

**Exercise 1.2.5**

Give an elementary proof that  $\text{Tot}(C)$  is acyclic whenever  $C$  is a bounded double complex with exact rows (or exact columns).

*Solution:* Without loss of generality, we may assume the bounded double complex  $C$  has exact rows. Consider the total complex  $\text{Tot}(C)$ , for any  $n$ , we want to show that  $H_n(\text{Tot}(C)) = 0$ . Write

$$\text{Tot}(C)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_{p,q}.$$

For any element  $a \in \text{Tot}(C)_n$ ,  $a$  can be written as  $a = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} a_{p,q}$ . Since  $C$  is bounded, there exists  $p_0, p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that for any  $p < p_0$  and  $p > p_1$ , we have  $C_{p,n-p} = 0$ . Suppose  $a \in \text{Tot}(C)_n$  is in the kernel of the map

$$d = d^h + d^v : \text{Tot}(C)_n \rightarrow \text{Tot}(C)_{n-1}.$$

So we have

$$(d^h + d^v)a_{p,q} = d^h a_{p,q} + d^v a_{p,q} = 0$$

for all  $p + q = n$  and  $p_0 \leq p \leq p_1$ . To prove that  $H_n(\text{Tot}(C)) = 0$ , we need to show that every  $a_{p,q}$  is the image of some element in  $\text{Tot}(C)_{n+1}$ .

For  $p = p_0$ , consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & 0 & & & \\ & \downarrow & & & \\ C_{p_0-1,n-p_0} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0,n-p_0} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0+1,n-p_0} \\ & & \downarrow d^v & & \downarrow d^v \\ & & C_{p_0,n-p_0-1} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0+2,n-p_0-1} \end{array}$$

Note that  $d^h a_{p_0,n-p_0} = 0$  in  $C_{p_0-1,n-p_0}$  because  $C_{p_0-1,n-p_0+1} = 0$ . By exactness of rows, there exists an element  $b \in C_{p_0+1,n-p_0}$  such that  $d^h b = a_{p_0,n-p_0}$ .

For  $p = p_0 + 1$ , we know that

$$d^h a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} + d^v a_{p_0,n-p_0} = 0.$$

Replace  $a_{p_0,n-p_0}$  with  $d^h b$  and use the fact that  $d^v d^h + d^h d^v = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^h a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} + d^v d^h b &= 0, \\ d^h a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} - d^h d^v b &= 0, \\ d^h (a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} - d^v b) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By exactness of rows, there exists  $c \in C_{p_0+2, n-p_0-1}$  such that

$$d^h c = a_{p_0+1, n-p_0-1} - d^v b.$$

This implies

$$a_{p_0+1, n-p_0-1} = d^h c + d^v b.$$

So  $a_{p_0+1, n-p_0-1}$  is also in the image of some element in  $\text{Tot}(C)_{n+1}$ . Using a similar argument we can prove step by step that for any  $p_0 \leq p \leq p_1$ ,  $a_{p, n-p}$  is the image of some element in  $\text{Tot}(C)_{n+1}$ . So  $H_n(\text{Tot}(C)) = 0$ , and thus the total complex  $\text{Tot}(C)$  is acyclic.

---

### Exercise 1.2.6

Give examples of

- (1) a second quadrant double complex  $C$  with exact columns such that  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$  is acyclic but  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$  is not;
- (2) a second quadrant double complex  $C$  with exact rows such that  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$  is acyclic but  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$  is not;
- (3) a double complex (in the entire plane) for which every row and column is exact, yet neither  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$  nor  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$  is acyclic.

*Solution:*

- (1) Consider the following double complex  $C$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \mathbb{Z} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z} \\
 & & \downarrow \\
 & & \mathbb{Z}
 \end{array}$$

where all maps are isomorphisms of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Taking different total complexes, we get two maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}, \\
 \beta : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map is given by

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_0, x_0 + x_1, x_1 + x_2, \dots).$$

In  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ , we allow any such sequence, while in  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ , we only allow sequence with finitely many nonzero terms. It is easy to see both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are injective. The element

$(0, 0, 0, \dots)$  has a unique preimage as the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= 0, \\ x_0 + x_1 &= 0, \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 0, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution  $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = \dots = 0$ . A similar argument can show that  $\alpha$  is also surjective. On the other hand, consider the preimage of  $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , the preimage is  $(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$  which is an element in  $\prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}$  but not  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\beta$  is not surjective. This implies  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$  is acyclic but  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$  is not.

(2) Consider the double complex  $C$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \dots \\ & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{Z} \longleftarrow \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

where all maps are isomorphisms. Taking different total complexes, we get two maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}, \\ \beta : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map is given by

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_0 + x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2 + x_3, \dots).$$

It is easy to see both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are surjective if we take  $x_0 = 0$  and solve equations. However, consider the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x_1 &= 0, \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 0, \\ x_2 + x_3 &= 0, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

If we know that at most only finitely many terms are not zero, then these equations have a unique solution  $(0, 0, \dots)$ . Otherwise, we have solutions like  $(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$ . Thus  $\alpha$  is not an isomorphism but  $\beta$  is an isomorphism, so  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$  is acyclic but  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$  is not.

(3) Consider a double complex  $C$  combined from above two

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \dots & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\
 & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & \dots
 \end{array}$$

Taking different total complexes, we get two maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}, \\
 \beta : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map is given by

$$(\dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, x_{-1} + x_0, x_0 + x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2 + x_3, \dots).$$

A similar argument as above two shows that  $\alpha$  is not injective and  $\beta$  is not surjective, so while the double complex  $C$  has exact rows and columns, neither  $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$  nor  $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$  is acyclic.

### Exercise 1.3.5

Let  $f$  be a morphism of chain complexes. Show that if  $\ker f$  and  $\text{coker } f$  are acyclic, then  $f$  is a quasi-isomorphism. Is the converse true?

*Solution:* Let  $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$  be a chain map between complexes such that the complexes  $\ker f_\bullet$  and  $\text{coker } f_\bullet$  are acyclic. Note that the category of chain complexes is an abelian category, so the map  $f_\bullet$  has a factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker f_\bullet & \hookrightarrow & A_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & B_\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \text{coker } f_\bullet \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow p & & \uparrow q \\
 & & & & \text{coim } f_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Im } f_\bullet
 \end{array}$$

The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet \xrightarrow{p} \text{coim } f_\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence in homology

$$\dots \rightarrow H_n(\ker f_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(A_\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(\text{coim } f_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\ker f_\bullet) \rightarrow \dots$$

We know that the complex  $\ker f_\bullet$  is acyclic, so the map

$$p_* : H_n(A_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(\operatorname{coim} f_\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $n$ . Similarly, the map

$$q_* : H_n(\operatorname{Im} f_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(B_\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $n$ . The factorization of the chain map induces a factorization of the induced map  $f_* : H_n(A_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(B_\bullet)$ , namely

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n(A_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_n(B_\bullet) \\ p_* \downarrow & & \uparrow q_* \\ H_n(\operatorname{coim} f_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_n(\operatorname{Im} f_\bullet) \end{array}$$

The bottom row map is induced by the isomorphism  $\operatorname{coim} f_\bullet \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} f_\bullet$ . Both  $p_*$  and  $q_*$  are isomorphisms for all  $n$ , so  $f_*$  is also an isomorphism. This proves that  $f$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

---

### Exercise 1.3.6

Let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of double complexes of modules. Show that there is a short exact sequence of total complexes, and conclude that if  $\operatorname{Tot}(C)$  is acyclic, then  $\operatorname{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(B)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

*Solution:* We need to prove that the functor  $\operatorname{Tot}(-)$  from double complexes to chain complexes preserves kernel and cokernel. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a map of double complexes. Consider the double complex  $\ker(f : A \rightarrow B)$ . On each  $(p, q)$ -place, it is a submodule of  $A_{p,q}$ . Note that taking total complexes preserves objects and maps at each level, so the kernel of the map  $\operatorname{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(B)$  is the total complex of the kernel  $\ker(f : A \rightarrow B)$ . Similar argument for the cokernel. So we get a short exact sequence of total complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(C) \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $\operatorname{Tot}(C)$  is acyclic, from the long exact sequence in homology. For all  $n$ , we have an isomorphism

$$H_n(\operatorname{Tot}(A)) \rightarrow H_n(\operatorname{Tot}(B)).$$

So the map  $\operatorname{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tot}(B)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

---

### Exercise 1.5.2

Let  $f : C \rightarrow D$  be a map of complexes. Show that  $f$  is null homotopic if and only if  $f$  extends to a map  $(-s, f) : \text{cone}(C) \rightarrow D$ .

*Solution:* We first prove the necessity. Suppose  $f$  extends to a map  $(-s, f) : \text{cone}(C) \rightarrow D$ . Then we have a commutative of diagrams, together with the short exact sequence for the  $\text{cone}(C)$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & \text{cone}(C) & \longrightarrow & C[-1] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & \swarrow (-s, f) & & & \\ & & D & & & & \end{array}$$

For any  $n$ , this induces a commutative diagram in homology where the row is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdots & & H_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_n(C) & \longrightarrow & H_n(\text{cone}(C)) & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(C) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{n-1}(C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow f_* & & \swarrow (-s_*, f_*) & & & & & & & & \\ & & H_n(D) & & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Note that the connecting homomorphism  $\partial$  is induced by the identity map  $\text{id}_C : C \rightarrow C$ , so by exactness,  $H_n(\text{cone}(C)) = 0$ . So  $f_* : H_n(C) \rightarrow H_n(D)$  factors through 0. This implies  $f_* = 0$  for all  $n$  and  $f$  is null homotopic.

Next we prove the sufficiency. Assume  $f$  is null homotopic. This means there exists  $s : C_{n-1} \rightarrow D_n$  for all  $n$  such that  $f = sd_C + d_D s$ . We want to show that  $(-s, f) : \text{cone}(C) = C_{n-1} \oplus C_n \rightarrow D_n$  defines a chain map, namely the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{n-1} \oplus C_n & \xrightarrow{(-s, f)} & D_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_D \\ C_{n-2} \oplus C_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{(-s, f)} & D_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Let  $(a, b) \in C_{n-1} \oplus C_n$ . Then the image in  $C_{n-2} \oplus C_{n-1}$  is  $(-d_C(a), d_C(b) - a)$ , so its image in  $D_{n-1}$  is  $sd_C(a) + fd_C(b) - f(a)$ . On the other hand, the image of  $(a, b)$  in  $D_n$  is  $-s(a) + f(b)$ , and thus it maps to  $-d_D s(a) + d_D f(b)$ . Using  $f = sd_C + d_D s$  and  $f$  is a chain map, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (sd_C(a) + fd_C(b) - f(a)) - (-d_D s(a) + d_D f(b)) &= (sd_C + d_D s - f)(a) + (fd_C - d_D f)(b) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $(-s, f)$  is a chain map between  $\text{cone}(C)$  and  $D$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that for all  $n$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_n & \xrightarrow{f} & D_n \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ C_{n-1} \oplus C_n & & \end{array}$$

commutes. So  $f$  can be extends to a chain map  $(-s, f)$ .

### Exercise Additional

Let  $C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$  be morphisms in an abelian category. Prove using axioms of abelian categories (and facts proved in class) that if the induced morphism

$$\operatorname{coker}(C \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(C \rightarrow A)$$

is surjective, then  $B \rightarrow A$  is surjective.

*Solution:* Consider the composition of morphisms  $C \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A$ . We have the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & A \end{array}$$

Taking cokernels of each row. From what we have proved in class, there exists a unique map

$$\varphi : \operatorname{coker} f \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(g \circ f)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{f} B) \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \varphi \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & A & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \end{array}$$

Now taking the cokernel of the vertical maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{f} B) \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \varphi \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & A & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \operatorname{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A) & & \operatorname{coker} \varphi \end{array}$$

Consider the composition

$$B \xrightarrow{g} A \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi.$$

From the commutativity of the diagram, this is the same as

$$B \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{f} B) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi,$$

which is 0 by definition of  $\operatorname{coker} \varphi$ . By universal property of cokernels, this map must factor through

$\text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A)$ , namely we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \xrightarrow{g} & A & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A) \\ & & \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\ & & \text{coker } \varphi & & \end{array}$$

The map  $\text{coker } \varphi \rightarrow \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A)$  is also an epimorphism, following from the following claim.

Claim: Suppose we have a composition of morphisms:  $X \xrightarrow{a} Y \xrightarrow{b} Z$ . If  $b \circ a$  is an epimorphism, then  $b$  is an epimorphism.

Proof:

■ Suppose given an object  $W$  and two morphisms  $f, g : Z \rightarrow W$  such that  $f \circ b = g \circ b$ . We need to show that  $f = g$ . Compose with  $a$ , we get

$$f \circ b \circ a = g \circ b \circ a.$$

We know  $b \circ a$  is an epimorphism, so  $f = g$ .

Note that  $\text{coker } \varphi = 0$  as the map  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism, so the map

$$\text{coker } \varphi = 0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A)$$

is an epimorphism. This implies that  $\text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A) = 0$ , so the map  $g : B \rightarrow A$  is an epimorphism.