

References

- [1] D. Gooding, “‘In Nature’s School’: Faraday as an Experimentalist,” in D. Gooding and F. James, *Faraday Rediscovered, Essays on the Life and Work of Michael Faraday*, (Springer, 1984), pp. 105-136
- [2] D. Gooding, “Final Steps to the Field Theory: Faraday’s Study of Magnetic Phenomena, 1845-1850.”, *Historical Studies in the Physical Sciences*, vol. 11 (1981), pp. 231-275.
- [3] Royal Institute Manuscript, F4 J12, p. 17
- [4] B. Hunt, “The Maxwellians”, (Ithaca, 1991)
- [5] M. Faraday, “Diary”, vol. 4, 13 September 1845
- [6] J. Maxwell, “On Faraday’s Lines of Force”, in *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, Cambridge, 1856, pp. 155-159
- [7] J. Maxwell, “On Faraday’s Lines of Force”, in *Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, Cambridge, 1856, pp. 155-156
- [8] W. Thomson and S.P. Thompson, “Letter from Thomson to Faraday, 19 June 1849”, *The Life of William Thomson Lord Kelvin of Largs*, London, 1910, pp. 214-216
- [9] A. C. Warwick, “Masters of Theory: Cambridge and the Rise of Mathematical Physics”, (University of Chicago Press, 2003).
- [10] D. Gooding, “Faraday, Thomson, and the concept of the magnetic field,” *Brit. J. Hist. Sci.*, 13 (1980), pp. 91-120
- [11] D. Siegel, “Innovation in Maxwell’s Electromagnetic Theory: Molecular Vortices, Displacement Current, and Light”, (Cambridge, 1992)
- [12] I. Davis, “Antoni van Leeuwenhoek and measuring the invisible: The context of 16th and 17th century micrometry”, *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part A*, Volume 83, 2020, Pages 75-85
- [13] R. Kempers, “The Tricentennial of the Discovery of Sperm”, *Fertility and Sterility*, Volume 27, Issue 5, 1976, Pages 603-605
- [14] N. Swerdlow, “Galileo’s telescopic discoveries and their evidence for the Copernican theory,” in P. Machamer, ed., *The Cambridge Companion to Galileo*, Cambridge, 1998
- [15] B. Bensaude-Vincent and W. Newman eds, *The Artificial and the Natural: an evolving Polarity*, Ca. Mass., 2007
- [16] T. Hankins and R. Silverman, *Instruments and the Imagination*, Princeton, 1995

- [17] S. Gaukroger, *Francis Bacon and the Transformation of Philosophy*, Cambridge, 2002
- [18] P. Dear, *Discipline & Experience. The Mathematical Way in the Scientific Revolution*, Chic., 1995
- [19] I. Newton and D.T. Whiteside, "The Mathematical Papers of Isaac Newton", vol. 8, (Cambridge, 1967-1981), pp. 287-289
- [20] I. Newton and D.T. Whiteside, "The Mathematical Papers of Isaac Newton", vol. 7, (Cambridge, 1967-1981), pp. 291
- [21] I. Newton, MS Add. 3970, f. 243r
- [22] I. Newton, H. W. Turnbull, J. F. Scott, A. R. Hall, and L. Tilling, "The Correspondence of Isaac Newton", (Cambridge, 1959-77), vol. 5, pp. 398-399
- [23] R. S. Westfall, "Never at Rest", (Cambridge, 1984), ch. 10
- [24] A. Shapiro, "Newton's "Experimental Philosophy"." *Early Science and Medicine* 9, no. 3 (2004), pp. 185-217
- [25] N. Guicciardini, "Isaac Newton on mathematical Certainty and Method", (London, 2009)
- [26] R. Schulmann, et al., ed., *The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein*, Vol. 8: *The Berlin Years. Correspondence: 1914–1918*, (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1998), doc. 43.
- [27] H. R. Brown, *Physical Relativity: Space-time Structure from a Dynamical Perspective*, Oxford University Press, 2005. Paperback revised edition October 2007 p. 54
- [28] H. Poincaré, *Electricité et Optique. I. Les théories de Maxwell la Théorie Électromagnétique de la Lumière* (Sorbonne lectures of spring 1888, edited by J. Blondin; Paris, 1890) pp. IX, XIV
- [29] HP. Poincaré, *Electricité et Optique. La lumière et les Théories électrodynamiques* (Sorbonne lectures of spring 1888, 1890, and 1899 edited by J. Blondin E. Neculcea; Paris, 1901) pp. 403-420
- [30] Cf. Paty, *Einstein Philosophe*, op. cit., note 1, pp. 264-271.
- [31] H. Poincaré. "La mesure du temps." *Revue De Métaphysique Et De Morale* 6, no. 1 (1898): pp. 1-13.
- [32] H. Poincaré, *La Théorie de Lorentz et le principe de réaction*, *Archive néerlandaises des sciences exactes et naturelles*, no. 5, (1900), pp. 252–278

- [33] M. Janssen and J. Stachel. ‘The optics and electrodynamics of moving bodies’, Volume 265 of Preprint, Max-Planck-Institut für Wissenschaftsgeschichte (2004) p. 32
- [34] W. Rindler. ‘Einstein’s priority in recognizing time dilation physically’, American Journal of Physics, 38: pp. 1111–1115 (1970)
- [35] H. Poincaré and G. Halsted, The Foundations of Science: Science and Hypothesis, the Value of Science, Science and Method, (New York, 1929). p. 147
- [36] M. Janssen, ‘Reconsidering a scientific revolution: The case of Einstein versus Lorentz’, Physics in Perspective, 4: 421–46 (2002)
- [37] R. McCormach, (1970). H. A. Lorentz and the Electromagnetic View of Nature. Isis 61 (4):459-497.
- [38] M. Janssen and J. Stachel. ‘The optics and electrodynamics of moving bodies’, Volume 265 of Preprint, Max-Planck-Institut für Wissenschaftsgeschichte (2004)
- [39] H. R. Brown, Physical Relativity: Space-time Structure from a Dynamical Perspective, Oxford University Press, 2005. Paperback revised edition October 2007
- [40] O. Darrigol, (1995). Henri Poincaré’s criticism of Fin De Siècle electrodynamics. Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics 26 (1):1-44.