Templates Assignment

Many of you will have some experience using the collections of the C++ standard library. The design of such libraries is a complex undertaking, with many tradeoffs to be considered—far more than can be covered in this course. The current assignment will give you a taste for some of the issues, and will help to familiarize you with generics (templates) and with the synergy between subtype and parametric polymorphism.

The specifics

Your task is to implement variants on a set collection type. These should look familiar given our discussion of the dictionary (mapping) types used by switch (case) statements.

I am providing a file of (incomplete) <u>starter code</u>. Its most basic definition is of the abstract class <u>simple</u> set:

```
template < class T, class C = comp < T >>
class simple_set {
  public:
    virtual ~simple_set < T, C > () { }
    virtual simple_set < T, C > & operator += (const T item) = 0;
        // add item to set
    virtual simple_set < T, C > & operator -= (const T item) = 0;
        // remove item from set, if it was present
    virtual bool contains (const T & item) const = 0;
        // indicate whether item is in set
};
```

Class c is a "comparator"; it provides an equals method that can be used to identify duplicate elements. (It also provides a precedes method, but that isn't needed for simple_set.) The default comparator comp employs T's operator==, assuming it exists. You might want a different comparator for, say char*s, since the built-in == compares pointer values, not lexicographic ordering of strings.

As an example, the starter code contains a concrete class std_simple_set that adapts the sets of the standard library to the simple_set interface. (Standard library sets are implemented as balanced search trees.) This set is for illustration purposes only; the

code you write is not to make use of any of the collections in the standard library.

Building on simple set, the provided code then defines a more complex range set: template<class T, class C = comp<T>> class range set : public virtual simple set<T> { public: virtual range set<T, C>& operator+=(range<T, C> r) = 0; virtual range set<T, C>& operator==(range<T, C> r) = 0; **}**; where range is defined as follows class empty range { }; // exception template<typename T, typename C = comp<T>> class range { // represents all elements from L T L: bool Linc; // inclusive? TH; // through H bool Hinc; // inclusive? C cmp; static const empty range err; public: range(const T 1, const bool line, const T h, const bool hinc) : L(1), Linc(linc), H(h), Hinc(hinc), cmp() { if (cmp.precedes(h, 1) | | (cmp.equals(1, h) && (!Linc | !Hinc))) throw err; bool contains(const T& item) const { return ((cmp.precedes(L, item) | (Linc && cmp.equals(L, item))) && (cmp.precedes(item, H) | (Hinc && cmp.equals(item, H))); } **}**;

Here c's precedes and equals methods are used to determine whether a given element lies within the range. The default comparator comp

employs T's operator<, assuming it exists. Again, you might want a different comparator for certain types.

So what is a range_set good for? It allows you to insert and remove contiguous ranges of elements from the set *en masse* and, *in some implementations*, in asymptotically less time than it would take to remove the individual elements.

The provided code defines an std_range_set, again as an example, but this implementation does *not* have good performance. The problem is that the sets of the standard library are defined to hold individual elements only; they do not capture ranges.

You are to implement three versions each of simple_set and range_set:

constant c.

size of the range.

carray_simple_set<T> and carray_range_set<T, C, T> Characteristic arrays. Constructor takes arguments 1 and h of type T, which must be coercible to int; insert and remove routines throw an out_of_bounds exception if passed an element outside the half-open range [1, h). Insertion, removal, and lookup of individual elements must all take constant time. For carray_range_set, T must support an increment operation, and insertion and removal of ranges can take time linear in the size of the range. Must be space efficient: carray_simple_set(1, h) must consume h - 1 + c bits, for some small

hashed_simple_set<T, F> and hashed_range_set<T, F, C, I> Constructor takes a single argument of type int, specifying the maximum number of elements that can belong to the set at any one time. Insert routine throws an overflow exception if there is no more room in the table. Optional template parameter F specifies a function-object class that can be used to convert a T object into an int. Insertion, removal, and lookup of individual elements should take expected constant time. For hashed_range_set, T must support an increment

bin search simple set<T, C> and bin search range set<T, C>

operation, and insertion and removal of ranges can take time linear in the

Constructor takes a single argument of type int, specifying the maximum number of elements (or, for bin_search_range_set, ranges) that can belong to the set at any one time. Insert routine throws an overflow exception if there is no more room. Insertion and removal of individual elements or ranges may take time linear in the number of elements or ranges currently in the set, worst case, but must take amortized constant time if elements are inserted in sorted order. Lookup must take logarithmic time.

For carray_range_set and hashed_range_set, optional template parameter I specifies a function-object class that can be used to increment a T object, to iterate over the elements of a range. carray_range_set should inherit from range_set and carray_simple_set; hashed_range_set and bin_search_range_set should similarly inherit from both range_set and their corresponding non-range version. See the std_range_set for an example of how to do this.

Because its internal data structure represents individual elements only, the std_range_set, like carray_range_set and hashed_range_set, can be instantiated only for types that support an increment operation. As noted above, this means that ranges can't be inserted and removed efficiently. It also means that the set cannot support ranges containing an unbounded number of elements. Ideally, one would like to be able to create a set of real numbers, and specify that it contains not only 1.2, 4.67, and -0.235, but also all values from 123 to 158.5, possibly including either or both endpoints. Your bin search range set must allow this. When instantiated for strings, it must also allow ranges like ["apple", "orange"), which includes an unbounded number of strings. Warning: keep in mind that ranges have the potential to overlap, both with individual elements and with each other. If you insert a range that overlaps an existing range, you'll need to merge them. If you remove a range from the middle of an existing range, you'll need to split it. A particularly tricky case arises when you remove an individual element from the middle of a range. If the range isn't finite, the two halves of the resulting split will need to be open on the side next to the removed element.

Division of labor and writeup

As in previous assignments, you may work alone or in teams of two. If you choose to work in pairs, the obvious division of labor is to divide up the implementations. If you do this, take care to figure out what common assumptions you need to share with your partner. Each two-person team need only turn in a single README; make sure it includes the names of both partners.

Be sure to follow all the rules on the <u>Grading page</u>. As with all assignments, use the turn-in script: ~cs254/bin/TURN_IN. Put your write-up in a README.txt or README.pdf file in the directory in which you run the script. Be sure to describe any features of your code that the TAs might not immediately notice.

See the <u>Resources</u> page for help with C++.

Extra Credit suggestions

- 1. Add standard library-style iterators to the sets for which they make sense.
- 2. Implement operator=, operator==, operator!=, operator| (union), operator& (intersection), and operator- (difference). Can you make these work for sets with the same element type but different implementations? (E.g., can you compare a carray_simple_set<T> and a hashed_simple_set<T>?) Can you make them work for range_sets whose ranges aren't finite?
- 3. Measure the performance of operations on your different set implementations and discuss the results.
- 4. Implement a balanced search tree with efficient (not necessarily finite) ranges—e.g., of strings or doubles.
- 5. Adapt your code to implement dictionaries (maps) instead of sets.
- 6. Try the assignment in Java, C#, or Ada.
- 7. Implement an *adaptive*, intelligent set that keeps internal statistics and changes its implementation based on the distribution of elements.

8. Make your code thread-safe (properly synchronized), so all the operations will appear to occur atomically if they are employed in a multithreaded program.

NB: One could design both the set abstraction and the concrete implementations in many different ways. The starter code I've given you may not suit your fancy. Feel free to explore other APIs for extra credit, but if you do, put them in separate files, and be sure to respect the given API for the main assignment; otherwise the TAs won't be able to test your code with their driver scripts and you won't get the credit you want.

Trivia Assignment

Before the beginning of class on **Wedmesday, December 4**, *each student* should complete the <u>T6 trivia assignment</u> found on <u>Blackboard</u>.

MAIN DUE DATE: 11:59 pm, **Friday December 13**; no extensions.