

# **Shreeve**

## **Textbook: Elementary Analysis**

FRED YUAN

Fall 2023

This is my notes of Shreeve's calculus for finance II

Last updated on Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> August, 2024.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Information and Conditioning</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Information and Sigma-Algebras . . . . .	3
2.2	Independence . . . . .	4

# 1 Chapter 1

ooga booga

## 2 Information and Conditioning

### 2.1 Information and Sigma-Algebras

We denote a specific outcome as  $\omega$  out of our sample space of  $\Omega$ . We might know some information about  $\omega$  to narrow it down to a few possibilities.

#### Example 2.1

Here we will do a coin-toss example for a concrete understanding.

If  $\Omega$  is the result of 3 coin tosses, then given the first result of the coin toss we can resolve

$$A_H = \{HHH, HHT, HTH, HTT\}, A_T = \{THH, THT, TTH, TTT\}$$

We also know about  $\Omega$  and  $\emptyset$  at time 0. Specifically,  $\emptyset$  does not contain  $\omega$  and  $\Omega$  contains  $\omega$ .

We denote  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{\emptyset, \Omega, A_H, A_T\}$ . If you tell us whether or not  $\omega$  is in each of these sets, we will know the result of the first coin toss.

We can denote  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\mathcal{F}_3$  indexed by time.

#### Definition 2.2

Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty set. Let  $T$  be a fixed positive number. Assume for each  $t \in [0, T]$  there is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ . If  $s \leq t$ , then every  $\mathcal{F}(s) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(t)$ . Then the collection of  $\sigma$ -algebra's  $\mathcal{F}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a filtration.

This filtration tells us information we will have at future times. When we get to  $t$ , we will know for each set in  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  whether  $\omega$  is in this set.

**Definition 2.3**

Let  $X$  be a random variable defined on a nonempty sample space  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ . If every set in  $\sigma(X)$  is also in  $\mathcal{G}$  then we say that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable.

$X$  is  $\mathcal{G}$  measurable if and only if the information in  $\mathcal{G}$  is sufficient to determine the value of  $X$ . Naturally, it will also be enough to measure  $f(X)$  where  $f$  is a mapping.

**Definition 2.4**

Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty sample space with a filtration  $\mathcal{F}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ . Let  $X(t)$  be a collection of random variables indexed with  $t \in [0, T]$ . We say this collection of random variables is an adapted stochastic process if, for each  $t$ , the random variable  $X(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  measurable.

## 2.2 Independence

When a random variable is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{G}$  then the information contained in  $\mathcal{G}$  is enough to determine the value of the random variable.

The opposite means information about  $\mathcal{G}$  gives no information about this random variable. Then they are independent.

If a random variable  $X$  is not measurable by  $\mathcal{G}$  not independent,  $\mathcal{G}$  is not sufficient to measure  $X$ .

**Definition 2.5**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be sub  $\sigma$ -algebra's of  $\mathcal{F}$ . (Sets of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  are in  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

These two sigma-algebra's are independent if  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A)\mathbb{P}(B)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{H}$

Random variables  $X, Y$  are defined to be independent if  $\sigma(Y)$  and  $\sigma(X)$  generate independent sigma-algebras.

Random variable  $X$  is independent if  $\sigma(X)$  is independent from  $\mathcal{G}$

Example: Let  $p := H, q := T$ .

Verify that  $\mathbb{P}\{S_2 = 16 \text{ and } \frac{S_3}{S_2} = 2\}$  are independent.

Left Hand Side:

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{S_2 = 16 \text{ and } \frac{S_3}{S_2} = 2\right\} = \{HHH\} = p^3$$

Right Hand Side:

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_2 = 16\}\mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{S_3}{S_2} = 2\right\} = \{HHT, HHH\} \cdot \{HHH, HTH, THH, TTH\} = p^3$$

### Definition 2.6

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and let  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$  be a sequence of sub  $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . For a fixed positive integer  $n$ , we say that the  $n$   $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2, \dots, \mathcal{G}_n$  are independent if

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \dots \cap A_N) = \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2)\dots\mathbb{P}(A_N) \text{ for all } A_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1, \dots, A_N \in \mathcal{G}_n$$

Similarly, random variables are independent if the sigma-algebra's containing them are independent.

[Infinite Coin Toss Space]

Let  $\mathcal{G}_k$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra associated with the  $k$ th toss.

So  $\mathcal{G}_k$  is the sigma-algebra of information associated with the  $k$ th toss. This means  $\mathcal{G}_k$  contains the sets  $\emptyset, \Omega_\infty$  and atoms

$$\{\omega \in \Omega_\infty; \omega_k = H\} \text{ and } \{\omega \in \Omega_\infty; \omega_k = T\}$$

In other words, this tells us if  $\omega$  is a head or tail all the way up until time  $k$ .

Independence in terms of sigma-algebras is a hard condition to check in practice.

### Theorem 2.7

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent r.v.'s,  $f(X)$  and  $g(Y)$  are independent r.v.'s if  $f$  and  $g$  are Borel-measurable in  $\mathbb{R}$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in f(X)$ . What the fuck just happened. I have no clue. THE EXERCISE IS LEFT TO THE READER SHEESH  $\square$

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are r.v.'s we can measure their joint distribution by

$$\mu_{X,Y}(C) = \mathbb{P}\{(X, Y) \in C\} \text{ for all Borel sets } C \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

The joint cumulative distribution function of  $(X, Y)$  is

$$F_{X,Y}(a, b) = \mu_{X,Y}((-\infty, a] \times (-\infty, b]) = \mathbb{P}\{X \leq a, Y \leq b\}, a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad (1)$$

A function  $f_{X,Y}(x, y)$  is a joint density for the pair of random variables  $(X, Y)$  if

$$\mu_{X,Y}(C) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{I}_C(x, y) f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx \text{ for all Borel sets } C \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (2)$$

2 only holds when

$$F_{X,Y}(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^a \int_{-\infty}^b f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx \quad (3)$$

Marginal distribution measures: