```
NLA: Golub for k = 1 : m, n: u_k = (sgn(b_{k,k}) || b_{k:m,k} || e_1 + b_{k:m,k}); u_k := \hat{u}_k; U_k := I - 2u_k u_k^T; B_{k:m,k:n} := I - 2u_k u_k^T;
         U_k B_{k:m,\underline{k}:n}; U = [I_{k-1,k-1}, 0; 0, U_k]; \text{for } j = 1:m, n-1: \ v_k^T := sgn(b_{k,k+1}) \|b_{k,k+1:n}\|e_1 + b_{k:m,k}; V_k := sgn(b_k) \|b_{k,k+1:n}\|e_1 + b_{k,k+1:n}\|e_1 + b_{k,
        I - 2v_k v_k^T; B_{1:m,k+1:n} = B_{1:m,k+1:n} V_k; V = [I_{k,k}, 0; 0, V_k] endfor endfor; 2 \cdot (2mn^2 - 2n^3/3) Householder for k = [1, n]: x = A_{k:m,k}; v_k = sgn(x) ||x|| e_k + x; v_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|} for j = [k, n] A_{k:m,j} = A_{k:m,j} - 2v_k [v_k^* A_{k:m,j}]
         endfor endfor. 2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3}. MG-S V = A; for i = [1, n] : r_{ii} = ||v_i||; q_i = \frac{v_i}{r_{ii}}; for j = [i+1, n] v_j = [i+1, n]
        v_j - (q_i^T v_j)q_i; r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j endfor endfor. 2mn^2. Arnoldi: q_1 := \hat{b}; q_{k+1}h_{k+1,k} = Aq_k - \sum_{i=1}^k q_i h_{ik}; h_{ik} = q_i^T (Aq_k); h_{k+1,k} := ||v|| \rightarrow AQ_k := Q_k H_k + q_{k+1}[0 \dots h_{k+1,k}]. Givens 3mn^2 SVD: = \sum_i^{r:=\min m,n} u_i \sigma_i v_i^T.
         QR Algo: A_{k+1} = Q_k^T A_k Q_k \to A_{k+1} = (Q^{(k)})^T A Q^{(k)} \& A^k = (Q_1 \dots Q_k) (R_k \dots R_1) := Q^{(k)} R^{(k)}, via in-
         duction Krylov: Usually want x_k - x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k GMRES: min ||AQ_k y - b|| \to \min ||H_k y - ||b||e_1||. Bound
         ||r_k|| = ||Mp(\Lambda)M^{-1}r_0|| CG Bound: With c = x - x_0, c_k = x_k - x_0 s.t. r_k = A(c - c_k) we have
         r_k^T v = 0 \ \forall \ v \in \mathcal{K}_k \text{ so } v^t A(c - c_k) = 0, \text{ s.t. } y = c_k = \arg\min \|c - y\|_A. \text{ WTS } e_k = e_0 p_k(A) \text{ with } v = 0 \ \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_k \text{ so } v^t A(c - c_k) = 0, \text{ s.t. } y = c_k = \arg\min \|c - y\|_A.
        p(0) = 1, and write e_0 := \sum \gamma_i v_i with Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \to ||e_k||_A = \min_{p_k, p(0)=1} \max |p(\lambda_i)||e_0||_A CG Con-
12
        vergence: ||e_k|| = \min_{p(0)=1} ||p_k(A)e_0|| = \min_{p_k(A)} \max |p_k(\lambda)|||e_0|| \to \le 2 \left( (\sqrt{k_2} - 1)/(\sqrt{k_2} + 1) \right)^k; need \alpha := 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) Cheb: T_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}(z^k + z^{-k}); 2xT_k = T_{k+1} + T_{k-1} Cheb Shift: Choose p(x) = T_k([2x - b - a]/[b - a])/T_k([-b - a]/[b - a]) s.t. p(0) = 1. Then p \le 1/|T_k([-b - a]/[b - a])| \le 1/|T_k([-b - a]/[b - a])|
        2([\sqrt{\kappa}-1]/[\sqrt{\kappa}+1])^k CG Conditions: To show r_{k+1}^T r_k = 0 first show p_k^T A p_k = p_k^T A r_k via \beta then
         show p_k^T r_k = r_k^T r_k via p_{k-1}^T r_k = 0. MP: \sigma(G) \in [\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}, \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}] \rightarrow k_2 = O(1) Sketch:
17
        with GA\hat{x} = Gb, and via C - F \|G[A, b][v, -1]^T\| \le (s + \sqrt{n+1}) \|R[v, -1]^T\|, similar for lower bound
18
        via MP \to ||A\hat{x} - b|| \le (\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{n+1})/(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{n+1})||Ax - b|| Blend: solve ||(A\hat{R}^{-1})y - b|| = 0 via
19
         CG;k_2(A\hat{R}^{-1})=O(1) with GA=\hat{Q}\hat{R} PROOF: A=QR;GA=GQR=\hat{G}R. Let \hat{G}=\hat{Q}\hat{R} so
20
        GA = \hat{Q}\hat{R}R \to \tilde{R}^{-1} = R^{-1}\hat{R}^{-1} \to k_2(A\tilde{R}^{-1}) = k_2(\hat{R}^{-1}) = O(1) by MP. O(mn) to solve via normal
21
        Bounds: ||ABB^{-1}|| \ge ||AB|| ||B^{-1}|| \to ||A|| / ||B^{-1}|| \ge ||AB||. Weyls: \sigma_i(A+B) = \sigma_i(A) + [-||B||, ||B||]
22
         \textbf{Rev} \ \Delta \ \textbf{Ineq:} \ \|A - B\| \geq |\|A\| - \|B\|| \ \textbf{Courant} \ \textbf{Application:} \ \ \sigma_i\left([A_1;A_2]\right) \geq \max\left(\sigma_i(A_1),\sigma_i(A_2)\right)
23
         Schur: Take Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1; construct U_1 = [v_1, V_{\perp}] \rightarrow AU_1 = U_1[e_1, X]. Repeat. Conditioning
         \kappa_2(A) = \sigma_1/\sigma_n = ||A|| ||A^{-1}|| Similarity: A \to P^{-1}AP, same \lambda.
25
         CO: G-N: \vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k - \frac{\nabla f_k}{J^T J}, with J := \text{Jacobian of } r(x) \text{ SD: } ||x_{k+1} - x_*|| \le (k_2(H) - 1)/(k_2(H) + 1)
26
         1)||x_k - x_*|| with H hessian bArm: w/\phi(\alpha) = f(x_k + \alpha_k s_k), \psi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) - \phi(0) - \beta \alpha \phi'(0) \le 0, show
27
         \psi'(0) = (1 - \beta)\phi'(0) \le 0 \to \psi(\alpha) \downarrow \text{ with } \alpha. \text{ BFGS: To show } H_{k+1} \ge 0 \text{ nec. } \gamma^T \delta > 0. \text{ Suff via } \gamma, \delta \text{ LI} \to 0
28
         use \|\cdot\|_H \to \gamma^T \delta > 0. Quad Penalty Meth With y = -c/\sigma, \|\nabla_\sigma \Phi\| \le \epsilon^k, \sigma^k \to 0, x \to x_*, \nabla c(x_*) LI,
        then y \to y_*, \ x \to KKT, if f, c \in C^1. PROOF: If y_* := J_*^{\dagger} \nabla f_* \to ||y_k - y_*|| = ||J_k^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - Iy_*|| \le
30
          \left|J_{k}^{\dagger}\right| \|\nabla_{\sigma}\Phi\| \to 0. Also, \nabla f_{*} - J_{*}^{T}y_{*} = 0, and c_{k \to *} = -\sigma^{k \to *}y_{k \to *} = 0 so x_{*} \to KKT Quad
31
        Pen. Meth Newt Have w = (J\Delta x + c)/\sigma so [\nabla^2 f, J^T; J, -\sigma I][\Delta x, w]^T = -[\nabla f, c] Trust Region
        Radius: \rho_k := (f(x_k) - f(x_k + s_k))/(f(x_k) - m_k(s_k)) TR-Method: If \rho \approx 1 then double radius,
33
         update step x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k. If \rho \geq 0.1 then same radius, update step. If \rho small shrink radius,
         don't update step. Cauchy: Is the point on gradient which minimises the quadratic model within
         TR. Want m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc}), where s_{kc} := -\alpha_{kc} \nabla f(x_k), and \alpha_{kc} := \arg \min m_k (\alpha \nabla f(x_k)) subject to
36
         \|\alpha \nabla f\| \leq \Delta, i.e. \alpha_{max} := \Delta/\|\nabla f\|. Calculation of Cauchy: We want to prove cauchy model decrease
37
        i.e. f(x_k) - m_k(s_k) \ge f(x_k) - m_k(s_{kc}) \ge 0.5 \|\nabla f_k\| \min\left\{\Delta_k, \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|\nabla^2 f_k\|}\right\}. First define \Psi(\alpha) := m_k(-\alpha \nabla f)
        s.t. \Psi := f_k - \alpha \|f_k\|^2 - 0.5\alpha^2 H_k, with H_k := \left[\nabla f_k\right]^T \left[\nabla^2 f_k\right] \left[\nabla f_k\right]. N.B. that \alpha_{min} := \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}{H_k} if H_k > 0
39
        from \Psi'(0) < 0. Now A: If H_k \le 0 then we have \Psi(\alpha) \le f_k - \alpha \|\nabla f_k\|^2 \to \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}. So, we have f_k - m_{s_k} \ge f_k - m_{s_{kc}} \ge \|\nabla f_k\| \Delta_k \ge 0.5 \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\Delta_k\}. Now B.i: If H_k > 0 \to \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{min}. Here f_k - m_{s_{kc}} = \alpha_{kc} \|\nabla f\|^2 - 0.5\alpha_{kc}^2 H_k = \frac{\|\nabla f\|^4}{2H_k} \ge \frac{\|\nabla f\|}{2} \min \left\{\frac{\|\nabla f\|}{\|\nabla^2 f\|}\right\} via C-S. Now B.ii: If H_k > 0 \to 0
40
41
         \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}. Here \Delta/\|\nabla f\| \le \|\nabla f\|^2/H_k \to \alpha_{kc}H_k \le \|\nabla f\|^2. So f_k - m_{kc} = -\alpha_{kc}\|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_{kc}^2}{2}H_k \ge 1
         \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{2}\alpha_{kc} \geq 0.5\|\nabla f\|\min\{\Delta_k\} TR-Global Convergence: If m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc}) then either \exists k \geq 0
44
         s.t. \nabla f_k = 0 or \lim \|\nabla f\| \to 0. Further, require f \in C^2, bounded below and also \nabla f L-cont. PROOF:
45
        Using def of \rho, f_k - f_{k+1} \ge \frac{0.1}{2} \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\ldots\} from above. Let \|\nabla^2 f\| := L, and assuming \|\nabla f\| \ge \epsilon we have f_k - f_{k+1} \ge 0.05 \frac{c}{L} \epsilon^2 assuming TR has a lower bound c\epsilon/L. Then sum over all successful jumps
47
        s.t. f_0 - f_{lower} \ge \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} f_i - f_{i+1} \ge |\mathbb{S}| \frac{0.05c\epsilon^2}{L} KKT Feasibility: Need s^T J \ge 0, J_E^T s = 0, and s^T \nabla f < 0.
        KKT Conditions: REMEMBER c \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0! First Order KKT (Equality): If we have x_* local
49
        min, then let x = x_* + \alpha s. Then we have c_i(x(\alpha)) \to 0 = c_i(x_*) + \alpha s^T J \to s^T J = 0. Further, we
        have f(x) = f(x_*) + \alpha s^T \nabla f \to \alpha s^T \nabla f \geq 0. Repeat for negative \alpha s.t. s^T \nabla f = 0. By Rank-Nullity
51
         (assuming J_E(x_*) full rank), we have \nabla f_* = J_*^T y + s_* for some y_*, which then implies (after s^T from
```

LHS) that $||s_*|| = 0$, so $\nabla f_* = J_*^T y_*$. KKT 2nd Order If we have min f with $c(x) \ge 0$, 2^{nd} order conditions are that $s^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{L} s \geq 0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{A}$, with \mathcal{A} defined s.t: EITHER $s^T J_i = 0 \ \forall i \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i > 0, c_i = 0$, OR $s^T J_i \geq 0 \ \forall i \text{ s.t.}$ $\lambda_i = 0, c_i = 0, \text{ for } J, c, \lambda \text{ evaluated at } x_* \text{ For EQUALITY constraints instead}$ need positive definite $\forall s$ s.t. $J^Ts = 0$ Convex Problems $\hat{x} = KKT \Rightarrow \hat{x} = \arg\min f(x)$. Proof via $f \geq f(\hat{x}) + \nabla f^T(x - \hat{x})$ so $f \geq f(\hat{x}) + \hat{y}^T A(x - \hat{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i J_i^T(x - \hat{x})$. Choose Ax = b, and note that c_i concave s.t. $\lambda_i J_i^T(\hat{x})(x - \hat{x}) \geq \lambda_i (c_i(x) - c_i(\hat{x})) = \lambda_i c_i(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow f(x) \geq f(\hat{x})$. Log-Barrier Global Convergence: With $f \in C^1, \lambda_{ik} = \frac{\mu_k}{c_{ik}}, \|\nabla f_u(x_k)\| \leq \epsilon_k, \mu_k \rightarrow 0, x_k \rightarrow x_*$. Also, $\nabla c(x_*)LI \ \forall \ i \in \mathcal{A}$ (active constraints). Then x_* KKT and $\lambda \to \lambda_*$. PROOF: Have $J_A^{\dagger}(x_*) = (J_A(x_*)J_A(x_*)^T)^{-1}J_A(x_*)$. Also, $c_A = 0, c_I > 0$. So $\lambda = \mu/c \to 0$ so $\lambda_I = 0$ as $c_I > 0$. Next $\|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}\lambda_{Ak}\| \le \|\nabla f_k - J_k^T\lambda_k\| + 1$ $\|\lambda_I\|\|...\| = \|\nabla f_{\mu k}\| \to 0$. Now $\|J_A^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - \lambda_{kA}\| \le \|J_A^{\dagger}\| \|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{Ak}\| \to 0$. So with triangle inequality $\|\lambda_{kA} - J_{Ak}^{\dagger} \nabla f_k + J_{Ak}^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - \lambda_{A*}\| \to 0$, via cont. of ∇f and J^{\dagger} . Thus $\lambda_{Ak} \to \lambda_{A*} \ge 0$. Combine 11 s.t. $\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{AK}$ with $k \to *$ so get KKT. **Primal-Dual Newton:** Have $\nabla f = J^T \lambda, C(x) \lambda = \mu e$ so $[\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}, -J^T; \Lambda J, C][dx, d\lambda]^T = -[\nabla f - J^T \lambda, C\lambda - \mu e]^T$ **Augmented Lagrangian:** Same result as 12 13 QUAD PEN METH but $x \to x_*$ if $\sigma \to 0$ for bounded u_k or u_k to y_* for bounded σ_k . Proof via $||c_k|| = \le \sigma_k ||y_k - y_*|| + \sigma_k ||u_k - y_*||$. If u_k bounded then $\to 0$ as $y_k \to y_*$, else trivially if $u_k \to y_*$ then to 0. **GLM Global Convergence:** With $f \in C^1, \nabla f$ L-Cont, and f bdd below, then $\nabla f_l = 0$ 15 16 or $\liminf \left\{ \frac{|\nabla f^T s|}{\|s\|}, |s^T \nabla f| \right\}$ to 0. In non-trivial case, via bArmijo, $f_k - f_{k+1} \ge -\beta \alpha_k s_k^T \nabla f_k = 0$ 17 $\beta \alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k|$. Sum s.t. $f_0 - f_{k+1} \ge \beta \sum_{j} \alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k|$, so term in sum to 0. For all k successful we 18 then have $\alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k| \ge \frac{(1-\beta)\tau}{L} \left(\frac{|s_k^T \nabla f_k|}{\|s_k\|} \right)^2 \ge 0$ so squared term to 0. For unsuccessful steps $\alpha_k \ge \alpha_0$ so no norm term.