



We are going to cover Functions in λ -calculus, α -, β - and η -reductions, order of evaluation (normal vs. applicative), the normal form, arithmetics in λ -calculus

Rules of the λ -calculus (from last exercise):

1. Variable is a valid expression in λ -calculus (any lowercase letter from english alphabet).
2. If M and N are valid λ -calculus expressions, then the following are also valid expressions:
 - (M) ... enclosing an expression in parentheses,
 - $\lambda id. M$... so called **abstraction**, where id is any variable,
 - MN ... so called **application**, where N is applied to M .

$\{ (,), ., \lambda, a, \dots, z \}$, starting nonterminal is **<exp>** and the rules are

Simplifying the notation (from last exercise):

- Expressions in the form of $(((((AB)C)D)E)F)$,
- $(\lambda x. (\lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x y) z))))$ can be written as $(\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. ((x y) z))$ and then as $(\lambda xyz. (x y z))$.
- Analogically we will use $(\lambda xyz. (x y z)) 1 2 3$ in the meaning of $(\lambda x. (\lambda y. (\lambda z. ((x y) z)) 3) 2) 1$.
- Discarding the inner parentheses, i.e. instead of $(\lambda xyz. (x y z))$ we can use $(\lambda xyz. x y z)$ and instead of $(\lambda xyz. (+ x (- yz)))$ we will write $(\lambda xyz. + x (- y z))$.

Example: $(\lambda x. (\lambda y. (+ x y)) 4) 3$ in simplified form $(\lambda xy. + x y) 3 4$ will be transformed in the following way:

$(\lambda xy. + x y) 3 4 \rightarrow (\lambda y. + 3 y) 4 \rightarrow (+ 3 4) \rightarrow 7$. **Ex. 1.** Transform the following.

- a) $(\lambda x. + x 1) 3$
- b) $(\lambda xy. - x y) 3 5$
- c) $(\lambda x. (\lambda y. - x y) 3) 5$, ! different than the example above.
- d) $(\lambda x. + x 1)((\lambda y. + y 2) 3)$
- e) $(\lambda fx. f x)(\lambda y. + y 1)$
- f) $(\lambda fx. f x)(\lambda y. + y 1) 5$
- g) $(\lambda f x. fx)(\lambda y. y)$
- h) $(\lambda x. (+ x ((\lambda x. + x 1) 3))) 2$
- i) $((\lambda x. \lambda y. x) y) z$, be careful about free and bound variables.
- j) $(\lambda s. \lambda q. s q q) (\lambda q. q) q 5$

Reductions, normal form:

- **β -reduction** (Application): $(\lambda x. E) A$, all bound uses of x in the expression E will be replaced with A . AS LONG AS A does not have other free variables that might collide.
Example: $(\lambda xy. (x y)) (ay)$ CANNOT transform to $(\lambda y. ((ay) y))$, because y would become bound variable in (ay) (the solution is to use α -reduction). But $(\lambda xy. (x y)) (az)$ is fine and will look as $(\lambda y. ((az) y))$ after the application.
- **α -reduction** (Renaming): Based on the principle that $(\lambda x.x)$ and $(\lambda y.y)$ are identical functions, because variable names alone do not matter. It is therefore only renaming of all bound uses of x to y , but ONLY IF y was not free in E , in which case we must select different letter. **Example:** $(\lambda xy. (x y)) y$ IS NOT $(\lambda y. (y y))$, because y would be bound. We'll rename first using (α -reduction) y to t : $(\lambda xt. (x t))y$, and then can apply y to x with result: $(\lambda t. (y t))$. This reduction is used before β -reduction in which free variables might be incorrectly bound.
- **η -reduction** (Optimization): Special case of the β -reduction, where instead of application, we just delete the lambda. It is only useful for expression in the form of $(\lambda x.A x)$, where bound x is the rightmost element in the function definition and there are no uses of x in A . For example $(\lambda x.(A x))B$ replaces lambda with B and the result is AB . Using η -reduction x and λ will be deleted and connected to the rest of the form after the parentheses, i.e. we will remove x and λ from the function $(\lambda x.A x)B$ and end up with having AB as well.

Functions in **normal form** are those functions for which there is no further reduction possible using either β -reductions or η -reductions, only renamings (α -reductions).p. **Ex. 2.** Further examples: What is the result of the following expressions?

- a) $(\lambda y. + 8 y)((\lambda x. + x 1) 3)$
- b) $(\lambda x. (\lambda x. (\lambda y. * x y)3) ((\lambda z.+ x z)2))1$
- c) $(\lambda x. x i)((\lambda z. (\lambda q. q) z) h)$
- d) $(\lambda x. x i)((\lambda z. (\lambda q. q z)) h)$
- e) $(\lambda x. x o j)((\lambda y. (\lambda z. z h)y)a)$
- f) $(\lambda x. (\lambda x. (\lambda x. xxx)(bx)x)(ax))c$
- g) try writing lambda function that "prints" expression.
- h) $(\lambda w.(\lambda x.(\lambda y.w y a) (u w)) b) y$
- i) $(\lambda p. (\lambda q. (\lambda p. p (p q))(\lambda r. + p r))(+ p 4))2 \dots$ normal vs applicative evaluation

There are many ways to simplify this example:

Normal and applicative evaluation are two different ways how to transform λ expressions. **Normal** evaluation proceeds always from the left (i.e. what we did before). It has only one rule, which is

to **strictly apply from the left** (i.e. substitute what is after the parentheses with λ) without any modifications. This means that the resulting expression might be more complicated than necessary. On the other hand, the **applicative** evaluation attempts to simplify an expression **before** its application (substitution) on λ .

- An example of normal evaluation:
 $(\lambda x. + xx) ((\lambda p. + p 4)3)$ leads to
 $\rightarrow + ((\lambda p. + p 4)3)((\lambda p. + p 4)3) \dots$ **only substitute**, no other updates for the expression $((\lambda p. + p 4)3)$ are made
 $\rightarrow + (+ 3 4) ((\lambda p. + p 4)3) \dots$ and again evaluate from left
 $\rightarrow + (+ 3 4) (+ 3 4) \rightarrow + 7 7 \rightarrow 14$
- An example of applicative evaluation:
 $(\lambda x. + xx) ((\lambda p. + p 4)3)$ leads to
 $\rightarrow (\lambda x. + xx) (+ 3 4) \dots$ **simplify** second parenthesis before its application (because it is possible), and apply the result of the simplification
 $\rightarrow (\lambda x. + xx) 7 \dots$ update more and the substitute x for 7
 $\rightarrow + 7 7 \rightarrow 14$

While the second example looks much more elegant, the disadvantage of applicative evaluation is that there are cases in which applicative transformations may not lead to a normal form (but if it does, it gives the same result as normal evaluation - proved in 1936 in the Church-Rosser theorem). This is shown in the next example: dávát stejný výsledek.

- $(\lambda x. (\lambda w. (\lambda y. wyw)b))((\lambda x. xxx)(\lambda x. xxx)) ((\lambda z.z)a) \dots$ transform using both normal and applicative evaluation order
- $(\lambda xy. (+ x y))((\lambda x. ((\lambda x. \lambda y. (+ y((\lambda z. (* x z))3)))7 5)) x) 4$
 $\Rightarrow (+ (+ 5 (* 7 3)) 4)$
- $((\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx))$
- $((\lambda x. R(xx))(\lambda x. R(xx)))$

Ex. 3. Transform the following expressions into normal forms using normal and then applicative order of evaluation.

- $(\lambda s. (\lambda q. s q q) (\lambda q.q)) q$
- $(\lambda x. ((\lambda x y. (* x y)) 2 (+ x y))) y$
- $(\lambda x. \lambda y. (x y)) (\lambda z. (z y))$
- $(\lambda x. y (\lambda t. t t) (\lambda x. x x))$
- $(\lambda x. y) ((\lambda t. t t) (\lambda x. x x))$