

Exercise 1.2.5

Give an elementary proof that $\text{Tot}(C)$ is acyclic whenever C is a bounded double complex with exact rows (or exact columns).

Solution: Without loss of generality, we may assume the bounded double complex C has exact rows. Consider the total complex $\text{Tot}(C)$, for any n , we want to show that $H_n(\text{Tot}(C)) = 0$. Write

$$\text{Tot}(C)_n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_{p,q}.$$

For any element $a \in \text{Tot}(C)_n$, a can be written as $a = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} a_{p,q}$. Since C is bounded, there exists $p_0, p_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any $p < p_0$ and $p > p_1$, we have $C_{p,n-p} = 0$. Suppose $a \in \text{Tot}(C)_n$ is in the kernel of the map

$$d = d^h + d^v : \text{Tot}(C)_n \rightarrow \text{Tot}(C)_{n-1}.$$

So we have

$$(d^h + d^v)a_{p,q} = d^h a_{p,q} + d^v a_{p,q} = 0$$

for all $p + q = n$ and $p_0 \leq p \leq p_1$. To prove that $H_n(\text{Tot}(C)) = 0$, we need to show that every $a_{p,q}$ is the image of some element in $\text{Tot}(C)_{n+1}$.

For $p = p_0$, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 0 & & \\ & \downarrow & & & \\ C_{p_0-1,n-p_0} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0,n-p_0} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0+1,n-p_0} \\ & \downarrow d^v & & & \downarrow d^v \\ C_{p_0,n-p_0-1} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} & \xleftarrow{d^h} & C_{p_0+2,n-p_0-1} \end{array}$$

Note that $d^h a_{p_0,n-p_0} = 0$ in $C_{p_0-1,n-p_0}$ because $C_{p_0-1,n-p_0+1} = 0$. By exactness of rows, there exists an element $b \in C_{p_0+1,n-p_0}$ such that $d^h b = a_{p_0,n-p_0}$.

For $p = p_0 + 1$, we know that

$$d^h a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} + d^v a_{p_0,n-p_0} = 0.$$

Replace $a_{p_0,n-p_0}$ with $d_h b$ and use the fact that $d^v d^h + d^h d^v = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d^h a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} + d^v d^h b &= 0, \\ d^h a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} - d^h d^v b &= 0, \\ d^h(a_{p_0+1,n-p_0-1} - d^v b) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By exactness of rows, there exists $c \in C_{p_0+2, n-p_0-1}$ such that

$$d^h c = a_{p_0+1, n-p_0-1} - d^v b.$$

This implies

$$a_{p_0+1, n-p_0-1} = d^h c + d^v b.$$

So $a_{p_0+1, n-p_0-1}$ is also in the image of some element in $\text{Tot}(C)_{n+1}$. Using a similar argument we can prove step by step that for any $p_0 \leq p \leq p_1$, $a_{p, n-p}$ is the image of some element in $\text{Tot}(C)_{n+1}$. So $H_n(\text{Tot}(C)) = 0$, and thus the total complex $\text{Tot}(C)$ is acyclic.

Exercise 1.2.6

Give examples of

- (1) a second quadrant double complex C with exact columns such that $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ is acyclic but $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is not;
- (2) a second quadrant double complex C with exact rows such that $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is acyclic but $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ is not;
- (3) a double complex (in the entire plane) for which every row and column is exact, yet neither $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ nor $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is acyclic.

Solution:

- (1) Consider the following double complex C

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \cdots & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \downarrow & \\ & & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

where all maps are isomorphisms of \mathbb{Z} . Taking different total complexes, we get two maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}, \\ \beta : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map is given by

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_0, x_0 + x_1, x_1 + x_2, \dots).$$

In $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$, we allow any such sequence, while in $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$, we only allow sequence with finitely many nonzero terms. It is easy to see both α and β are injective. The element

$(0, 0, 0, \dots)$ has a unique preimage as the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= 0, \\ x_0 + x_1 &= 0, \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 0, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = \dots = 0$. A similar argument can show that α is also surjective. On the other hand, consider the preimage of $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$, the preimage is $(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$ which is an element in $\prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}$ but not $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}$, so β is not surjective. This implies $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ is acyclic but $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is not.

- (2) Consider the double complex C

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \cdots & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

where all maps are isomorphisms. Taking different total complexes, we get two maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}, \\ \beta : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map is given by

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_0 + x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2 + x_3, \dots).$$

It is easy to see both α and β are surjective if we take $x_0 = 0$ and solve equations. However, consider the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x_1 &= 0, \\ x_1 + x_2 &= 0, \\ x_2 + x_3 &= 0, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

If we know that at most only finitely many terms are not zero, then these equations have a unique solution $(0, 0, \dots)$. Otherwise, we have solutions like $(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$. Thus α is not an isomorphism but β is an isomorphism, so $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is acyclic but $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ is not.

(3) Consider a double complex C combined from above two

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \cdots & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \downarrow & \\ \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ & \downarrow & \\ & \cdots & \end{array}$$

Taking different total complexes, we get two maps

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}, \\ \beta : \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the map is given by

$$(\dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, x_{-1} + x_0, x_0 + x_1, x_1 + x_2, x_2 + x_3, \dots).$$

A similar argument as above two shows that α is not injective and β is not surjective, so while the double complex C has exact rows and columns, neither $\text{Tot}^\Pi(C)$ nor $\text{Tot}^\oplus(C)$ is acyclic.

Exercise 1.3.5

Let f be a morphism of chain complexes. Show that if $\ker f$ and $\text{coker } f$ are acyclic, then f is a quasi-isomorphism. Is the converse true?

Solution: Let $f_\bullet : A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ be a chain map between complexes such that the complexes $\ker f_\bullet$ and $\text{coker } f_\bullet$ are acyclic. Note that the category of chain complexes is an abelian category, so the map f_\bullet has a factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker f_\bullet & \hookrightarrow & A_\bullet & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & B_\bullet & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{coker } f_\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & p \downarrow & & & & q \uparrow & & & & & \\ & & \text{coim } f_\bullet & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Im } f_\bullet & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet \xrightarrow{p} \text{coim } f_\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence in homology

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(\ker f_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(A_\bullet) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_n(\text{coim } f_\bullet) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\ker f_\bullet) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We know that the complex $\ker f_\bullet$ is acyclic, so the map

$$p_* : H_n(A_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(\mathrm{coim} f_\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism for all n . Similarly, the map

$$q_* : H_n(\mathrm{Im} f_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(B_\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism for all n . The factorization of the chain map induces a factorization of the induced map $f_* : H_n(A_\bullet) \rightarrow H_n(B_\bullet)$, namely

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n(A_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_n(B_\bullet) \\ p_* \downarrow & & \uparrow q_* \\ H_n(\mathrm{coim} f_\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_n(\mathrm{Im} f_\bullet) \end{array}$$

The bottom row map is induced by the isomorphism $\mathrm{coim} f_\bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{Im} f_\bullet$. Both p_* and q_* are isomorphisms for all n , so f_* is also an isomorphism. This proves that f is a quasi-isomorphism.

Exercise 1.3.6

Let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of double complexes of modules. Show that there is a short exact sequence of total complexes, and conclude that if $\mathrm{Tot}(C)$ is acyclic, then $\mathrm{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Solution: We need to prove that the functor $\mathrm{Tot}(-)$ from double complexes to chain complexes preserves kernel and cokernel. Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of double complexes. Consider the double complex $\ker(f : A \rightarrow B)$. On each (p, q) -place, it is a submodule of $A_{p,q}$. Note that taking total complexes preserves objects and maps at each level, so the kernel of the map $\mathrm{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(B)$ is the total complex of the kernel $\ker(f : A \rightarrow B)$. Similar argument for the cokernel. So we get a short exact sequence of total complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(C) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\mathrm{Tot}(C)$ is acyclic, from the long exact sequence in homology. For all n , we have an isomorphism

$$H_n(\mathrm{Tot}(A)) \rightarrow H_n(\mathrm{Tot}(B)).$$

So the map $\mathrm{Tot}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Exercise 1.5.2

Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a map of complexes. Show that f is null homotopic if and only if f extends to a map $(-s, f) : \text{cone}(C) \rightarrow D$.

Solution: We first prove the necessity. Suppose f extends to a map $(-s, f) : \text{cone}(C) \rightarrow D$. Then we have a commutative diagram of complexes, together with the short exact sequence for the cone(C):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & \text{cone}(C) & \longrightarrow & C[-1] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & f \downarrow & & \swarrow (-s, f) & & \\ & & D & & & & \end{array}$$

For any n , this induces a commutative diagram in homology where the row is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & H_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_n(C) & \longrightarrow & H_n(\text{cone}(C)) & \longrightarrow H_{n-1}(C) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(C) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & f_* \downarrow & & \swarrow (-s_*, f_*) & & \\ & & H_n(D) & & & & \end{array}$$

Note that the connecting homomorphism ∂ is induced by the identity map $\text{id}_C : C \rightarrow C$, so by exactness, $H_n(\text{cone}(C)) = 0$. So $f_* : H_n(C) \rightarrow H_n(D)$ factors through 0. This implies $f_* = 0$ for all n and f is null homotopic.

Next we prove the sufficiency. Assume f is null homotopic. This means there exists $s : C_{n-1} \rightarrow D_n$ for all n such that $f = sd_C + d_D s$. We want to show that $(-s, f) : \text{cone}(C) = C_{n-1} \oplus C_n \rightarrow D_n$ defines a chain map, namely the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{n-1} \oplus C_n & \xrightarrow{(-s, f)} & D_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_D \\ C_{n-2} \oplus C_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{(-s, f)} & D_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Let $(a, b) \in C_{n-1} \oplus C_n$. Then the image in $C_{n-2} \oplus C_{n-1}$ is $(-d_C(a), d_C(b) - a)$, so its image in D_{n-1} is $sd_C(a) + fd_C(b) - f(a)$. On the other hand, the image of (a, b) in D_n is $-s(a) + f(b)$, and thus it maps to $-d_D s(a) + d_D f(b)$. Using $f = sd_C + d_D s$ and f is a chain map, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (sd_C(a) + fd_C(b) - f(a)) - (-d_D s(a) + d_D f(b)) &= (sd_C + d_D s - f)(a) + (fd_C - d_D f)(b) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $(-s, f)$ is a chain map between $\text{cone}(C)$ and D . Moreover, it is easy to check that for all n , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_n & \xrightarrow{f} & D_n \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ C_{n-1} \oplus C_n & & \end{array}$$

commutes. So f can be extended to a chain map $(-s, f)$.

Exercise Additional

Let $C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$ be morphisms in an abelian category. Prove using axioms of abelian categories (and facts proved in class) that if the induced morphism

$$\text{coker}(C \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{coker}(C \rightarrow A)$$

is surjective, then $B \rightarrow A$ is surjective.

Solution: Consider the composition of morphisms $C \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A$. We have the following commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & A \end{array}$$

Taking cokernels of each row. From what we have proved in class, there exists a unique map

$$\varphi : \text{coker } f \rightarrow \text{coker}(g \circ f)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{f} B) \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \varphi \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & A & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \end{array}$$

Now taking the cokernel of the vertical maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{f} B) \\ id \downarrow & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow \varphi \\ C & \xrightarrow{g \circ f} & A & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A) & & \text{coker } \varphi \end{array}$$

Consider the composition

$$B \xrightarrow{g} A \rightarrow \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \rightarrow \text{coker } \varphi.$$

From the commutativity of the diagram, this is the same as

$$B \rightarrow \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{f} B) \rightarrow \text{coker}(C \xrightarrow{g \circ f} A) \rightarrow \text{coker } \varphi,$$

which is 0 by definition of $\text{coker } \varphi$. By universal property of cokernels, this map must factor through

$\text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A)$, namely we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
B & \xrightarrow{g} & A & \twoheadrightarrow & \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A) \\
& & \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! & \\
& & \text{coker } \varphi & &
\end{array}$$

The map $\text{coker } \varphi \rightarrow \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A)$ is also an epimorphism, following from the following claim.

Claim: Suppose we have a composition of morphisms: $X \xrightarrow{a} Y \xrightarrow{b} Z$. If $b \circ a$ is an epimorphism, then b is an epimorphism.

Proof:

■ Suppose given an object W and two morphisms $f, g : Z \rightarrow W$ such that $f \circ b = g \circ b$. We need to show that $f = g$. Compose with a , we get

$$f \circ b \circ a = g \circ b \circ a.$$

We know $b \circ a$ is an epimorphism, so $f = g$.

Note that $\text{coker } \varphi = 0$ as the map φ is an epimorphism, so the map

$$\text{coker } \varphi = 0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A)$$

is an epimorphism. This implies that $\text{coker}(B \xrightarrow{g} A) = 0$, so the map $g : B \rightarrow A$ is an epimorphism.