

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FOUR

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

LUIS ALBERTO CEJA-MEDINA,

Defendant and
Appellant.

A172630

(Contra Costa County
Super. Ct. No. 01-23-
03012)

After defendant Luis Alberto Ceja-Medina entered a no contest plea to one felony count of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage within ten years of three other driving under the influence offenses, the trial court suspended execution of a two-year sentence and placed defendant on formal probation with a requirement that he serve 270 days as a condition of his probation. He later admitted violating the terms and conditions of his probation, and the court imposed an additional 60-day term as a sanction for the violation.

Defendant's appointed counsel filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*) summarizing the facts and asking the court to review the record to identify any issues warranting relief. Defendant was advised of his right to

file a supplemental brief, but failed to do so. After our independent review of the record, we find no issues warranting further briefing and therefore affirm.

BACKGROUND

Approximately nine months after serving his original custodial term, defendant admitted violating the terms of his probation. Having previously revoked the grant of probation, the court reinstated probation and ordered him to serve an additional 60 days in custody.

DISCUSSION

The record demonstrates that defendant was properly advised before admitting his probation violation, and the court did not err in selecting the sentence for the violation.

Having conducted an independent review of the record, we find no issues requiring further briefing.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

BROWN, P. J.

WE CONCUR:

STREETER, J.
GOLDMAN, J.

People v. Ceja-Medina (A172630)