ECSC Estonia Prequalifier - Stern Broccoli

Upon opening the binary in Binary Ninja and taking a look at the main function from afar, it looks like the user input is being modified somehow and then compared to the one stored in the binary:

```
00001330 int32_t main(int32_t argc, char** argv, char** envp)
 00001330
 0000133f
                                        int64_t rax = *(uint64_t*)((char*)fsbase + 0x28);
 0000133f
 00001352
                                        char input_buffer[0x40];
                                          __builtin_memset(&input_buffer, 0, 0x40);
 00001352
                                        double changeable_buffer[0x40];
 000013c9
 000013c9
                                             _printf_chk(1, "Please tell me the code: ", envp, __builtin_memset(&changeable_buffer, 0, 0x200));
                                         fgets(&input_buffer, 0x40, stdin);
 000013e2
 000013e7
                                         int16_t or_value = -0x100;
 000013e7
                                         for (int64_t i = 0; i != 64; )
 0000143f
                                                     double obfuscated_double = obfuscate((((int16_t)input_buffer[i]) | or_value));
 99991494
 00001409
                                                     int32_t usually_i = ((int32_t)(i + 7));
 00001409
 0000140e
                                                    usually_i = i;
 0000140e
 0000140e
 0000141b
                                                    uint32_t always_zero_value = ((i >> 31) >> 29);
 0000142d
 0000142d
                                                    changeable\_buffer[(((int64\_t)(usually\_i >> 3)) + (((int64\_t)(((always\_zero\_value + i) \& 7) - always\_zero\_value + i) & 7) - a
 00001432
 00001436
                                                    or_value -= 0x100;
 0000143f
 0000143f
                                        if (check_validity(&changeable_buffer, &data_4020) == 0)
 00001452
 0000148b
 99991452
                                         else
 0000145b
                                                     puts("Congratulations, you found the f...");
  0000145b
                                          *(uint64_t*)((char*)fsbase + 0x28);
  00001468
```

(The variable names and function names are already renamed here since I am writing this writeup after solving the challenge, originally they would be more random).

With these types of challenges, it's usually best to start reversing from the end, i.e from the function that verifies the user input.

In the check_validity (name given by me) function, we can see that it consists of two parts:

```
0000129c
              do
0000129c
0000124f
                  double* flag_buf_offset = flag_buffer;
00001252
                  double* i_1 = current_s_block_start_addr;
00001252
0000128b
9999128h
0000128b
                  do
0000128b
00001258
                      double_1 = *(uint64_t*)i_1; // this is zero
                      double* j_1 = flag_buf_offset;
0000125c
0000125f
                      uint64_t* inpt_buffer_modified_2 = inpt_buffer_modified_1;
0000125f
00001279
                      do
00001279
00001266
                          _128bit_double = (*(uint64_t*)inpt_buffer_modified_2 * *(uint64_t*)j_1);
0000126a
                          double_1 = (double_1 + _128bit_double);
0000126e
                          inpt_buffer_modified_2 = &inpt_buffer_modified_2[1];
0000126e
00001272
                          j_1 = &j_1[8];
00001279
                      } while (inpt_buffer_modified_2 != inpt_buffer_modified_cur_block_end);
00001279
0000127b
                      *(uint64_t*)i_1 = double_1; // fill buffer
00001280
                      i_1 = &i_1[1];
                      flag_buf_offset = &flag_buf_offset[1];
00001284
0000128b
                  // loops a total of 8 times over the flag buffer, each time
0000128b
                  } while (flag_buf_offset != &flag_buffer[8]);
9999128b
0000128b
0000128d
                  inpt_buffer_modified_1 = &inpt_buffer_modified_1[8];
00001291
00001291
                  inpt_buffer_modified_cur_block_end = &inpt_buffer_modified_cur_block_end[8];
                  current_s_block_start_addr = &current_s_block_start_addr[8];
00001295
0000129c
              // fills buffer s 8 bytes at a time, the resulting buffer is the
0000129c
0000129c
0000129c
              } while (current_s_block_start_addr != &s_end_address);
```

And

```
000012f9
              while (true)
000012f9
999912f9
                  int64_t rax_1 = 0;
000012f9
                  while (true)
000012df
000012df
000012df
                      double_1 = 1.0;
000012df
                      // The first loop double_1 will be 1 for the first number
000012e5
000012e5
                      if (rdx_1 != rax_1)
000012e5
000012e7
                          double_1 = ((int64_t)\{0\});
000012e7
000012c7
                      _128bit_double = (cur_s_buffer_start[rax_1] - double_1);
                      int64_t result; // gets absolute value
000012d3
000012d3
000012d3
                      if (_mm_and_pd(_128bit_double, ((uint128_t)0x7ffffffffffffff)) > 1e-08)
00001300
                          result = 0:
000012d3
                      else // gets absolute value
000012d3
000012d5
                           rax_1 += 1;
000012d5
000012dd
                           if (rax_1 == 8)
000012dd
                              cur_s_buffer_start = &cur_s_buffer_start[8];
000012f0
000012f7
                               if (rdx_1 != 8)
000012f7
                                  break:
000012f7
00001324
                               result = 1;
000012dd
000012dd
                          else
000012dd
                              continue;
000012d3
000012d3
                      *(uint64_t*)((char*)fsbase + 0x28);
0000130d
0000130d
00001316
                      if (rax == *(uint64_t*)((char*)fsbase + 0x28))
00001323
                          return result;
00001323
                       __stack_chk_fail();
0000132b
0000132b
                       /* no return */
```

The second part of the code just iterates over the s buffer that is created in the start of the function and checks whether the absolute values are smaller than 1e-08. Since we seem to be dealing with floats and the value being so small, it is most likely the bounds of a rounding error that can arise with floating point arithmetic, and theoretically all the values that it checks should be zero in order for this loop to return a true value.

Now, lets look at how this array s is built in the first part of the function.

The decompilation is a bit messy, but hopefully the parameter names make ti a bit clearer as to what is going on, but what it seems to be doing is taking the user provided buffer that was modified some way in the main function, and then calculates an array of values based on that and the what i have called the flag buffer given inside the binary. This is very messy, so let's rewrite this in python and reverse that instead, since it would be much easier to understand.

The function I finally created after trying to replicate it one to one and then cleaning up a bit of messiness by replacing them with more normal for loops instead of while loops I ended up with this:

```
def check_validity(input_buf: list[float], flag_buf: list[float]) -> bool:
    s_buf = [0.0 \text{ for i in range}(64)]
    s_buf_idx = 0
   for input_buf_idx in range(0, 64, 8):
        for flag_buf_start_idx in range(8):
            dbl_val = s_buf[s_buf_idx] # Initially zero
            flag_buf_idx = flag_buf_start_idx
            for cur_inpt_buf_idx in range(input_buf_idx, input_buf_idx +
8):
                dbl_val += input_buf[cur_inpt_buf_idx] *
flag_buf[flag_buf_idx]
                flag_buf_idx += 8
            s_buf[s_buf_idx] = dbl_val
            s_buf_idx += 1
    s_buf_idx = 0
   iteration_count = 0
   while True:
        cur_idx = 0
       while True:
            subtractor = 0.0
            if (iteration_count == cur_idx):
                subtractor = 1.0
            big_dbl_val = s_buf[s_buf_idx + cur_idx] - subtractor
            if abs(big_dbl_val) > 1e-08:
               return False
            else:
                cur_idx += 1
                if cur_idx == 8:
                    iteration_count += 1
                    s_buf_idx += 8
                    if iteration_count != 8:
                       break
                return True
```

Looking at this, it seems that each value in s is calculated as the sum of 8 values obtained by multiplying values from the flag buffer and the input buffer together.

This array s is then checked whether the values at indexes [0, 9, 19...] are 1 and all other are 0.

After solving the challenge and discussing it with a fellow ECSC team Estonia team member, they told me that this is actually matrix multiplication. It is checking whether the user provided buffer that has been modified some way is the inverse of the flag buffer matrix. The loop after that checking whether all the values are 0 or 1 is checking whether the resulting matrix is the identity matrix of size 8. This makes the challenge a lot clearer to understand, but at the time of solving I did not realize this. This writeup will follow the premise of me not knowing that it is matrix multiplication, to indicate what I was thinking at the time of solving.

values of s buffer being filled). Let's write that in python

```
from sage.all import *
FLAG_BUFFER = [3.6301095364090035, 2.3589821931566086, 6.1660805621161501,
1.2239986890293528, -6.0618831662560169, -7.7259443234679273,
-0.25581197387195354, 0.27475051194565742, 4.9401832694561625,
3.209195885184394, 7.701062331914434, 1.2786384788737726,
-7.8448270855730646, -9.8551284199142888, -0.34407690477833791,
0.38021100735559177, 5.9034821849783645, 3.4018256643468017,
8.7972188128819919, 1.4738951728897602, -9.0182738214816744,
-11.294265404838294, -0.34693213979523002, 0.44374119792790678,
-2.7200329217602399, -1.5918203680877663, -3.8538449247206183,
-0.67410002581153772, 3.9608078877216619, 5.2125500339486504,
0.16928996217300549, -0.20322901149426972, 0.40361955732664967,
-0.045878317407418649, 0.39391343831104852, -0.035966062645669622,
-0.51150001790590505, -0.51785963721997486, 0.21873984892260298,
0.036916105250672716, -19.629061620759678, -11.474132717808498,
-29.652350941022434, -4.5280861176936336, 29.909158853399131,
38.006855850148128, 1.138880083388917, -1.5659432214429729,
293.10064692934344, 190.87933914328877, 488.40287568233299,
88.963844222897563, -513.56790126665055, -614.85253250585674,
-27.378388570932476, 89.124135619083148, -286.04323446460381,
-191.30915857115647, -493.03131802777858, -95.397700254206327,
524.14305582126246, 615.48466423276602, 27.241994178506811,
-88.722432494128569]
```

```
def solve_linear_equations(input_buf_idx_start: int, flag_buf:
list[float], offset_to_be_1: int):
    answers = []
   x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, x7, x8 = var('x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7 x8')
    coeffs = []
    rhs = []
    for flag_buf_start_idx in range(8):
        cur_flag_idx = flag_buf_start_idx
        cur_coeffs = []
        for i in range(input_buf_idx_start, input_buf_idx_start + 8):
            cur_coeffs.append(flag_buf[cur_flag_idx])
            cur_flag_idx += 8
        coeffs.append(cur_coeffs)
        if flag_buf_start_idx == offset_to_be_1:
            rhs.append(1.0)
        else:
            rhs.append(0.0)
   equations = []
    for i in range(8):
        eq = sum(coeffs[i][j] * var(f'x{j+1}') for j in range(8)) ==
rhs[i]
        equations.append(eq)
    solutions = solve(equations, x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, x7, x8,
solution_dict=True)
    answers = list([[s[x1].n(), s[x2].n(), s[x3].n(), s[x4].n(),
s[x5].n(), s[x6].n(), s[x7].n(), s[x8].n()] for s in solutions][0])
    return answers
def build_valid_input_buf(flag_buf: list[float]):
    input_buf = []
    for input_buf_idx in range(0, 64, 8):
        offset = input_buf_idx // 8
        answers = solve_linear_equations(input_buf_idx, FLAG_BUFFER,
offset)
        input_buf.extend(answers)
   return input_buf
```

If we run this buffer through the check_validity function we see that it passes. Great success!

Now let's return back to the main function.

```
int32_t main(int32_t argc, char** argv, char** envp)
0000133f
0000133f
00001352
                                      * *(uint64_t*)((char*)fsbase + 0x28);
                  char input_buffer[0x40];
   __builtin_memset(&input_buffer, 0, 0x40);
                   double changeable_buffer[0x40];
                  __printf_chk(1, "Please tell me the code: ", envp, __builtin_memset(&changeable_buffer, 0, 0x200));
fgets(&input_buffer, 0x40, stdin);
int16_t or_value = -0x100;
000013c9
000013e2
000013e7
000013e7
0000143f
0000143f
00001404
                        \label{lower_double} \begin{tabular}{lll} double & obfuscate(((int16\_t)input\_buffer[i]) & | or\_value)); \\ int32\_t & usually\_i & = ((int32\_t)(i+7)); \\ \end{tabular}
00001409
0000140e
0000140e
0000141b
                        changeable_buffer[(((int64_t)(usually_i >> 3)) + (((int64_t)(((always_zero_value + i) & 7) - always_zero_value)) << 3))] = obfuscated_double;
0000142d
0000143f
```

Let's ignore the call to the obfuscate function (named by me) for now and look at how the value it outputs is put into the changeable_buffer array, which is what is passed to the check_validity function (changeable_buffer 's end state is what we just reversed previously).

If we look at it closely, we realize that after the bit shifts all it does it is it swaps the first 3 bits and last 3 bits of the i value (assuming i is 6 bit number for simplicity). The usually_i is just set to 7 when it's 0 so that zeroes arent shifted. This is fairly easy to reverse: just start iterating from the back of the array and swap the first 3 and last 3 bits of the index to obtain the original position the value was in.

The value that is in the changeable_buffer is calculated based on the input_buffer and an or value. Reversing the or value is simple enough - just set it to the maximum and start iterating from the back, this time increasing it by 0x100 instead of decreasing.

Now it's time to take a look at the obfuscate function.

```
000011a9 double obfuscate(int16_t arg1)
000011a9
000011ad
000011b2
              int32_t rdx = 0;
000011b7
             int32_t rsi = 1;
000011bc
             int32_t rcx = 1;
000011c1
             int32_t r8 = 0;
000011c1
000011d7
              do
000011d7
000011dc
000011dc
                  if ((TEST_BITD(((uint32_t)arg1), i)))
000011dc
000011cc
                     r8 += rsi;
000011cf
                     rcx += rdx;
000011dc
000011dc
                  else
000011dc
000011de
                      rsi += r8;
000011e1
                     rdx += rcx;
000011dc
000011dc
000011d1
              } while (i != 16);
000011d7
000011d7
00001202
              return (((double)((uint64_t)(r8 + rsi))) / ((double)((uint64_t)(rcx + rdx))));
000011a9
```

This looks too much effort to reverse, but why reverse when you can brute force? Since we know the flag consists of only ascii characters, we just have to try all ascii characters that are binary OR'ed with the or_value to see which one matches to obtain the original character. Let's rewrite this obfuscate function in python along with the function to reverse it in order to finally reverse the whole thing and obtain the flag.

The final solve script looks like this:

```
from sage.all import *
FLAG_BUFFER = [3.6301095364090035, 2.3589821931566086, 6.1660805621161501,
1.2239986890293528, -6.0618831662560169, -7.7259443234679273,
-0.25581197387195354, 0.27475051194565742, 4.9401832694561625,
3.209195885184394, 7.701062331914434, 1.2786384788737726,
-7.8448270855730646, -9.8551284199142888, -0.34407690477833791,
0.38021100735559177, 5.9034821849783645, 3.4018256643468017,
8.7972188128819919, 1.4738951728897602, -9.0182738214816744,
-11.294265404838294, -0.34693213979523002, 0.44374119792790678,
-2.7200329217602399, -1.5918203680877663, -3.8538449247206183,
-0.67410002581153772, 3.9608078877216619, 5.2125500339486504,
0.16928996217300549, -0.20322901149426972, 0.40361955732664967,
-0.045878317407418649, 0.39391343831104852, -0.035966062645669622,
-0.51150001790590505, -0.51785963721997486, 0.21873984892260298,
0.036916105250672716, -19.629061620759678, -11.474132717808498,
-29.652350941022434, -4.5280861176936336, 29.909158853399131,
38.006855850148128, 1.138880083388917, -1.5659432214429729,
293.10064692934344, 190.87933914328877, 488.40287568233299,
88.963844222897563, -513.56790126665055, -614.85253250585674,
-27.378388570932476, 89.124135619083148, -286.04323446460381,
-191.30915857115647, -493.03131802777858, -95.397700254206327,
524.14305582126246, 615.48466423276602, 27.241994178506811,
-88.722432494128569]
def test_bitd(val, i) -> bool:
   return (1 & (val >> i)) != 0
def obfuscate(val):
   rdx = 0
   rsi = 1
   rcx = 1
   r8 = 0
   for i in range(16):
        if test_bitd(val, i):
           r8 += rsi
           rcx += rdx
        else:
            rsi += r8
```

```
rdx += rcx
   return (r8 + rsi) / (rcx + rdx)
def solve_linear_equations(input_buf_idx_start: int, flag_buf:
list[float], offset_to_be_1: int):
    answers = []
   x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, x7, x8 = var('x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7 x8')
    coeffs = []
    rhs = []
    for flag_buf_start_idx in range(8):
        cur_flag_idx = flag_buf_start_idx
        cur_coeffs = []
        for i in range(input_buf_idx_start, input_buf_idx_start + 8):
            cur_coeffs.append(flag_buf[cur_flag_idx])
            cur_flag_idx += 8
        coeffs.append(cur_coeffs)
        if flag_buf_start_idx == offset_to_be_1:
            rhs.append(1.0)
        else:
            rhs.append(0.0)
   equations = []
    for i in range(8):
        eq = sum(coeffs[i][j] * var(f'x{j+1}') for j in range(8)) ==
rhs[i]
        equations.append(eq)
    solutions = solve(equations, x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, x7, x8,
solution_dict=True)
    answers = list([[s[x1].n(), s[x2].n(), s[x3].n(), s[x4].n(),
s[x5].n(), s[x6].n(), s[x7].n(), s[x8].n()] for s in solutions][0])
   return answers
def build_valid_input_buf(flag_buf: list[float]):
    input_buf = []
    for input_buf_idx in range(0, 64, 8):
        offset = input_buf_idx // 8
        answers = solve_linear_equations(input_buf_idx, FLAG_BUFFER,
offset)
        input_buf.extend(answers)
   return input_buf
def check_validity(input_buf: list[float], flag_buf: list[float]) -> bool:
```

```
s_buf = [0.0 \text{ for i in range}(64)]
    s_buf_idx = 0
    for input_buf_idx in range(0, 64, 8):
        for flag_buf_start_idx in range(8):
            dbl_val = s_buf[s_buf_idx] # Initially zero
            flag_buf_idx = flag_buf_start_idx
            for cur_inpt_buf_idx in range(input_buf_idx, input_buf_idx +
8):
                dbl_val += input_buf[cur_inpt_buf_idx] *
flag_buf[flag_buf_idx] # this needs to be minimized for cases where
s_buf_idx != 0, 9, 19, 30, 42 ..., else it needs to be as close to 1 as
                flag_buf_idx += 8
            s_buf[s_buf_idx] = dbl_val
            s_buf_idx += 1
    s_buf_idx = 0
    iteration\_count = 0
    while True:
        cur_idx = 0
        while True:
            subtractor = 0.0
            if (iteration_count == cur_idx):
                subtractor = 1.0
            big_dbl_val = s_buf[s_buf_idx + cur_idx] - subtractor
            if abs(big_dbl_val) > 1e-08:
                return False
            else:
                cur_idx += 1
                if cur_idx == 8:
                    iteration_count += 1
                    s_buf_idx += 8
                    if iteration_count != 8:
                        break
                return True
def main_func(inpt: str):
    or_val = -0x100
```

```
inpt_buf = [ord(i) for i in inpt]
   changeable_buffer = [0.0 for i in range(64)]
    for i in range(64):
        dbl = obfuscate(inpt_buf[i] | or_val)
       usually_i = i + 7 # Guarantees that all bits aren't zero
       if i >= 0:
            usually_i = i
       # Swap first and last 3 bits
        changeable_buffer[(usually_i \gg 3) + ((i & 7) \ll 3)] = dbl
        or_val -= 0x100
   if check_validity(changeable_buffer, FLAG_BUFFER):
       print("found flag!")
       print(changeable_buffer)
   else:
       print("Wrong")
def reverse_obfuscation(changeable_buffer: list[float]):
    or_val = -0x100
   for i in range(63):
       or_val -= 0x100
   original_inpt_buf = [-1 for i in range(64)]
   for i in range(63, -1, -1):
       idx = i
        if i == 0:
           idx = 7
       idx = (idx >> 3) + ((i & 7) << 3)
        obfuscated_val = changeable_buffer[idx]
        for j in range(128):
           if abs(obfuscate(j | or_val) - obfuscated_val) > 1e-08:
               continue
            original_inpt_buf[i] = j
           break
        or_val += 0x100
   return ''.join(chr(i) for i in original_inpt_buf)
valid_inpt_buf = build_valid_input_buf(FLAG_BUFFER)
flag = reverse_obfuscation(valid_inpt_buf)
print(flag)
```