# **Zhengdong Zhang**

Email: zhengz@uoregon.edu

Course: MATH 634 - Algebraic Topology

Instructor: Dr.Patricia Hersh

# Homework - Week 6

ID: 952091294 Term: Fall 2024

Due Date:  $16^{th}$  November, 2024

# Problem 2.1.24

Show that each *n*-simplex in the barycentric subdivision of  $\Delta^n$  is defined by *n* inequalities  $t_{i_0} \leq t_{i_1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{i_n}$  in its barycentric coordinates, where  $(i_0, \ldots, i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(0, \ldots, n)$ .

Solution: Let  $[v_0, \ldots, v_n]$  be a standard n-simplex. We prove this using the induction on n.

When n=1, under barycentric coordinates, the 1-simplex is an interval  $[v_0, v_1]$  with two vertices  $v_0=(1,0)$  and  $v_1=(0,1)$ . The barycenter is  $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ . After barycentric subdivision, the 2 1-simplices are just (t,1-t) given by  $0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1$  respectively. So it satisfies the assumption.

Now assume  $n \geq 2$  and we have prove the case for n-1. The barycenter for  $[v_0, \ldots, v_n]$  has coordinates  $b = (\frac{1}{n+1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n+1})$ . Consider one of its faces  $[v_0, \ldots, \hat{v_k}, \ldots, v_n]$ , by our assumption we know that each (n-1)-simplex after the barycentric subdivision in this face is given by an equality  $0 \leq t_{i_0} \leq \cdots \leq t_{i_{k-1}} \leq t_{i_{k+1}} \leq \cdots \leq t_{i_n}$  where  $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}, i_{k+1}, \ldots, i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(0, 1, \ldots, k-1, k+1, \ldots, n)$ . Fix such a (n-1)-simplex  $\Delta^{n-1}$  (namely, an inequality as above), we will try to describe any point  $x = (t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n)$  in the n-simplex formed using vertices from  $\Delta^{n-1}$  and b. Consider the line passing through x and b and it intersects with  $\Delta^{n-1}$  at the point  $y \in \Delta^{n-1}$ . By colinearity we can write the coordinate

$$y = (\frac{t_0 - t_k}{n+1}, \frac{t_1 - t_k}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{t_{k-1} - t_k}{n+1}, 0, \frac{t_{k+1} - t_k}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{t_n - t_k}{n+1}).$$

The inequality implies that

$$0 \le \frac{t_{i_0} - t_k}{n+1} \le \frac{t_{i_1} - t_k}{n+1} \le \dots \le \frac{t_{i_{k-1}} - t_k}{n+1} \le \frac{t_{i_{k+1}} - t_k}{n+1} \le \dots \le \frac{t_{i_n} - t_k}{n+1} \le 1.$$

Combine this with the requirements that the coordinate  $\frac{t_{i_j}-t_k}{n+1} \geq 0$  for all  $j=0,1,\ldots,k-1,k+1,\ldots,n$  gives us a total order

$$0 \le t_k \le t_{i_0} \le t_{i_1} \le \dots \le t_{i_{k-1}} \le t_{i_{k+1}} \le \dots \le t_{i_n} \le 1.$$

Varying k = 0, 1, ..., n and repeat the same process for each face we run through all the n-simplex in the barycentric subdivision, giving us an inequality as above each time. We are done.

#### Problem 2.1.26

Show that  $H_1(X, A)$  is not isomorphic to  $\tilde{H}_1(X/A)$  if X = [0, 1] and A is the sequence  $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots$  together with its limit 0.

Solution: We first use the long exact sequence for relative homology to calculate  $H_1(X,A)$ 

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_1(X) \longrightarrow H_1(X,A) \longrightarrow H_0(A) \longrightarrow H_0(X) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

We know that X = [0,1] is contractible, so  $H_1(X) = 0$ . Note that A is countable many points  $\{0,1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{3},\ldots\}$ , so by Proposition 2.7,  $H_0(A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ . We have an injective map  $\partial: H_1(X,A) \to$ 

 $H_0(A) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, the quotient space X/A is homeomorphic to the shrinking wedge of circles, each interval  $\left[\frac{1}{n+1},\frac{1}{n}\right]$  in X/A is a small circle, the radius of which is shrinking as n gets larger. Denote this circle as  $C_n$ . Consider the retraction  $r_n: X/A \to C_n$  collapsing all circles except  $C_n$  to the point represented by A. The induced map in homology  $r_{n,*}: H_1(X/A) \to H_1(C_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is surjective. Moreover, by the universal property of products, we have a surjective map  $r_*: H_1(X/A) \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that for any topological space,  $H_1(X/A) \cong \tilde{H}_1(X/A)$ . And  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$  is not isomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $H_1(X,A)$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\tilde{H}_1(X/A)$ .

## **Problem 2.1.30**

In each of the following commutative diagrams assume that all maps but one are isomorphisms. Show that the remaining map must be isomorphism as well.



Solution:

(1)

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

$$C$$

- (a) Assume g, h are isomorphisms, then  $f = h \circ g$  is also an isomorphism since it is the composition of two isomorphisms.
- (b) Assume f, g are isomorphisms. g is an isomorphism implies that there exist a map  $g^{-1}$ :  $C \to A$  such that  $g \circ g^{-1} = id_C$ , then

$$h = h \circ id_C = h \circ (g \circ g^{-1}) = (h \circ g) \circ g^{-1} = f \circ g^{-1}$$

where both f and  $g^{-1}$  are isomorphisms, so is h.

(c) Assume f, h are isomorphisms. h is an isomorphism implies that there exists a map  $h^{-1}: B \to C$  such that  $h^{-1} \circ h = id_C$ , then

$$g = id_C \circ g = (h^{-1} \circ h) \circ g = h^{-1} \circ (h \circ g) = h^{-1} \circ f$$

where both f and  $h^{-1}$  are isomorphisms, so is g.

(2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
\downarrow^{i} & & \downarrow^{g} \\
C & \xrightarrow{h} & D
\end{array}$$

Assume i, g, h are isomorphisms. Then view the composition  $h \circ i$  as one isomorphism, and we are back to the situation (c) in (1).

Assume i, f, g are isomorphisms. Then view the composition  $g \circ f$  as one isomorphism, and we are back to the situation (b) in (1).

Assume f, i, h are isomorphisms. Then view the composition  $h \circ i$  as one isomorphism, and we are back to the situation (b) in (1).

Assume f, g, h are isomorphisms. Then view the composition  $g \circ f$  as one isomorphism, and we are back to the situation (c) in (1).

(3)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\
\downarrow & & \uparrow^{g} \\
C & \xrightarrow{h} & D
\end{array}$$

Assume i, g, h are isomorphisms, then  $f = g \circ h \circ i$  is also an isomorphism since it is the composition of isomorphisms.

Assume i, f, h are isomorphisms, then view the composition  $h \circ i$  as one isomorphism, and we are back to the situation (b) in (1).

Assume i, f, g are isomorphisms. i, g are isomorphisms implies that there exist  $g^{-1}: B \to D$  and  $i^{-1}: C \to A$  such that  $g^{-1} \circ g = id_D$  and  $i \circ i^{-1} = id_C$ . Now we have

$$h = id_D \circ h \circ id_C = g^{-1} \circ g \circ h \circ i \circ i^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ (g \circ h \circ i) \circ i^{-1} = g^{-1} \circ f \circ i^{-1}$$

where  $i^{-1}$ , f,  $g^{-1}$  are isomorphisms, so is h.

Assume f, g, h are isomorphisms, then view the composition  $g \circ h$  as one isomorphism, and we are back to the situation (c) in (1).

#### **Problem 2.1.31**

Using the notation of the five lemma, give an example where the maps  $\alpha, \beta, \delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are zero but  $\gamma$  is nonzero. This can be done with short exact sequences in which all the groups are either  $\mathbb{Z}$  or 0.

Solution: Consider the following diagrams:

The top row and the bottom row are exact. And we have  $\alpha = \beta = \delta = \varepsilon = 0$ , and  $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}$  is an isomorphism and nonzero.

### Problem 2.2.1

Prove the Brouwer fixed point theorem for maps  $f:D^n\to D^n$  by applying degree theory to the map  $S^n\to S^n$  that sends both the northern and southern hemispheres of  $S^n$  to the southern hemisphere via f.

Solution: Denote the descirbed map by  $\bar{f}: S^n \to S^n$ . Since  $\bar{f}$  is not surjective, so we know deg  $\bar{f}=0$ . Moreover,  $\bar{f}$  has no fix point unless deg  $\bar{f}=(-1)^{n+1}$ . There exist  $x\in S^n$  such that  $\bar{f}(x)=x$ . Note that x cannot be in the northern hemisphere because the northern hemisphere is not in the image of  $\bar{f}$ . And we know that when  $\bar{f}$  restricts to the southern hemisphere, it is just the map  $f:D^n\to D^n$ , so we can conclude that f has a fixed point.