```
NLA: Golub for k = 1 : m, n: u_k = (sgn(b_{k,k}) || b_{k:m,k} || e_1 + b_{k:m,k}); u_k := \hat{u}_k; U_k := I - 2u_k u_k^T;
          B_{k:m,k:n} := U_k B_{k:m,k:n}; U = [I_{k-1,k-1}, 0; 0, U_k]; \text{for } j = 1 : m, n-1: \ v_k^T := sgn(b_{k,k+1}) \|b_{k,k+1:n}\| e_1 + u_k B_{k:m,k:n} \|b_{k,k+1:n}\| e_1 + u_k 
          b_{k:m,k}; V_k := I - 2v_k v_k^T; B_{1:m,k+1:n} = B_{1:m,k+1:n} V_k; V = [I_{k,k}, 0; 0, V_k] endfor endfor; 2 \cdot (2mn^2 - 2n^3/3)

Householder for k = [1, n] : x = A_{k:m,k}; v_k = sgn(x) ||x|| e_k + x; v_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|} for j = [k, n] A_{k:m,j} = [k, n]
          A_{k:m,j} - 2v_k [v_k^* A_{k:m,j}] endfor endfor. 2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3}. MG-S V = A; for i = [1,n]: r_{ii} = ||v_i||; q_i = \frac{v_i}{r_{ii}}; for
          j = [i+1, n] \ v_j = v_j - (q_{i_-}^T v_j) q_i; r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j \text{ endfor endfor. } 2mn^2.  Arnoldi: q_1 := \hat{b}; q_{k+1} h_{k+1,k} = 0
           Aq_k - \sum_{i=1}^k q_i h_{ik}; \ h_{ik} = q_i^T(Aq_k); \ h_{k+1,k} := \|v\| \to AQ_k := Q_k H_k + q_{k+1}[0 \dots h_{k+1,k}]. Givens
          3mn^2 SVD: =\sum_{i}^{r:=\min m,n} u_i \sigma_i v_i^T. QR Algo: A_{k+1} = Q_k^T A_k Q_k \to A_{k+1} = \left(Q^{(k)}\right)^T A Q^{(k)} \& A^k = Q_k^T A_k Q_k + Q_k
            (Q_1 \dots Q_k)(R_k \dots R_1) := Q^{(k)}R^{(k)}, via induction GMRES: \min \|AQ_ky - b\| \to \min \|H_ky - \|b\|e_1\| CG
          Bound: With c = x - x_0, c_k = x_k - x_0 s.t. r_k = A(c - c_k) we have r_k^T v = 0 \ \forall \ v \in \mathcal{K}_k so v^t A(c - c_k) = 0
          s.t. y = c_k = \arg\min \|c - y\|_A. WTS e_k = e_0 p_k(A) with p(0) = 1, and write e_0 := \sum \gamma_i v_i with
11
          |Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \to ||e_k||_A = \min_{p_k, p(0)=1} \max |p(\lambda_i|||e_0||_A \text{ CG Convergence: } ||e_k|| = \min_{p(0)=1} ||\overline{p_k}(A)e_0|| = \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||e_i||_A ||e_i|
12
          \min_{p_k(A)} \max |p_k(\lambda)| \|e_0\| \to \le 2 \left( (\sqrt{k_2} - 1)/(\sqrt{k_2} + 1) \right)^k; need \alpha := 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) Cheb: T_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}(z^k + 1)
13
          (z^{-k}); 2xT_k = T_{k+1} + T_{k-1} CG Conditions: To show r_{k+1}^T r_k = 0 first show p_k^T A p_k = p_k^T A r_k via \beta
14
          then show p_k^T r_k = r_k^T r_k via p_{k-1}^T r_k = 0. MP: \sigma(G) \in [\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}, \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}] \to k_2 = O(1) Sketch:
15
          with GA\hat{x} = Gb, and via C - F \|G[A, b][v, -1]^T\| \le (s + \sqrt{n+1}) \|R[v, -1]^T\|, similar for lower bound
16
          via MP \to ||A\hat{x} - b|| \le (\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{n+1})/(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{n+1})||Ax - b|| Blend: solve ||(A\hat{R}^{-1})y - b|| = 0 via
17
          CG;k_2(A\hat{R}^{-1})=O(1) with GA=\hat{Q}\hat{R} PROOF: A=QR;GA=GQR=\hat{G}R. Let \hat{G}=\hat{Q}\hat{R} so
18
          GA = \hat{Q}\hat{R}R \to \tilde{R}^{-1} = R^{-1}\hat{R}^{-1} \to k_2(A\tilde{R}^{-1}) = k_2(\hat{R}^{-1}) = O(1) by MP. O(mn) to solve via normal
19
          Bounds: ||ABB^{-1}|| \ge ||AB|| ||B^{-1}|| \to ||A|| / ||B^{-1}|| \ge ||AB||. Weyls: \sigma_i(A+B) = \sigma_i(A) + [-||B||, ||B||]
20
          Rev \Delta Ineq: ||A - B|| \ge ||A|| - ||B||| Courant Application: \sigma_i([A_1; A_2]) \ge \max(\sigma_i(A_1), \sigma_i(A_2))
21
          Schur: Take Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1; construct U_1 = [v_1, V_{\perp}] \to AU_1 = U_1[e_1, X]. Repeat. Conditioning \kappa_2(A) = \sigma_1/\sigma_n = ||A|| ||A^{-1}|| Similarity: A \to P^{-1}AP, same \lambda.
22
23
          CO: G-N: \vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k - \frac{\nabla f_k}{J^T J}, with J := \text{Jacobian of } r(x) \text{ SD: } ||x_{k+1} - x_*|| \le (k_2(H) - 1)/(k_2(H) + 1)
24
          1)||x_k - x_*|| with H hessian bArm: w/\phi(\alpha) = f(x_k + \alpha_k s_k), \psi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) - \phi(0) - \beta \alpha \phi'(0) \le 0, show
25
          \psi'(0) = (1 - \beta)\phi'(0) \le 0 \to \psi(\alpha) \downarrow \text{ with } \alpha.  BFGS: To show H_{k+1} \ge 0 nec. \gamma^T \delta > 0. Suff via \gamma, \delta LI \to use \|\cdot\|_H \to \gamma^T \delta > 0. Quad Penalty Meth With y = -c/\sigma, \|\nabla_{\sigma}\Phi\| \le \epsilon^k, \sigma^k \to 0, x \to 0
26
27
          \left|x_*, \nabla c(x_*)\right| LI, then y \to y_*, \ x \to KKT. PROOF: If y_* := J_*^{\dagger} \nabla f_* \to \|y_k - y_*\| = \left\|J_k^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - Iy_*\right\| \le 1
            \left|J_{k}^{\dagger}\right| \|\nabla_{\sigma}\Phi\| \to 0. Also, \nabla f_{*} - J_{*}^{T}y_{*} = 0, and c_{k \to *} = -\sigma^{k \to *}y_{k \to *} = 0 so x_{*} \to KKT Quad
29
          Pen. Meth Newt Have w = (J\Delta x + c)/\sigma so [\nabla^2 f, J^T; J, -\sigma I][\Delta x, w]^T = -[\nabla f, c] Trust Region
30
          Radius: \rho_k := (f(x_k) - f(x_k + s_k))/(f(x_k) - m_k(s_k)) TR-Method: If \rho \approx 1 then double radius,
31
          update step x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k. If \rho \geq 0.1 then same radius, update step. If \rho small shrink radius,
          don't update step. Cauchy: Is the point on gradient which minimises the quadratic model within
           TR. Want m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc}), where s_{kc} := -\alpha_{kc} \nabla f(x_k), and \alpha_{kc} := \arg \min m_k (\alpha \nabla f(x_k)) subject to
34
          \|\alpha \nabla f\| \leq \Delta, i.e. \alpha_{max} := \Delta/\|\nabla f\|. Calculation of Cauchy: We want to prove cauchy model decrease
35
          i.e. f(x_k) - m_k(s_k) \ge f(x_k) - m_k(s_{kc}) \ge 0.5 \|\nabla f_k\| \min\left\{\Delta_k, \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|\nabla^2 f_k\|}\right\}. First define \Psi(\alpha) := m_k(-\alpha \nabla f)
          s.t. \Psi := f_k - \alpha \|f_k\|^2 - 0.5\alpha^2 H_k, with H_k := \left[\nabla f_k\right]^T \left[\nabla^2 f_k\right] \left[\nabla f_k\right]. N.B. that \alpha_{min} := \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}{H_k} if H_k > 0
          from \Psi'(0) < 0. Now A: If H_k \le 0 then we have \Psi(\alpha) \le f_k - \alpha \|\nabla f_k\|^2 \to \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}. So, we have f_k - m_{s_k} \ge f_k - m_{s_{kc}} \ge \|\nabla f_k\| \Delta_k \ge 0.5 \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\Delta_k\}. Now B.i: If H_k > 0 \to \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{min}. Here f_k - m_{s_{kc}} = \alpha_{kc} \|\nabla f\|^2 - 0.5 \alpha_{kc}^2 H_k = \frac{\|\nabla f\|^4}{2H_k} \ge \frac{\|\nabla f\|}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla f\|}{\|\nabla^2 f\|} \right\} via C-S. Now B.ii: If H_k > 0 \to 0
          \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}. Here \Delta/\|\nabla f\| \le \|\nabla f\|^2/H_k \to \alpha_{kc}H_k \le \|\nabla f\|^2. So f_k - m_{kc} = -\alpha_{kc}\|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_{kc}^2}{2}H_k \ge 1
41
           \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{2}\alpha_{kc} \geq 0.5\|\nabla f\|\min\{\Delta_k\} TR-Global Convergence: If m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc}) then either \exists k \geq 0
42
          s.t. \nabla f_k = 0 or \lim \|\nabla f\| \to 0. Further, require f \in C^2, bounded below and also \nabla f L-cont. PROOF:
43
          Using def of \rho, f_k - f_{k+1} \ge \frac{0.1}{2} \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\ldots\} from above. Let \|\nabla^2 f\| := L, and assuming \|\nabla f\| \ge \epsilon we have f_k - f_{k+1} \ge 0.05 \frac{c}{L} \epsilon^2 assuming TR has a lower bound c\epsilon/L. Then sum over all successful jumps
          s.t. f_0 - f_{lower} \ge \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} f_i - f_{i+1} \ge |\mathbb{S}| \frac{0.05c\epsilon^2}{L} KKT Feasibility: Need s^T J \ge 0, J_E^T s = 0, and s^T \nabla f < 0. KKT Conditions: REMEMBER c \ge 0, \lambda \ge 0! First Order KKT (Equality): If we have x_* local
46
47
          min, then let x = x_* + \alpha s. Then we have c_i(x(\alpha)) \to 0 = c_i(x_*) + \alpha s^T J \to s^T J = 0. Further, we
          have f(x) = f(x_*) + \alpha s^T \nabla f \to \alpha s^T \nabla f \geq 0. Repeat for negative \alpha s.t. s^T \nabla f = 0. By Rank-Nullity
          (assuming J_E(x_*) full rank), we have \nabla f_* = J_*^T y + s_* for some y_*, which then implies (after s^T from
50
          LHS) that ||s_*|| = 0, so \nabla f_* = J_*^T y_*. KKT 2nd Order If we have min f with c(x) \ge 0, 2^{nd} order con-
          ditions are that s^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{L} s \geq 0 for all s \in \mathcal{A}, with \mathcal{A} defined s.t. EITHER s^T J_i = 0 \ \forall i \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i > 0, c_i = 0,
```

```
OR s^T J_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \text{ s.t.} \lambda_i = 0, c_i = 0, for J, c, \lambda evaluated at x_* For EQUALITY constraints instead need positive definite \forall s \text{ s.t. } J^T s = 0 Convex Problems \hat{x} = KKT \Rightarrow \hat{x} = \arg \min f(x). Proof via
f \ge f(\hat{x}) + \nabla f^T(x - \hat{x}) so f \ge f(\hat{x}) + \hat{y}^T A(x - \hat{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i J_i^T(x - \hat{x}). Choose Ax = b, and note that
c<sub>i</sub> concave s.t. \lambda_i J_i^T(\hat{x})(x-\hat{x}) \geq \lambda_i (c_i(x)-c_i(\hat{x})) = \lambda_i c_i(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow f(x) \geq f(\hat{x}). Log-Barrier Global Convergence: With f \in C^1, \lambda_{ik} = \frac{\mu_k}{c_{ik}}, \|\nabla f_u(x_k)\| \leq \epsilon_k, \mu_k \rightarrow 0, x_k \rightarrow x_*. Also, \nabla c(x_*)LI \ \forall \ i \in \mathcal{A}
(active constraints). Then x_* KKT and \lambda \to \lambda_*. PROOF: Have J_A^{\dagger}(x_*) = (J_A(x_*)J_A(x_*)^T)^{-1}J_A(x_*). Also, c_A = 0, c_I > 0. So \lambda = \mu/c \to 0 so \lambda_I = 0 as c_I > 0. Next \|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}\lambda_{Ak}\| \le \|\nabla f_k - J_k^T\lambda_k\| + 1
\|\lambda_I\|\|...\| = \|\nabla f_{\mu k}\| \to 0. Now \|J_A^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - \lambda_{kA}\| \le \|J_A^{\dagger}\| \|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{Ak}\| \to 0. So with triangle ineq
\|\lambda_{kA} - J_{Ak}^{\dagger} \nabla f_k + J_{Ak}^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - \lambda_{A*}\| \to 0, via cont. of \nabla f and J^{\dagger}. Thus \lambda_{Ak} \to \lambda_{A*} \ge 0. Combine s.t.
\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{AK} with k \to * so get KKT. Primal-Dual Newton: Have \nabla f = J^T \lambda, C(x) \lambda = \mu e so [\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}, -J^T; \Lambda J, C][dx, d\lambda]^T = -[\nabla f - J^T \lambda, C\lambda - \mu e]^T
```