

Exercise 2.2.1

Show that a chain complex P is a projective object in \mathcal{Ch} if and only if it is a split exact complex of projectives.

Solution: Suppose the chain complex P_\bullet is a projective object in \mathcal{Ch} . We first prove that for any n , P_n is a projective object. Consider a surjection in the abelian category and suppose we have a map $P_n \rightarrow B$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P_n & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ A & \twoheadrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Now view A and B as complexes concentrated at degree n , we obtain a diagram of chain complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P_\bullet & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ A & \twoheadrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By projectivity of P_\bullet in \mathcal{Ch} , there exists a map $P_\bullet \rightarrow A$ such that the diagram commutes. Take the map $P_n \rightarrow A$, and we have a commutative diagram in the original abelian category. This implies P_n is projective for any n . To see that P_\bullet is split exact, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{j} \text{cone}(P) \rightarrow P[-1] \rightarrow 0$$

We know that P is projective in \mathcal{Ch} , so $P[-1]$ is also projective in \mathcal{Ch} , thus the short exact sequence splits. There exists a map $k : \text{cone}(P) \rightarrow P$ such that $k \circ j = \text{id}_P$. By exercise 1.5.2, this implies that id_P is nullhomotopic. By exercise 1.4.3, we know that P_\bullet is a split exact complex of projectives.

Conversely, suppose P_\bullet is a split exact complex of projectives. First consider P_\bullet is of the following form

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Let $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ be levelwise surjection and we have a map $\varphi : P_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$, namely a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} P_n & \xrightarrow{p} & P_{n-1} & & \\ \downarrow d_A & & \downarrow \varphi_{n-1} & & \\ A_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & A_{n-1} & & \\ \searrow f_n & & \searrow f_{n-1} & & \\ & B_n & \xrightarrow{d_B} & B_{n-1} & \end{array}$$

By projectivity of P_n , there exists a map $g_n : P_n \rightarrow A_n$ such that $f_n \circ g_n = \varphi_n$. Note that p is an

isomorphism, we define

$$g_{n-1} = d_A \circ g_n \circ p^{-1} : P_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}.$$

We have $g_{n-1} \circ p = d_A \circ g_n$ by definition. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n-1} \circ g_{n-1} &= (f_{n-1} \circ d_A) \circ g_n \circ p^{-1} \\ &= d_B \circ (f_n \circ g_n) \circ p^{-1} \\ &= d_B \circ \varphi_n \circ p^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_{n-1} \circ p \circ p^{-1} \\ &= \varphi_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & P_n & \xrightarrow{p \cong} & P_{n-1} & \\ g_n \swarrow & \downarrow d_A & & \downarrow \varphi_{n-1} & \swarrow g_{n-1} \\ A_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & A_{n-1} & & \\ f_n \searrow & \downarrow d_B & & \downarrow \varphi_{n-1} & \searrow f_{n-1} \\ & B_n & \xrightarrow{d_B} & B_{n-1} & \end{array}$$

So

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\cong} P_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective object in \mathcal{Ch} . In general, consider a split exact complex of projectives

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$$

The split exactness implies that $P_n = \ker d_n \oplus (P_n / \ker d_n)$, and we have an isomorphism

$$P_n / \ker d_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Im} d_n \cong \ker d_{n-1}$$

Note that $\ker d_n$ is projective as it is a summand of a projective module P_n . This implies that the split exact complex P_\bullet of projectives can be written as sums of

$$P(n) : 0 \rightarrow P_n / \ker d_n \xrightarrow{\cong} \ker d_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Namely, $P_\bullet \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} P(n)$. We have proved that $P(n)$ is a projective object in \mathcal{Ch} for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. So P is also a projective object.

Exercise 2.4.2 (Preserving derived functors)

If $U : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an exact functor, show that

$$U(L_i F) \cong L_i(UF).$$

Solution: Let A be an object in the abelian category. Consider a projective resolution of A :

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

We know that $(L_i F)(A)$ is the i th homology of the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow F(P_i) \rightarrow F(P_{i-1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and $(L_i U F)(A)$ is the i th homology of the complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow U F(P_i) \rightarrow U F(P_{i-1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

To show that $U(L_i F)(A) \cong (L_i U F)(A)$, it is the same as showing taking homology of a complex commutes with applying an exact functor U . And it is equivalent as showing that U preserves kernels and cokernels. We show that U preserves kernels, the other part is completely analogous. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map and consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

Since U is exact, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \ker f \rightarrow U A \xrightarrow{U f} U B$$

This implies that

$$U \ker f \cong \ker U f.$$

So U preserves kernels.

Exercise 2.4.3 (Dimension shifting)

If $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is exact with P projective (or F -acyclic), show that $L_i F(A) \cong L_{i-1} F(M)$ for $i \geq 2$ and that $L_1 F(A)$ is the kernel of $F(M) \rightarrow F(P)$. More generally, show that if

$$0 \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow P_m \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

is exact with the P_i projective (or F -acyclic), then $L_i F(A) \cong L_{i-m-1} F(M_m)$ for $i \geq m+2$ and $L_{m+1} F(A)$ is the kernel of $F(M_m) \rightarrow F(P_m)$. Conclude that if $P \rightarrow A$ is an F -acyclic resolution of A , then $L_i F(A) = H_i(F(P))$.

Solution: From the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

we get a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & L_2 F(A) & \\
& & & & \searrow & & \\
L_1 F(M) & \longrightarrow & L_1 F(P) & \longrightarrow & L_1 F(A) & & \\
& & \nwarrow & & & & \\
F(M) & \longrightarrow & F(P) & \longrightarrow & F(A) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Here P is projective or F -acyclic, so $L_i F(P) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Thus, by exactness, $L_i F(A) \cong L_{i-1} F(M)$ for $i \geq 2$ and

$$L_1 F(A) \cong \ker(F(M) \rightarrow F(P)).$$

More generally, suppose we have an exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow M_m \xrightarrow{f} P_m \xrightarrow{d_m} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} A \rightarrow 0.$$

We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker d_0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

From what we proved above, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_i F(A) &\cong L_{i-1} F(\ker d_0), \quad \text{if } i \geq 2, \\
L_1 F(A) &\cong \ker(F(\ker d_0) \rightarrow F(P_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker d_1 \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \text{Im } d_1 \rightarrow 0$$

By exactness, $\text{Im } d_1 \cong \ker d_0$ and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_i F(A) &\cong L_{i-1} F(\ker d_0) \cong L_{i-2} F(\ker d_1), \quad \text{if } i \geq 3, \\
L_2 F(A) &\cong L_1 F(\ker d_0) \cong \ker(F(\ker d_1) \rightarrow F(P_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Repeat this process. Note that $M_m \cong \ker d_m$, so we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_i F(A) &\cong L_{i-m-1} F(M_m), \quad \text{if } i \geq m+2, \\
L_{m+1} F(A) &\cong \ker(F(M_m) \rightarrow F(P_m)).
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

is a F -acyclic resolution of A . Since F is right exact, we have

$$F(P_1) \rightarrow F(P_0) \rightarrow F(A) \rightarrow 0$$

is still exact, so

$$F(A) \cong L_0 F(A) \cong H_0(F(P_\bullet)).$$

For any $i \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

where $M_i = \ker(P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1})$. From what we have proved above, we get

$$L_{i+1} F(A) \cong \ker(F(M_i) \rightarrow F(P_i)).$$

On the other hand, note that by exactness

$$M_i = \ker(P_i \rightarrow P_{i-1}) \cong \operatorname{Im}(P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i).$$

So we have an exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{i+2} \rightarrow P_{i+1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Since F is right exact, we have

$$F(M_i) \cong \operatorname{coker}(F(P_{i+2}) \rightarrow F(P_{i+1})) \cong F(P_{i+1}) / \operatorname{Im}(F(P_{i+2}) \rightarrow F(P_{i+1})).$$

Thus, for $i \geq 0$, we get

$$L_{i+1} F(A) \cong \ker(F(P_{i+1}) / \operatorname{Im}(F(P_{i+2}) \rightarrow F(P_{i+1})) \rightarrow F(P_i)) \cong H_{i+1}(F(P_\bullet)).$$

Exercise 3.1.2

Suppose that R is a commutative domain with field of fractions F . Show that $\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(F/R, B)$ is the torsion submodule

$$\{b \in B : \exists r \neq 0, rb = 0\}$$

of B for every R -module B .

Solution: Note that fraction field F as an R -module is flat since it is the localization of R . So the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow F/R \rightarrow 0$$

is a flat resolution of F/R . Apply $-\otimes_R B$, we obtain a complex

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{b \mapsto 1 \otimes b} F \otimes_R B \rightarrow 0.$$

So

$$\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(F/R, B) = \ker(B \rightarrow F \otimes_R B).$$

Let T be the torsion submodule

$$T = \{b \in B : \exists r \neq 0, rb = 0\}.$$

We claim $T = \ker(B \rightarrow F \otimes_R B)$. Indeed, for any $b \in T$, there exists nonzero $r \in R$ such that $rb = 0$. Thus, we have

$$1 \otimes b = r \cdot \frac{1}{r} \otimes b = \frac{1}{r} \otimes rb = 0.$$

So $T \subset \ker(B \rightarrow F \otimes_R B)$. On the other hand, suppose $1 \otimes b = 0$ for some $b \in B$, then there exists $0 \neq r \in R$ such that $rb = 0$. Hence, we can conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(F/R, B) = \{b \in B : \exists r \neq 0, rb = 0\}.$$

Exercise 3.1.3

Show that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J) \cong \frac{I \cap J}{IJ}$ for every right ideal I and left ideal J of R . In particular, $\mathrm{Tor}_1(R/I, R/I) \cong I/I^2$ for every 2-sided ideal I .

Solution: Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0.$$

We have a long exact sequence for Tor :

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(R, R/J) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1(R/I, R/J) \rightarrow I \otimes R/J \rightarrow R \otimes R/J \rightarrow \cdots$$

Note that $\mathrm{Tor}_1(R, R/J) = 0$ as R is free. So

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1(R/I, R/J) = \ker(I \otimes R/J \rightarrow R \otimes R/J)$$

where the map is induced by the inclusion $I \rightarrow R$. Now consider the following map between short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & IJ & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & I \otimes R/J & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & R \otimes R/J & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Let $K = \ker(I \otimes R/J \rightarrow R \otimes R/J)$ and note that the map $I \rightarrow R$ is injective, by the Snake Lemma, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow J/IJ \xrightarrow{\varphi} R/I \rightarrow \cdots$$

So $K = \ker(\varphi : J/IJ \rightarrow R/I)$ where φ is induced by the square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} IJ & \longrightarrow & J & \twoheadrightarrow & J/IJ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ I & \longrightarrow & R & \twoheadrightarrow & R/I \end{array}$$

Consider the map $f : J \rightarrow R/I$. IJ is in the $\ker f$, so the map φ is induced by f , namely we have

a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J & \xrightarrow{f} & R/I \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi & \\ J/IJ & & \end{array}$$

It is not hard to see that $\ker f = I \cap J$, so $\ker \varphi = \ker f/IJ = I \cap J/IJ$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/J) = \frac{I \cap J}{IJ}.$$

In particular, when $I = J$ as two-sided ideals, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/I) = I/I^2.$$

Exercise 3.2.2

Show that if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is exact and both B and C are flat, then A is also flat.

Solution: For any R -module M , the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence in Tor

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & \cdots \\ & & & & & \swarrow & \\ \mathrm{Tor}_2(A, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_2(B, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_2(C, M) & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ \mathrm{Tor}_1(A, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_1(B, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_1(C, M) & & \\ & & & & \swarrow & & \\ A \otimes M & \longrightarrow & B \otimes M & \longrightarrow & C \otimes M & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since B and C are flat, we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i(B, M) = \mathrm{Tor}_i(C, M) = 0$$

for any $i > 0$. This implies that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i(A, M) = 0$$

for any $i > 0$. So A is a flat R -module.