

**Problem 12.16**

Let  $\text{char } k \neq 2$  and  $f \in \mathbb{k}[x]$  be a cubic whose discriminant has a square root in  $\mathbb{k}$ , then  $f$  is either irreducible or splits in  $\mathbb{k}$ .

*Solution:* Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the splitting field of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{k}$ . First we suppose  $f$  has multiple roots. Then the discriminant  $\Delta(f) = 0$  has a square root in  $\mathbb{k}$ . If  $f$  has only one root  $\alpha$ , then  $f$  is irreducible when  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{k}$  and  $f$  splits in  $\mathbb{k}$  when  $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ . If  $\alpha$  as a root of  $f$  has multiplicity 2, let  $\beta$  be another root of  $f$ ,  $f(x)$  can be written as

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha)^2(x - \beta)$$

when  $\beta \in \mathbb{k}$ , we know that  $(x - \alpha)^2 = x^2 - 2\alpha x + \alpha^2 \in \mathbb{k}[x]$ . Note that 2 is invertible in  $\mathbb{k}$ , so this implies  $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ . Thus,  $f$  splits in  $\mathbb{k}$ . When  $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}$ , it is easy to see that  $\beta \in \mathbb{k}$  and  $f$  again splits in  $\mathbb{k}$ . If neither  $\alpha$  nor  $\beta$  is in  $\mathbb{k}$ , then  $f$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{k}$ .

Now suppose  $f$  does not have multiple roots. By Theorem 12.1.2, the Galois group  $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}) \leq A_3 \cong C_3$ . We know that  $C_3$  is simple and only have two subgroups:  $\{e\}$  or  $C_3$ . When  $G = \{e\}$ , this means  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{k}$ , so  $f$  splits in  $\mathbb{k}$ . When  $G = C_3$ , this means the action of  $G$  on the roots of  $f$  is transitive, thus  $f$  is irreducible.

---

**Problem 12.4.9**

Let  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}$  be a finite Galois extension and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ . Consider the  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear operator  $A_\alpha : x \mapsto \alpha x$  on the  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then  $\det A_\alpha = N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha)$  and  $\text{tr } A_\alpha = T_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha)$ .

*Solution:* Let  $p(x) \in \mathbb{k}[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{k}$  and  $\deg p = d$ .  $p(x)$  has  $d$  roots  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$  where  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ . Suppose  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{k}] = n$  and  $r := \frac{n}{d}$ . We prove  $\det A_\alpha$  and  $N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha)$  are both equal to  $(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_d)^r$ , and both  $\text{tr } A_\alpha$  and  $T_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha)$  are equal to  $r(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d)$ .

(1) In this part we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \det A_\alpha &= (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d)^r, \\ \text{tr } A_\alpha &= r(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbb{k}(\alpha)$  be the splitting field of  $p$ . Suppose

$$p(x) = (x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_d) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

$\mathbb{k}(\alpha)$  as a  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space has a basis  $\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$ . The multiplication of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{k}(\alpha)$  can be written as a matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of this matrix  $B$  is  $(-1)^{d-1} \cdot (-a_0) = (-1)^d a_0$  and the trace of this matrix  $B$  is  $-a_{d-1}$ . Note that in  $p(x)$ , we have

$$(x - \alpha_1) \cdots (x - \alpha_d) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0$$

By comparing coefficients, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^d(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d) &= a_0, \\ -(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d) &= a_{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\det B = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d$  and  $\text{tr } B = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$ . Now consider the extension  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}(\alpha)/\mathbb{k}$ , we have

$$[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{k}(\alpha)] = \frac{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{k}]}{[\mathbb{k}(\alpha) : \mathbb{k}]} = \frac{n}{d} = r.$$

Choose  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$  as a  $\mathbb{k}(\alpha)$ -basis of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_1, \alpha\beta_1, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\beta_1, \\ &\beta_2, \alpha\beta_2, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\beta_2, \\ &\quad \dots \\ &\beta_r, \alpha\beta_r, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\beta_r. \end{aligned}$$

is a  $\mathbb{k}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{K}$ . Note that multiplying by  $\alpha$  only sends a base vector to linear combinations of the basis in the same row. So the matrix  $A_\alpha$  is a block matrix with  $r$  block each equal to  $B$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \det A_\alpha &= (\det B)^r = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d)^r, \\ \text{tr } A_\alpha &= r(\text{tr } B) = r(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d). \end{aligned}$$

(2) In this part we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha) &= (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d)^r, \\ T_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha) &= r(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d). \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $\alpha$  is a root of the polynomial  $p(x) \in \mathbb{k}[x]$ , for any  $\sigma \in G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k})$ ,  $\sigma$  fixes  $p$ , so  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}$  is a Galois extension, so there exists  $\sigma_i \in G$  such that  $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Let  $G_\alpha = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}(\alpha))$ . We have proved in Exercise 11.6.2 that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^d (\sigma_i G_\alpha)$  is a coset partition of  $G$  with respect to the subgroup  $G_\alpha$ . Each coset has  $r$  elements and for any  $\tau \in \sigma_i G_\alpha$ ,  $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ . Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha) &= \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\alpha) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\alpha) \right)^r = (\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_d)^r, \\ T_{\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{k}}(\alpha) &= \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(\alpha) = r \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\alpha) \right) = r(\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d). \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 12.4.11**

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

- (a)  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  is equivalent to  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a + ib) = 1$ .
- (b) Use Hilbert's Theorem 90 to prove that the rational solutions of  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  are of the form  $a = (s^2 - t^2)/(s^2 + t^2)$  and  $b = 2st/(s^2 + t^2)$  for  $s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Solution:*

- (a) We have proved in Exercise 12.4.9 that  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a + ib) = \det A_{a+ib}$  where  $A_{a+ib}$  is the matrix given by the multiplication  $x \mapsto (a + ib)x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$ . Choose  $\{1, i\}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and the matrix  $A_{a+ib}$  can be written as

$$A_{a+ib} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

By direct calculation, we know that

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a + ib) = \det A_{a+ib} = a^2 + b^2.$$

Therefore,  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  is equivalent to  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a + ib) = 1$ .

- (b) From (a), we know that  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  has rational solutions if and only if  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a + ib) = 1$ . We know that  $\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}$  is a quadratic extension so the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}) = C^2$  generated by sending  $i$  to  $-i$ . Choose  $\{1, i\}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ . By Hilbert's Theorem 90, there exists  $s + it \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$  for some  $t, s \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $s^2 + t^2 \neq 0$  such that

$$\frac{s + it}{s - it} = a + ib.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\frac{(s^2 - t^2 + i(2st))}{s^2 + t^2} = a + ib.$$

By comparing coefficients we know that  $a, b$  must have the form

$$a = \frac{s^2 - t^2}{s^2 + t^2},$$

$$b = \frac{2st}{s^2 + t^2}.$$

**Problem 13.2.9**

True or false? Let  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}_q$  be a finite extension, and  $\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{M}$  be two intermediate subfields. Then either  $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{M}$  or  $\mathbb{M} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$ .

*Solution:* This is true. Suppose  $q = p^d$  for some prime  $p$ . Then the field extension  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}_q$  must be  $\mathbb{K} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  for some  $n$  satisfying  $d|n$  by Corollary 13.2.8. We know the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}_q)$  is

isomorphic to the cyclic group  $C_{n/d}$ . By Galois correspondence,  $\mathbb{M}^*$  and  $\mathbb{L}^*$  are subgroups of  $C_{n/d}$ . We know in cyclic groups, either  $\mathbb{M}^* \subseteq \mathbb{L}^*$  or  $\mathbb{L}^* \subseteq \mathbb{M}^*$ . This implies  $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{M}$  or  $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{M}$ .

---

**Problem 13.2.12**

Let  $p$  be a prime. Then there are exactly  $(q^p - q)/p$  monic irreducible polynomials of degree  $p$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  ( $q$  is not necessarily a power of  $p$ ).

*Solution:* Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a degree  $p$  extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then  $\mathbb{K}$  is a  $p$  dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space, thus having  $q^p$  elements. By Theorem 13.2.3,  $\mathbb{K}$  is the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^{q^p} - x$ . The field  $\mathbb{K}$  has exactly  $q^p$  elements, so  $x^{q^p} - x$  has  $q^p$  different roots in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  be a degree  $p$  irreducible polynomial. If  $\alpha$  is a root of  $f$ , then  $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)/\mathbb{F}_q$  is a degree  $p$  extension and thus,  $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) \cong \mathbb{K}$  as a finite field extension. This means  $\alpha$  is also a root of the polynomial  $x^{q^p} - x$ . Since every finite field is separable, every irreducible polynomial  $f$  contributes  $p$  different roots for the polynomial  $x^{q^p} - x$ . Note that  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{F}_q] = p$  is a prime number, only 1 and  $p$  divides  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{F}_q]$ , so the roots of  $x^{q^p} - x$  either coming from a degree  $p$  irreducible polynomial or coming from a degree 1 irreducible polynomial. We have  $q$  elements in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which counts as  $q$  irreducible degree 1 polynomial. So the number of degree  $p$  polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is equal to  $\frac{q^p - q}{p}$ .

---

**Problem 13.2.13**

What is  $\sum_A A^{100}$ , where the sum is over all  $17 \times 17$  matrices  $A$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ ?

*Solution:* We know that  $\mathbb{F}_{17}^\times$  is a multiplicative group generated by  $a$  where  $a^{16} = 1$ . We first prove a claim.

Claim: The sum over all elements  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{17}$  is  $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{17}} x^{100} = 0$ .

Proof: Write  $S = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{17}} x^{100}$ . Consider  $a^{100}S$ . Note that  $a$  acting by multiplication on the field

$$\mathbb{F}_{17} = \{0, 1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{16}\}$$

is just a permutation of these elements. So we have

$$a^{100}S = a^{100} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{17}} x^{100} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{17}} (ax)^{100} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{17}} x^{100} = S.$$

This implies  $(a^{100} - 1)S = 0$  in the field  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ . Since  $16 \nmid 100$ ,  $a^{100} - 1 \neq 0$ . This implies  $S = 0$ . ■

Now consider  $a^{100}$  acts on a matrix  $A \in M_{17}(\mathbb{F}_{17})$  by multiplication on each entry. We have

$$a^{100} \sum_A A^{100} = \sum_A (aA)^{100}.$$

We claim the following map

$$\begin{aligned} m_a : M_{17}(\mathbb{F}_{17}) &\rightarrow M_{17}(\mathbb{F}_{17}), \\ A &\mapsto aA \end{aligned}$$

is a bijection. Indeed, since  $a$  is multiplicatively invertible in  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ , multiplying by  $\frac{1}{a} = a^{15}$  is the inverse map. So  $m_a$  is both injective and surjective. By the same argument as in the claim on each entry, we have  $\sum_A A^{100} = 0$ .