



*journal of geophysical research:biogeoscience*

**Supporting Information for**

**Peatland degradation increased biodiversity and polyphenols  
accumulation**

Zeng Jia<sup>1</sup>, Bai Yinping<sup>1</sup>, Dong Faqin<sup>4</sup>, Chen Huai<sup>2,3</sup>, Yang Zhenan<sup>5</sup>, Yang Suizhuang<sup>1</sup>, Yang  
Gang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China, <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological Restoration and Bioresource Utilization & Ecological Restoration Biodiversity Conservation, Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, 610041, China, <sup>3</sup> Zoige Peatland and Global Change Research Station, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hongyuan 624400, China, <sup>4</sup> Key Laboratory of Solid Waste Treatment and Resource Recycle, Ministry of Education, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China, <sup>5</sup> Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation (China West Normal University), Ministry of Education ; No.1 Shi Da Road, Nanchong, Sichuan, China, 637000

**\* Corresponding authors:** Associate Prof. Gang Yang, PhD

E-mail: [yanggang903@swust.edu.cn](mailto:yanggang903@swust.edu.cn) or [yanggang903@163.com](mailto:yanggang903@163.com)

**Contents of this file**    Tables S1 to S5

**Contents of this file**

Tables S1 to S5

**Introduction**

The support information provides the same charts and tables as in this article, as well as the data to generate the charts.

**Table 1    Soil properties (at depths of 0–30cm) of different degradation peatlands. Values are the annual means±standard error. Different letters between forests types indicate significant differences (LSD test, lowercase P<0.05).**

	swamp meadow	swamp meadows	alpine meadow
Degradation Stage	intact fen with high water table	lightly degraded fen with a fluctuating water table	heavily degraded fen with a lower water table
Dissolved Organic Carbon (mg/kg)	195.08c±22.18	348.63ab±22.80	445.04a±81.30
Total Carbon (%)	9.14b±1.28	26.84a±0.70	25.17a±2.78
CH <sub>4</sub> emission (mg·C·m <sup>-2</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup> )	12.64±6.29	4.39±1.96	0.11±0.14

## Contents of this file    Tables S1 to S5

**Table 2      Vegetation survey results for sampling of different types of peatlands representative of different stages of degradation (Different letters indicate significant differences between different types of peatlands, P<0.05)**

Peatland type	Total vegetation coverage	Dominant species	Coverage of dominant species	Accompanying species	Coverage of accompanying species
<b>alpine meadow</b>	97.50±4.18a	<i>Carex muliensis</i> , <i>Scirpus triqueter</i>	83.83±11.41a	<i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i> , <i>Caltha scaposa</i> , <i>potentilla anserine</i> , <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i> , Tibetan golden lotus flower , <i>Cremanthodium brunneo-pilosum</i> , <i>Saussurea stella Maxim</i> , <i>leontopodium leontopodioides</i> , <i>Gentiana leucomelaena</i> , <i>Epilobium tibetanum</i> Hausskn , <i>Commelina diffusa</i> , <i>Limosella aquatica</i> , <i>Sibbaldia procumbens</i>	27.00±7.29a
<b>swamp meadow</b>	73.33±11.69b	<i>Carex meyeriana</i> , <i>Commelina diffusa</i>	62.83±13.86b	<i>Limosella aquatica</i> , <i>Sibbaldia procumbens</i> , <i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i> , <i>Scirpus triqueter</i> , <i>Heleocharis kamschatica</i> , <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i> , <i>Caltha scaposa</i> , <i>Gentiana leucomelaena</i> , <i>Cremanthodium brunneo-pilosum</i> , <i>potentilla anserine</i> , <i>Delphinium grandiflorum</i>	16.00±8.83b
<b>peat swamp</b>	51.67±7.5c	<i>Commelina diffusa</i> , <i>Halerpestes tricuspis</i>	47.33±8.52c	<i>Carex meyeriana</i> , <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> , <i>Heleocharis kamschatica</i> , <i>Deschampsia caespitosa</i> , <i>Epilobium tibetanum</i> Hausskn , <i>Draba nemorosa</i>	6.33±3.27c

## Contents of this file Tables S1 to S5

**Table 3 Changes in evenness, diversity and richness of different stages of peatland degradation (different letters indicate significant differences,  $P < 0.05$ )**

	Pielou evenness index	Shannon-Wiener's diversity index	Species richness index
alpine meadow	0.297±0.032a	1.465±0.138a	7.5±1.760a
swamp meadow	0.29±0.041a	1.264±0.219a	5.833±1.329ab
peat swamp	0.232±0.043b	0.991±0.200b	4.167±1.169b

**Table 4 Water-soluble phenol concentrations at different soil depths and peatland degradation stages (different letters indicate significant differences,  $P < 0.05$ )**

Soil depth		Peatland degradation stages	
		alpine meadow	swamp meadow
Water-soluble phenols (µg/g)	0–10cm	76.623 ±24.360c	19.750±4.106a
	10–20cm	161.795±17.020a	22.989±2.956a
	20–30cm	116.606±33.256b	23.483±8.333a
The total phenols (µg/g)	0–10cm	629.867±46.361b	748.867±17.786a
	10–20cm	651.533±52.786ab	753.866±37.978a
	20–30cm	741.539±35.303a	696.533±16.921b

**Table 5 Correlation analysis between water-soluble phenol concentrations and total vegetation coverage, etc**

	Water-soluble phenols	Pielou evenness index	Shannon diversity index	Richness index	Total vegetation coverage
Water-soluble phenols	1				
Pielou evenness index	0.428	1			
Shannon diversity index	0.696*	0.881**	1		
Richness index	0.778**	0.702*	0.749**	1	
Total vegetation coverage	0.837**	0.552	0.825**	0.702*	1

\*\* $p < 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$