

KittyPunch

Smart Contract Security Assessment

VERSION 1.1



AUDIT DATES: February 25th to February 27th, 2025
AUDITED BY: HHK
Matte

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	About Zenith	3
1.2	Disclaimer	3
1.3	Risk Classification	3
<hr/>		
2	Executive Summary	3
2.1	About KittyPunch	4
2.2	Scope	4
2.3	Audit Timeline	6
2.4	Issues Found	6
<hr/>		
3	Findings Summary	6
<hr/>		
4	Findings	7
4.1	Medium Risk	8
4.2	Informational	9

1

Introduction

1.1 About Zenith

Zenith is an offering by Code4rena that provides consultative audits from the very best security researchers in the space. We focus on crafting a tailored security team specifically for the needs of your codebase.

Learn more about us at <https://code4rena.com/zenith>.

1.2 Disclaimer

This report reflects an analysis conducted within a defined scope and time frame, based on provided materials and documentation. It does not encompass all possible vulnerabilities and should not be considered exhaustive.

The review and accompanying report are presented on an "as-is" and "as-available" basis, without any express or implied warranties.

Furthermore, this report neither endorses any specific project or team nor assures the complete security of the project.

1.3 Risk Classification

SEVERITY LEVEL	IMPACT: HIGH	IMPACT: MEDIUM	IMPACT: LOW
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

2

Executive Summary

2.1 About KittyPunch

KittyPunch is more than just a project; it's a community-driven ecosystem that thrives on innovation and participation. Whether you are an NFT collector, a DeFi enthusiast, or a developer, there is a place for you in the KittyPunch community. Explore our documentation to learn more about how you can get involved and start earning with KittyPunch today.

2.2 Scope

The engagement involved a review of the following targets:

Target	stable-swap-factory-ng-contracts
Repository	https://github.com/Kitty-Punch/stable-swap-factory-ng-contracts
Commit Hash	4ba3bbffd0e6021d41a54d9440fc4411fded4669
Files	src/*.vy
Target	two-crypto-factory-ng-contracts
Repository	https://github.com/Kitty-Punch/two-crypto-factory-ng-contracts
Commit Hash	743cee954188a6741c6732f4c081d928538311e9
Files	src/*.vy

Target	tri-crypto-factory-ng-contracts
Repository	https://github.com/Kitty-Punch/tri-crypto-factory-ng-contracts
Commit Hash	a7ed9c2e22e5f2ecadf8ad357063a4998eb3fe94
Files	src/*.vy
Target	punch-swap-core-contracts
Repository	https://github.com/Kitty-Punch/punch-swap-core-contracts
Commit Hash	3a8c2ed29ae400c283d219761123d953fdd258cf
Files	src/*.sol
Target	punch-swap-periphery-contracts
Repository	https://github.com/Kitty-Punch/punch-swap-periphery-contracts
Commit Hash	757a66b316a153b0bb7c6ac7b6821b2e5e26e3d2
Files	src/*.sol

2.3 Audit Timeline

February 25, 2025	Audit start
February 27, 2025	Audit end
March 10, 2025	Report published

2.4 Issues Found

SEVERITY	COUNT
Critical Risk	0
High Risk	0
Medium Risk	1
Low Risk	0
Informational	1
Total Issues	2

3

Findings Summary

ID	Description	Status
M-1	WFLOW address for the router is incorrect	Resolved
I-1	Use env variables instead of defaulting to 0	Acknowledged

4

Findings

4.1 Medium Risk

A total of 1 medium risk findings were identified.

[M-1] WFLOW address for the router is incorrect

SEVERITY: Medium

IMPACT: Medium

STATUS: Resolved

LIKELIHOOD: Medium

Target

- [KittyRouterNgPoolsOnly.vy](#)

Description

The deployment script for the KittyRouterNgPools contract sets the WFLOW variable to `address(0x0)`, when the correct WFLOW address on the FLOW blockchain should be `0xd3bF53DAC106A0290B0483EcBC89d40FcC961f3e`.

The router contract has been deployed to mainnet at `0x87048a97526c4B66b71004927D24F61DEFcD6375` with the incorrect address value. The constructor received `address(0)` for the WFLOW parameter during deployment.

As a result, users cannot use the router contract's native functions to wrap or unwrap FLOW tokens. Since this variable cannot be modified after deployment, the router will remain in this broken state until a new deployment is made with the correct address.

Recommendations:

1. Update the deployment script to use the correct WFLOW address:
`0xd3bF53DAC106A0290B0483EcBC89d40FcC961f3e`
2. Redeploy the router contract with the corrected parameter
3. Update any references to the old router address in other contracts or documentation
4. Consider adding validation checks in deployment scripts for critical address parameters

KittyPunch: Resolved by redeploying with correct address:
[0x09d35647ceDC6725696E330Be485Ccc0D3385819](#)

Zenith: Verified.

4.2 Informational

A total of 1 informational findings were identified.

[I-1] Use env variables instead of defaulting to 0

SEVERITY: Informational

IMPACT: Informational

STATUS: Acknowledged

LIKELIHOOD: Low

Target

- [stable-swap script/*](#)
- [two-crypto script/*](#)
- [tri-crypto script/*](#)
- [punch-swap-core script/*](#)
- [punch-swap-periphery script/*](#)

Description:

Deployment scripts in the 5 codebases all use `0x0` as default value. While it can be changed prior to deployment, it is recommended to use environment variables instead and to revert if these are not set, protecting from deploying a contract with the wrong parameters.

Recommendations:

Use `vm.envAddress(PARAM_NAME)` and revert if it's not set instead of defaulting to `0x0`.

Kittypunch: Acknowledged. We have used that approach in the past, but to be honest it was very tedious to double check each parameter in the `.env` every time before executing. For example, when you need to work with multiple tokens at the same time, you would need to create multiple `TOKEN1_ADDRESS`, `TOKEN2_ADDRESS`, etc.