

journal of geophysical research:biogeoscience

Supporting Information for

Peatland degradation increased biodiversity and polyphenols accumulation

Zeng Jia¹, Bai Yinping¹, Dong Faqin⁴, Chen Huai^{2,3}, Yang Zhenan⁵, Yang Suizhuang¹, Yang Gang^{1*}

¹ School of Life Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China, ² Key Laboratory of Mountain Ecological

Restoration and Bioresource Utilization & Ecological Restoration Biodiversity Conservation, Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu Institute of Biology,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, 610041, China, ³ Zoige Peatland and Global Change Research Station, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Hongyuan 624400, China, ⁴ Key Laboratory of Solid Waste Treatment and Resource Recycle, Ministry of Education, Southwest

University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China, ⁵ Key Laboratory of Southwest China Wildlife Resources Conservation (China West Normal

University), Ministry of Education; No.1 Shi Da Road, Nanchong, Sichuan, China, 637000

* Corresponding authors: Associate Prof. Gang Yang, PhD

E-mail: yanggang903@swust.edu.cn or yanggang903@163.com

Contents of this file

Tables S1 to S4

Introduction

The support information provides the same charts and tables as in this article, as well as the data to generate the charts.

Table 1 Vegetation survey results for sampling of different types of peatlands representative of different stages of degradation (Different letters indicate significant differences between different types of peatlands, P<0.05)

Peatland type	Total vegetation coverage	Dominant species	Coverage of dominant	Accompanying species	Coverage of accompanying
			species		species
	97.50±4.18a	Carex muliensis \ Scirpus triqueter		Deschampsia caespitosa 、Caltha	
				scaposa, potentilla anserine,	
				Sanguisorba officinalis , Tibetan golde	n
				lotus flower 、Cremanthodium	
alpine meadow			83.83±11.41a	brunneo-pilosum 、Saussurea stella	27.00±7.29a
				Maxim 、leontopodium leontopodioides	,
				Gentiana leucomelaena 、Epilobium	
				tibetanum Hausskn, Commelina	
				diffusa 、Limosella aquatica 、Sibbaldi	a

				procumbens		
swamp meadow				Limosella aquatica 、Sibbaldia		
	73.33±11.69b	Carex meyeriana , Commelina diffusa	62.83±13.86b	procumbens . Deschampsia caespitosa .		
				Scirpus triqueter , Heleocharis		
				kamtschatica Sanguisorba officinalis	16.00±8.83b	
				Caltha scaposa 、Gentiana	10.00=0.000	
				leucomelaena 、Cremanthodium		
				brunneo-pilosum v potentilla anserine v		
				Delphinium grandiflorum		
				Carex meyeriana , Polygonum aviculare ,		
peat swamp	51.67±7.5c	Commelina diffusa 、	47.33±8.52c	Heleocharis kamtschatica , Deschampsia	6.33±3.27c	
peat swamp	31.07±7.3¢	Halerpestes tricuspis		caespitosa , Epilobium tibetanum	0.00±0.27€	
				Hausskn 、Draba nemorosa		

In the process of peatland degradation, vegetation evenness, richness and diversity index all changed

Peatland type	Pielou evenness index	Shannon-Wiener's diversity index	Species richness index
alpine meadow	0. 336	1. 63	10
alpine meadow	0.319	1. 542	9
alpine meadow	0.306	1. 52	8
alpine meadow	0. 291	1. 493	6
alpine meadow	0. 289	1. 349	6
alpine meadow	0. 242	1. 252	6
swamp meadow	0. 339	1. 476	8
swamp meadow	0. 315	1. 39	7
swamp meadow	0. 302	1. 357	5
swamp meadow	0. 294	1. 316	5
swamp meadow	0. 269	1. 185	5
swamp meadow	0. 22	0.86	5
peat swamp	0. 277	1. 237	5
peat swamp	0. 256	1. 13	5
peat swamp	0. 255	1. 054	5
peat swamp	0. 246	0. 973	4
peat swamp	0. 198	0. 885	4
peat swamp	0.16	0. 666	2

Table 2 Changes in evenness, diversity and richness of different stages of peatland degradation (different letters indicate significant differences, P<0.05)

	Pielou evenness index	Shannon-Wiener's diversity index	Species richness index
alpine meadow	0.297±0.032a	1.465±0.138a	7.5±1.760a
swamp meadow	0.29±0.041a	1.264±0.219a	5.833±1.329ab
peat swamp	0.232±0.043b	0.991±0.200b	4.167±1.169b

Changes of water soluble phenol and total phenol content

Peatland type	soil depth	water-soluble phenols ($\mu g/g$)	The total phenols
alpine meadow	0-10cm	39.59598	679.2
alpine meadow 0-10cm		104.40261	
alpine meadow	0-10cm	92.75118	587.2
alpine meadow	0-10cm	67.321341	
alpine meadow	0-10cm	93.24124	623.2
alpine meadow	0-10cm	62.42614	
alpine meadow	10-20cm	146.30979	689.2
alpine meadow 10-20cm		190.68489	
alpine meadow	10-20cm	151.509033	674.2
alpine meadow 10-20cm		149.4124	
alpine meadow 10-20cm		172.4274	591.2
alpine meadow	neadow 10-20cm 160.4246		<u> </u>
alpine meadow 20-30cm 93.53427		93.53427	709.2
alpine meadow 20-30cm		181.16916	<u> </u>
alpine meadow 20-30cm		100	779.2
alpine meadow 20-30cm		94.241249	<u> </u>
alpine meadow 20-30cm		120.34932	736.2
alpine meadow	20-30cm	110.3432	

swamp meadow	0-10cm	16.81518	733.2
swamp meadow	0-10cm	27.46995	
swamp meadow	0-10cm	17.00502	768.2
swamp meadow	0-10cm	19.87635	
swamp meadow	0-10cm	20.42214	745.2
swamp meadow	0-10cm	16.9101	
swamp meadow	10-20cm	17.17113	710.2
swamp meadow	10-20cm	25.00203	
swamp meadow	10-20cm	24.66981	772.2
swamp meadow	10-20cm	23.36466	
swamp meadow	10-20cm	23.05617	779.2
swamp meadow	10-20cm	24.66981	
swamp meadow	20-30cm	38.45694	682.2
swamp meadow	20-30cm	18.59493	
swamp meadow	20-30cm	20.77809	692.2
swamp meadow	20-30cm	17.93049	
swamp meadow	20-30cm	28.01574	715.2
swamp meadow	20-30cm	17.12367	

Table 3 Water-soluble phenol concentrations at different soil depths and peatland degradation stages (different letters indicate significant differences, P < 0.05)

0.4	14	Peatland degradation stages		
8011	depth	alpine meadow	swamp meadow	
	0-10cm	76.623 ±24.360c	19.750±4.106a	
Water-soluble phenols (μg/g)	10-20cm	161.795±17.020a	22.989±2.956a	
	20-30cm	116.606±33.256b	23.483±8.333a	
	0-10cm	629.867±46.361b	748.867±17.786a	
The total phenols	10-20cm	651.533±52.786ab	753.866±37.978a	
(μg/g)	20-30cm	741.539±35.303a	696.533±16.921b	

Table 4 Correlation analysis between water-soluble phenol concentrations and total vegetation coverage, etc

	Water-soluble phenols	Pielou evenness index	Shannon diversity	Richness index	Total vegetation coverage
			index		
Water-soluble phenols	1				
Pielou evenness index	0.428	1			
Shannon diversity index	0.696*	0.881**	1		
Richness index	0.778**	0.702*	0.749**	1	
Total vegetation coverage	0.837**	0.552	0.825**	0.702*	1

^{**}p<0.01; *p<0.05