

## Evaluation report for PhD proposals

Swiss TPH Research commission (RC) – version July 2021

PhD project [title]		
PhD candidate		
Supervisor		
Reviewer		
Date of first Review		
PhD proposal is part of a larger, peer-reviewed grant application [please specify]	Yes	No
PhD student is enrolled in a PhD Programme [please specify]	Yes	No

No	Criteria	Reviewers comments (1st review)	Comments sufficiently addressed in re-vised version
1	The research project is concisely presented		Yes No
2	Scientific interest		Yes No
3	Scientific novelty		Yes No
4	Methodology		Yes No
5	Feasibility and time plan		Yes No
6	Public health relevance		Yes No

7	The proposed research is consistent with key areas of Swiss TPH activities		Yes No
8	Ethical considerations fully addressed		Yes No
9	Internal collaborations (roles and responsibilities clearly defined)		Yes No
10	External collaborations (roles and responsibilities clearly defined)		Yes No
11	Skill development		Yes No
12	PhD committee (roles and responsibilities clearly defined)		Yes No
13	Lectures, courses, etc. included and adequate ( $\geq 12$ CPs; $\geq 18$ CPs if part of a PhD programme)		Yes No
14	Budget plan included and complete		Yes No
15	Additional comments		Yes No
16	Reviewer's recommendation: revised proposal approved [1] / minor revisions [2] / major revisions [3] <sup>1</sup>		[1] [2] [3]

<sup>1</sup> If the proposal needs major revisions after the Research Commission meeting, it has to be resubmitted to RC for evaluation.