

The New Methodology

Review on Agile Methods

CSCW 2015

Paper Author:
Martin Fowler
@ThoughtWorks

Review by:
Jingxiang Gou
M2 HCID @UPS XI

Why the New Methods



- reasons for agile methods
- adaptive nature
- people-first orientation

Paper Contents

1. From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile
2. Predictive Vs. Adaptive
3. Putting People First
4. The Self-Adaptive Process
5. Flavors of Agile Development
6. Should you go agile?

Paper Contents

From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile

Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Putting People First

The Self-Adaptive Process

Flavors of Agile Development

Should you go agile?

From Nothing, to Agile

Engineering methods

plan-driven methodologies



Predictive?

Process oriented

Document oriented

Agile methods

test-driven methodologies



Adaptive!

People-oriented

Code-oriented

Paper Contents

From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile

Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Putting People First

The Self-Adaptive Process

Flavors of Agile Development

Should you go agile?

Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Separation of Design & Construction

Design:

difficult to predict
expensive & creative people

Construction:

easier to predict



Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Crucial QUESTION !

look very good on paper,
yet be seriously flawed when
you actually have to program
the project

Can you get a design:

1 that is capable of turning the coding into
a predictable construction activity?

2 If so, is cost of doing this sufficiently
small to make this approach worthwhile?

False Conclusion on Engineering

Jack Reeves: “anything that you can treat as construction can and should be automated.”

- In software: construction is so cheap as to be free
- In software: all the effort is design, and thus requires creative and talented people
- Creative processes are not easily planned, and so predictability may well be an impossible target.
- be very wary of the traditional engineering metaphor for building software.

It's a different kind of activity and requires a different process

Unpredictability of Requirements

Requirements, are always Changing !

- understanding the requirement is tough
- software dev is a design activity
- basic materials keep changing rapidly
- difficult to see value of a feature until use for real
- no stable requirements = no predictable plan

Question: what we do about it ?

Misunderstanding Requirements

leads to people using a methodology
in the wrong circumstances, such as:

using a predictable methodology in
an unpredictable situation

What to Do?

a process that
can give you control over an unpredictability.



Adaptivity

Control Unpredictable Process

Adaptivity with iterations

TEST !

Why tests?

1 bugs

2 misunderstood
requirements



Control Unpredictable Process

adaptivity with iterations

Key question:

TEST !



Why tests?

1 bugs

2 misunderstood
requirements

How long the iteration should
be ?

Depending on each agile
method

With Adaptive Customer

Agile approach:

fix time, fix money, vary scope

1 constant reworking of plan

2 risk control

3 a late change in requirement: competitive advantage

With Adaptive Customer

Business success?

On-time? on-cost?(predictive methods)

Business Value ! (Agile methods)

The customer get the software
with more value than the cost

Paper Contents

From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile
Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Putting People First

The Self-Adaptive Process

Flavors of Agile Development

Should you go agile?

Putting **people** first

synergy:

adaptivity require a **strong team**

most good developers prefer an **adaptive process**



Putting **people** first

**plug-compatible
programming units**

Engineering

- Frederick Taylor's **Scientific** Management approach.
- “**Achilles heel**” of measurement based management.
- leads to high levels of **measurement dysfunction**.

responsible professionals Agile

- **accepting** the process rather than imposition of a process
- developers make **all** technical decisions

+ Business Leadership

Paper Contents

From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile

Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Putting People First

The Self-Adaptive Process

Flavors of Agile Development

Should you go agile?

Self-Adaptive Process

each **iteration**:

What did we do well?

What have we learned?

What can we do better?

What puzzles us?

leads to ideas to **change** for the **next iteration**

Paper Contents

From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile

Predictive Vs. Adaptive

Putting People First

The Self-Adaptive Process

Flavors of Agile Development

Should you go agile?

Flavors of Agile Development

1 Extreme Programming

Communication,
Feedback, Simplicity,
Courage, Respect
14 principles, 24 practice

2 Scrum

sprints
daily scrum meetings

3 Crystal

family of methods

safety (in project outcome), efficiency,
habitability (developers can live with crystal)

4 Context Driven Testing

questioning mainstream testing thinking

5 Lean Development

overlaps & inspirations between lean production and software development

6 Unified Process

a process framework
Use Case Driven
problem: its infinite variability

Paper Contents

From Nothing, to Monumental, to Agile
Predictive Vs. Adaptive
Putting People First
The Self-Adaptive Process
Flavors of Agile Development
Should you go agile?

Go Agile?

NOT for everyone, **BUT** could be used by more

To start with:

- 1 **suitable projects** to try agile
 - team & customer want to work with it
 - project more critical than comfortable with
- 2 find a **mentor** experienced in agile
- 3 follow their **advice** try methods first
- 4 hard to tell where the **boundary**

WHERE NOT to use? never impose on a team who doesn't want it

Thank you !

