

**Problem 1**

If  $M$  and  $N$  are compact, oriented  $d$ -manifold, then the **degree** of a map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is defined to be the integer  $\deg(f)$  such that  $f_*([M]) = \deg f \cdot [N]$ .

- (a) Suppose that  $f$  is not surjective—i.e., there is a point  $x \in N$  such that  $x$  is not in the image of  $f$ . Prove that the degree of  $f$  is zero.
- (b) Explain how  $\deg(f)$  relates to the map  $f^* : H^d(N) \rightarrow H^d(M)$ .
- (c) Prove that any map  $S^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  must have degree 0.

*Solution:*

- (a)  $f$  being not surjective means that there exists a point  $y \in N$  such that  $f(M) \subseteq N - y$ . This implies we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N - y \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow i \\ & & N \end{array}$$

where  $i : N - y \hookrightarrow N$  is the inclusion map. This induces a commutative diagram in homology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_d(M) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_d(N - y) \\ & \searrow f_* & \downarrow i_* \\ & & H_d(N) \\ & & \downarrow j_* \\ & & H_d(N, N - y) \end{array}$$

The map  $j_* : H_d(N) \rightarrow H_d(N, N - y)$  is an isomorphism because  $N$  is compact and oriented. We know that  $j_* \circ i_*$  is the zero map because of the exactness on the vertical map. This implies that  $f_*$  is the zero map, so  $\deg f = 0$ .

- (b) By UCT, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^d(N) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(H_d(N), \mathbb{Z}) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \deg f \\ H^d(M) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}(H_d(M), \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Both  $M$  and  $N$  are compact and oriented, so  $H_d(M) \cong H_d(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . The right vertical map is induced by the map  $f_* : H_d(M) \rightarrow H_d(N)$ , so it is also the multiplication by  $\deg f$ . By

Poincaré duality, we know that

$$H^d(M) \cong H_0(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad H^d(N) \cong H_0(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

This implies the top and bottom horizontal maps in the commutative diagram are isomorphisms. Therefore, if we choose  $\widehat{[M]}$  to be the generator of  $H^d(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\widehat{[N]}$  to be the generator of  $H^d(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , then the map  $f^* : H^d(N) \rightarrow H^d(M)$  is sending  $\widehat{[N]}$  to  $\deg f \cdot \widehat{[M]}$ .

(c) Consider a map  $f : S^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$ , which induces a map between cohomology rings

$$f^* : H^*(\mathbb{C}P^2) \rightarrow H^*(S^4).$$

We know that  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^3)$  where  $x$  is a degree 2 element, then when  $*$  = 4, we have

$$f^*(x^2) = f^*(x) \cup f^*(x) = 0.$$

because  $H^*(S^4)$  has no degree 2 element. This means that

$$f^* : H^4(\mathbb{C}P^2) \rightarrow H^4(S^4)$$

is the zero map. We know that both  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  and  $S^4$  are compact and orientable. From the discussion in (b), any map  $f : S^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  has degree 0.

### Problem 2

A topological space is said to be of **finite type** if  $H_i(X) = 0$  for all but finitely many values of  $i$ , and each nonzero  $H_i(X)$  is a finitely-generated abelian group. Recall that the Euler characteristic is then defined to be

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^i \text{rank } H_i(X).$$

Prove that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are CW-complexes of finite type then so is  $X \times Y$ , and  $\chi(X \times Y) = \chi(X) \cdot \chi(Y)$ .

*Solution:* By Künneth Theorem, for all  $i$ , we have

$$H_i(X \times Y) \cong \sum_{p+q=i} H_p(X) \otimes H_q(Y) \oplus \sum_{p+q=i-1} \text{Tor}_1(H_p(X), H_q(Y)).$$

Since both  $X$  and  $Y$  are CW-complexes of finite type, only finitely many  $H_p(X)$  and  $H_q(X)$  are non-zero, this implies  $H_i(X \times Y) = 0$  except for finitely many  $i$ . Moreover, if Abelian groups  $A$  and  $B$  are finitely generated, then we know that  $A \otimes B$  and  $\text{Tor}_1(A, B)$  are also finitely generated. This means for all  $i$ ,  $H_i(X \times Y)$  is finitely generated Abelian group.

We know that for any Abelian group  $A$ ,

$$\text{rank } A = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(A \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

Suppose  $H_i(X) = 0$  for  $i \geq n+1$  and  $H_j(Y) = 0$  for  $j \geq m+1$ . Because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field, for the space  $X \times Y$ , by Künneth Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(X \times Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} (-1)^i \text{rank } H_i(X \times Y) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} (-1)^i \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_i(X \times Y; \mathbb{Q}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} (-1)^i \sum_{p+q=i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_p(X; \mathbb{Q}) \otimes H_q(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} (-1)^i \sum_{p+q=i} (\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_p(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_q(Y; \mathbb{Q})) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \sum_{p+q=i} (-1)^p \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_p(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cdot (-1)^q \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_q(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \\
&= \left( \sum_{p=1}^n (-1)^p \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_p(X; \mathbb{Q}) \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{q=1}^m (-1)^q \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_q(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \right) \\
&= \chi(X) \cdot \chi(Y)
\end{aligned}$$

### Problem 3

Prove that  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  is not a retract of  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

*Solution:* Suppose there exists a retract  $r : \mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  such that the composition

$$\mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{C}P^n \xrightarrow{r} \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$$

is the identity map, where  $i : \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  is the inclusion map. This induces maps between cohomology rings

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{r^*} H^*(\mathbb{C}P^n) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1})$$

where  $i^* \circ r^* = id$ . Note that  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n)$  and  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^{n+1})$ . Suppose  $r^*(x) = ky \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$0 = r^*(x^n) = r^*(x)^n = (ky)^n = k^n y^n.$$

We know that  $0 \neq y^n$  is the generator of  $H^{2n}(\mathbb{C}P^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,  $k = 0$ . This means  $r^*$  is the zero map, which contradicts the assumption that  $i^* \circ r^* = id$ . Such retract  $r$  does not exist.

### Problem 4

Prove that there is no self-homeomorphism  $\mathbb{C}P^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{2n}$  that reverses the orientation.

*Solution:* Suppose  $f : \mathbb{C}P^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{2n}$  is a homeomorphism and  $f$  induces a map between cohomology

rings

$$f^* : H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{2n}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{2n})$$

which reverses the orientation. We know that  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{2n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^{2n+1})$ , and  $x^{2n}$  generates the group  $H^{4n}(\mathbb{C}P^{2n})$ .  $f$  reversing the orientation means  $f^*(x^{2n}) = -x^{2n}$ . Assume  $f^*(x) = kx \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^{2n})$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$-x^{2n} = f^*(x^{2n}) = f^*(x)^{2n} = (kx)^{2n} = k^{2n}x^{2n}.$$

This implies  $k^{2n} = -1$ . No such  $k$  exists in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, such homeomorphism  $f$  does not exist.

There is an algebraic formula

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2) \cdot (y_1^2 + y_2^2) = (x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)^2 + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1)^2 \quad (1)$$

which is true for indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . By a **sumsof-squares formula** of type  $[r, s, n]$  we mean an identity of the form

$$(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_r^2) \cdot (y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_s^2) = z_1^2 + \cdots + z_n^2.$$

where each  $z_i$  is a bilinear expression in the  $x$ 's and  $y$ 's. The identity (1) was a formula of type  $[2, 2, 2]$ . Here is a formula of type  $[4, 4, 4]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2) \cdot (y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 + y_4^2) &= (x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - x_3y_3 - x_4y_4)^2 \\ &= + (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 - x_3y_4 + x_4y_3)^2 \\ &= + (x_1y_3 - x_2y_4 + x_3y_1 + x_4y_2)^2 \\ &= + (-x_1y_4 + x_2y_3 + x_3y_2 + x_4y_1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If you try to generalize these examples you will find a formula of type  $[8, 8, 8]$ , but not one of type  $[16, 16, 16]$ .

### Problem 5

If we have a sums-of-squares formula of type  $[r, s, n]$  then we get a bilinear map  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\|\phi(x, y)\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \cdot \|y\|^2$  by defining

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s) = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

using the bilinear expression  $z_i$ .

- (a) Explain why  $\phi$  restricts to a map  $S^{r-1} \times S^{s-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ , and then induces a map

$$F : \mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \times \mathbb{R}P^{s-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}.$$

- (b) Use singular cohomology to prove that if an  $[r, s, n]$  formula exists then  $\binom{n}{i}$  must be even for  $n - r < i < s$ .
- (c) With some trouble one can discover a sums-of-squares formula of type  $[10, 10, 16]$ . Does there exist a better formula of type  $[10, 10, 15]$ ?

*Solution:*

(a) Suppose  $x \in S^{r-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $y \in S^{s-1} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^s$ . This implies that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ . Then

$$\|\phi(x, y)\| = \|x\| \cdot \|y\| = 1 \cdot 1 = 1.$$

So  $\phi(x, y) \in S^{n-1}$ . This means the map  $\phi$  can be restricted to a map

$$\phi : S^{r-1} \times S^{s-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}.$$

Moreover, for any point  $(x, y) \in S^{r-1} \times S^{s-1}$ , we have

$$\phi(-x, y) = \phi(x, -y) = -\phi(x, y)$$

because  $\phi$  is bilinear. This means we can identify the antipodal points in each sphere, and obtain a map

$$F : \mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \times \mathbb{R}P^{s-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}.$$

The map  $F$  is continuous because the bilinear map  $\phi$  is continuous and  $F$  is induced by the quotient map from sphere to the real projective space.

(b) The map  $F$  induces a map between cohomology rings with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -coefficients. Using Künneth Theorem, we have a map

$$F^* : H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{r-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{s-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

This is a map between  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -algebras

$$F^* : \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[y]/(y^r) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2[z]/(z^s)$$

sending the generator  $x$  to  $k(y \otimes 1) + l(1 \otimes z)$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Claim:  $k \neq 0$  and  $l \neq 0$ , namely  $k = l = 1$ .

Proof: Choose a point  $a \in S^{s-1}$  and consider the inclusion map  $i : \mathbb{R}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^r \times \{a\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ . The composition

$$\mathbb{R}^r \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{R}^n$$

is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , we have

$$\|(\phi \circ i)(x)\| = \|\phi(x, a)\| = \|x\|^2 \cdot \|a\|^2 = \|x\|^2.$$

meaning that it preserves the norm. This implies  $r \leq n$ , otherwise the kernel of the map  $\phi \circ i$  must be non-zero, and a non-zero element will be mapped to 0, which contradicts the fact that  $\phi \circ i$  preserves the norm. Write  $g := \phi \circ i$ .  $g$  can be restricted to a map  $S^{r-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  and since  $g$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear, it induces a map  $G : \mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ . To prove  $k \neq 0$ , it is the same as proving the map induced by  $g$  between cohomology rings is not the zero map.

We know  $g : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $r \leq n$  is a injective  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map since  $\ker g = 0$ . There exists an invertible matrix  $T \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that the composition

$$\mathbb{R}^r \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{T} \mathbb{R}^n$$

is an inclusion map, namely  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is mapped into the first  $r$  coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that every map here is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear and injective, this induces a map between real projective spaces

$$\mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbb{R}P^{n-1} \xrightarrow{t} \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$$

where  $t$  is a homeomorphism as it is induced from an invertible matrix. The composition  $t \circ G$  is the inclusion of  $(r-1)$ -skeleton inside  $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$  because it is induced from the embedding  $T \circ g : \mathbb{R}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Now we have maps between cohomology rings

$$H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{t^*} H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{G^*} H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{r-1}; \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

We know here  $t^*$  is the identity map between cohomology rings as  $t$  is a homeomorphism, and  $G^* \circ t^*$  is surjective because  $t \circ G : \mathbb{R}P^{r-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$  is the inclusion of  $(r-1)$ -skeleton. This implies  $k \neq 0$  and  $k = 1$  since we are working  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -coefficients. A similar argument implies  $l = 1$ . ■

Going back to the map

$$F^* : \mathbb{Z}_2[x]/(x^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[y]/(y^r) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2[z]/(z^s)$$

sending  $x$  to  $y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z$ . We have a relation

$$0 = F^*(x^n) = F^*(x)^n = (y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} y^{n-i} \otimes z^i.$$

in the field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $n-r < i < s$ , we have  $1 \leq i < s$  and  $1 \leq n-i < r$ , this means  $y^{n-i} \otimes z^i \neq 0$  and  $\binom{n}{i} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . So if such a formula  $[r, s, n]$  exists, then  $\binom{n}{i}$  must be even for  $n-r < i < s$ .

- (c) If a formula of type  $[10, 10, 15]$  exists, then for  $15-10 < 6 < 10$ , we have that  $\binom{15}{6}$  equals to 5005, which is an odd number, so such a formula does not exist.

### Problem 6

Suppose  $p(x)$  is an irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{C}$  of degree  $n$ , where  $n > 1$ . Let  $E = \mathbb{C}[x]/(p(x))$ , which is an algebraic field extension of  $\mathbb{C}$  of degree  $n$ . Choose a vector space isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong E$ , so that the multiplication on  $E$  becomes a bilinear map  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Using singular cohomology rings of appropriate topological spaces, derive a contradiction.

*Solution:* The multiplication  $\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is non-degenerate because it is coming from a multiplication in a field  $E$ . Since the map  $\mu$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have

$$\mu(\lambda x, y) = \mu(x, \lambda y) = \lambda \mu(x, y).$$

This means for any two complex lines  $l_1, l_2 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  passing through the origin, they are sent to another line passing through the origin under the map  $\mu$ . So  $\mu$  induces a map between complex projective spaces

$$f : \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}.$$

By Künneth Theorem, this further induces a map between cohomology rings

$$f^* : H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \otimes H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}).$$

We know that  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n)$ , so  $f^*$  is a map between  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras

$$f^* : \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[y]/(y^n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[z]/(z^n)$$

sending  $x$  to  $k(y \otimes 1) + l(1 \otimes z)$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A similar argument with Problem 5(b) implies that  $k$  and  $l$  are not zero. So we have

$$0 = f^*(x^n) = f^*(x)^n = (k(y \otimes 1) + l(1 \otimes z))^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} k^i l^{n-i} y^i \otimes z^{n-i}.$$

Since  $k, l$  is non-zero, this implies  $\binom{n}{i}$  needs to be 0 for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , so  $n = 1$ . This contradicts the assumption that the degree of  $p(x)$  is larger than 1.