

journal of geophysical research:biogeoscience

Supporting Information for

Peatland degradation increased biodiversity and polyphenols accumulation

Zeng Jia<sup>1</sup>, Bai Yinping<sup>1</sup>, Dong Faqin<sup>4</sup>, Chen Huai<sup>2,3</sup>, Yang Zhenan<sup>5</sup>, Yang Gang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China, <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological

Restoration and Bioresource Utilization & Ecological Restoration Biodiversity Conservation, Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu Institute of Biology,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, 610041, China, <sup>3</sup> Zoige Peatland and Global Change Research Station, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Hongyuan 624400, China, <sup>4</sup> Key Laboratory of Solid Waste Treatment and Resource Recycle, Ministry of Education, Southwest

University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China, <sup>5</sup> Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation (China West Normal

University), Ministry of Education; No.1 Shi Da Road, Nanchong, Sichuan, China, 637000

\* Corresponding authors: Associate Prof. Gang Yang, PhD

E-mail: yanggang903@swust.edu.cn or yanggang903@163.com

## **Contents of this file**

Tables S1 to S4

## Introduction

The support information provides the same charts and tables as in this article, as well as the data to generate the charts.

Table 1 Vegetation survey results for sampling of different types of peatlands representative of different stages of degradation (Different letters indicate significant differences between different types of peatlands, P<0.05)

Peatland type	Total vegetation coverage	Dominant species	Coverage of dominant species	Accompanying species	Coverage of accompanying species
				Deschampsia caespitosa、Caltha	
	Carex muliensis, Scirpulalpine meadow 97.50±3.42a triqueter			scaposa, potentilla anserine,	
		Comov muliongia Soimnua		Sanguisorba officinalis, Tibetan	
			83.83±4.68a brunneo-pilosum 、Saussurea stella	golden lotus flower. Crementhodium	
alpine meadow				brunneo-pilosum 、Saussurea stella	27.00±2.81a
		0.14.0001		,	
				Gentiana leucomelaena. Epilobium	
				tibetanum Hausskn、Commelina diffusa	`
				Limosella aquatica. Sibbaldia	

				procumbens	
				Limosella aquatica. Sibbaldia	
				procumbens, Deschampsia caespitosa,	
				Scirpus triqueter, Heleocharis	
swamp meadow	73. 33±3. <b>4</b> 2b	Carex meyeriana、Commelina	62.83±4.68b	kamtschatica. Sanguisorba	16.00±2.81b
Swamp meadow	10. 00 ± 0. 420	diffusa	62. 00 ± 1. 00b	officinalis, Caltha scaposa, Gentiana leucomelaena, Cremanthodium brunneo-pilosum, potentilla	10.00 ± 2.015
				anserine、Delphinium grandiflorum	
				Carex meyeriana、Polygonum aviculare、	
peat swamp	51. 67±3. 42c	Commelina diffusa、	Heleocharis kamtschatica. $47.33\!\pm\!4.68\mathrm{c}$		6. 33±2. 81c
роск внашр	Halerpestes tricuspis Deschampsia caespitosa, Epilobium	0. 33 <u>+</u> 2. 610			
				tibetanum Hausskn. Draba nemorosa	

## In the process of peatland degradation, vegetation evenness, richness and diversity index all changed

Peatland type	Pielou evenness index	Shannon-Wiener's diversity index	Species richness index
alpine meadow	0. 289	1. 493	8
alpine meadow	0.306	1. 542	10
alpine meadow	0. 291	1. 349	6
alpine meadow	0. 242	1. 252	6
alpine meadow	0. 336	1. 63	9
alpine meadow	0.319	1. 52	6
swamp meadow	0. 22	0.86	5
swamp meadow	0. 339	1. 476	8

swamp meadow	0. 315	1. 39	7
swamp meadow	0. 302	1. 357	5
swamp meadow	0. 294	1. 316	5
swamp meadow	0. 269	1. 185	5
peat swamp	0. 277	1. 237	5
peat swamp	0.16	0.666	2
peat swamp	0. 255	1. 13	4
peat swamp	0. 246	1.054	5
peat swamp	0. 198	0.885	5
peat swamp	0. 256	0. 973	4
		-	

Table 2 Changes in evenness, diversity and richness of different stages of peatland degradation (different letters indicate significant differences, P<0.05)

	Pielou evenness index	Shannon-Wiener's diversity index	Species richness index
alpine meadow	0.297±0.016a	1. 465±0. 077a	7.5±0.588a
swamp meadow	0.29±0.016a	1.264±0.077a	5.833±0.588ab
peat swamp	0. 232±0. 016b	0.991±0.077b	4. 167±0. 588b

Changes of water soluble phenol and total phenol content

Peatland type	$\begin{tabular}{lll} Peatland type & soil depth & water-soluble phenols ($\mu g/g$) \\ \end{tabular}$		The total phenols
alpine meadow	0-10cm	39. 59598	(μg/g) 679. 2
alpine meadow	0-10cm	104. 40261	
alpine meadow	0-10cm	92. 75118	587. 2
alpine meadow	0-10cm	67. 321341	<u> </u>
alpine meadow	0-10cm	93. 24124	623. 2
alpine meadow	0-10cm	62. 42614	
alpine meadow	10-20cm	146. 30979	689. 2
alpine meadow	10-20cm	190. 68489	
alpine meadow	10-20cm	151. 509033	674. 2
alpine meadow	10-20cm	149. 4124	
alpine meadow	10-20cm	172. 4274	591. 2
alpine meadow	10-20cm	160. 42468	<u> </u>

alpine meadow	20-30cm	93. 53427	709. 2
alpine meadow	20-30cm	181. 16916	<del></del>
alpine meadow	20-30cm	100	779. 2
alpine meadow	20-30cm	94. 241249	
alpine meadow	20-30cm	120. 34932	736. 2
alpine meadow	20-30cm	110. 3432	
swamp meadow	0-10cm	16. 81518	733. 2
swamp meadow	0-10cm	27. 46995	
swamp meadow	0-10cm	17. 00502	768. 2
swamp meadow	0-10cm	19. 87635	
swamp meadow	0-10cm	20. 42214	745. 2
swamp meadow	0-10cm	16. 9101	
swamp meadow	10-20cm	17. 17113	710. 2

swamp meadow	10-20cm	25. 00203	
swamp meadow	10-20cm	24. 66981	772. 2
swamp meadow	10-20cm	23. 36466	
swamp meadow	10-20cm	23. 05617	779. 2
swamp meadow	10-20cm	24. 66981	
swamp meadow	20-30cm	38. 45694	682. 2
swamp meadow	20-30cm	18. 59493	
swamp meadow	20-30cm	20. 77809	692. 2
swamp meadow	20-30cm	17. 93049	
swamp meadow	20-30cm	28. 01574	715. 2
swamp meadow	20-30cm	17. 12367	

Table 3 Water-soluble phenol concentrations at different soil depths and peatland degradation stages (different letters indicate significant differences, P <

Soil donth		Peatland degradation stages		
Soil depth	_	alpine meadow	swamp meadow	
	0-10cm	76. 623 ±10. 512c	19.750±2.298a	
water-soluble phenols ( μ g/g)	10-20cm	$161.795 \pm 10.512a$	$22.989\pm2.298a$	
	20-30cm	116. $606 \pm 10$ . $512b$	23. 483±2. 298a	
	0-10cm	629. $867 \pm 37.064$ b	748. 867±21. 318a	
The total phenols	10-20cm	$651.533 \pm 37.064$ ab	753.866 $\pm$ 21.318a	
( μ <b>g/g</b> )	20-30cm	$741.539 \pm 37.064a$	696. 533±21. 318b	

Table 4 Correlation analysis between water-soluble phenol concentrations and total vegetation coverage, etc

	water-soluble phenols	Pielou evenness index	Shannon diversity index	richness index	total vegetation coverage
Water-soluble phenols	1				
Pielou evenness index	0.235	1			
Shannon diversity index	0.525	0.874**	1		
Richness	0.603*	0.551	0.712**	1	
Total vegetation coverage	0.688*	0.000	0.294	0.511	1

<sup>\*\*</sup>p<0.01; \*p<0.05