```
NLA: Golub for k = 1 : m, n: u_k = (sgn(b_{k,k}) || b_{k:m,k} || e_1 + b_{k:m,k}); u_k := \hat{u}_k; U_k := I - 2u_k u_k^T;
       B_{k:m,k:n} := U_k B_{k:m,k:n}; U = [I_{k-1,k-1}, 0; 0, U_k]; \text{for } j = 1 : m, n-1: \ v_k^T := sgn(b_{k,k+1}) \|b_{k,k+1:n}\| e_1 + u_k B_{k:m,k:n} \|b_{k,k+1:n}\| e_1 + u_k B_{k,k+1:n} \|b_{k,k+1:n}\| e_1 + u_k 
       b_{k:m,k}; V_k := I - 2v_k v_k^T; B_{1:m,k+1:n} = B_{1:m,k+1:n} V_k; V = [I_{k,k}, 0; 0, V_k] endfor endfor; 2 \cdot (2mn^2 - 2n^3/3)

Householder for k = [1, n] : x = A_{k:m,k}; v_k = sgn(x) ||x|| e_k + x; v_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|} for j = [k, n] A_{k:m,j} = [k, n]
       A_{k:m,j} - 2v_k [v_k^* A_{k:m,j}] endfor endfor. 2mn^2 - \frac{2n^3}{3}. MG-S V = A; for i = [1,n]: r_{ii} = ||v_i||; q_i = \frac{v_i}{r_{ii}}; for
       j = [i+1,n] \ v_j = v_j - (q_i^T v_j) q_i; r_{ij} = q_i^T v_j \text{ endfor endfor. } 2mn^2. Arnoldi: q_1 := \hat{b}; q_{k+1} h_{k+1,k} = 0
       Aq_{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_{i}h_{ik}; \ h_{ik} = q_{i}^{T}(Aq_{k}); \ h_{k+1,k} := ||v|| \rightarrow AQ_{k} := Q_{k}H_{k} + q_{k+1}[0...h_{k+1,k}].  Givens 3mn^{2} SVD: = \sum_{i=1}^{r:=\min m,n} u_{i}\sigma_{i}v_{i}^{T}. C-F: \sigma_{i}(A)\sigma_{n}(B) \leq \sigma_{i}(AB) \leq \sigma_{i}(A)\sigma_{1}(B) QR Algo: A_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{r:=\min m,n} u_{i}\sigma_{i}v_{i}^{T}
       Q_k^T A_k Q_k \to A_{k+1} = \left(Q^{(k)}\right)^T A Q^{(k)}. \text{ Next } A^{k-1} = (Q_1 \dots Q_{k-1})(R_{k-1} \dots R_1), \text{ so } A_k = Q_k R_k = (Q^{(k-1)})^T A Q^{(k-1)} \text{ so } Q^{(k-1)} A_k = A Q^{(k-1)}. \text{ So } A^k = (A Q^{(k-1)}) R^{(k-1)} = Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} \text{ as } A_k = Q_k R_k.
       Krylov: Usually want x_k - x_0 \in \mathcal{K}_k GMRES: \min \|AQ_k y - b\| \to \min \|H_k y - \|b\|e_1\|. Bound \|r_k\| =
11
        ||Mp(\Lambda)M^{-1}r_0|| CG Bound: With c=x-x_0, c_k=x_k-x_0 s.t. r_k=A(c-c_k) we have r_k^Tv=
12
       0 \ \forall v \in \mathcal{K}_k \text{ so } v^t A(c - c_k) = 0, \text{ s.t. } y = c_k = \arg\min \|c - y\|_A. \text{ WTS } e_k = e_0 p_k(A) \text{ with } p(0) = 1,
13
       and write e_0 := \sum \gamma_i v_i with Av_i = \lambda_i v_i \rightarrow \|e_k\|_A = \min_{p_k, p(0)=1} \max |p(\lambda_i| \|e_0\|_A CG Conver-
       gence: ||e_k||_A = \min_{p(0)=1} ||p_k(A)e_0|| = \min_{p_k(A)} \max |p_k(\lambda)| ||e_0|| \to \le 2 \left( (\sqrt{k_2} - 1)/(\sqrt{k_2} + 1) \right)^k; need
15
       \alpha:=2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2) Cheb: T_k(x)=\frac{1}{2}(z^k+z^{-k}); 2xT_k=T_{k+1}+T_{k-1} Cheb Shift: Choose p(x)=1
16
       T_k([2x-b-a]/[b-a])/T_k([-b-a]/[b-a]) s.t. p(0) = 1. Then p \le 1/|T_k([-b-a]/[b-a])| \le 1
17
       2\left(\left[\sqrt{\kappa}-1\right]/\left[\sqrt{\kappa}+1\right]\right)^k CG Conditions: To show r_{k+1}^T r_k = 0 first show p_k^T A p_k = p_k^T A r_k via \beta then
18
       show p_k^T r_k = r_k^T r_k via p_{k-1}^T r_k = 0. MP: \sigma(G) \in [\sqrt{m} - \sqrt{n}, \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}] \rightarrow k_2 = O(1) Sketch:
19
       with GA\hat{x} = Gb, and via C - F \|G[A,b][v,-1]^T\| \le (s+\sqrt{n+1})\|R[v,-1]^T\|, similar for lower bound
20
       via MP \to ||A\hat{x} - b|| \le (\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{n+1})/(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{n+1})||Ax - b|| Blend: solve ||(A\hat{R}^{-1})y - b|| = 0 via
21
       CG;k_2(A\hat{R}^{-1})=O(1) with GA=\hat{Q}\hat{R} PROOF: A=QR;GA=GQR=\hat{G}R. Let \hat{G}=\hat{Q}\hat{R} so
22
       GA = \hat{Q}\hat{R}R \to \tilde{R}^{-1} = R^{-1}\hat{R}^{-1} \to k_2(A\tilde{R}^{-1}) = k_2(\hat{R}^{-1}) = O(1) by MP. O(mn) to solve via normal
23
       Bounds: ||ABB^{-1}|| \ge ||AB|| ||B^{-1}|| \to ||A|| / ||B^{-1}|| \ge ||AB||. Weyls: \sigma_i(A+B) = \sigma_i(A) + [-||B||, ||B||]
24
       Rev \Delta Ineq: ||A - B|| \ge |||A|| - ||B||| Courant Application: \sigma_i([A_1; A_2]) \ge \max(\sigma_i(A_1), \sigma_i(A_2))
25
       Schur: Take Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1; construct U_1 = [v_1, V_{\perp}] \rightarrow AU_1 = U_1[e_1, X]. Repeat. Conditioning \kappa_2(A) = \sigma_1/\sigma_n = ||A|| ||A^{-1}|| Similarity: A \rightarrow P^{-1}AP, same \lambda.
26
27
       CO: G-N: \vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k - \frac{\nabla f_k}{J^T J}, with J := Jacobian of r(x) Linesearch Convergence: Show x_{k+1} - x_* = \Psi(x_k) - x_* = \Psi(x_* + e_k) - x_* and taylor expand. SD: ||x_{k+1} - x_*|| \le (k_2(H) - 1)/(k_2(H) + 1)||x_k - x_*||
       with H hessian. Also note with EXACT linesearch for quadratic, H(x - x_*) = -s. Rayleigh:
30
        \frac{s^T H s}{\|s\|^2} \le \|H\| bArm: To show existence of \alpha, have \phi(\alpha) = f(x_k + \alpha_k s_k), \psi(\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) - \phi(0) - \beta \alpha \phi'(0) \le 0.
31
       show \psi'(0) = (1 - \beta)\phi'(0) \le 0 \to \psi(\alpha) \downarrow with \alpha. BFGS: To show H_{k+1} \ge 0 nec. \gamma^T \delta > 0. Suff via \gamma, \delta LI \to use \|\cdot\|_H \to \gamma^T \delta > 0. Quad Penalty Meth With y = -c/\sigma, \|\nabla_\sigma \Phi\| \le \epsilon^k, \sigma^k \to 0, x \to x_*, \nabla c(x_*)
32
33
       LI, then y \to y_*, x \to KKT, if f, c \in C^1. PROOF: If y_* := J_*^{\dagger} \nabla f_* \to ||y_k - y_*|| = ||J_*^{\dagger} \nabla f_* - y_k|| \le
34
        \left\|J_{k}^{\dagger}\nabla f_{k}-J_{*}^{\dagger}\nabla f_{k}\right\|+\left\|J_{k}^{\dagger}\nabla f_{k}-y_{k}\right\|. \text{ Next } \left\|J_{k}^{\dagger}\nabla f_{k}-y_{k}\right\|\leq\left\|J_{k}^{\dagger}\right\|\left\|\nabla_{\sigma}\Phi\right\|\rightarrow0. \text{ Also, } \nabla f_{*}-J_{*}^{T}y_{*}\rightarrow0.
35
        and c_{k\to *} = -\sigma^{k\to *}y_{k\to *} = 0 so x_*\to KKT Quad Pen. Meth Newt Have w=(J\Delta x+c)/\sigma so
36
        [\mathbf{\nabla}^2 f, J^T; J, -\sigma I][\Delta x, w]^T = -[\mathbf{\nabla} f, c] Trust Region Radius: \rho_k := (f(x_k) - f(x_k + s_k))/(f(x_k) - f(x_k + s_k))
37
       m_k(s_k)) TR-Method: If \rho \geq 0.9 then double radius, update step x_{k+1} = x_k + s_k. If \rho \geq 0.1 then
        same radius, update step. If \rho small shrink radius, don't update step. Cauchy: Is the point on gradient
39
        which minimises the quadratic model within TR. Want m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc}), where s_{kc} := -\alpha_{kc} \nabla f(x_k),
40
       and \alpha_{kc} := \arg\min m_k (\alpha \nabla f(x_k)) subject to \|\alpha \nabla f\| \leq \Delta, i.e. \alpha_{max} := \Delta/\|\nabla f\|. Calculation
41
       of Cauchy: We want to prove cauchy model decrease i.e. f(x_k) - m_k(s_k) \geq f(x_k) - m_k(s_{kc}) \geq
       0.5\|\nabla f_k\|\min\left\{\Delta_k, \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|}{\|\nabla^2 f_k\|}\right\}. First define \Psi(\alpha):=m_k(-\alpha \nabla f) s.t. \Psi:=f_k-\alpha\|f_k\|^2-0.5\alpha^2 H_k, with
43
       H_k := \left[\nabla f_k\right]^T \left[\nabla^2 f_k\right] \left[\nabla f_k\right]. N.B. that \alpha_{min} := \frac{\|\nabla f_k\|^2}{H_k} if H_k > 0, from \Psi'(0) < 0. Now A: If H_k \leq 0
44
       then we have \Psi(\alpha) \leq f_k - \alpha \|\nabla f_k\|^2 \to \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}. So, we have f_k - m_{s_k} \geq f_k - m_{s_{kc}} \geq \|\nabla f_k\| \Delta_k \geq 1
       0.5\|\nabla f_k\|\min\{\Delta_k\}. Now B.i. If H_k > 0 \to \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{min}. Here f_k - m_{s_{kc}} = \alpha_{kc}\|\nabla f\|^2 - 0.5\alpha_{kc}^2 H_k = 0.5
        \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\|^4}{2H_k} \geq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\|}{2} \min\left\{\frac{\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\|}{\|\boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 f\|}\right\} \text{ via C-S. Now B.ii: If } H_k > 0 \rightarrow \alpha_{kc} = \alpha_{max}. \text{ Here } \Delta/\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\| \leq \|\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\|^2/H_k \rightarrow 0
47
       \alpha_{kc}H_k \leq \|\nabla f\|^2. So f_k - m_{kc} = -\alpha_{kc}\|\nabla f\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_{kc}^2}{2}H_k \geq \frac{\|\nabla f\|^2}{2}\alpha_{kc} \geq 0.5\|\nabla f\|\min\{\Delta_k\} TR-Global Convergence: If m_k(s_k) \leq m_k(s_{kc}) then either \exists k \geq 0 s.t. \nabla f_k = 0 or \lim \|\nabla f\| \to 0. Further, require
49
       f \in C^2, bounded below and also \nabla f L-cont. PROOF: Using def of \rho, f_k - f_{k+1} \ge \frac{0.1}{2} \|\nabla f_k\| \min \{\ldots\}
50
       from above. Let \|\nabla^2 f\| := L, and assuming \|\nabla f\| \ge \epsilon we have f_k - f_{k+1} \ge 0.05 \frac{c}{L} \epsilon^2 assuming TR has
```

a lower bound $c\epsilon/L$. Then sum over all successful jumps s.t. $f_0 - f_{lower} \ge \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} f_i - f_{i+1} \ge |\mathbb{S}| \frac{0.05c\epsilon^2}{L}$ Solving TR Prob: Solve secular $||s||^{-1} - \Delta^{-1} = 0$. KKT Feasibility: Need $s^T J \geq 0$, $J_E^T s = 0$, and $s^T \nabla f < 0$. KKT Conditions: REMEMBER $c \ge 0$, $\lambda \ge 0$! First Order KKT (Equality): If we have x_* local min, then let $x = x_* + \alpha s$. Then we have $c_i(x(\alpha)) \to 0 = c_i(x_*) + \alpha s^T J \to s^T J = 0$. Further, we have $f(x) = f(x_*) + \alpha s^T \nabla f \to \alpha s^T \nabla f \geq 0$. Repeat for negative α s.t. $s^T \nabla f = 0$. By Rank-Nullity (assuming $J_E(x_*)$ full rank), we have $\nabla f_* = J_*^T y + s_*$ for some y_* , which then implies (after s^T from LHS) that $||s_*|| = 0$, so $\nabla f_* = J_*^T y_*$. **KKT 2nd Order** If we have min f with $c(x) \ge 0$, 2^{nd} order conditions are that $s^T \nabla^2 \mathcal{L} s \ge 0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{A}$, with \mathcal{A} defined s.t. EITHER $s^T J_i = 0 \ \forall \ i \ \text{s.t.}$ $\lambda_i > 0, c_i = 0, \text{ OR } s^T J_i \ge 0 \ \forall i \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i = 0, c_i = 0, \text{ for } J, c, \lambda \text{ evaluated at } x_* \text{ For EQUALITY constraints}$ instead need positive definite $\forall s$ s.t. $J^T s = 0$ Convex Problems $\hat{x} = KKT \Rightarrow \hat{x} = \arg\min f(x)$. 10 Proof via $f \geq f(\hat{x}) + \nabla f^T(x - \hat{x})$ so $f \geq f(\hat{x}) + \hat{y}^T A(x - \hat{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i J_i^T(x - \hat{x})$. Choose Ax = b, 11 and note that c_i concave s.t. $\lambda_i J_i^T(\hat{x})(x-\hat{x}) \geq \lambda_i (c_i(x)-c_i(\hat{x})) = \lambda_i c_i(x) \geq 0 \rightarrow f(x) \geq f(\hat{x})$. Log-Barrier Global Convergence: (for $f - \sum \mu \log(c_i)$) With $f \in C^1$, $\lambda_{ik} = \frac{\mu_k}{c_{ik}}$, $\|\nabla f_u(x_k)\| \le \epsilon_k$, $\mu_k = \frac{1}{\epsilon_k}$ 13 $0, x_k \to x_*$. Also, $\nabla c(x_*)LI \ \forall \ i \in \mathcal{A}$ (active constraints). Then x_* KKT and $\lambda \to \lambda_*$. PROOF: Have $J_A^{\dagger}(x_*) = (J_A(x_*)J_A(x_*)^T)^{-1}J_A(x_*)$. Also, $c_A = 0, c_I > 0$. So $\lambda = \mu/c \to 0$ so $\lambda_I = 0$ as 14 15 $c_I > 0$. Next $\|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}\lambda_{Ak}\| \le \|\nabla f_k - J_k^T\lambda_k\| + \|\lambda_I\|M_1 = \|\nabla f_{\mu k}\| \to 0$. Now $\|J_A^{\dagger}\nabla f_k - \lambda_{kA}\| \le \|\nabla f_k\| + \|\Delta_I\|M_1 = \|\nabla f_{\mu k}\| + \|\Delta_I\|M_1 = \|\Delta_I\|M_1 + \|$ $\|J_A^{\dagger}\|\|\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{Ak}\| \to 0$. So with triangle ineq $\|\lambda_{kA} - J_{Ak}^{\dagger} \nabla f_k + J_{Ak}^{\dagger} \nabla f_k - \lambda_{A*}\| \to 0$, via cont. of 17 ∇f and J^{\dagger} . Thus $\lambda_{Ak} \to \lambda_{A*} \geq 0$. Combine s.t. $\nabla f_k - J_{Ak}^T \lambda_{AK}$ with $k \to *$ so get KKT. **Primal-Dual Newton:** Have $\nabla f = J^T \lambda$, $C(x)\lambda = \mu e$ so $[\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}, -J^T; \Lambda J, C][dx, d\lambda]^T = -[\nabla f - J^T \lambda, C\lambda - \mu e]^T$ 18 19 **Augmented Lagrangian:** Same result as QUAD PEN METH but $x \to x_*$ if $\sigma \to 0$ for bounded u_k 20 or u_k to y_* for bounded σ_k . Proof via $||c_k|| \le \sigma_k ||y_k - y_*|| + \sigma_k ||u_k - y_*||$. If u_k bounded then $\to 0$ as 21 $\sigma \to 0$, else trivially if $u_k \to y_*$ then to 0. **GLM Global Convergence:** With $f \in C^1, \nabla f$ L-Cont, 22 and f bdd below, then $\nabla f_l = 0$ or $\liminf \left\{ \frac{|\nabla f^T s|}{\|s\|}, |s^T \nabla f| \right\}$ to 0. In non-trivial case, via bArmijo. 23 $f_k - f_{k+1} \ge -\beta \alpha_k s_k^T \nabla f_k = \beta \alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k|$. Sum s.t. $f_0 - f_{k+1} \ge \beta \sum_k \alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k|$, so term in sum to 0. For all k successful we then have $\alpha_k |s_k^T \nabla f_k| \ge \frac{(1-\beta)\tau}{L} \left(\frac{|s_k^T \nabla f_k|}{\|s_k\|} \right)^2 \ge 0$ so squared term to 0. For unsuccessful steps $\alpha_k \geq \alpha_0$ so no norm term. Convergence Newton LSearch: Need $f \in C^2$ then if H_k (hessian) 26 bdd above and below, so $\lambda_n \leq \lambda(H_k) \leq \lambda_1$. So $\left| s_k^T \nabla f_k \right| \geq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla f_k\|^2$. Also $\|s_k\|^2 \leq \lambda_n^{-2} \|\nabla f_k\|^2$. Thus $\liminf \left\{ \lambda_n \lambda_1^{-1} \| \nabla f \|, \lambda_1^{-1} \| \nabla f \|^2 \right\} \to 0 \text{ from GLM Convergence Thm.}$