

T-duality and homological mirror symmetry
for toric varieties.

FLT2.

I.HMS

Thm 1.2 X_Σ be a complete toric variety defined by fan $\Sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence:

$$\tau: \text{Perf}_{\underline{T}}(X_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*M_R; \Lambda_\Sigma)$$

\Downarrow

$M_R \times M_R$

which intertwines tensor product on left hand side and  on the right hand side.

In same setting, we have

$$(*) \quad \bar{\tau}: \text{Perf}(X_\Sigma) \longrightarrow \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*T_R^\vee; \bar{\Lambda}_\Sigma)$$

is a quasi-embedding

As corollary, we have

$$D\text{Coh}_T(X_\Sigma) \cong D\bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*M_R; i_\Sigma)$$

$$(x) D\text{Coh}(X_\Sigma) \rightarrow D\bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*T_{IR}^\vee; \bar{i}_\Sigma)$$

Rmk: The (x) is a equivalence proved by

Kuwagaki

This part is essentially the combination of N2 and FLT.

Thm 3.1.3.2

$$K\text{-Perf}_T(X_\Sigma) \rightarrow Sh_{\mathcal{U}}(M_R; i_\Sigma)$$

is a quasi-equivalence . sending equivariant ample line bundle \mathcal{L}_i on X_Σ to standard constructible

sheaf $i_! \mathcal{W}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}$ on M_K ,

$$L_{\bar{c}} = \mathcal{O}(D_{\bar{c}})$$

$$D_{\bar{c}} = \sum_{i=1}^r l_i D_i$$

D_i corresponds to v_i generator of rays

Then $\Delta_{\bar{c}} := \{m \in M_K \mid \langle m, v_i \rangle \geq -c_i, i=1, \dots, r\}$

when $L_{\bar{c}}$ is ample, $\Delta_{\bar{c}}$ is a convex polytope

$$\bar{F} : \text{Perf}(X_{\Sigma}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_c(T_K; \bar{\tau}_{\Sigma})$$

is a quasi embedding

We define Fukaya category

$\bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*X, \Lambda)$ where Λ is a canonical Lagrangian

D_b : generated by Lagrangian L with
 $L^\infty \subset \mathcal{N}^\infty$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}(L)}$ is complete

$$\pi: T^*X \rightarrow X$$

$$L^\infty = \overline{\mathcal{L}(L)} \cap S^*X$$

Here $L: T^*X \rightarrow D^*X$

$$(x, \xi) \mapsto (x, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1 + \|(\xi)\|^2}})$$

$$S^*X = \{(x, \xi) \mid \|\xi\| = 1\}$$

Mon: Same

For embedding $i: Y \rightarrow X$ we have

$i_* L_f$ standard obj in $Sch(X)$

and $L_{Y^*} \subset T^*X$

$$L_{Y^*} = T^*_Y X + \Gamma_{df}$$

$f = \log \gamma$ in nonnegative defining

function for $\mathcal{D}\Gamma$.

For costandard obj $v|_W$ in $\text{Sh}_c(X)$,
we have costandard Lagrangian

$$L_{Y, !} := T_Y^* X - \Gamma_{df}$$

Thm 3.4 There is a quasi-equivalence
of A_∞ -cats

$\mu : \text{Sh}_{cc}(M_R; \Lambda_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fuk}(T^* M_R; \Lambda_\Sigma)$

and $\bar{\mu} : \text{Sh}_c(T_R^V; \bar{\Lambda}_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fuk}(T^* T_R^V; \bar{\Lambda}_\Sigma)$

Then we investigate the monoidal structure.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_L : \text{Fuk}(T^* X) &\rightarrow \text{mod } (\text{Fuk}(T^* X))^\circ \\ P &\mapsto \text{hom}(P, -) \end{aligned}$$

Given L of $\text{Fuk}(T^* X_0 \times T^* X_1)$
we can define

$$\bar{\Phi}_L : \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*X_0) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\text{Fuk}(T^*X_1))^\circ$$

$$P \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\text{Fuk}(T^*X_0 \times T^*X_1)}(L, \alpha_{X_0}(P) \times -)$$

$$T^*X_0 \rightarrow T^*X_0$$

$$\alpha_{X_0} : (x, \xi) \rightarrow (x, -\xi)$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{K!} : \text{Sh}_C(X_0) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_C(X_1)$$

$$F \rightarrow P_{1!}(K \otimes_{P_0} {}^{P_0^*} F)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & x_0 \times x_1 & \\ p_0 \swarrow & & \searrow p_1 \\ X_0 & & X_1 \end{array}$$

Thm: [Nadler]

Let $K \in \text{Sh}_C(X_0 \times X_1)$ and its microlocalization
 $L = \mu_{X_0 \times X_1}(K)$. Then there is a quasi-equivalence

$$\gamma \circ \mu_{X_1} \circ \bar{\Phi}_{K!} \cong \bar{\Phi}_{L!} \circ \mu_{X_0}$$

Thm: 3.7. Given $X_1 = X_\Sigma$, $X_2 = X_{\Sigma_2}$ and
 fun preserving map $f: N_1 \rightarrow N_2$, where f

is ii). $\mu: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ $\nu: \mu_{2;R} \rightarrow \mu_{1;R}$

$L_\nu \cong T^*_{T_2}(M_{2;R} \times M_{1;R})$ The following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Perf}_{T_2}(X_2) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \text{Sh}_\alpha(M_{2;R}; \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*_{M_{2;R}}; \Lambda_{\Sigma_2}) \\ \downarrow \mu^* & & \downarrow \nu_! & & \downarrow \bar{\text{Fuk}}_{L_\nu} \\ \text{Perf}_{T_1}(X_1) & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & \text{Sh}_\alpha(M_{1;R}; \Lambda_{\Sigma_1}) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*_{M_{1;R}}; \Lambda_{\Sigma_1}) \end{array}$$

Let G be a Lie gp (M_R)

$$\nu(g_1 g_2) = g_1 \cdot g_2$$

Then we define

$$L_1 \diamond L_2 := \Psi_{L_\nu!}(L_1 \times L_2) \quad \text{in } \bar{\text{Fuk}}(T^*G)$$



$$T_{T_\nu}(G \times G)$$

$$\bar{\text{Fuk}}(\overline{T^*(G \times G)} \times \underline{T^*G})$$

Prop 3.9. The microlocal functor
 μ_h satisfies

$$\mu_h(- * -) = \mu_h(-) \diamond \mu_h(-)$$

$$\bar{F}_1 * \bar{F}_2 = V_! (\bar{F}_1 \boxtimes \bar{F}_2)$$

Pf: $\mu_h(\bar{F}_1 * \bar{F}_2) = \mu_h \circ V_! (\bar{F}_1 \boxtimes \bar{F}_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{(*)}{\simeq} \bar{\Xi}_{L_{V!}} \circ \mu_{C \times C} (\bar{F}_1 \boxtimes \bar{F}_2) \\ &= \bar{\Xi}_{L_{V!}} \circ (\mu_C(F_1) \times \mu_C(F_2)) \\ &\simeq \mu_h(F_1) \diamond \mu_h(F_2) \end{aligned}$$

(*) is given by applying $\bar{\Xi}_{K!} \simeq V_!$ to $K = C_{T_V}$

and $\bar{\Xi}_{K!} \simeq V_!$

$$C \in M_K \quad \otimes \rightarrow \diamond$$

II. T-duality

Thm 3. (Equivariant homological mirror symmetry is T-duality)

Let X_Σ be a non-singular proj toric variety. Any equivariant line bundle $L_{\vec{c}}$ with an admissible hermitian metric h

the T-dual Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{c}, h}$ is an object in $\text{Fuk}(T^*M_\Sigma; \lambda_\Sigma)$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{c}, h} \cong \tau(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{c}})$$

where τ is in Thm 1.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{c}} = \mathcal{O}(D_{\vec{c}})$$

choose $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_i))$
vanishing on D_i exactly.

then $S_{\bar{c}} := \prod_{i=1}^r s_i^{c_i}$

Section of $L_{\bar{c}}$, Restriction of L_c to

$X_{\{0\}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{D_i\} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is
a holomorphic framing.

Let $L_{\bar{c}}$ has a admissible hermitian

metric h . real analytic / \mathbb{R} -smooth /
defines a unitary connection
with curvature non-degenerate
closed 2-form

Let $D_{\bar{c}, h}$ be the unique connector
on $L_{\bar{c}}$ determined by L_c . Connection

1-form with respect to unitary frame

$s_{\bar{c}} / \|s_{\bar{c}}\|_h$ of $L_{\bar{c}}|_{X_{\{0\}}}$

$$\alpha = -2\sqrt{-1} \operatorname{Im}(\bar{\partial} \log \|s_{\bar{c}}\|_h)$$

For $x_{\zeta_j} = (\zeta^*)^j$ we gives coordinates
 $r_j e^{i\theta_j}$, then we have $\|S_C\|_h$

is independent of θ_j by T_R -invariance.

$$\int_{\Gamma} \alpha = 2 \operatorname{Im} (\bar{z} \log \|S_C\|_h)$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Im} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \log \|S_C\|_h \right) (\partial r_j - f(r_j) \partial \theta_j)$$

$$= - \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \log \|S_C\|_h \right) d\theta_j$$

$$y_j = \log r_j$$

$$\underbrace{f_{C,h}(y)}_{\text{real}} = -\log \|S_C\|_h$$

analytic function in y

$$\int_{\Gamma} \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{C,h}(y)}{\partial y_j} d\theta_j$$

$L_{C,h} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the graph

of the map $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$y \rightarrow \text{Fix}_{p_2^{-1}(y)}$$

$$\Pr: \overbrace{T_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$x_{(0)} = (\mathcal{C})^n$$

$$U(1) \times \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$H^1(T_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mu_{\mathbb{R}}$$

$$\overbrace{T_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\mathcal{C}'} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$$

In term of coordinates y_j on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$

and y_j on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}, h}$ given by

$$\frac{\gamma_j}{2\pi} = \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{C}, h}}{\partial y_j}(y)$$

If $D_{\mathcal{C}} - D_{\mathcal{C}'}$ is principal divisor

then $S_{\mathcal{C}'} = S_{\mathcal{C}} \# t_j^{m_j}$

$$f_{\mathcal{C}', h} = f_{\mathcal{C}, h} - \sum_{j=1}^m n_j y_j$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{C}', h}(y)}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial f_{\mathcal{C}, h}(y)}{\partial y_j} - n_j$$

Therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}', h} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}, h}$ in $\text{Fuk}(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}})$

It remains to show $L_{\varepsilon,h}$ is an object in $\text{Fuk}(T^*M_K, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ and

$$L_{\varepsilon,h} \simeq L(\bar{L})$$

① L is tame [Nz]

proved by estimation of differential of $f_{t,h}$

② $\pi_*(L)$ is bounded. Later

③ $L^\infty \subset \Lambda_\Sigma^\infty$ Section A.2

Pf of ② :

As T_K acts on X symplectic form

$$\omega_h := \sqrt{1} F_h$$

on $\underbrace{X_{(0)}}_{\cong (\mathbb{T}^*)^n}$

$$\omega_L = \sum d\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n d\left(\frac{\partial f_{t,h}}{\partial y_j}\right) \wedge d\theta_j$$

then we have analytic moment map

$$\Phi_{\varepsilon,h}(y, \theta) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_{t,h}}{\partial y_j}(y) e^* j$$

Let $x_j = \frac{y_j}{2\pi}$ or N_R

$$\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h} = \{(x, y) \in M_R \times N_R \mid x = \Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h} \circ j_0(y)\}$$

$$j_0 : N_R \rightarrow X_\Sigma$$

$\exp S_1$

$$N \otimes R^+ \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \cong N \otimes C^* = X_\Sigma - \cup D_i$$

$$\Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} = \Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h}^{-1}(X_\Sigma)$$

$$\Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h} = \Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h} \circ j_0$$

$$N_R \rightarrow \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}^\circ \quad \text{is a diffeomorphism}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h} = \{(x, \Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h}^{-1}(y)) \mid x \in \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}^\circ \subset \Delta_\Sigma^\circ \times N_R\}$$

$$\text{For } L_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}^{-1} = L_{-\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} \text{ we have constant}$$

$$\Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} = -\Delta_\Sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_{-\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h}^{-1} &= \underbrace{\{(-\Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h}(y), y) \mid y \in N_R\}} \\ &= \alpha(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, h}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\tau}, h} = \tau(\mathbb{L}_{\tilde{\tau}})$$

We show $\mathbb{L}_{-\tilde{\tau}, h^{-1}} \cong_{\text{fr}} \underline{i_* \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_{-\tilde{\tau}}^0}}$

By showing are the same in the

Yoneda embedding

$$\gamma : DFuk(T^*M_R) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(DfuK(T^*M_R))$$

$$L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{DFuk(T^*M_R)}(-, L)$$

We fix a triangulation \tilde{T} of M_R "containing"

$$\{ U_{Z, -\tilde{\tau}} \mid Z \in \Sigma \}$$

$$U_{Z, \tilde{\tau}} = \{ m \in \Delta_{\tilde{\tau}}^0 \mid \langle c_m, v_i \rangle = -c_i \iff v_i \in Z \}$$

$$\text{E.g. } U_{f_0, \pm \tilde{\tau}} = \Delta_{\pm \tilde{\tau}}^0$$

Yoneda modules of any obj $\gamma(L)$

is expressed in terms (sums and tensor and shifts of) Yoneda modules from Standards

$\gamma(\mu(i \star \ell_1))$. So we only need to,

Consider values at

, hom $(\mu(i \star \ell_1), L)$

hom $DF_k(T^*M_K)(L_{\{t\} \times}, L)$

//

fiber $T_t^*M_K$

as $T \in \tilde{\gamma}$ contractible.

Let $L = L_{-\tilde{\epsilon}, h^{-1}}$, consider $T \neq \underline{\Delta}_{-\tilde{\epsilon}}$

Let $t \in T$. Then $T \cap \Delta_{-\tilde{\epsilon}}^\circ = \emptyset$, clearly

hom $DF_k(T^*M_K)(L_{\{t\} \times}, L_{-\tilde{\epsilon}, h^{-1}}) = 0$

Otherwise, if $T \cap \partial\Delta$ is nonempty then we

hom $DF_k(T^*M_K)(L_{\{t\} \times}, L_{-\tilde{\epsilon}, h^{-1}}) = 0$

By prop 59. Basically it says by some flow
we can separate those Lagrangians

Finally if $T = \underline{\Delta}_{-\tilde{\epsilon}}$, since $L_{-\tilde{\epsilon}, h^{-1}}$

a graph over T , we have

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{DFK}}(T^{\mathrm{dR}}_{\mathrm{Max}})(L_{\Sigma}, \mathbb{L}_{\Sigma, h^{-1}}) = \mathbb{C}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{L}_{\Sigma, h^{-1}} \cong \mu_1(i \circ \sigma_{\Sigma})$

The statement $\mathbb{L}_{\Sigma, h} \cong c(L_{\Sigma})$ follows from
the compatibility of microlocalization and
Verdier duality.