

**Exercise 4.1**

Find the limit of the integral and justify your answer

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(nx)}{nx} dx$$

*Solution:* We do a change of variable by setting  $u = nx$ . This gives

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(nx)}{nx} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos u}{nu} du = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos u}{u} du.$$

Note that  $\frac{1 - \cos u}{u} \geq 0$  for any  $u > 0$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos u}{u} du &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2k\pi}^{\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2k\pi} \frac{1 - \cos u}{u} du \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2k\pi}^{\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2k\pi} \frac{1}{u} du \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2k\pi} \cdot \left( \frac{3\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3 + 4k} \end{aligned}$$

We know that the sequence  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{4k+3}$  diverges, so

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos u}{u} du = +\infty.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos(nx)}{nx} dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \cos u}{u} du \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \cdot +\infty \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} +\infty \\ &= +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 4.2**

If  $f_n, g_n, f, g \in L^1$ ,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $g_n \rightarrow g$  a.e., suppose  $|f_n| \leq g_n$  and  $\int g_n \rightarrow \int g$ , prove

$$\int f_n \rightarrow \int f.$$

*Solution:*  $|f_n| \leq g_n$  implies that  $g_n - f_n \geq 0$  and  $g_n + f_n \geq 0$ , so both  $g_n + f_n$  and  $g_n - f_n$  are positive measurable functions. By Fatou's lemma and note that  $\int g_n \rightarrow \int g$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int g + \int f &= \int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n + f_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n + f_n = \int g + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n, \\ \int g - \int f &= \int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g_n - f_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n - f_n = \int g - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\int f \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n \leq \int f.$$

This implies that  $\int f_n \rightarrow \int f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

---

**Exercise 4.3**

Suppose  $f_n, f \in L^1$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. Then  $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$  if and only if  $\int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f|$ .

*Solution:* By the inverse triangular inequality, for any  $n$ , we have

$$||f_n| - |f|| \leq |f_n - f|.$$

Thus, for any  $n$ ,

$$\left| \int |f_n| - \int |f| \right| \leq \int ||f_n| - |f|| \leq \int |f_n - f|.$$

Assume  $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f|$  by the above inequality.

On the other hand, assume  $\int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f|$ . Note that for any  $n$

$$|f_n - f| \leq |f_n| + |f|.$$

Define  $g_n = |f_n| + |f| - |f_n - f|$  which are positive measurable functions, and because  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere,  $g_n \rightarrow 2|f|$  almost everywhere. By Fatou's lemma and  $\int |f_n| \rightarrow \int |f|$ , we have

$$\int 2|f| = \int \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n| + |f| - |f_n - f| = \int 2|f| - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n - f|.$$

So  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_n - f| \leq 0$  and this implies that  $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ .

---

**Exercise 2.3**

Let  $X$  be a metric space with metric  $\rho$ . For any nonempty  $E \subset X$ , define

$$\rho_E(x) = \inf \{ \rho(x, y) : y \in E \}.$$

Show that  $\rho_E$  is a uniformly continuous function on  $X$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ , examine the relevance of the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\rho_A(x)}{\rho_A(x) + \rho_B(x)}$$

to Urysohn's lemma.

*Solution:* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , suppose  $x, z \in X$  and  $\rho(x, z) = \rho(z, x) < \delta = \varepsilon$ , then by definition of  $\rho_E$  and the triangular inequality for metric  $\rho$ , the following inequality works for any  $y$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_E(x) &= \inf \{ \rho(x, y) : y \in E \} \\ &\leq \rho(x, y) \\ &\leq \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\rho(z, y) \geq \rho_E(x) - \rho(x, z)$  for any  $y \in E$ , and by definition of inf, we have

$$\rho_E(z) = \inf \{ \rho(z, y) : y \in E \} \geq \rho_E(x) - \rho(x, z).$$

This means

$$\rho(x, z) \geq \rho_E(x) - \rho_E(z).$$

Similarly, by swapping the place of  $x$  and  $z$ , we obtain

$$\rho(x, z) = \rho(z, x) \geq \rho_E(z) - \rho_E(x).$$

Thus, we can see that

$$|\rho_E(x) - \rho_E(z)| \leq \rho(x, z) < \varepsilon$$

This proves that the function  $\rho_E$  is uniformly continuous on  $X$ .

Let  $A$  be a closed set in  $X$ .  $\rho_A(x) = 0$  implies that  $x$  is a limit point of  $A$ , and since  $A$  is closed,  $x \in A$ . It is not hard to see that  $\rho_A(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in A$ . For the function  $f$ ,  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B$  and  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in A$ , and  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  for any  $x \in X$ .  $f$  can be viewed as a continuous function supported on the open set  $X \setminus A$  and be constant 1 on the closed set  $B$ . This is a generalization of Urysohn's lemma for metric space.

---

**Exercise 2.8**

Construct a Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^1$  such that

$$0 < m(E \cap I) < m(I)$$

for every nonempty segment  $I$ . Is it possible to have  $m(E) < \infty$  for such a set?

*Solution:* Consider the set of rational intervals

$$E = \{(a, b) : a, b \text{ are rational numbers and } a < b\}$$

The set  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  is countable, so  $E$  only contains countably many intervals. Suppose the elements of  $E$  can be listed as

$$\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

For  $n = 1$ , choose two disjoint closed intervals  $J_1, K_1 \subset I_1$  such that  $J_1 \cap K_1 = \emptyset$  and  $m(J_1) = m(K_1) < \frac{1}{3}m(I_1)$ . Do a Cantor-like construction on  $J_1$  and  $K_1$  to obtain a Cantor-like set  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  with positive measure  $0 < m(A_1) = m(B_1) < \frac{1}{3}m(I_1)$  and  $A_1 \cap B_1 = \emptyset$ .

For the second rational interval  $I_2$ ,  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are not dense in  $I_2$  because  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  are closed, there exists some open interval  $(a_2, b_2) \subset I_2 \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1)$ . Choose two closed intervals with measure smaller than  $\frac{1}{3^2}m(I_1)$ , and do a Cantor-like construction similar as before, and obtain two Cantor-like sets  $A_2, B_2$  with positive measure  $0 < m(A_2) = m(B_2) < \frac{1}{3^2}m(I_1)$  and  $A_2 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ . Next consider the set  $I_3 \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1 \cup A_2 \cup B_2)$  and repeat this construction. We obtain two sequences  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfying the following property:

- $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  and  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ .
- $A_i \cap B_i = \emptyset$  for any  $i$ .
- $0 < m(A_i) = m(B_i) < \frac{1}{3^i}m(I_1)$  for any  $i$ .

Take

$$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

Take any segment  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $I$  must contain a rational interval  $I_n$ . Recall that  $A_n \subset A$  is constructed from a subinterval of  $I_n$ , and we have

$$0 < m(A_n) \leq m(A \cap I) < m(A \cap I) + m(B_n) \leq m(I_n) < m(I).$$

And  $m(A)$  is finite as

$$m(A) = m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n) \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^n}\right)m(I_1) = \frac{1}{2}m(I_1).$$