

Chapter 10

Statistical Inferences about Two Populations

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

The general focus of Chapter 10 is on testing hypotheses and constructing confidence intervals about parameters from two populations, thereby enabling you to:

1. Test hypotheses and develop confidence intervals about the difference in two means with known population variances using the z statistic.
2. Test hypotheses and develop confidence intervals about the difference in two means of independent samples with unknown population variances using the t test.
3. Test hypotheses and develop confidence intervals about the difference in two dependent populations.
4. Test hypotheses and develop confidence intervals about the difference in two population proportions.
5. Test hypotheses about the difference in two population variances using the F distribution.

CHAPTER OUTLINE

- 10.1 Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals about the Difference in Two Means using the z Statistic (Population Variances Known)
 - Hypothesis Testing
 - Confidence Intervals
 - Using the Computer to Test Hypotheses about the Difference in Two Population Means Using the z Test
- 10.2 Hypothesis Testing and Confidence Intervals about the Difference in Two Means: Independent Samples and Population Variances Unknown
 - Hypothesis Testing
 - Using the Computer to Test Hypotheses and Construct Confidence Intervals about the Difference in Two Population Means Using the t Test
 - Confidence Intervals
- 10.3 Statistical Inferences For Two Related Populations
 - Hypothesis Testing
 - Using the Computer to Make Statistical Inferences about Two Related Populations
 - Confidence Intervals
- 10.4 Statistical Inferences About Two Population Proportions, $p_1 - p_2$
 - Hypothesis Testing
 - Confidence Intervals
 - Using the Computer to Analyze the Difference in Two Proportions
- 10.5 Testing Hypotheses About Two Population Variances
 - Using the Computer to Test Hypotheses about Two Population Variances

KEY TERMS

Dependent Samples
 F Distribution
 F Value

Independent Samples
Matched-Pairs Test
Related Measures

STUDY QUESTIONS

1. A researcher wants to estimate the difference in the means of two populations. A random sample of 40 items from the first population results in a sample mean of 433 with a population standard deviation of 112. A random sample of 50 items from the second population results in a sample mean of 467 with a population standard deviation of 120. From this information, a point estimate of the difference of population means can be computed as _____.
2. Using the information from question 1, the researcher can compute a 95% confidence interval to estimate the difference in population means. The resulting confidence interval is _____.
3. A random sample of 32 items is taken from a population which has a population variance of 93. The resulting sample mean is 45.6. A random sample of 37 items is taken from a population which has a population variance of 88. The resulting sample mean is 49.4. Using this information, a 98% confidence interval can be computed to estimate the difference in means of these two populations. The resulting interval is _____.
4. A researcher desires to estimate the difference in means of two populations. To accomplish this, he/she takes a random sample of 85 items from the first population. The sample yields a mean of 168 with a population variance of 783. A random sample of 70 items is taken from the second population yielding a mean of 161 with a population variance of 780. A 94% confidence interval is computed to estimate the difference in population means. The resulting confidence interval is _____.
5. Is there a difference in the average number years of experience of assembly line employees between company A and company B? A researcher wants to conduct a statistical test to answer this question. He is likely to be conducting a _____-tailed test.

6. The researcher who is conducting the test to determine if there is a difference in the average number of years of experience of assembly line workers between companies A and B is using an alpha of .10. The critical value of z for this problem is _____.
7. Suppose the researcher conducting an experiment to compare the ages of workers at two companies. The researcher randomly samples forty-five assembly-line workers from company A and discovers that the sample average is 7.1 years with a population standard deviation of 2.3. Fifty-two assembly-line workers from company B are randomly selected resulting in a sample average of 6.2 years and a population standard deviation of 2.7. The observed z value for this problem is _____.
8. Using an alpha of .10 and the critical values determined in questions 6 and 7, the decision is to _____ the null hypothesis.
9. A researcher has a theory that the mean for population A is less than the mean for population B. To test this, she randomly samples thirty-eight items from population A and determines that the sample average is 38.4 with a population variance of 50.5. She randomly samples thirty-two items from population B and determines that the sample average is 44.3 with a population variance of 48.6. Alpha is .05. She is going to conduct a _____-tailed test.
10. Using the information from question 9, the critical z value is _____.
11. Using the information from question 9, the observed value of z is _____.
12. Using the results determined in question 10 and 11, the decision is to _____ the null hypothesis.
13. A researcher is interested in testing to determine if the mean of population one is greater than the mean of population two. He uses the following hypotheses to test this theory:

$$H_o: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$$

He randomly selects a sample of 8 items from population one resulting in a mean of 14.7 and a standard deviation of 3.4. He randomly selects a sample of 12 items from population two resulting in a mean of 11.5 and a standard deviation 2.9. He is using an alpha value of .10 to conduct this test. The degrees of freedom for this problem are _____. It is assumed that these values are normally distributed in both populations.

14. The critical table t value used to conduct the hypothesis test in question 13 is _____.
15. The observed t value from the sample data is _____.

16. Based on the observed t value obtained in question 15 and the critical table t value in question 14, the researcher should _____ the null hypothesis.
17. What is the difference in the means of two populations? A researcher wishes to determine this by taking random samples of size 14 from each population and computing a 90% confidence interval. The sample from the first population produces a mean of 780 with a standard deviation of 245. The sample from the second population produces a mean of 890 with a standard deviation of 256. The point estimate for the difference in the means of these two populations is _____. Assume that the values are normally distributed in each population.
18. The table t value used to construct the confidence interval for the problem in question 17 is _____.
19. The confidence interval constructed for the problem in question 17 is _____.
20. The matched-pairs t test deals with _____ samples.
21. A researcher wants to conduct a before/after study on 13 subjects to determine if a treatment results in higher scores. The hypotheses are:

$$H_o: D = 0$$

$$H_a: D < 0$$

Scores are obtained on the subjects both before and after the treatment. After subtracting the after scores from the before scores, the resulting value of \bar{d} is -2.85 with a S_d of 1.01. The degrees of freedom for this test are _____. Assume that the data are normally distributed in the population.

22. The critical table t value for the problem in question 21 is _____ if $\alpha = .01$.
23. The observed t value for the problem in question 21 is _____.
24. For the problem in question 21 based on the critical table t value obtained in question 22 and the observed t value obtained in question 23, the decision should be to _____ the null hypothesis.

25. A researcher is conducting a matched-pairs study. She gathers data on each pair in the study resulting in:

<u>Pair</u>	<u>Group 1</u>	<u>Group 2</u>
1	10	12
2	13	14
3	11	15
4	14	14
5	12	11
6	12	15
7	10	16
8	8	10

Assuming that the data are normally distributed in the population, the computed value of \bar{d} is _____.

26. The value of s_d for the problem in question 25 is _____.
27. The degrees of freedom for the problem in question 25 is _____.
28. The observed value of t for the problem in question 25 is _____.
29. A researcher desires to estimate the difference between two related populations. He gathers pairs of data from the populations. The data are below:

<u>Pair</u>	<u>Group 1</u>	<u>Group 2</u>
1	360	280
2	345	290
3	355	300
4	325	270
5	340	300
6	365	310

It is assumed that the data are normally distributed in the population. Using this data, the value of \bar{d} is _____.

30. For the problem in 29, the value of s_d is _____.
31. The point estimate for the population difference for the problem in question 29 is _____.
32. The researcher conducting the study for the problem in question 29 wants to use a 95% level of confidence. The table t value for this confidence interval is _____.
33. The confidence interval computed for the problem in question 29 is _____.

34. A researcher is interested in estimating the difference in two populations proportions. A sample of 1000 from each population results in sample proportions of .61 and .64. The point estimate of the difference in the population proportions is _____.
35. Using the data from question 34, the researcher computes a 90% confidence interval to estimate the difference in population proportions. The resulting confidence interval is _____.
36. A random sample of 400 items from a population shows that 110 of the sample items possess a given characteristic. A random sample of 550 items from a second population resulted in 154 of the sample items possessing the characteristic. Using this data, a 99% confidence interval is constructed to estimate the difference in population proportions which possess the given characteristic. The resulting confidence interval is _____.
37. A researcher desires to estimate the difference in proportions of two populations. To accomplish this, he/she samples 338 and 332 items respectively from each population. The resulting sample proportions are .71 and .68 respectively. Using this data, a 90% confidence interval can be computed to estimate the difference in population proportions. The resulting confidence interval is _____.
38. A statistician is being asked to test a new theory that the proportion of population A possessing a given characteristic is greater than the proportion of population B possessing the characteristic. A random sample of 625 from population A has been taken and it is determined that 463 possess the characteristic. A random sample of 704 taken from population B results in 428 possessing the characteristic. The alternative hypothesis for this problem is _____.
39. The observed value of z for question 38 is _____.
40. Suppose alpha is .10. The critical value of z for question 38 is _____.
41. Based on the results of question 39 and 40, the decision for the problem in question 38 is to _____ the null hypothesis.
42. In testing hypotheses about two population variances, use the _____ distribution.
43. Suppose we want to test the following hypothesis:
- $$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 \text{ and } H_a: \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$$
- A sample of 9 items from population one yielded a sample standard deviation of 8.6. A sample of 8 items from population two yielded a sample standard deviation of 6.9. If alpha is .05, the critical F value is _____.
44. The observed F value for question 45 is _____. The resulting decision is _____.

ANSWERS TO STUDY QUESTIONS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. -34 | 23. -10.17 |
| 2. $-82.07 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 14.07$ | 24. Reject |
| 3. $-9.16 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 1.56$ | 25. -2.125 |
| 4. $-1.48 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 15.48$ | 26. 2.232 |
| 5. Two | 27. 7 |
| 6. ± 1.645 | 28. -2.69 |
| 7. 1.77 | 29. 56.67 |
| 8. Reject | 30. 12.91 |
| 9. One | 31. 56.67 |
| 10. -1.645 | 32. 2.571 |
| 11. -3.50 | 33. $43.12 \leq D \leq 70.22$ |
| 12. Reject | 34. -.03 |
| 13. 18 | 35. $-.066 \leq p_1 - p_2 \leq .006$ |
| 14. 1.33 | 36. $-.081 \leq p_1 - p_2 \leq .071$ |
| 15. 2.26 | 37. $-.0285 \leq p_1 - p_2 \leq .0885$ |
| 16. Reject | 38. $p_A - p_B > 0$ |
| 17. -110 | 39. 5.14 |
| 18. 1.706 | 40. 1.28 |
| 19. $-271.56 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 51.56$ | 41. Reject |
| 20. Related | 42. F |
| 21. 12 | 43. 3.73 |
| 22. -2.681 | 44. 1.55, Fail to Reject the Null Hypothesis |

SOLUTIONS TO THE ODD-NUMBERED PROBLEMS IN CHAPTER 10

10.1

Sample 1

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x}_1 &= 51.3 \\ s_1^2 &= 52 \\ n_1 &= 31\end{aligned}$$

Sample 2

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x}_2 &= 53.2 \\ s_2^2 &= 60 \\ n_2 &= 32\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{a)} \quad H_o: & \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \\ H_a: & \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0\end{aligned}$$

For one-tail test, $\alpha = .10$ $z_{.10} = -1.28$

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(51.3 - 53.2) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{52}{31} + \frac{60}{32}}} = \mathbf{-1.01}$$

Since the observed $z = -1.01 > z_c = -1.28$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

b) Critical value method:

$$z_c = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)_c - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$-1.28 = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)_c - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{52}{31} + \frac{60}{32}}}$$

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)_c = \mathbf{-2.41}$$

c) The area for $z = -1.01$ using Table A.5 is .3438.
The p -value is $.5000 - .3438 = \mathbf{.1562}$

10.3 a) Sample 1 Sample 2

$$\bar{x}_1 = 88.23$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = 22.74$$

$$n_1 = 30$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 81.2$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = 26.65$$

$$n_2 = 30$$

$$H_o: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

For two-tail test, use $\alpha/2 = .01$ $z_{.01} = \pm 2.33$

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(88.23 - 81.2) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{22.74}{30} + \frac{26.65}{30}}} = \mathbf{5.48}$$

Since the observed $z = 5.48 > z_{.01} = 2.33$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$\text{b) } (\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm z \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$$

$$(88.23 - 81.2) \pm 2.33 \sqrt{\frac{22.74}{30} + \frac{26.65}{30}}$$

$$7.03 \pm 2.99$$

$$\mathbf{4.04 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 10.02}$$

This supports the decision made in a) to reject the null hypothesis because zero is not in the interval.

10.5

<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>
$n_1 = 40$	$n_2 = 37$
$\bar{x}_1 = 5.3$	$\bar{x}_2 = 6.5$
$\sigma_1^2 = 1.99$	$\sigma_2^2 = 2.36$

For a 95% C.I., $z_{.025} = 1.96$

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm z \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}$$

$$(5.3 - 6.5) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{1.99}{40} + \frac{2.36}{37}}$$

$$\mathbf{-1.2 \pm .66}$$

$$\mathbf{-1.86 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq -.54}$$

The results indicate that we are 95% confident that, on average, Plumber B does between 0.54 and 1.86 more jobs per day than Plumber A. Since zero does not lie in this interval, we are confident that there is a difference between Plumber A and Plumber B.

10.7

<u>2001</u>	<u>2011</u>	
$\bar{x}_1 = 190$	$\bar{x}_2 = 198$	
$\sigma_1 = 18.50$	$\sigma_2 = 15.60$	
$n_1 = 51$	$n_2 = 47$	$\alpha = .01$

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$$

For a one-tailed test, $z_{.01} = -2.33$

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(190 - 198) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(18.50)^2}{51} + \frac{(15.60)^2}{47}}} = \mathbf{-2.32}$$

Since the observed $z = -2.32 > z_{.01} = -2.33$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

10.9	<u>Canon</u>	<u>Pioneer</u>
	$\bar{x}_1 = 1.3$	$\bar{x}_2 = 1.0$
	$\sigma_1 = 0.7$	$\sigma_2 = 0.4$
	$n_1 = 36$	$n_2 = 45$

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

For two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .025$ $z_{.025} = \pm 1.96$

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(1.3 - 1.0) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(0.7)^2}{36} + \frac{(0.4)^2}{45}}} = \mathbf{2.29}$$

Since the observed $z = 2.29 > z_c = 1.96$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

10.11	$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$	$\alpha = .01$
	$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$	$df = 8 + 11 - 2 = 17$

<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
$n_1 = 8$	$n_2 = 11$
$\bar{x}_1 = 24.56$	$\bar{x}_2 = 26.42$
$s_1^2 = 12.4$	$s_2^2 = 15.8$

For one-tail test, $\alpha = .01$ Critical $t_{.01,17} = -2.567$

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} = \frac{(24.56 - 26.42) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{12.4(7) + 15.8(10)}{8 + 11 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{11}}} = \mathbf{-1.05}$$

Since the observed $t = -1.05 > t_{.01,19} = -2.567$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.13 \quad \begin{array}{ll} H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 & \alpha = .05 \\ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0 & df = n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 10 + 10 - 2 = 18 \end{array}$$

Sample 1

$$\begin{array}{l} n_1 = 10 \\ \bar{x}_1 = 45.38 \\ s_1 = 2.357 \end{array}$$

Sample 2

$$\begin{array}{l} n_2 = 10 \\ \bar{x}_2 = 40.49 \\ s_2 = 2.355 \end{array}$$

For one-tail test, $\alpha = .05$ Critical $t_{.05,18} = 1.734$

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} =$$

$$t = \frac{(45.38 - 40.49) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(2.357)^2(9) + (2.355)^2(9)}{10 + 10 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10}}} = \mathbf{4.64}$$

Since the observed $t = 4.64 > t_{.05,18} = 1.734$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.15 \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{Peoria} & \text{Evansville} \\ n_1 = 21 & n_2 = 26 \\ \bar{x}_1 = 116,900 & \bar{x}_2 = 114,000 \\ s_1 = 2,300 & s_2 = 1,750 \end{array} \quad df = 21 + 26 - 2$$

a) 90% level of confidence, $\alpha/2 = .05$ $t_{.05,45} = 1.684$ (used $df = 40$)

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}} =$$

$$(116,900 - 114,000) \pm 1.684 \sqrt{\frac{(2300)^2(20) + (1750)^2(25)}{21 + 26 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{21} + \frac{1}{26}} =$$

$$2,900 \pm 994.62$$

$$\mathbf{1905.38 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 3894.62}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{b) } H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 & \alpha = .10 \\ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0 & df = 21 + 26 - 2 \end{array}$$

For two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .05$ Critical $t_{.05,45} = 1.684$ (used $df = 40$)

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} = \frac{(116,900 - 114,000) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(2800)^2 20 + (1750)^2 25}{21 + 26 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{21} + \frac{1}{26}}} = \mathbf{4.91}$$

Since the observed $t = 4.91 < t_{.05,45} = 1.684$, **reject the null hypothesis.**

10.17 Let Boston be group 1

$$\begin{array}{l} 1) \ H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \\ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0 \end{array}$$

$$2) \ t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

$$3) \ \alpha = .05$$

4) For a one-tailed test and $df = 8 + 9 - 2 = 15$, $t_{.05,15} = 1.753$. If the observed value of t is greater than 1.753, the decision is to reject the null hypothesis.

5) <u>Boston</u>	<u>Dallas</u>
$n_1 = 8$	$n_2 = 9$
$\bar{x}_1 = 47$	$\bar{x}_2 = 44$
$s_1 = 3$	$s_2 = 3$

$$6) \ t = \frac{(47 - 44) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{7(3)^2 + 8(3)^2}{15}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{9}}} = \mathbf{2.06}$$

7) Since $t = 2.06 > t_{.05,15} = 1.753$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis.**

8) Boston rental rates are significantly higher. One might argue, however, that a \$3 difference is not substantive.

$$10.19 \quad H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

$$df = n_1 + n_2 - 2 = 11 + 11 - 2 = 20$$

Toronto

$$n_1 = 11$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = \$67,381.82$$

$$s_1 = \$2,067.28$$

Mexico City

$$n_2 = 11$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = \$63,481.82$$

$$s_2 = \$1,594.25$$

For a two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .005$ Critical $t_{.005,20} = \pm 2.845$

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} =$$

$$t = \frac{(67,381.82 - 63,481.82) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(2,067.28)^2(10) + (1,594.25)^2(10)}{11 + 11 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{11}}} = \mathbf{4.95}$$

Since the observed $t = 4.95 > t_{.005,20} = 2.845$, the decision is to **Reject the null hypothesis**.

95% Confidence Interval: $t_{.025,20} = 2.086$

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm t \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}} =$$

$$(67,381.82 - 63,481.82) \pm 2.086 \sqrt{\frac{(2,067.28)^2(10) + (1,594.25)^2(10)}{11 + 11 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{11}}$$

$$3900 \pm 2.086(1845.98)(.4264) = 3900 \pm 1641.94$$

$$\mathbf{2258.06 \leq \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 5541.64}$$

$$10.21 \quad H_0: D = 0$$

$$H_a: D > 0$$

<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>	<u>d</u>
38	22	16
27	28	-1
30	21	9
41	38	3
36	38	-2
38	26	12
33	19	14
35	31	4
44	35	9

$$n = 9 \quad \bar{d} = 7.11 \quad s_d = 6.45 \quad \alpha = .01 \quad df = n - 1 = 9 - 1 = 8$$

For one-tail test and $\alpha = .01$, the critical $t_{.01,8} = \pm 2.896$

$$t = \frac{\bar{d} - D}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}} = \frac{7.11 - 0}{\frac{6.45}{\sqrt{9}}} = \mathbf{3.31}$$

Since the observed $t = 3.31 > t_{.01,8} = 2.896$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.23 \quad n = 22 \quad \bar{d} = 40.56 \quad s_d = 26.58$$

For a 98% Level of Confidence, $\alpha/2 = .01$, and $df = n - 1 = 22 - 1 = 21$

$$t_{.01,21} = 2.518$$

$$\bar{d} \pm t \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$40.56 \pm (2.518) \frac{26.58}{\sqrt{22}}$$

$$40.56 \pm 14.27$$

$$\mathbf{26.29 \leq D \leq 54.83}$$

10.25	<u>City</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>Resale</u>	<u>d</u>
	Atlanta	20427	25163	-4736
	Boston	27255	24625	2630
	Des Moines	22115	12600	9515
	Kansas City	23256	24588	-1332
	Louisville	21887	19267	2620
	Portland	24255	20150	4105
	Raleigh-Durham	19852	22500	-2648
	Reno	23624	16667	6957
	Ridgewood	25885	26875	- 990
	San Francisco	28999	35333	-6334
	Tulsa	20836	16292	4544

$$\bar{d} = 1302.82 \quad s_d = 4938.22 \quad n = 11, \quad df = 10$$

$$\alpha = .01 \quad \alpha/2 = .005 \quad t_{.005,10} = 3.169$$

$$\bar{d} \pm t \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} = 1302.82 \pm 3.169 \frac{4938.22}{\sqrt{11}} = 1302.82 \pm 4718.42$$

$$\mathbf{-3415.6 \leq D \leq 6021.2}$$

10.27	<u>Before</u>	<u>After</u>	<u>d</u>
	255	197	58
	230	225	5
	290	215	75
	242	215	27
	300	240	60
	250	235	15
	215	190	25
	230	240	-10
	225	200	25
	219	203	16
	236	223	13

$$n = 11 \quad \bar{d} = 28.09 \quad s_d = 25.813 \quad df = n - 1 = 11 - 1 = 10$$

For a 98% level of confidence and $\alpha/2 = .01$, $t_{.01,10} = 2.764$

$$\bar{d} \pm t \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} = 28.09 \pm (2.764) \frac{25.813}{\sqrt{11}} = 28.09 \pm 21.51$$

$$\mathbf{6.58 \leq D \leq 49.60}$$

$$10.29 \quad n = 21 \quad \bar{d} = 75 \quad s_d = 30 \quad df = 21 - 1 = 20$$

For a 90% confidence level, $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $t_{.05,20} = 1.725$

$$\bar{d} \pm t \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} = 75 \pm 1.725 \frac{30}{\sqrt{21}} = 75 \pm 11.29$$

$$\mathbf{63.71 \leq D \leq 86.29}$$

10.31 a)	<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
	$n_1 = 368$	$n_2 = 405$
	$x_1 = 175$	$x_2 = 182$

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{175}{368} = .476 \quad \hat{p}_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{182}{405} = .449$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{175 + 182}{368 + 405} = \frac{357}{773} = .462$$

$$H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$$

For two-tail, $\alpha/2 = .025$ and $z_{.025} = \pm 1.96$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.476 - .449) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.462)(.538) \left(\frac{1}{368} + \frac{1}{405} \right)}} = \mathbf{0.75}$$

Since the observed $z = 0.75 < z_c = 1.96$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

b)	<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
	$\hat{p}_1 = .38$	$\hat{p}_2 = .25$
	$n_1 = 649$	$n_2 = 558$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{n_1 \hat{p}_1 + n_2 \hat{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{649(.38) + 558(.25)}{649 + 558} = .32$$

$$H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: p_1 - p_2 > 0$$

For a one-tail test and $\alpha = .10$, $z_{.10} = 1.28$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.38 - .25) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.32)(.68) \left(\frac{1}{649} + \frac{1}{558} \right)}} = \mathbf{4.83}$$

Since the observed $z = 4.83 > z_c = 1.28$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

10.33 $H_0: p_m - p_w = 0$

$$H_a: p_m - p_w < 0 \quad n_m = 374 \quad n_w = 481 \quad \hat{p}_m = .59 \quad \hat{p}_w = .70$$

For a one-tailed test and $\alpha = .05$, $z_{.05} = -1.645$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{n_m \hat{p}_m + n_w \hat{p}_w}{n_m + n_w} = \frac{374(.59) + 481(.70)}{374 + 481} = .652$$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.59 - .70) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.652)(.348) \left(\frac{1}{374} + \frac{1}{481} \right)}} = \mathbf{-3.35}$$

Since the observed $z = -3.35 < z_{.05} = -1.645$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

10.35 Computer Firms

$$\hat{p}_1 = .48$$

$$n_1 = 56$$

Banks

$$\hat{p}_2 = .56$$

$$n_2 = 89$$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{n_1 \hat{p}_1 + n_2 \hat{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{56(.48) + 89(.56)}{56 + 89} = .529$$

$$H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$$

For two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .10$ and $z_c = \pm 1.28$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.48 - .56) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.529)(.471) \left(\frac{1}{56} + \frac{1}{89} \right)}} = \mathbf{-0.94}$$

Since the observed $z = -0.94 > z_c = -1.28$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.37 \quad H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$$

$$\alpha = .10 \quad \hat{p}_1 = .09 \quad \hat{p}_2 = .06 \quad n_1 = 780 \quad n_2 = 915$$

For a two-tailed test, $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $z_{.05} = \pm 1.645$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{n_1 \hat{p}_1 + n_2 \hat{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{780(.09) + 915(.06)}{780 + 915} = .0738$$

$$Z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.09 - .06) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.0738)(.9262) \left(\frac{1}{780} + \frac{1}{915} \right)}} = \mathbf{2.35}$$

Since the observed $z = 2.35 > z_{.05} = 1.645$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 10.39 & H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 & \alpha = .01 & n_1 = 11 \quad s_1^2 = 1013 \\
 & H_a: \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2 & & n_2 = 10 \quad s_2^2 = 562
 \end{array}$$

$$df_{\text{num}} = 11 - 1 = 10 \quad df_{\text{denom}} = 10 - 1 = 9$$

$$\text{Table } F_{.01,10,9} = 5.26$$

$$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \mathbf{1.80}$$

Since the observed $F = 1.80 < F_{.01,10,9} = 5.26$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

10.41 City 1 City 2

3.43	3.33
3.40	3.42
3.39	3.39
3.32	3.30
3.39	3.46
3.38	3.39
3.34	3.36
3.38	3.44
3.38	3.37
3.28	3.38

$$n_1 = 10 \quad df_1 = 9 \quad n_2 = 10 \quad df_2 = 9$$

$$s_1^2 = .0018989 \quad s_2^2 = .0023378$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 & \alpha = .01 \quad \alpha/2 = .005 \\
 H_a: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2 &
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{Upper tail critical } F \text{ value} = F_{.005,9,9} = 6.54$$

$$\text{Lower tail critical } F \text{ value} = F_{.995,9,9} = 0.153$$

$$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{.0018989}{.0023378} = \mathbf{0.81}$$

Since the observed $F = 0.81$ is greater than the lower tail critical value of 0.153 and less than the upper tail critical value of 6.54, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.43 \quad \begin{array}{llll} H_0: & \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 & \alpha = .05 & n_1 = 11 \quad s_1 = 7.52 \\ H_a: & \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2 & & n_2 = 15 \quad s_2 = 6.08 \end{array}$$

$$df_{\text{num}} = 11 - 1 = 10 \quad df_{\text{denom}} = 15 - 1 = 14$$

The critical table F value is $F_{.05,10,14} = 2.60$

$$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{(7.52)^2}{(6.08)^2} = \mathbf{1.53}$$

Since the observed $F = 1.53 < F_{.05,10,14} = 2.60$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.45 \quad \begin{array}{ll} H_0: & \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \\ H_a: & \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0 \end{array}$$

For $\alpha = .10$ and a two-tailed test, $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $z_{.05} = \pm 1.645$

<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
$\bar{x}_1 = 138.4$	$\bar{x}_2 = 142.5$
$\sigma_1 = 6.71$	$\sigma_2 = 8.92$
$n_1 = 48$	$n_2 = 39$

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(138.4 - 142.5) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(6.71)^2}{48} + \frac{(8.92)^2}{39}}} = \mathbf{-2.38}$$

Since the observed value of $z = -2.38$ is less than the critical value of $z = -1.645$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**. There is a significant difference in the means of the two populations.

$$10.47 \quad H_o: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$$

<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>	
$\bar{x}_1 = 2.06$	$\bar{x}_2 = 1.93$	
$s_1^2 = .176$	$s_2^2 = .143$	
$n_1 = 12$	$n_2 = 15$	$\alpha = .05$

This is a one-tailed test with $df = 12 + 15 - 2 = 25$. The critical value is $t_{.05,25} = 1.708$. If the observed value is greater than 1.708, the decision will be to reject the null hypothesis.

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

$$t = \frac{(2.06 - 1.93) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(.176)(11) + (.143)(14)}{25}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{15}}} = \mathbf{0.85}$$

Since the observed value of $t = 0.85$ is less than the critical value of $t = 1.708$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**. The mean for population one is not significantly greater than the mean for population two.

$$10.49 \quad H_o: D = 0 \quad \alpha = .01$$

$$H_a: D < 0$$

$$n = 21 \quad df = 20 \quad \bar{d} = -1.16 \quad s_d = 1.01$$

The critical $t_{.01,20} = -2.528$. If the observed t is less than -2.528, then the decision will be to reject the null hypothesis.

$$t = \frac{\bar{d} - D}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}} = \frac{-1.16 - 0}{\frac{1.01}{\sqrt{21}}} = \mathbf{-5.26}$$

Since the observed value of $t = -5.26$ is less than the critical t value of -2.528, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**. The population difference is less than zero.

$$10.51 \quad H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0 \quad \alpha = .05 \quad \alpha/2 = .025$$

$$H_a: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0 \quad z_{.025} = \pm 1.96$$

If the observed value of z is greater than 1.96 or less than -1.96, then the decision will be to reject the null hypothesis.

<u>Sample 1</u>	<u>Sample 2</u>
$x_1 = 345$	$x_2 = 421$
$n_1 = 783$	$n_2 = 896$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{345 + 421}{783 + 896} = .4562$$

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{345}{783} = .4406 \quad \hat{p}_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{421}{896} = .4699$$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.4406 - .4699) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.4562)(.5438) \left(\frac{1}{783} + \frac{1}{896} \right)}} = \mathbf{-1.20}$$

Since the observed value of $z = -1.20$ is greater than -1.96, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**. There is no significant difference.

$$10.53 \quad H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 \quad \alpha = .05 \quad n_1 = 8 \quad s_1^2 = 46$$

$$H_a: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2 \quad n_2 = 10 \quad s_2^2 = 37$$

$$df_{\text{num}} = 8 - 1 = 7 \quad df_{\text{denom}} = 10 - 1 = 9$$

$$\text{The critical } F \text{ values are: } F_{.025,7,9} = 4.20 \quad F_{.975,9,7} = .238$$

If the observed value of F is greater than 4.20 or less than .238, then the decision will be to reject the null hypothesis.

$$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{46}{37} = \mathbf{1.24}$$

Since the observed $F = 1.24$ is less than $F_{.025,7,9} = 4.20$ and greater than $F_{.975,9,7} = .238$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**. There is no significant difference in the variances of the two populations.

10.55	<u>Morning</u>	<u>Afternoon</u>	<u>d</u>
	43	41	2
	51	49	2
	37	44	-7
	24	32	-8
	47	46	1
	44	42	2
	50	47	3
	55	51	4
	46	49	-3

$$n = 9 \quad \bar{d} = -0.444 \quad s_d = 4.447 \quad df = 9 - 1 = 8$$

For a 90% Confidence Level: $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $t_{.05,8} = 1.86$

$$\bar{d} \pm t \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$-0.444 \pm (1.86) \frac{4.447}{\sqrt{9}} = -0.444 \pm 2.757$$

$$\mathbf{-3.201 \leq D \leq 2.313}$$

10.57	<u>Accounting</u>	<u>Data Entry</u>
	$n_1 = 16$	$n_2 = 14$
	$\bar{x}_1 = 26,400$	$\bar{x}_2 = 25,800$
	$s_1 = 1,200$	$s_2 = 1,050$

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$

$$H_a: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$$

$$\alpha = .05 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha/2 = .025$$

$$df_{\text{num}} = 16 - 1 = 15$$

$$df_{\text{denom}} = 14 - 1 = 13$$

The critical F values are: $F_{.025,15,13} = 3.05$ $F_{.975,15,13} = 0.33$

$$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{1,440,000}{1,102,500} = \mathbf{1.31}$$

Since the observed $F = 1.31$ is less than $F_{.025,15,13} = 3.05$ and greater than $F_{.975,15,13} = 0.33$, the decision is to **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 10.59 \quad H_o: & \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \\
 & H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 \alpha = .01 \\
 df = 20 + 24 - 2 = 42
 \end{array}$$

<u>Detroit</u>	<u>Charlotte</u>
$n_1 = 20$	$n_2 = 24$
$\bar{x}_1 = 17.53$	$\bar{x}_2 = 14.89$
$s_1 = 3.2$	$s_2 = 2.7$

For two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .005$ and the critical $t_{.005,40} = \pm 2.704$ (used $df=40$)

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$$

$$t = \frac{(17.53 - 14.89) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(3.2)^2(19) + (2.7)^2(23)}{42}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{24}}} = \mathbf{2.97}$$

Since the observed $t = 2.97 > t_{.005,40} = 2.704$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 10.61 & \begin{array}{l} \text{Specialty} \\ n_1 = 350 \\ \hat{p}_1 = .75 \end{array}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 \text{Discount} \\
 n_2 = 500 \\
 \hat{p}_2 = .52
 \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha = .10$ $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $z_{.05} = \pm 1.645$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{n_1 \hat{p}_1 + n_2 \hat{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{350(.75) + 500(.52)}{350 + 500} = .615$$

$$z = \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p} \cdot \bar{q} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}} = \frac{(.75 - .52) - (0)}{\sqrt{(.615)(.385) \left(\frac{1}{350} + \frac{1}{500} \right)}} = 6.78$$

Since the observed $z = 6.78 > z_{.05} = 1.645$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**. There is a significant difference between specialty stores and discount stores and a significantly higher proportion of specialty stores shoppers believe that quality of merchandise is a determining factor in their perception of the store's image.

10.63	<u>Name Brand</u>	<u>Store Brand</u>	<u>d</u>
	54	49	5
	55	50	5
	59	52	7
	53	51	2
	54	50	4
	61	56	5
	51	47	4
	53	49	4

$$n = 8 \quad \bar{d} = 4.5 \quad s_d = 1.414 \quad df = 8 - 1 = 7$$

For a 90% Confidence Level, $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $t_{.05,7} = 1.895$

$$\bar{d} \pm t \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$4.5 \pm 1.895 \frac{1.414}{\sqrt{8}} = 4.5 \pm .947$$

$$3.553 \leq D \leq 5.447$$

10.65	<u>Wednesday</u>	<u>Friday</u>	<u>d</u>
	71	53	18
	56	47	9
	75	52	23
	68	55	13
	74	58	16

$$n = 5 \quad \bar{d} = 15.8 \quad s_d = 5.263 \quad df = 5 - 1 = 4$$

$$H_o: D = 0 \quad \alpha = .05$$

$$H_a: D > 0$$

For one-tail test, $\alpha = .05$ and the critical $t_{.05,4} = 2.132$

$$t = \frac{\bar{d} - D}{\frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}} = \frac{15.8 - 0}{\frac{5.263}{\sqrt{5}}} = \mathbf{6.71}$$

Since the observed $t = 6.71 > t_{.05,4} = 2.132$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

10.67 Construction

$$n_1 = 338$$

$$x_1 = 297$$

Telephone Repair

$$n_2 = 281$$

$$x_2 = 192$$

$$\hat{p}_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{297}{338} = .879$$

$$\hat{p}_2 = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{192}{281} = .683$$

For a 90% Confidence Level, $\alpha/2 = .05$ and $z_{.05} = 1.645$

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm z \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1 \hat{q}_1}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2 \hat{q}_2}{n_2}}$$

$$(.879 - .683) \pm 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{(.879)(.121)}{338} + \frac{(.683)(.317)}{281}} = .196 \pm .054$$

$$.142 \leq p_1 - p_2 \leq .250$$

10.69

Discount

$$\bar{x}_1 = \$47.20$$

$$\sigma_1 = \$12.45$$

$$n_1 = 60$$

Specialty

$$\bar{x}_2 = \$27.40$$

$$\sigma_2 = \$9.82$$

$$n_2 = 40$$

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$\alpha = .01$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

For two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .005$ and $z_c = \pm 2.575$

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = \frac{(47.20 - 27.40) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(12.45)^2}{60} + \frac{(9.82)^2}{40}}} = 8.86$$

Since the observed $z = 8.86 > z_c = 2.575$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.71 \quad H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \quad \alpha = .01$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0 \quad df = 10 + 6 - 2 = 14$$

A	B
$n_1 = 10$	$n_2 = 6$
$\bar{x}_1 = 18.3$	$\bar{x}_2 = 9.667$
$s_1^2 = 17.122$	$s_2^2 = 7.467$

For two-tail test, $\alpha/2 = .005$ and the critical $t_{.005,14} = \pm 2.977$

$$t = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2(n_1 - 1) + s_2^2(n_2 - 1)}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}}$$

$$t = \frac{(18.3 - 9.667) - (0)}{\sqrt{\frac{(17.122)(9) + (7.467)(5)}{14} \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{6} \right)}} = \mathbf{4.52}$$

Since the observed $t = 4.52 > t_{.005,14} = 2.977$, the decision is to **reject the null hypothesis**.

$$10.73 \quad H_0: D = 0$$

$$H_a: D \neq 0$$

This is a related measures before and after study. Fourteen people were involved in the study. Before the treatment, the sample mean was 3.991 and after the treatment, the mean was 5.072. The higher number after the treatment indicates that subjects were more likely to “blow the whistle” after having been through the treatment. The observed t value was -2.47 which was more extreme than two-tailed table t value of ± 2.06 and as a result, the researcher rejects the null hypothesis. This is underscored by a p -value of .00204 which is less than $\alpha = .05$. The study concludes that there is a significantly higher likelihood of “blowing the whistle” after the treatment.

- 10.75 A test of differences of the variances of the populations of the two machines is being computed. The hypotheses are:

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$$

$$H_a: \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$$

Twenty-six pipes were measured for sample one and twenty-eight pipes were measured for sample two. The observed $F = 1.49$ is not significant even at $\alpha = .10$ for a one-tailed test since the associated p -value is .15766. The variance of pipe lengths for machine 1 is not significantly greater than the variance of pipe lengths for machine 2.