pixel with the value determined by the ranking result.
- The best-known example is the **median filter**.
- It is particularly effective in the presence of impulse or salt-and-pepper noise, with considerably less blurring than linear smoothing filters.

Order-Statistical Filters




Order-Statistical Filters




Smoothing Filters for Noise Reduction

Salt and Pepper Noise




Smoothing Filters for Noise Reduction

Gaussian Noise



Order-Statistical Filters




a b c

FIGURE 3.37 (a) X-ray image of circuit board corrupted by salt-and-pepper noise. (b) Noise reduction with a 3×3 averaging mask. (c) Noise reduction with a 3×3 median filter. (Original image courtesy of Mr. Joseph E. Pascente, Lixi, Inc.)

Order-Statistical Filters

Effect of median filter on salt and pepper noise



Sharpening Spatial Filters

- Objective of sharpening is to highlight or enhance fine detail in an image.
- Since smoothing (averaging) is analogous to integration, sharpening can be accomplished by spatial differentiation.


- First-order derivative of 1D function $f(x)$

$$f(x+1) - f(x).$$

- Second-order derivative of 1D function $f(x)$

$$f(x+1) - 2f(x) + f(x-1).$$

Sharpening Spatial Filters



a
b
c




FIGURE 3.36 Illustration of the first and second derivatives of a 1-D digital function representing a section of a horizontal intensity profile from an image. In (a) and (c) data points are joined by dashed lines as a visualization aid.

Sharpening Spatial Filters

a b
c

FIGURE 3.38

(a) A simple image. (b) 1-D horizontal gray-level profile along the center of the image and including the isolated noise point. (c) Simplified profile (the points are joined by dashed lines to simplify interpretation).



Sharpening Spatial Filters

- Observations:
 - First-order derivatives generally produce thicker edges in an image.
 - Second-order derivatives have a stronger response to fine detail (such as thin lines or isolated points).
 - First-order derivatives generally have a stronger response to a gray level step.
 - Second-order derivatives produce a double response at step changes in gray level.

Sharpening Spatial Filters

- For a function $f(x,y)$, the *gradient* at (x,y) is defined as

$$\nabla f = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right]^T$$

where its magnitude can be used to implement first-order derivatives.

| | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|---|----|
| | | | | | |
| | | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 |
| | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | | | |
| -1 | -2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 |

Robert's cross-gradient operators

Sobel gradient operators

Sharpening Spatial Filters

- *Laplacian* of a function (image) $f(x,y)$ of two variables x and y

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

is a second-order derivative operator.

| | | | | | |
|---|----|---|---|----|---|
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | -4 | 1 | 1 | -8 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| -1 | 4 | -1 | -1 | 8 | -1 |
| 0 | -1 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -1 |

| | |
|---|---|
| a | b |
| c | d |

FIGURE 3.39


(a) Filter mask used to implement the digital Laplacian, as defined in Eq. (3.7-4). (b) Mask used to implement an extension of this equation that includes the diagonal neighbors. (c) and (d) Two other implementations of the Laplacian.

Sharpening Spatial Filters


a b
c d

FIGURE 3.40


(a) Image of the North Pole of the moon.
(b) Laplacian-filtered image.
(c) Laplacian image scaled for display purposes.
(d) Image enhanced by using Eq. (3.7-5).
(Original image courtesy of NASA.)




Sharpening Spatial Filters



Sharpening Spatial Filters




before



after

Combining Spatial Enhancement Methods



Thank you for your attention and attendance.