```
APDE: Charpit: F(p,q,u,x,y) = 0 with u_x = p, u_y = q, \dot{x} = F_p, \dot{y} = F_q. Then via F_x, F_y, \& p_y = q_x \rightarrow p_\tau = -F_x - pF_u, q_\tau = -F_y - qF_u, u_\tau = pF_p + qF_q. Also, u0_s = p_0x0_s + q_0y0_s; F_0 = 0 - last 2 needed to show u defined on \Gamma. Riemann: \int_D RLu - uL^*R = \int_D \partial_x (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_x + buR) = \int_D dx (Ru_y + auR) + \partial_y (-uR_
                 \int_{\partial D} dy (Ru_y + Rau) + dx (uR_x - buR). Expand over triangle going B-P-A (B at bottom right) \rightarrow need
               R_x = bR@y = \eta, R_y = aR@x = \xi, R(P) = 1, L^*R = 0. Also ensure IVP to get R_y, R_x! Canonical:
               For au_{xx} + 2bu_{xy} + cu_{yy} = f, we need Cauchy-Kowalevski s.t. first derive defined: x' := \frac{dx}{ds} s.t. on \Gamma p'_0 = x'_0 u_{xx} + y'_0 u_{xy}, q'_0 = x'_0 u_{xy} + y'_0 u_{yy}. Use these 3, solve det A! = 0 s.t. ay'_0^2 - 2bx'_0 y'_0 + cx'_0^2 \neq 0. Solve quadratic s.t. b^2 > ac \rightarrow h, b^2 < ac \rightarrow e, b^2 = ac \rightarrow p. H: \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rightarrow \xi, \eta. E: \lambda = \lambda_R \pm i\lambda_I; \lambda_R \rightarrow \xi, \lambda_I \rightarrow \eta.
               P: \lambda_1 \to \xi, choose \eta independent e.g. xy, x^2. Green's Fn: For u_{xx} + u_{yy} + au_x + bu_y + cu = f we have \int_D GLu - uL^*G = \int_D (u_xG)_x + (u_yG)_y - (uG_x)_x - (uG_y)_y + (auG)_x + (buG)_y = \int_D \nabla \cdot (u_nG - uG_n) + (uG_yG)_x + (uG_yG)_y + (uG_
                \nabla \cdot ((a\ b)^T \hat{n} G u) = \int_{\partial D} u_n G - u G_n + (a\ b)^T \hat{n} G. NB \hat{n} = (d\ y, -dx). Also note for quarter plane if we have
               G_x(0,y) = 0, G(x,0) = 0 then we have same sign at \xi_1 = (-x,y), opposite sign at \xi_2 = (x,-y), and for
                the third we reflect \xi_2 across y axis so we have an opposite sign to \xi at \xi_3 = (-x, -y). Types: Quasi-
13
                 Coeffs don't depend on highest order derives Semi: Coeffs depend on x, y. Causality: For a n-dim prob,
14
                we have n characteristics. Shock intersects 2n. \exists k outgoing, 2n-k ingoing. Also have n R-H relations,
15
                so 3n-k pieces of info. Unknowns are n components of \vec{u} on both sides of shock & slope \Rightarrow 2n+1
                unknowns. We demand 3n - k = 2n + 1 so k = n - 1 outgoing characterisites.
17
                SAM: Dists: Need linearity and continuity: \exists N, C \text{ s.t. } |(u,\phi)| \leq C \sum_{m \leq N} \max_{\in [-X,X]} |\phi^{(m)}|. OR
18
               \lim_{n\to\infty}(u,\phi_n)=(u,\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi_n) for a sequence \phi_n\to 0 as n\to\infty. Orthog: \int_0^\pi\sin(kx)\sin(jx)=\frac{\pi}{2}\delta_{kj}
19
                same for cos.
```

```
NLA: Cholesky For matrix [a_{11}, w^*; w, K] = R_1^T \left| I, 0; 0, K - \frac{ww^*}{a_{11}} \right| [\alpha, w^*/\alpha; 0, I] we have a decomp: for
             k = [1, m-1]: for j = [k+1, m] R_{j,j:m} = R_{j,j:m} - \frac{R_{k,j}}{R_{k,k}} R_{k,j:m} endfor R_{k,k:m} = \frac{R_{k,k:m}}{\sqrt{R_{k,k}}} endfor. \frac{m^3}{3}. Householder for k = [1, n]: x = A_{k:m,k}; v_k = sgn(x) ||x|| e_k + x; v_k = \frac{v_k}{||v_k||} for j = [k, n] A_{k:m,j} = \frac{R_{k,k:m}}{||v_k||}
              A_{k:m,j} - 2v_k [v_k^* A_{k:m,j}] endfor endfor. \frac{2mn^2}{3}. LU U = A, L = I for k = [1, m-1]: for j = [k+1, m]
              U_{j,k:m} = U_{j,k:m} - \frac{U_{jk}}{U_{kk}} U_{k,k:m} endfor endfor. \frac{2m^3}{3}. MG-S V = A; for i = [1, n] : r_{ii} = ||v_i||; q_i = \frac{v_i}{r_{ii}}; for j = 1
              [i+1,n] v_j = v_j - (q_i^T v_j)q_i; r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j endfor endfor. 2mn^2. Givens 3mn^2 SVD: =\sum_i^{r:=\min m,n} u_i \sigma_i v_i^T
              Bounds: ||ABB^{-1}|| \ge ||AB|| ||B^{-1}|| \to ||A|| / ||B^{-1}|| \ge ||AB||. Norms: ||A||_F = \sqrt{\sum_i (\sigma_i)^2}, ||A||_\infty = \sqrt{\sum_i (\sigma_i)^2}
              max row. Low-Rank: For A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \min \|A - B\| = \|A - A_r\|. Proof via B := B_1 B_2^T with B_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r};
              \exists W s.t. B_2^T W = 0 \text{ with null}(W) \ge n - r. \text{ Then } \exists x_V, x_W s.t. V_{r+1} x_V = -W x_W. \text{ So } ||A - B|| = ||AW|| \ge r
              ||AV_{r+1}x_V|| \ge \sigma_{r+1} For reverse B := A_r Courant: \sigma_i = \max_{\dim(S)=i} \{\min_x ||Ax||/||x||\}. Proof via V_i = [v_i \dots v_n], so \dim(S) + \dim(V_i) = n+1 so \exists w \in S \cap V_i. Then ||Aw|| \le \sigma_i. For reverse take w = v_i
10
11
              when S = [v_1 \dots v_i]
12
               NPDE: Hyperbolic: Implicit: (A - B, A) = \frac{1}{2}(\|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\|A - B\|^2 (time), (-D_x^+ D_x^- U^{m+1}, -D_x^- U^{m+1})
13
              U^{m+1}-U^m = (D_x^-U^{m+1}-D_x^-U^m, D_x^-U^{m+1}) (space). Explicit: 1st rewrite in terms of D_t^{+-}(\Delta t)^{-2}U_i^m + D_x^{-1}U_i^m + D
              \frac{c^2(\Delta t)^2}{4}D_x^{+-}((\Delta t)^{-2}U_j^m) - c^2D_x^{+-}\left(U_j^{m+1} + 2U_j^m + U_j^{m-1}\right). \text{ Then use } (D(A-B), A+B) = (DA, A) - (DB, B); (D(A+B), A-B) = (DA, A) - (DB, B) \text{ by multiplying by } U^{m+1} - U^{m-1}. \text{ Finally WTS}
15
16
              \|V_m\|^2 - \frac{c^2(\Delta t)^2}{4} \|D_x^- V^m\|^2 \ge 0. Done by noticing: \|D_x^- V^m\|^2 = \sum_i^J \Delta x |D_x^- V_j^m|^2 = 1/\Delta x \sum_i^J \Delta x |D_x^- V_j^m|^2
17
              \left(V_{j}^{m}-V_{j-1}^{m}\right)^{2} \leq 2/\Delta x \sum_{i}^{J} (V_{j}^{m})^{2} + (V_{j-1}^{m})^{2} = 4/\Delta x^{2} \sum_{i}^{J-1} \Delta x \left(V_{j}^{m}\right)^{2} Max Principle: For -\Delta u = f \leq 1
              0 \to \max u \in \partial D. P-F Ineq: ||V||_h^2 \le c_\star ||D_x^- V||^2 Weak Deriv: w is a weak derivative of u if \int dx \, wv =
19
              \left|(-1)^{|\alpha|}\int dx u(D^{\alpha}v) \text{ Parseval: } \int dk \ \hat{u}(k)v(k) = \int dk v(k) \left(\int dx \ u(x)e^{-ixk}\right) = \int dx u(x) \left(\int dk \ v(k)e^{-ixk}\right) = \int
20
               \int dx \, u(x)\hat{v}(x). \quad \text{Now } v(k) := \overline{\hat{u}(k)} = \overline{F[u(k)]} = \overline{\int dk \, u(k)e^{-ixk}} = \int dk \, \overline{u(k)}e^{ixk} = 2\pi F^{-1} \left[\overline{u(k)}\right] \Rightarrow
              \hat{v}(x) = 2\pi \overline{u(x)} Iterative: If U^{j+1} = U^j - \tau \left(AU^j - F\right) \to U - U^j = \left(I - \tau A\right)^j \left(U - U^0\right) so \left\|U - U^j\right\| \le 1
22
              \|I - \tau A\|^j \|U - U^0\|. \|I - \tau A\| = \sigma_1 = |\lambda_1| as symmetric. If \lambda \in [\alpha, \beta] then \lambda_1 \le \max\{|1 - \tau \alpha|, |1 - \tau \beta|\}
23
              Attained when \tau = 2/(\alpha + \beta) \to \lambda_1 = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta + \alpha}. For -u'' + cu = f we have \lambda_k = c + \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\pi h}{2}\right). Lower
24
              bound via noting \sin(y) \ge \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}y at y = \frac{\pi}{4} \to \lambda_k \ge c + 8 Errors: (AV, V)_h \ge ||D_x^-V||_h^2 & PF Ineq (AV, V)_h \ge ||V||_h^2/c_\star. Then (AV, V)_h (1 + c_\star) \ge ||V||_{1,h}^2 \to (AV, V)_h \ge c_0||V||_{1,h}^2. Now c_0||V||_{1,h}^2 \le (AV, V)_h \le ||f||_h ||V||_h \le ||f||_h ||V||_{1,h} \to ||V||_{1,h} \le ||f||_h/c_0. (Use f := AV \to ||e||_{1,h} \le ||T||_h/c_0)
25
26
```