

**NLA: Golub** for  $k = 1 : m, n$ :  $u_k = (\text{sgn}(b_{k,k}) \|b_{k:m,k}\| e_1 + b_{k:m,k})$ ;  $u_k := \hat{u}_k$ ;  $U_k := I - 2u_k u_k^T$ ;  $B_{k:m,k:n} := U_k B_{k:m,k:n}$ ;  $U = [I_{k-1,k-1}, 0; 0, U_k]$ ; for  $j = 1 : m, n - 1$ :  $v_k^T := \text{sgn}(b_{k,k+1}) \|b_{k,k+1:n}\| e_1 + b_{k:m,k}$ ;  $V_k := I - 2v_k v_k^T$ ;  $B_{1:m,k+1:n} = B_{1:m,k+1:n} V_k$ ;  $V = [I_{k,k}, 0; 0, V_k]$  endfor endfor;  $2 \cdot (2mn^2 - 2n^3/3)$  **Householder**  
 for  $k = [1, n]$ :  $x = A_{k:m,k}$ ;  $v_k = \text{sgn}(x) \|x\| e_k + x$ ;  $v_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|}$  for  $j = [k, n]$   $A_{k:m,j} = A_{k:m,j} - 2v_k [v_k^* A_{k:m,j}]$   
 endfor endfor.  $2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3}$ . **MG-S**  $V = A$ ; for  $i = [1, n]$ :  $r_{ii} = \|v_i\|$ ;  $q_i = \frac{v_i}{r_{ii}}$ ; for  $j = [i + 1, n]$   
 $v_j = v_j - (q_i^T v_j) q_i$ ;  $r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j$  endfor endfor.  $2mn^2$ . **Arnoldi**:  $q_1 := \hat{b}$ ;  $q_{k+1} h_{k+1,k} = A q_k - \sum_{i=1}^k q_i h_{ik}$ ;  
 $h_{ik} = q_i^T (A q_k)$ ;  $h_{k+1,k} := \|v\| \rightarrow A Q_k := Q_k H_k + q_{k+1} [0 \dots h_{k+1,k}]$ . **Lanczos**: If  $A = A^T$  for arnoldi,  
 $A Q_k = Q_k T_k + q_{k+1} [0 \dots t_{k+1,k}]$ .  $T$  tridiag via  $H_k = Q_k^T A Q_k$ . Also have  $t_{k+1} q_{k+1} = (A - t_{k,k}) q_k -$   
 $t_{k-1,k} q_{k-1}$ . **Givens**  $3mn^2$  **SVD**:  $\sum_{i=\min m,n}^r u_i \sigma_i v_i^T$ . **C-F**:  $\sigma_i(A) \sigma_n(B) \leq \sigma_i(AB) \leq \sigma_i(A) \sigma_1(B)$   
**QR Algo**:  $A_{k+1} = Q_k^T A_k Q_k \rightarrow A_{k+1} = (Q^{(k)})^T A Q^{(k)}$ . Next  $A^{k-1} = (Q_1 \dots Q_{k-1})(R_{k-1} \dots R_1)$ , so  
 $A_k = Q_k R_k = (Q^{(k-1)})^T A Q^{(k-1)}$  so  $Q^{(k-1)} A_k = A Q^{(k-1)}$ . So  $A^k = (A Q^{(k-1)}) R^{(k-1)} = Q^{(k)} R^{(k)}$  as  
 $A_k = Q_k R_k$ . **Krylov**: Usually want  $x_k - x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k$ . Also note to show **CG span properties** first show  
 $\{r_k\} \subset \{p_k\}$  etc, then show  $\{p_k\}$  LI. **GMRES**:  $\min \|A Q_k y - b\|_2 \rightarrow \min \|H_k y - \|b\| e_1\|$ . Bound  $\|r_k\| =$   
 $\|M p(\Lambda) M^{-1} r_0\|$  **GMRES Conv**: If  $x_k = p_{k-1}(A) b$  have  $\min \|A x_k - b\| = \min_{p(0)=1} \|A p_{k-1}(A) b - b\| \leq$   
 $k_2(A) \|p(\Lambda) b\|$  with  $p(0) = 1$  **CG Bound**: With  $c = x - x_0, c_k = x_k - x_0$  s.t.  $r_k = A(c - c_k)$  we have  
 $r_k^T v = 0 \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_k$  so  $v^T A(c - c_k) = 0$ , s.t.  $y = c_k = \arg \min \|c - y\|_A$ . WTS  $e_k = e_0 p_k(A)$  with  
 $p(0) = 1$ , and write  $e_0 := \sum \gamma_i v_i$  with  $A v_i = \lambda_i v_i \rightarrow \|e_k\|_A = \min_{p_k, p(0)=1} \max |p(\lambda_i)| \|e_0\|_A$  **CG Conver-**  
**gence**:  $\|e_k\|_A = \min_{p(0)=1} \|p_k(A) e_0\| = \min_{p_k(A)} \max |p_k(\lambda)| \|e_0\| \rightarrow \leq 2((\sqrt{k_2} - 1)/(\sqrt{k_2} + 1))^k$ ; need  
 $\alpha := 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$  **Cheb**:  $T_k(x) = \frac{1}{2}(z^k + z^{-k})$ ;  $2x T_k = T_{k+1} + T_{k-1}$  **Cheb Shift**: Choose  $p(x) =$   
 $T_k([2x - b - a]/[b - a])/T_k([-b - a]/[b - a])$  s.t.  $p(0) = 1$ . Then  $p \leq 1/|T_k([-b - a]/[b - a])| \leq$   
 $2([\sqrt{\kappa} - 1]/[\sqrt{\kappa} + 1])^k$  **CG Conditions**: To show  $r_{k+1}^T r_k = 0$  first show  $p_k^T A p_k = p_k^T A r_k$  via  $\beta$  then show  
 $p_k^T r_k = r_k^T r_k$  via  $p_{k-1}^T r_k = 0$ . **Preconditioning**: For GMRES solve  $MAx = Mb$  with  $MA$  eigvals clus-  
**tered** far from 0, well conditioned. E.g. if  $A = LU$  do  $(LU)^{-1}$ . For CG,  $A = A^T$  difficult so want  $M^T M \approx$   
 $A^{-1}$ . So want  $M^T A M y = M^T b$ , same properties. **MP**:  $\sigma(G) \in [\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}, \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}] \rightarrow k_2 = O(1)$   
**Sketch**: First  $G = \mathbb{R}^{s \times m}$  so with  $GA\hat{x} = Gb$ , and via  $C - F \|G[A, b][v, -1]^T\| \leq (s + \sqrt{n+1}) \|R[v, -1]^T\|$ ,  
 similar for lower bound via MP  $\rightarrow \|A\hat{x} - b\| \leq (\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{n+1})/(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{n+1}) \|Ax - b\|$ . **Blend**: solve  
 $\|(A\tilde{R}^{-1})y - b\| = 0$  via CG;  $k_2(A\tilde{R}^{-1}) = O(1)$  with  $GA = \tilde{Q}\tilde{R}$  **PROOF**:  $A = QR$ ;  $GA = GQR = \hat{G}R$ . Let  
 $\hat{G} = \hat{Q}\hat{R}$  so  $GA = \hat{Q}\hat{R}R = \hat{Q}(\hat{R}R) \rightarrow \tilde{R}^{-1} = R^{-1}\hat{R}^{-1} \rightarrow k_2(A\tilde{R}^{-1}) = k_2(\hat{R}^{-1}) = O(1)$  by MP.  $O(mn)$  to  
 solve via normal **HMT**: For  $X = n \times r$  let  $AX = QR$ , then if  $A = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^T$ ,  $\text{span}(Q) = \text{span}(U_r)$  so  $\hat{A} =$   
 $Q Q^T A$  is a rank  $r$  approximant. **HMT Proof**: Goal  $\|A - \hat{A}\| = O(1) \|A - A_r\|$ . Have  $(I - Q Q^T)AX = 0$   
 so  $A - \hat{A} = (I_m - Q Q^T)A(I_n - X M^T) = 0 \forall M^T$ . Choose  $M^T = (V^T X)^\dagger V^T$ ,  $V \in n \times \hat{r} \leq r$ . Let  $X M^T =$   
 $P$  s.t.  $A(I - P) = A(I - V V^T)(I - P)$ . So  $\|A - \hat{A}\| = \|(I_m - Q Q^T)U_A \Sigma_A [\tilde{V}_r^T, \tilde{V}_{r+1}^T]^T (I - V V^T)(I - P)\|$ .  
 If  $V = \tilde{V}_r$  then  $\|(I_m - Q Q^T)U_A \Sigma_A [0, \tilde{V}_{r+1}^T]^T (I - P)\| \leq \|\Sigma_{\hat{r}+1}\| \|I_n - X M^T\|$ . Now note  $\|I_n - X M^T\| =$   
 $\|I_n - X(\tilde{V}_r^T X)^\dagger \tilde{V}_r^T\| \leq 1 + \|X\| \|(\tilde{V}_r^T X)^\dagger\|$ . Now  $\|X\| \leq \sqrt{n} + \sqrt{r}$  by MP, and  $\|(\tilde{V}_r^T X)^\dagger\| = \sigma_n(\tilde{V}_r^T X)^{-1} \leq$   
 $(\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{\hat{r}})^{-1}$  by MP. So  $\|X M^T\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{\hat{r}}}$ . **Bounds**:  $\|A B B^{-1}\| \geq \|A B\| \|B^{-1}\| \rightarrow \|A\|/\|B^{-1}\| \geq$   
 $\|A B\|$ . **Weyls**:  $\sigma_i(A + B) = \sigma_i(A) + [-\|B\|, \|B\|]$  **Rev  $\Delta$  Ineq**:  $\|A - B\| \geq \|A\| - \|B\|$  **Courant**  
**Application**:  $\sigma_i([A_1; A_2]) \geq \max(\sigma_i(A_1), \sigma_i(A_2))$  **Schur**: Take  $A v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$ ; construct  $U_1 = [v_1, V_\perp] \rightarrow$   
 $A U_1 = U_1 [e_1, X]$ . Repeat. **Conditioning**  $\kappa_2(A) = \sigma_1/\sigma_n = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|$  **Similarity**:  $A \rightarrow P^{-1} A P$ , same  
 $\lambda$ . **Pseud-Inv**:  $A^\dagger = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ . Else have  $A^\dagger = A^T (A A^T)^{-1}$ .  $M^\dagger = M^{-1}$  if full rank.  
**CO: BFGS**:  $\gamma_k = \Delta \nabla f_{k+1,k} = B_{k+1}(x_{k+1} - x_k) = B_{k+1} \alpha_k s_k$  **G-N**:  $\tilde{x}_{k+1} = \tilde{x}_k - \frac{\nabla f_k}{J^T J}$ , with  $J := \text{Jacobian}$   
 of  $r(x)$  **Linesearch Convergence**: Show  $x_{k+1} - x_* = \Psi(x_k) - x_* = \Psi(x_* + e_k) - x_*$  and taylor expand.  
**SD**:  $\|x_{k+1} - x_*\| \leq (k_2(H) - 1)/(k_2(H) + 1) \|x_k - x_*\|$  with  $H$  hessian. Also note with EXACT linesearch  
 for quadratic,  $H(x - x_*) = -s$ . **Rayleigh**:  $\frac{s^T H s}{\|s\|^2} \leq \|H\|$  **bArm**: To show existence of  $\alpha$ , have  $\phi(\alpha) =$   
 $f(x_k + \alpha_k s_k)$ ,  $\psi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) - \phi(0) - \beta \alpha \phi'(0) \leq 0$ , show  $\psi'(0) = (1 - \beta) \phi'(0) \leq 0 \rightarrow \psi(\alpha) \downarrow$  with  $\alpha$ . **BFGS**:  
 To show  $H_{k+1} \geq 0$  nec.  $\gamma^T \delta > 0$ . Suff via  $\gamma, \delta$  LI  $\rightarrow$  use  $\|\cdot\|_H \rightarrow \gamma^T \delta > 0$ . **Quad Penalty Meth** With  $y =$   
 $-c/\sigma$ ,  $\|\nabla_\sigma \Phi\| \leq \epsilon^k, \sigma^k \rightarrow 0, x \rightarrow x_*$ ,  $\nabla c(x_*)$  LI, then  $y \rightarrow y_*$ ,  $x \rightarrow K K^T$ , if  $f, c \in C^1$ . Also need  $J_*^T$  full  
 row rank. **PROOF**: If  $y_* := J_*^T \nabla f_* \rightarrow \|y_k - y_*\| = \|J_k^T \nabla f_* - y_k\| \leq \|J_k^T \nabla f_k - J_*^T \nabla f_*\| + \|J_k^T \nabla f_k - y_k\|$ .  
 Next  $\|J_k^T \nabla f_k - y_k\| \leq \|J_k^T\| \|\nabla_\sigma \Phi\| \rightarrow 0$ . Also,  $\nabla f_* - J_*^T y_* \rightarrow 0$ , and  $c_{k \rightarrow *} = -\sigma^{k \rightarrow *} y_{k \rightarrow *} = 0$  so  
 $x_* \rightarrow K K^T$  **Quad Pen. Meth Newt** Have  $w = (J \Delta x + c)/\sigma$  so  $[\nabla^2 f, J^T; J, -\sigma I] [\Delta x, w]^T = -[\nabla f, c]$   
**Trust Region Radius**:  $\rho_k := (f(x_k) - f(x_k + s_k))/(f(x_k) - m_k(s_k))$  **TR-Method**: If  $\rho \geq 0.9$  then  
 double radius, update step  $x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k$ . If  $\rho \geq 0.1$  then same radius, update step. If  $\rho$  small shrink

radius, don't update step. **Cauchy:** Is the point on gradient which minimises the quadratic model within TR. Want  $m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc})$ , where  $s_{kc} := -\alpha_{kc} \nabla f(x_k)$ , and  $\alpha_{kc} := \arg \min m_k(\alpha \nabla f(x_k))$  subject to  $\|\alpha \nabla f\| \leq \Delta$ , i.e.  $\alpha_{max} := \Delta / \|\nabla f\|$ . **Calculation of Cauchy:** We want to prove cauchy model decrease i.e.  $f(x_k) - m_k(s_k) \geq f(x_k) - m_k(s_{kc}) \geq 0.5 \|\nabla f_k\| \min \left\{ \Delta_k, \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|\nabla^2 f_k\|} \right\}$ . First define  $\Psi(\alpha) := m_k(-\alpha \nabla f)$  s.t.  $\Psi := f_k - \alpha \|\nabla f_k\|^2 - 0.5 \alpha^2 H_k$ , with  $H_k := [\nabla f_k]^T [\nabla^2 f_k] [\nabla f_k]$ . N.B. that  $\alpha_{min} := \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}{H_k}$  if  $H_k > 0$ , from  $\Psi'(0) < 0$ . Now **A: If  $H_k \leq 0$**  then we have  $\Psi(\alpha) \leq f_k - \alpha \|\nabla f_k\|^2 \rightarrow \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}$ . So, we have  $f_k - m_{s_k} \geq f_k - m_{s_{kc}} \geq \|\nabla f_k\| \Delta_k \geq 0.5 \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\Delta_k\}$ . Now **B.i: If  $H_k > 0 \rightarrow \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{min}$** . Here  $f_k - m_{s_{kc}} = \alpha_{kc} \|\nabla f\|^2 - 0.5 \alpha_{kc}^2 H_k = \frac{\|\nabla f\|^4}{2H_k} \geq \frac{\|\nabla f\|}{2} \min \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla f\|}{\|\nabla^2 f\|} \right\}$  via C-S. Now **B.ii: If  $H_k > 0 \rightarrow \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}$** . Here  $\Delta / \|\nabla f\| \leq \|\nabla f\|^2 / H_k \rightarrow \alpha_{kc} H_k \leq \|\nabla f\|^2$ . So  $f_k - m_{kc} = -\alpha_{kc} \|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_{kc}^2}{2} H_k \geq \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{2} \alpha_{kc} \geq 0.5 \|\nabla f\| \min \{\Delta_k\}$  **TR-Global Convergence:** If  $m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc})$  then either  $\exists k \geq 0$  s.t.  $\nabla f_k = 0$  or  $\lim \|\nabla f\| \rightarrow 0$ . Further, require  $f \in C^2$ , bounded below and also  $\nabla f$  L-cont. **PROOF:** Using def of  $\rho$ ,  $f_k - f_{k+1} \geq \frac{0.1}{2} \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\dots\}$  from above. Let  $\|\nabla^2 f\| := L$ , and assuming  $\|\nabla f\| \geq \epsilon$  we have  $f_k - f_{k+1} \geq 0.05 \frac{\epsilon}{L} \epsilon^2$  assuming TR has a lower bound  $c\epsilon/L$ . Then sum over all successful jumps s.t.  $f_0 - f_{lower} \geq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} f_i - f_{i+1} \geq |\mathcal{S}| \frac{0.05 c \epsilon^2}{L}$  **Solving TR Prob:** Solve secular  $\|s\|^{-1} - \Delta^{-1} = 0$ . **KKT Feasibility:** Need  $s^T J \geq 0$ ,  $J_E^T s = 0$ , and  $s^T \nabla f < 0$ . **KKT Conditions: REMEMBER  $c \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ !** **First Order KKT (Equality):** If we have  $x_*$  local min, then let  $x = x_* + \alpha s$ . Then we have  $c_i(x(\alpha)) \rightarrow 0 = c_i(x_*) + \alpha s^T J \rightarrow s^T J = 0$ . Further, we have  $f(x) = f(x_*) + \alpha s^T \nabla f \rightarrow \alpha s^T \nabla f \geq 0$ . Repeat for negative  $\alpha$  s.t.  $s^T \nabla f = 0$ . By Rank-Nullity (assuming  $J_E(x_*)$  full rank), we have  $\nabla f_* = J_*^T y_* + s_*$  for some  $y_*$ , which then implies (after  $s^T$  from LHS) that  $\|s_*\| = 0$ , so  $\nabla f_* = J_*^T y_*$ . **KKT 2nd Order** If we have min  $f$  with  $c(x) \geq 0$ , 2<sup>nd</sup> order conditions are that  $s^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{L} s \geq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $\mathcal{A}$  defined s.t.: **EITHER**  $s^T J_i = 0 \forall i$  s.t.  $\lambda_i > 0, c_i = 0$ , **OR**  $s^T J_i \geq 0 \forall i$  s.t.  $\lambda_i = 0, c_i = 0$ , for  $J, c, \lambda$  evaluated at  $x_*$ . For EQUALITY constraints instead need positive definite  $\forall s$  s.t.  $J^T s = 0$  **Convex Problems**  $\hat{x} = KKT \Rightarrow \hat{x} = \arg \min f(x)$ . Proof via  $f \geq f(\hat{x}) + \nabla f^T(x - \hat{x})$  so  $f \geq f(\hat{x}) + \hat{y}^T A(x - \hat{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i J_i^T(x - \hat{x})$ . Choose  $Ax = b$ , and note that  $c_i$  concave s.t.  $\lambda_i J_i^T(\hat{x})(x - \hat{x}) \geq \lambda_i(c_i(x) - c_i(\hat{x})) = \lambda_i c_i(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow f(x) \geq f(\hat{x})$ . **Log-Barrier Global Convergence:** (for  $f - \sum \mu \log(c_i)$ ) With  $f \in C^1$ ,  $\lambda_{ik} = \frac{\mu_k}{c_{ik}}$ ,  $\|\nabla f_u(x_k)\| \leq \epsilon_k, \mu_k \rightarrow 0, x_k \rightarrow x_*$ . Also,  $\nabla c(x_*) L I \forall i \in \mathcal{A}$  (active constraints). Then  $x_*$  KKT and  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_*$ . **PROOF:** Have  $J_A^\dagger(x_*) = (J_A(x_*) J_A(x_*)^T)^{-1} J_A(x_*)$ . Also,  $c_A = 0, c_I > 0$ . So  $\lambda = \mu/c \rightarrow 0$  so  $\lambda_I = 0$  as  $c_I > 0$ . Next  $\|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak} \lambda_{Ak}\| \leq \|\nabla f_k - J_k^T \lambda_k\| + \|\lambda_I\| M_1 = \|\nabla f_{\mu k}\| \rightarrow 0$ . Now  $\left\| J_A^\dagger \nabla f_k - \lambda_{kA} \right\| \leq \left\| J_A^\dagger \right\| \left\| \nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{Ak} \right\| \rightarrow 0$ . So with triangle ineq  $\left\| \lambda_{kA} - J_{Ak}^\dagger \nabla f_k + J_{Ak}^\dagger \nabla f_k - \lambda_{A*} \right\| \rightarrow 0$ , via cont. of  $\nabla f$  and  $J^\dagger$ . Thus  $\lambda_{Ak} \rightarrow \lambda_{A*} \geq 0$ . Combine s.t.  $\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{Ak}$  with  $k \rightarrow *$  so get KKT. **Primal-Dual Newton:** Have  $\nabla f = J^T \lambda, C(x) \lambda = \mu e$  so  $[\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}, -J^T; \Lambda J, C][dx, d\lambda]^T = -[\nabla f - J^T \lambda, C \lambda - \mu e]^T$  **Augmented Lagrangian:** Same result as QUAD PEN METH but  $x \rightarrow x_*$  if  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$  for bounded  $u_k$  or  $u_k$  to  $y_*$  for bounded  $\sigma_k$ . Proof via  $\|c_k\| \leq \sigma_k \|y_k - y_*\| + \sigma_k \|u_k - y_*\|$ . If  $u_k$  bounded then  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ , else trivially if  $u_k \rightarrow y_*$  then to 0. **GLM Global Convergence:** With  $f \in C^1, \nabla f$  L-Cont, and  $f$  bdd below, then  $\nabla f_l = 0$  or  $\lim \min \left\{ \frac{|\nabla f^T s|}{\|s\|}, |s^T \nabla f| \right\} \rightarrow 0$ . In non-trivial case, via bArmijo,  $f_k - f_{k+1} \geq -\beta \alpha_k s_k^T \nabla f_k = \beta \alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k|$ . Sum s.t.  $f_0 - f_{k+1} \geq \beta \sum \alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k|$ , so term in sum to 0. For all  $k$  successful we then have  $\alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k| \geq \frac{(1-\beta)\tau}{L} \left( \frac{|s_k^T \nabla f_k|}{\|s_k\|} \right)^2 \geq 0$  so squared term to 0. For unsuccessful steps  $\alpha_k \geq \alpha_0$  so no norm term. **Convergence Newton LSearch:** Need  $f \in C^2$  then if  $H_k$  (hessian) bdd above and below, so  $\lambda_n \leq \lambda(H_k) \leq \lambda_1$ . So  $|s_k^T \nabla f_k| \geq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla f_k\|^2$ . Also  $\|s_k\|^2 \leq \lambda_n^{-2} \|\nabla f_k\|^2$ . Thus  $\lim \min \left\{ \lambda_n \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla f\|, \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla f\|^2 \right\} \rightarrow 0$  from GLM Convergence Thm.