

Statistical Modelling

401-3622-00L Autumn Semester 2019

Classical Linear Regression

Coefficients of determination R^2

- For OLS, $R^2 = r_{\hat{y}y}^2$. (For simple linear regression, $R^2 = r_{xy}^2$.)
- Model comparison only meaningful if every model uses the same response variable and has the same number of parameters.
- R^2 can be arbitrarily low when model is completely correct (low signal to noise ratio), can be close to 1 when the model is totally wrong (misspecified), cannot be compared between a model with untransformed Y and one with transformed Y (can go down when the model assumptions are better fulfilled).

Statistical properties

Let $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, $E(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = 0$, $\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$.

- (Gauss-Markov Theorem). Furthermore, let \mathbf{b} be an arbitrary p -dimensional vector and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ the LSE. Then $\mathbf{b}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ has minimal variance amongst all linear unbiased estimators of $\mathbf{b}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}$ (BLUE).
- Let furthermore $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ be normally distributed. Then $\mathbf{b}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ has minimal variance amongst all unbiased estimators of $\mathbf{b}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}$ (UMVU).
- Additionally, assume $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}/n \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where \mathbf{V} is a positive definite matrix, and that $\max_j \mathbf{H}_{jj} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, $\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are consistent. The least squares estimator asymptotically follows a normal distribution $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{V}^{-1})$.
- Assume normality of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are independent. $SSE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-p}^2$.

Exact F -test

- The least squares estimator under $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$ gives $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^R = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^\top (\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^\top)^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{d})$.
- The difference in residual sum of squares $\Delta SSE = (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{d})^\top (\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{C}^\top)^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{d})$.
- Assume normality of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. ΔSSE and SSE are independent. Under H_0 , $\Delta SSE/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_r^2$, the test statistic $F = \frac{n-p}{r} \frac{\Delta SSE}{SSE} = \frac{1}{r} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{d})^\top (\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}))^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{d}) \sim F_{r, n-p}$. A global F -test for $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$, $F = \frac{n-p}{k} \frac{R^2}{1-R^2} \sim F_{k, n-p}$.

Model specification

- Missing variables: Coefficients of model with missing variables $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1$ are biased, but have smaller variance, unless covariates are orthogonal.
- Irrelevant variables: Both $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1$ and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1$ are biased, but coefficients of model with irrelevant variables have larger variance, unless covariates are orthogonal.

- Prediction quality: Expected squared prediction error $SPSE = n\sigma^2 + |\mathbf{M}|\sigma^2 + \sum_i (\mu_{iM} - \mu_i)$, where $y_{n+i} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{n+i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mu_{iM} = E(\hat{y}_{iM})$.

Model selection

- Expected squared prediction error (SPSE)
 - Approach 1: Estimate the expected squared prediction error using independent data, $\widehat{SPSE} = \sum_{j=1}^m (y_{n+j} - \hat{y}_{jM})^2$.
 - Approach 2: Use all data to estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_M$ and correct sum of squared residuals, $\widehat{SPSE} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_{iM})^2 + 2|\mathbf{M}|\hat{\sigma}^2$.
- Mallow's complexity parameter $C_p = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_{iM})^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - n + 2|\mathbf{M}|$. Can be understood as estimate of $SMSE/\sigma^2$.
- Akaike information criterion $AIC = -2l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_M, \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) + 2(|\mathbf{M}| + 1)$. In a linear model with Gaussian errors, $AIC = n \log(\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) + 2(|\mathbf{M}| + 1)$ (ignoring constants).
- Bayesian information criterion $BIC = -2l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_M, \hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) + \log(n)(|\mathbf{M}| + 1)$. In a linear model with Gaussian errors, $BIC = n \log(\hat{\sigma}_{ML}^2) + \log(n)(|\mathbf{M}| + 1)$ (ignoring constants).
- Adjusted coefficient of determination $\bar{R}^2 = 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-p}(1 - R^2)$.
- Leave-one-out cross validation $LOOCV = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_{iM}^{-i})^2$. Special case for LSE, $LOOCV = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \hat{y}_{iM}}{1 - \mathbf{H}_{iiM}} \right)^2$.

Model diagnostics

- Residual plots: (standardized or studentized) residuals against \hat{y}_i , (standardized or studentized) residuals against each predictor x_j , "scale-location" plot ($\sqrt{|\text{standardised residual}_i|}$ against \hat{y}_i).
- Transformation: power family $\psi(u, \lambda) = \begin{cases} u^\lambda, & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \\ \log(u), & \text{for } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$ (for strictly positive u), scaled power family $\psi_S(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^\lambda - 1}{\lambda}, & \text{for } \lambda \neq 0 \\ \log(x), & \text{for } \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$ (for strictly positive x , preserves direction of association), variance stabilising transformation (for positive y):
 - \sqrt{y} : Relatively "mild" and most appropriate when the response follows a Poisson distribution
 - $\log(y)$: Most commonly used transformation, appropriate when the error standard deviation is a percent of the response (rather than absolute units), "empirical log rule"
 - $1/y$: Often applied when the response is a time until an event; Can be appropriate when responses are mostly close to 0, but occasional large values occur
- Autocorrelation detection: correlograms, Durbin-Watson test for $H_0 : \rho = 0$ in $AR(1)$ model, $d = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_i - \hat{\epsilon}_{i-1})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2} \approx 2(1 - \hat{\rho})$.

- Collinearity analysis: variance inflation factor $VIF_j = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2}$, "serious collinearity problem" exists when $VIF_j > 10$.
- Outlier detection: studentised "leave-one-out" residuals $r_i^* = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_{(i)}}{\hat{\sigma}_{(i)} \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{x}_i^\top (\mathbf{X}_{(i)}^\top \mathbf{X}_{(i)})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i}} = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i}{\hat{\sigma}_{(i)} \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{H}_{ii}}} \sim t_{n-p-1}$.
- Influence analysis: leverage $1/n \leq \mathbf{H}_{ii} \leq 1$, should pay attention to observations with $\mathbf{H}_{ii} > 2p/n$ (twice the average), but large values do not necessarily lead to problems, Cook's distance $D_i = \frac{\|\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{(i)} - \hat{\mathbf{y}}\|_2^2}{p \hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 - \mathbf{H}_{ii})} \frac{\mathbf{H}_{ii}}{1 - \mathbf{H}_{ii}}$, plot of the standardized residuals against \mathbf{H}_{ii} is often used to detect influential observations
- Linearity analysis: residual Prediction (RP) tests, scaled residuals $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}}{\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\|_2}$ has the same distribution of $\frac{(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{z}}{\|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{z}\|_2}$ where $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$

General linear models

Weighted least squares

- (Gauss-Markov Theorem). Under the assumptions of the general linear model, the WLS estimator has minimal variance among all linear and unbiased estimators.
 - Assuming $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{W}^{-1})$, can show that WLS estimator coincides with ML estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^\top \mathbf{W} \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ is unbiased.
 - For grouped data with response as arithmetic mean \bar{y}_i , $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(n_1, \dots, n_G)$, for sum $n_i \bar{y}_i$, $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(1/n_1, \dots, 1/n_G)$.
 - Two-stage least squares: Obtain estimates $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ from an unweighted regression between $\log(\hat{\epsilon}_i^2)$ and the variance explanatory variables \mathbf{z}_i . Then fit a linear model using the weights $\hat{w}_i = 1/\exp(\mathbf{z}_i^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$.
 - White estimator: $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \text{diag}(\hat{\epsilon}_1^2, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_n^2) \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ is consistent under general conditions. No assumption about type of heteroscedasticity but larger variances.
 - Two-stage estimation (Prais-Winsten estimator) for $AR(1)$ model: $\hat{\rho} = \frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i \hat{\epsilon}_{i-1}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^n \hat{\epsilon}_i^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=2}^n \hat{\epsilon}_{i-1}^2}}$. Then insert $\hat{\rho}$ to get $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$.
- ### Instrumental variables
- An instrumental variable z has to satisfy, relevance $\text{Cov}(x, z) \neq 0$ and exogeneity $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \perp z$.
 - Moment-based estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{IV} = \frac{\widehat{\text{Cov}}(z, y)}{\widehat{\text{Cov}}(z, x)}$ is consistent.
 - Two-stage least squares (2SLS): Regress x on z , construct estimate of x without influence of unobserved confounder: \tilde{x} , regress y on \tilde{x} to obtain $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{IV-2SLS}$.
 - A weak instrument z can lead to large estimation variance.

Penalised regression

Ridge regression

- Ridge regression shrinks directions in the column space of \mathbf{X} according to how \mathbf{X} varies along those directions

- Effective degrees of freedom

$$df(\lambda) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{d_j^2}{d_j^2 + \lambda}.$$

- Ridge estimator is biased but has smaller variance than OLS.

Lasso regression

- Small coefficients will be more strongly shrunken towards zero while larger coefficients will be less affected by the penalty.
- Lasso estimator is biased but has smaller variance than OLS.

Explicit solutions for design matrix with orthonormal columns, i.e., $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}$. Let $\hat{\beta}_j$ be the OLS solution, then

Estimator	Formula
Best subset	$\hat{\beta}_j 1(\hat{\beta}_j > \sqrt{\lambda})$
Ridge	$\hat{\beta}_j / (1 + \lambda)$
Lasso	$\text{sign}(\hat{\beta}_j)(\hat{\beta}_j - \lambda/2)_+$

Robust regression

- In case of heavy-tailed error distributions robust estimators have smaller variance than OLS.
- Finite sample breakdown point of an estimator: Fraction of data that can be given arbitrary values without making the estimator arbitrarily bad. 0 for mean, $(n-1)/2n$ for median.

- Huber's loss function $\rho_{H_c}(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2, & \text{if } |\epsilon| \leq c \\ c(|\epsilon| - \frac{c}{2}), & \text{if } |\epsilon| > c \end{cases}$, using

$c = 1.345S \approx 2MAD$ yields 95% efficiency relative to the sample mean when the distribution is normal + resistance to outliers.

- Biweight loss function

$$\rho_{BW_c}(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{c^2}{6} \left\{ 1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{\epsilon}{c} \right)^2 \right]^3 \right\}, & \text{if } |\epsilon| \leq c \\ \frac{c^2}{6}, & \text{if } |\epsilon| > c \end{cases}, \text{ using}$$

$c = 4.685S \approx 7MAD$ yields 95% efficiency relative to the sample mean when the distribution is normal.

- Using the weight function $w(\epsilon) = \psi(\epsilon)/\epsilon$.

- Bounded-influence regression: Least-trimmed-squares (LTS) regression selects regression coefficients to minimize smaller half of the squared residuals, least median of squares.

Generalised linear models

Logistic regression

- Fisher scoring algorithm

$$\hat{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \hat{\beta}^{(t)} + (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{V}^{(t)} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top (\mathbf{y} - \hat{\pi}^{(t)}), \text{ where } \mathbf{V}^{(t)} = \text{diag}(\hat{\pi}_1^{(t)}(1 - \hat{\pi}_1^{(t)}), \dots, \hat{\pi}_n^{(t)}(1 - \hat{\pi}_n^{(t)})).$$

- Asymptotic distribution under (weak) regularity conditions

$$\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(\beta, \mathbf{F}^{-1}(\hat{\beta}))$$

- Under $H_0 : \mathbf{C}\beta = \mathbf{d}$ with $\text{rank}(\mathbf{C}) = r$, Wald statistic $w = (\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{d})^\top (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\hat{\beta})\mathbf{C})^{-1} (\mathbf{C}\hat{\beta} - \mathbf{d}) \sim \chi_r^2$.
- Deviance $D(\hat{\pi}) = -2l(\hat{\pi})$ for individual data model, and $D(\hat{\pi}) = 2(l(\tilde{\pi}) - l(\hat{\pi})) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{G-p}^2$ for group data model, if there is no overdispersion, and if approximation is based on a limiting operation where G is fixed and $n_i \rightarrow \infty$.
- Deviance residual $r_{D_i} = \text{sign}(\hat{y}_i - \hat{\pi}_i)\sqrt{d_i}$, $\sum_i r_{D_i}^2 = D$, Pearson residual $r_{P_i} = \frac{\hat{y}_i - \hat{\pi}_i}{\sqrt{\hat{\pi}_i(1 - \hat{\pi}_i)/n_i}}$, based on $\chi^2 = \sum_i r_{P_i}^2$.
- This empirical variance $\hat{y}_i(1 - \hat{y}_i)/n_i$ is often much larger than $\hat{\pi}_i(1 - \hat{\pi}_i)$. If $\text{Var}(\hat{y}_i) = \phi\pi_i(1 - \pi_i)$, one estimates $\hat{\phi}_P = \frac{1}{n-p}\chi^2$ or $\hat{\phi}_D = \frac{1}{n-p}D$. Then $\widehat{\text{Cov}}(\hat{\beta}) = \hat{\phi}\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\hat{\beta})$.
- Metrics: accuracy $\frac{\#TP + \#TN}{\#TP + \#FN + \#FP}$, true positive rate / recall / sensitivity $TPR = \frac{\#TP}{\#TP + \#FN}$, specificity $\frac{\#TN}{\#TN + \#FP}$, false positive rate $FPR = \frac{\#FP}{\#TN + \#FP}$

General settings

- Linear predictor $\eta = \mathbf{x}^\top \beta$, response function $\mu = h(\eta)$, link function $\eta = g(\mu)$.
- Distribution of response $Y|\theta$ in exponential family $f(y|\theta) = \exp\left(\frac{y\theta - b(\theta)}{\phi} w + c(y, \phi, w)\right)$.
- For individual data, $w = 1$. For group data when y is group mean, $w = n_i$; when y is group total, set $w = 1/n_i$.
- $E(y) = \mu = b'(\theta)$, $\text{Var}(y) = \phi b''(\theta)/w$.
- Unique canonical link function specified by $\eta = \theta$. Then log-likelihood is always concave so that the ML estimator is always unique (if it exists), and $\mathbf{F}(\beta) = \mathbf{H}(\beta)$.

Cumulative model

- Let $u_i = -\mathbf{x}_i^\top \beta + \epsilon_i$, where ϵ_i had cdf F . Then $Y_i = r \equiv \theta_{r-1} < u_i \leq \theta_r$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, c+1$, where $-\infty = \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_{c+1} = \infty$.
- Logistic model is called proportional odds model because ratio of the cumulative odds for subpopulations characterized by \mathbf{x}_i and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is $\frac{P(Y_i \leq r|\mathbf{x}_i)/P(Y_i > r|\mathbf{x}_i)}{P(Y_i \leq r|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i)/P(Y_i > r|\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i)} = \exp((\mathbf{x}_i - \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i)^\top \beta)$

Nonparametric regression

Polynomial splines

- A function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a polynomial spline of degree $l \geq 0$ with knots $a = \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_m = b$ if $f(z)$ is $(l-1)$ -times continuously differentiable, and $f(z)$ is a polynomial of degree l on the intervals $[\kappa_j, \kappa_{j+1})$.
- Truncated power series uses the model $y_i = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 z_i + \dots + \gamma_{l+1} z_i^l + \gamma_{l+2}(z_i - \kappa_2)_+^l + \dots + \gamma_{l+m-1}(z_i - \kappa_{m-1})_+^l + \epsilon_i$. The functions B_1, \dots, B_d are called basis functions. Numerical instabilities for covariates with large values. Basis functions are nearly collinear, especially when two knots are close to one another.

- B-splines: only positive on an interval based on $l+2$ adjacent knots, at any point $l+1$ basis functions are positive. Bounded from above, hence numerically more stable.

- P-splines: introduce an additional penalty term that prevents overfitting, minimise

$$PLS(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \sum_{j=1}^d \gamma_j B_j(z_i) \right)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=l+2}^d \gamma_j^2 \text{ for TP basis.}$$

- Smoothing splines: only assume that $f(z)$ is twice continuously differentiable, so that we can use $\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(z_i))^2 + \lambda \int (f''(z))^2 dz$. Natural cubic splines with knots at the d ordered and unique covariate values $z_{(1)} < \dots < z_{(d)}$.
- The function $f(z)$ is a natural cubic spline based on the knots $a \leq \kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_m \leq b$ if $f(z)$ is a cubic polynomial spline for the given knots, and satisfy boundry conditions $f''(a) = f''(b) = 0$, linear in the intervals $[a, \kappa_2]$ and $[\kappa_{m-1}, b]$. Need m instead of $m+2$ basis functions compared to standard cubic polynomial splines.

Local polynomial regression

- Estimation is usually based on a weighted version of the residual sum of squares $\sum_{i=0}^n \left(y_i - \sum_{j=0}^l \gamma_j (z_i - z)^j \right)^2 w_\lambda(z, z_i)$, where the weights are based on kernel functions $K\left(\frac{z - z_i}{\lambda}\right)$.
- Uniform kernel $K(u) = \frac{1}{2}1(|u| \leq 1)$, Epanechnikov kernel $K(u) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - u^2)1(|u| \leq 1)$, Gaussian kernel $K(u) = \phi(u)$.
- Nadaraya-Watson estimator: local constant polynomial model $\hat{f}(z) = \frac{\sum_i w_\lambda(z, z_i) y_i}{\sum_i w_\lambda(z, z_i)}$.
- LOESS/LOWESS: weights $w_{\Delta(z)}(z, z_i) = K\left(\frac{|z - z_i|}{\Delta(z)}\right)$ where $\Delta(z) = \max_{i,j \in N(z)} |z_i - z_j|$ for k -nearest neighbourhood $N(z)$ of z .
- If $\hat{f}(z) = \mathbf{s}(z)^\top \mathbf{y}$ and $\text{Var}(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$, then $\hat{f}(x) - f(z) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathbf{s}(z)^\top \mathbf{s}(z))$, assuming that $\hat{f}(z)$ is (approximately) unbiased.
- Choose smoothing parameters by leave-one-out cross validation $LOOCV = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \hat{f}(z)}{1 - S_{ii}} \right)^2$, exact for classical linear model and smoothing splines.
- Assume additive structure $f(\mathbf{z}) = f_1(z_1) + \dots + f_q(z_q)$ to protect against curse of dimensionality.