Analysis

Textbook: Elementary Analysis

FRED YUAN

Fall 2023

This is a self-studied version of analysis. I used resources from Rudin, MIT OCW and Dr Peyam. The notes are somewhat sparse and I'll include the important and interesting facts.

Contents

1	Reals, Rational, and Natural Numbers		
	1.1	Natural and Rational Numbers	3
	1.2	Construction of Reals	3
2	Week 2		4
	2.1	Fields	4
	2.2	Triangle Inequality	5
3	Week 3		
	3.1	Max Min, Sup Inf	6
	3.2	Least Upper Bound Property	6
4	Week 4		7
	4.1	Limits	7
	4.2	Archemedian Prop	8
5	Limit Proofs		
	5.1	Lim goes to ∞	10
	5.2	Limit Theorems	10
	5.3	Monotone Sequence Theorem	11
	5.4	Limsup	11
6	Week 6		13
	6.1	Cauchy	13
	6.2	Subsequences	14
7	MT		15
8	Dominated Convergence		15
9	Тор	ology	17
10	10 The Calc Proofs		18
11	11 More limits		

1 Reals, Rational, and Natural Numbers

1.1 Natural and Rational Numbers

We define \mathbb{N} to be the set $\{1,2,3,...\}$ of positive integers and \mathbb{N} has the following properties:

- 1 belongs to \mathbb{N}
- If n belongs to \mathbb{N} , then its successor n + 1 belongs to \mathbb{N}
- 1 is not the successor of any element in $\mathbb N$
- If n and m have the same successor, then n = m
- A subset of $\mathbb N$ which contains 1, and which contains n+1 whenever it contains n, is equal to $\mathbb N$

The set \mathbb{Q} of Rational Numbers is defined as numbers in the form $\frac{m}{n}$. However, it is still not fully satisfactory as we can't solve equations like $x^2 = 2$

We call these algebriac numbers when they satisfy a polynomial equation

$$c_n x^n + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + c_1 x + c_0 = 0$$

We define basic algebriac operations on \mathbb{Q} such as

- a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c for all a, b, c
- There are more, but I won't bore me or you

1.2 Construction of Reals

We construct \mathbb{R} through Dedekind cuts

Define a cut to have no upper element within the cut.

And if S and T are cuts, then $S \leq T$ means $S \subseteq T$. With this definition, \mathbb{R} becomes an ordered field

Eg:
$$x: x < 3$$

1. Definition of Addition: A + B := $\{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$

2. Subtraction: A - B := $\{a - b : a \in A \land b \in (\mathbb{Q} \setminus B)\}$

And the purpose is that with these cuts, it is easy to see we have the LUBP when adding cuts

If we let M be the union of all the cuts $S \in \mathcal{S}$, then we take the max of the individual cuts to find the LUB of M

2 Week 2

2.1 Fields

The difference between $\mathbb R$ and $\mathbb Z$ is that $\mathbb R$ is a Field.

A field \mathbb{F} is a set with addition and multiplication operators

Addition Axioms

- $a + b \in \mathbb{F}$
- · associativity
- communicativity
- 0 exists
- There is an inverse in \mathbb{F} such that A + (-A) = 0

Multiplication Axioms

- $ab \in \mathbb{F}$ closed under multiplication
- · associativity
- commutativity
- the element 1 exists such that 1F = F
- There exists an inverse $A^{-1} \cdot A = 1$

Proving some properties:

1.
$$a + c = b + c \rightarrow a = b$$

2.
$$ac = bc \rightarrow a = b, c \neq 0$$

3.
$$a0 = 0$$

4.
$$(-a)b = -ab$$

5.
$$(-a)(-b) = ab$$

1.

$$(a+c) + (-c) = (b+c) + (-c) \rightarrow a = b$$
 (1)

2.

$$(ac)(c^{-1}) = (bc)(c^{-1}) \rightarrow a = b$$
 (2)

- 3. We know 0 + 0 = 0. Technically you are adding 0 a's together.
- 4. ab + (-a)b = (a + (-a))b = 0
- 5. Same as 4

2.2 Triangle Inequality

Important!

$$|a+b| \le |a| + |b| \tag{3}$$

Proof:

If $x \ge 0$, |x| = x. and

$$-|x| \le 0 \le |x|$$

Otherwise, $x \le 0$, |x| = -x. and

$$x \le 0 \le |x|$$

We can combine to make

$$-(|a|+|b|) \le a+b \le |a|+|b|$$

If
$$a + b \ge 0$$
, $|a + b| = a + b \le |a| + |b|$

If
$$a + b \le 0$$
, $|a + b| = -(a + b) \le -(-(|a| + |b|))$

3 Week 3

3.1 Max Min, Sup Inf

We can call s_0 a maximum of S if

- (1) $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$
- (2) For all $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $s \leq s_0$

Example: $S = \{n^{-1^n} | n \in N\}$ has no upper bound However, for the set S = [0,4), this has no max. However, Sup(S) = 4

[Boundedness]

- 1. S is bounded above by M if $s \le M$ for all $s \in S$
- 2. S is bounded below by reverse (1)
- 3. S is bounded if it is both bounded above and below.

3.2 Least Upper Bound Property

Least Upper Bound Property

The sup(S) always exists

Very Important Theorem!

$$\inf(S) = -\sup(-S)$$

Proof: Let $m = - \sup(-S)$

Then $inf(S) = -sup(-S) \rightarrow inf(S) = m$

4 Week 4

4.1 Limits

A sequence is an infinite list of real numbers.

Formally:

Definition 4.1

A sequence $(S_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a function from N to R

Definition of a limit:

For all $\epsilon > 0$ there is N > 0 such that if n > N then:

$$|s_n - s| < \epsilon$$

General Format to prove limits w. Example:

Show

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} 3 - \frac{1}{n^2} = 3$$

1. Find N such that n > N.

In this example, $(3-\frac{1}{n^2})$ - $3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{n^2} < \epsilon$, so $N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$

2. Write up:

$$|s_n - s| = \frac{1}{n^2} \tag{4}$$

Since $n > \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, then $\epsilon > \frac{1}{n^2}$

Proving a Limit Doesn't Exist:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (-1)^n \tag{5}$$

Tool: Contradiction.

Assume

$$|(-1)^n - s| < \epsilon$$

When n is even, this becomes:

$$|1 - s| = |s - 1| < \epsilon \rightarrow -\epsilon + 1 < s < \epsilon + 1$$

And when n is odd, this becomes:

$$|-1-s| = |s+1| < \epsilon \rightarrow -1 - \epsilon < s < -1 + \epsilon$$

But if $\epsilon = 1$ then s < 0 < s.

4.2 Archemedian Prop

Definition 4.2 Archemedian Property

If a and b are positive real numbers, then for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have na > b

And this helps show that \mathbb{Q} is dense because there will always be a rational number r between a and b.

A simple example is $r := \frac{a+b}{2}$

This means that \mathbb{Q} has very few holes compared to something like \mathbb{Z}

Proof. Suppose a < b. We want to find a r such that a < $\frac{m}{n}$ < b

Then na < m < nb and by 4.2 n(b-a) > M for any M.

5 Limit Proofs

Now that we have defined Limits formally, lets try and prove what we intuitively understand about limits.

Definition 5.1

Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = t$, show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n + t_n = s + t$$

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose an even smaller epsilon $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Also, $|t_n - t| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for all $n > N_t$

Now when choosing n, set $n = MaxN_s$, N_t

With the definition of a limit for $s_n + t_n$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n + t_n = |s_n + t_n - (s+t)| = |s_n - s + t_n + t| \le |s_n - s| + |t_n - t| \tag{6}$$

And for
$$n > MaxN_s$$
, N_t : Equation(6) $\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$

We can do the same for subtraction, multiplication, and division.

Definition 5.2

Suppose $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = t$, show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} s_n \cdot t_n = s \cdot t$$

Proof. This is the interesting algebriac manipulation:

$$|s_n t_n - st| = |s_n t_n - s_n t + s_n t - st| \le |s_n t_n - s_n t| + |s_n t - st| \le |s_n|(|t_n - t|) + |t|(|s_n - s|)$$
 (7)

From here, define your s and t to to be $\frac{\epsilon}{2s}$ and $\frac{\epsilon}{2t}$ and you are finished.

Definition 5.3 Exponents

Prove that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} = 0$ for all p > 0 (π)

Example 5.4

If |a| < 1, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} a^n = 0$

Let
$$\epsilon > 0$$
, We have $|s_n - s| < \epsilon \longrightarrow |a^n - 0| < \epsilon \longrightarrow |a|^n < \epsilon$
Denote $a := \frac{1}{1+b} \longrightarrow |a|^n = \left(\frac{1}{1+b}\right)^n$

Expanding
$$(1+b)^n$$
 gives $(1+nb+...) \ge (1+nb) > nb$
Thus $\frac{1}{(1+b)^n} \le \frac{1}{nb}$ and we can set $n = \frac{1}{b\epsilon}$

Formally

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. There exists a $n > \frac{1}{b\epsilon}$

We have

$$|a|^n = \left(\frac{1}{1+b}\right)^n < \frac{1}{nb} < \epsilon \tag{8}$$

Example 5.5

We want to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$

Let $s_n = n^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \longrightarrow s_n + 1 = n^{\frac{1}{n}}$

Now we get $(s_n + 1)^n = n$ and by binomial thm.

$$n = (s_n + 1)^n \ge 1 + ns_n + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)s_n^2$$
 Which is less than $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)s_n^2$ (9)

Therefore, $n > \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)s_n^2$ and we set

$$s_n < \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \tag{10}$$

As n goes to ∞ , $0 \le s_n < 0$

This proof allows us to look at this next theorem and the proof is the same.

Theorem 5.6

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}a^{\frac{1}{n}}=1$$

5.1 Lim goes to ∞

Lets explore what it means for something to approach infinity.

lets see why $\sqrt{n} > M$ for some M. For whatever M, if we define n to be $n > M^2$ then we will be larger than M.

Classic limit laws apply to infinity.

5.2 Limit Theorems

Important Properties of Limits:

Definition 5.7

Limits are Unique!

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = s$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = t$ then s = t

Proof. We can prove this by Squeezing |s - t|, proving that s = t.

$$|s-t| = |s-s_n + s_n - t| \le |s-s_n| + |s_n - t| \le 2\epsilon$$
 (11)

And by definition |s-t| > 0. This gives $0 \le |s-t| \le 2\epsilon$. As $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0}$, |s-t| = 0

5.3 Monotone Sequence Theorem

General Theorems that guarentees a sequence convergence

Theorem 5.8

 (s_n) is **increasing** if $s_{n+1} > s_n$ for all n.

 (s_n) is **decreasing** if $s_{n+1} < s_n$ for all n.

This means that it is monotonic.

[Monotone Sequence Thm Stated]

if s_n is increasing and bounded above, then s_n converges.

Intuitively, this makes sense.

Just because something is bounded by M doesn't mean it is convering to M. Your sequence may peak at lets say n = 5 and then converge to 0.

5.4 Limsup

When sequences don't converge, we can still talk about them.

Think back to $(-1)^n$. While this didn't converge, it still has a limsup = 1 and liminf = -1

Definition 5.9

Given N, define the following sequence v_n by

$$v_n = \sup\{s_n | n > N\}$$

Essentially, for some large enough N, we are saying the sequence will converge.

Liminf is the same except in reverse! And similarly to Inf and Sup,

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} S_n = -\lim \sup(-S_n) \tag{12}$$

Proof: Instead of sup and inf, define it to be $s_n|n>N$ and add a limit $n\to\infty$. Then you get the above.

Now we will prove the limsup squeeze theorem

Theorem 5.10

Suppose

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf s_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup s_n = s$$

Then this means s_n MUST converge to s

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since we know this is bounded by the limsup, This means we can find some n > N such that $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$

With limsup meaning this is sup $\{s_n | n > N\} < s + \epsilon$

Similarly with liminf there is another $n > N_2$ so

$$|u_N - s| < \epsilon \rightarrow -\epsilon < u_N - s < \epsilon$$

This gives $u_N > s - \epsilon$ and when liminf'd this turns into $s_n | n > N$.

Now we have $s_n-s<\epsilon$ and also $s_n-s>-\epsilon$ meaning we converge to s. If our sequence doesn't converge, then this would mean that it oscilates and limsup > liminf s_n

6 Week 6

6.1 Cauchy

Now sometimes a sequence s_n will get closer and closer to itself. Formally

Definition 6.1

If s_n is a cauchy sequence, then for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some n amd m such that $|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$

This is not the same as convergence!

With convergence, the terms get close to some fixed value s. For cauchy, the terms get close to each other (which could technically go to infinity)

All converging sequences are cauchy, but not all cauchy sequences are convergerging.

Theorem 6.2

Cauchy boundedness theorem

If a sequence is cauchy, then it HAS to be bounded.

Proof. By definition, our sequence s_n is cauchy, so $|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$

We will set N := M + 1. Now we have $|s_{m+1} - s_m| < \epsilon$

Rewriting $|s_m| = |s_m + s_{m+1} - s_{m+1}| \le |s_m - s_{m+1}| + |s_{m+1}| \le \epsilon + |s_{m+1}|$

If we set $M = \max(|s_1, s_2, ..., \epsilon + |s_{N+1}|)$ then we can see that $|s_n| \le M$

Theorem 6.3

If a sequence is a Cauchy Sequence in \mathbb{R} , then s_n converges.

However, if a sequence is a cauchy sequence in \mathbb{Q} , then it can fail to converge

Proof. In order to prove that a cauchy sequence will converge, we need to show that $\limsup = \liminf = s$. The way we do this is by showing $\limsup \ge \liminf$ and $\limsup \le \liminf$. Then by the \limsup squeeze theorem, this converges.

We know that $|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon \implies s_n - s_m < \epsilon \implies s_n < s_m + \epsilon$

This is true for all n > N, and so

$$v_n := \sup\{s_n | n > N\} \le s_m + \epsilon$$

This gives
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n \le v_n \le s_m + \epsilon \implies \limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n \le s_m + \epsilon$$

So $\limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n \le s_m$

6.2 Subsequences

We can have a subsequence s_n that has the property that $\inf\{s_n|n\in N\}=0$. Then we can prove that there is always a subsequence in s_n that converges to 0.

Our goal is to construct a subsequence, s_{n_k} such that this converges.

If we can show $0 < s_{n_k} < \frac{1}{k}$ where k > 0, then by the Squeeze Theorem this converges to 0.

Bolanzo-Weierstraus

Every bounded sequence s_n has a convergent subsequence s_{n_k}

To prove, we can technically just use monotonic convergence thm.

Given a sequence s_n , there is a subsequence converging to limsup s_n .

Proof. A sequence s_n is dominant if every term after s_n is less. (It's the peak). Lets say there's a subsequence $s_{n_1}, s_{n_2}, ...s_{n_k}$ will converge to the limsup. (Since s_n will be larger than all N > n.

Also lets say s_{n_k} is the last dominant term. Then the limsup is just this dominant term. \Box

Definition 6.4

A limit point of a sequence s_n means that there is a subsequence s_n that converges to s.

$$s_n = (-1)^n$$

has 2 limit points. 1 and -1

Your limit can be infinity. So something like $\{0, \infty\}$ can exist.

Notes about limit points: $\sup(S) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} s_n$

Limit Points are closed.

7 MT

Information and Sigma Algebra (Chapter 2 technically, I'll Chuck in Chapter 1 Later)

Definition 7.1

Let Ω be a nonempty set. Let T be a fixed number, and assume that each $t \in [0,T]$ there is a σ -algebra $\mathcal{F}(t)$. Assume further that if $s \leq t$, then every set in $\mathcal{F}(s)$ is also in $\mathcal{F}(t)$. Then we call the collection of σ -algebras, $\mathcal{F}(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, a filtration

These filtrations tell us the information we will have at future times. So at time t, we will know $\mathcal{F}(t)$.

At time t = 0, we only know two sets $\mathcal{F}(0) = (\emptyset, \Omega)$

8 Dominated Convergence

Dominated Convergence

Suppose $f_n \to f$ as $N \to \infty$ Pointwise

For all x, $f_n(x)$ converges to f(x) as $N \to \infty$

Then, the question is does

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) dx \text{ Hold?}$$
 (13)

No, you can not.

Counterexample

Let's look at the (dirchlet) function

$$f_n(x) = N \cdot 1_{(0, \frac{1}{N})} = N \text{ on } (0, \frac{1}{N})$$
 (14)

Then $f_n \to 0$ pointwise.

C1: If $x \le 0$, $f_n(x) = 0$ for all x

C2: If x > 0, Choose a N such that $\frac{1}{N} < x$. We can always find a N, and so $f_n(x) = 0$

However

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx = 1 \neq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) = 0$$

Theorem 8.1 [DCT / Fatou]

If $f_n \to f$ pointwise,

 $|f_n(x)| \le g(x)$ for all x with $\int g(x)dx < \infty$

And let these all be Lebesgue measurable functions.

Then we can pass the limit into the integral.

Proof. Let
$$g_n(x) = inf_{k \ge n} f_k(x)$$
 so that $liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n$ is

Suppose $\int |g(x)| < \infty$

And $|f'(x)| < C < \infty$ for all X

Does

$$\int g(x) \left(\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \right) dx \to \int g(x) f'(x) dx?$$

We can bring the derivative inside becaues of DCT.

Proof. Requirements for DCT:

Fred Yuan Topology

9 Topology

Instead of using absolute value, we use d(x,y). So by definition

Definition 9.1

If (S, d) is a metic space and (s_n) is a sequence in S, then s_n converges to s if for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is N such that if n > N, then $d(s_n, s) < \epsilon$

Through this definition, we have balls instead of linear distances.

We can look at a sequence x^n and define it to converge to x. Let's look at the following sequence

$$x^{n} = (\frac{1}{n}, e^{-n}) \rightarrow x^{n} \rightarrow (0, 0) = x.$$

Our definition for topologies is basically the same. So we can define cauchy as $(x^n, x^m) < \epsilon$. Bolzano is also bounded.

We can start defining open balls being

Definition 9.2

We define a ball as

$$B(x,r) = \{ y \in S | d(x,y) < r \}$$

And an open set says that there is a r > 0 s.t. $B(x, r) \subseteq E$ In any metric space B(x,r) is always open. But [a, b] is not open.

Definition 9.3

We can call something an interior point if $B(x, r) \subseteq E$ for some r > 0.

If E = [0,1], then the interior points would be (0,1). And E is open if and only E = Closed(E)

Closed Sets:

Something is closed if every subsequence in S converges to s and $s \in E$. So (0,1) is not closed.

The set [0, 1) is not closed or open. So the opposite of closed isn't open.

The relation between closed and open is that E is closed if and only if E^c is open.

Proof. Suppose E is not closed. Then we WTS that E^c is not open. Because E isn't closed, there is a sequence in E such that it converges to some $s \notin E \to s \in E^c$.

Fred Yuan More limits

This means $d(s_n, s) < r$ implying that $s_n \in B(s, r)$

Limit Points: Something s is a limit point if there is a sequence s_n in E that converges to s.

The set of all limit points of E is denoted by E with a squiggle.

 \tilde{E} is the set of all destinations of all trains in E.

$$(0,1) = [0,1]$$

Compactness:

A set E is compact if every open cover U of E has finite subcover v.

Some examples of compact sets:

- 1. A closed interval [a,b]
- 2. A box [a, b] x [c, d]
- 3. A ball B(x,r)

Definition 9.4

Heine-Borel:

If E is closed and a bounded subset of R^k , Then E is compact.

Proof. Suppose E is closed + Bounded. This can be stuck in a box [a1, b1] x [ak, bk]. If we can show that this box is compact, then we show that E is compact. We can cut this box up into 4 boxes.

The diameter of this is big box is d, and so the diameter of the small box is $\frac{d}{2}$

We repeat this forever and

10 The Calc Proofs

11 More limits

Partial Sums:

The sum of $\frac{1}{2^n}$ is 1. If the limit exists then it converges. Otherwise, we can say that this diverges.

Cauchy Critereon:

Fred Yuan More limits

Definition 11.1

A series converges if and only if it satisfies the Cauchy critereon:

For all $\epsilon > 0$ there is N such that if $n \ge m > N$ then

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} a_k < \epsilon$$

So no matter how small the error, we can find something.

The divergence test:

If a series converges, then the terms in the sequence $a_n \to 0$. This intuitively makes sense.

Limit comparison tests:

If $|b_n| \le a_n$ and the series $\sum a_n$ converges, then $\sum b_n$ must converge.