
Group 9

Web scale Data Management Final Presentation

Overview of the Architecture













Distributed transactions

How do we guarantee consistency between the stock and payment service?

2 Phase Commit



2PC



Problem

2PC is a blocking protocol



Items and users are locked during payment



Bad Availability

Solution

Modify the 2PC protocol to not block the items

Comparison modified 2PC

Standard 2PC

Consistency


```
verify - Stock service inconsistencies in the logs: -64
verify - Stock service inconsistencies in the logs: 64
verify - Payment service inconsistencies in the database: 64.0
```

Modified 2PC

Consistency

```
verify - Stock service inconsistencies in the logs: 0
verify - Stock service inconsistencies in the database: 0
verify - Payment service inconsistencies in the logs: 0
verify - Payment service inconsistencies in the database: 0.0
```

Fault tolerance



Consistency strategies


Why we use **PAXOS** and not **2PC** for Payment service?

Why we use **eventual consistency** for Order service?

Why we use consistency via quorums for stock service?

How we use PAXOS?

- Payment Service
 - Durability
 - Availability
 - Consistency



Deployment

Set amount of pods per service

Some results?

