

Blockchain Security Audit Report

[2021]





Table Of Contents

1 Executive Summary	
2 Audit Methodology	
3 Project Overview	
3.1 Project Introduction —	
2.2 Coverage	
3.2 Coverage —	
3.3 Vulnerability Information —	
4 Findings	
- STUMMIN	
4.1 Visibility Description	
4.2 Vulnerability Summary —	
S Avella De cella	
5 Audit Result	
6 Statement	



1 Executive Summary

On 2021.11.15, the SlowMist security team received the Bifrost team's security audit application for Bifrost, developed the audit plan according to the agreement of both parties and the characteristics of the project, and finally issued the security audit report.

The SlowMist security team adopts the strategy of "white box" to conduct a complete security test on the project in the way closest to the real attack.

The test method information:

Test method	Description
Black box testing	Conduct security tests from an attacker's perspective externally.
Grey box testing	Conduct security testing on code modules through the scripting tool, observing the internal running status, mining weaknesses.
White box testing	Based on the open source code, non-open source code, to detect whether there are vulnerabilities in programs such as nodes, SDK, etc.

The vulnerability severity level information:

Level	Description
Critical	Critical severity vulnerabilities will have a significant impact on the security of the DeFi project, and it is strongly recommended to fix the critical vulnerabilities.
High	High severity vulnerabilities will affect the normal operation of the DeFi project. It is strongly recommended to fix high-risk vulnerabilities.
Medium	Medium severity vulnerability will affect the operation of the DeFi project. It is recommended to fix medium-risk vulnerabilities.
Low	Low severity vulnerabilities may affect the operation of the DeFi project in certain scenarios. It is suggested that the project party should evaluate and consider whether these vulnerabilities need to be fixed.
Weakness	There are safety risks theoretically, but it is extremely difficult to reproduce in engineering.



Level	Description
Suggestion	There are better practices for coding or architecture.

In black box testing and gray box testing, we use methods such as fuzz testing and script testing to test the robustness of the interface or the stability of the components by feeding random data or constructing data with a specific structure, and to mine some boundaries Abnormal performance of the system under conditions such as bugs or abnormal performance. In white box testing, we use methods such as code review, combined with the relevant experience accumulated by the security team on known blockchain security vulnerabilities, to analyze the object definition and logic implementation of the code to ensure that the code has the key components of the key logic. Realize no known vulnerabilities; at the same time, enter the vulnerability mining mode for new scenarios and new technologies, and find possible 0day errors.

2 Audit Methodology

The security audit process of SlowMist security team for smart contract includes two steps:

Smart contract codes are scanned/tested for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities using automated analysis tools.

Manual audit of the codes for security issues. The contracts are manually analyzed to look for any potential problems.

Following is the list of commonly known vulnerabilities that was considered during the audit of the smart contract:

NO.	Audit Items	Result
1	Others	Some Risks
2	State Consistency Audit	Passed



NO.	Audit Items	Result
3	Failure Rollback Audit	Passed
4	Unit Test Audit	Passed
5	Value Overflow Audit	Some Risks
6	Parameter Verification Audit	Passed
7	Error Unhandle Audit	Some Risks
8	Boundary Check Audit	Some Risks
9	SAST	Some Risks

3 Project Overview

3.1 Project Introduction

A parachain focused on building bridges of chains based on PoS consensus.

Project official website: https://bifrost.finance/

Project source code repository:

https://github.com/bifrost-finance/bifrost/(Version: v0.9.2-audit)

https://github.com/zenlinkpro/Zenlink-DEX-Module

(Version: 3121aacf71f65d69e6dd06828726eea11c86a041)

3.2 Coverage

Target Code and Revision:



bifrost:

node/primitives/src/currency.rs

pallets/flexible-fee/src/fee_dealer.rs

pallets/flexible-fee/src/lib.rs

pallets/flexible-fee/src/misc_fees.rs

pallets/vsbond-auction/src/lib.rs

pallets/salp/src/lib.rs

pallets/liquidity-mining/src/lib.rs

Zenlink-DEX-Module:

bifrost/zenlink-protocol/src/swap/mod.rs

bifrost/zenlink-protocol/src/*.rs (exclude rpc.rs)

3.3 Vulnerability Information

The following is the status of the vulnerabilities found in this audit:

NO	Title	Category	Level	Status
N1	Inaccurate calculation method used	Value Overflow Audit	High	Fixed
N2	Deflation tokens are not compatible	Others	Suggestion	Ignored
N3	The size of the list is not limited	Boundary Check Audit	Low	Fixed
N4	The returned result is not handled	Error Unhandle Audit	Low	Fixed
N5	RUSTSEC Findings	SAST	Suggestion	Fixed

4 Findings



4.1 Visibility Description

The SlowMist Security team analyzed the visibility of major contracts during the audit, the result as follows:

liquidity-mining				
Function Name	Parameter verification	State consistency	Modifiers	
create_mining_pool	4/7	ok	ensure_origin	
create_farming_pool	6/9	ok	ensure_origin	
create_eb_farming_pool	6/9	ok	ensure_origin	
charge	1/2	ok	ensure_signed	
kill_pool	2/2	ok	ensure_origin	
force_retire_pool	2/2	ok	ensure_origin	
deposit	3/3	ok	ensure_signed	
redeem	3/3	ok	ensure_signed	
redeem_all	2/2	ok	ensure_signed	
volunteer_to_redeem	2/3	ok	-	
claim	2/2	ok	ensure_signed	

vsbond-auction			
Function Name	Parameter verification	State consistency	Modifiers
create_order	3/7	ok	ensure_signed
revoke_order	2/2	ok	ensure_signed
clinch_order	2/2	ok	ensure_signed



vsbond-auction			
partial_clinch_order	3/3	ok	ensure_signed

	flexible-fee		
Function Name	Parameter verification	State consistency	Modifiers
set_user_fee_charge_order	1/2	ok	ensure_signed

salp			
Function Name	Parameter verification	State consistency	Modifiers
fund_success	2/2	ok	ensure_origin
fund_fail	2/2	ok	ensure_origin
fund_retire	2/2	ok	ensure_origin
fund_end	2/2	ok	ensure_origin
edit	3/6	ok	ensure_origin
unlock	1/3	ok	-
batch_unlock	2/2	ok	ensure_signed
create	4/5	ok	ensure_origin
contribute	3/3	ok	ensure_signed
confirm_contribute	3/5	ok	ensure_origin
withdraw	2/2	ok	ensure_origin
refund	1/2	ok	ensure_signed
batch_refund	1/2	ok	ensure_signed



salp				
redeem	3/3	ok	ensure_signed	
dissolve	2/2	ok	ensure_origin	
add_proxy	1/2	ok	ensure_origin	
remove_proxy	1/2	ok	ensure_origin	
mint	1/2	ok	ensure_signed	

zenlink-protocol					
Function Name	Parameter verification	State consistency	Modifiers		
set_fee_receiver	3/3	ok	ensure_root		
set_fee_point	2/2	ok	ensure_root		
transfer	4/4	ok	ensure_signed		
transfer_to_parachain	5/6	ok	ensure_origin		
create_pair	3/3	ok	ensure_root		
add_liquidity	6/8	ok	ensure_signed		
remove_liquidity	4/8	ok	ensure_signed		
swap_exact_assets_for_assets	6/6	ok	ensure_signed		
swap_assets_for_exact_assets	6/6	ok	ensure_signed		
bootstrap_create	3/8	ok	ensure_root		
bootstrap_contribute	6/6	ok	ensure_signed		
bootstrap_claim	4/5	ok	ensure_signed		



zenlink-protocol				
bootstrap_end	3/3	ok	ensure_signed	
bootstrap_update	3/8	ok	ensure_root	
bootstrap_refund	3/3	ok	ensure_signed	

4.2 Vulnerability Summary

[N1] [High] Inaccurate calculation method used

Category: Value Overflow Audit

Content

- zenlink-protocol/src/swap/mod.rs
- zenlink-protocol/src/foreign/mod.rs
- pallets/liquidity-mining/src/lib.rs
- pallets/salp/src/lib.rs
- pallets/vsbond-auction/src/lib.rs

saturating_mul, saturating_sub, saturating_add

saturating at the numeric bounds instead of overflowing, The returned result is inaccurate.

Solution

Can use checked_mul, checked_add, checked_sub to throw an exception when the calculation result overflows and do not continue to execute.

Status

Fixed:

zenlink-protocol/src/swap/mod.rs



zenlink-protocol/src/foreign/mod.rs

Fixed in https://github.com/zenlinkpro/Zenlink-DEX-

Module/commit/59df37ad51ccddaef199879aa04fb541cd08095b

- pallets/liquidity-mining/src/lib.rs
- pallets/salp/src/lib.rs
- pallets/vsbond-auction/src/lib.rs

Fixed in https://github.com/bifrost-

finance/bifrost/commit/bb009e3917428bf980a80509d2db7322678e808c

[N2] [Suggestion] Deflation tokens are not compatible

Category: Others

Content

pallets/liquidity-mining/src/lib.rs

```
fn deposit
```

```
pub fn deposit(origin: OriginFor < T > , pid: PoolId, value: BalanceOf < T > , ) -
>DispatchResultWithPostInfo {
    let user = ensure_signed(origin) ? ;

    let mut pool: PoolInfo < T > =Self: :pool(pid).ok_or(Error: :<T >
    ::InvalidPoolId) ? .try_retire().try_update();

    ensure ! (pool.state == PoolState: :Charged || pool.state == PoolState: :Ongoing,
Error: :<T > ::InvalidPoolState);

    ensure ! (value >= T: :MinimumDepositOfUser: :get(), Error: :<T >
    ::TooLowToDeposit);

    let mut deposit_data: DepositData < T > =Self: :user_deposit_data(pid,
    user.clone()).unwrap_or(DepositData: :<T > ::from_pool( & pool));
    if pool.state == PoolState: :Ongoing && pool.update_b != deposit_data.update_b {
        pool.try_settle_and_transfer( & mut deposit_data, user.clone()) ? ;
    }
}
```



```
deposit data.deposit = deposit data.deposit.saturating add(value);
    pool.deposit = pool.deposit.saturating add(value);
    ensure ! (pool.deposit <= T: :MaximumDepositInPool: :get(), Error: :<T >
:: ExceedMaximumDeposit);
    // To "lock" the deposit
    match pool.r#type {
        PoolType: :Mining = >{
            let lpt = Self: :convert_to_lptoken(pool.trading_pair) ? ;
            T: :MultiCurrency: :transfer(lpt, &user, &pool.keeper, value).map_err( |
_e | Error: :<T > ::NotEnoughToDeposit) ? ;** //SlowMist If it is a deflationary
currency, then the actual amount received will be less than the value passed in.**
        },
        PoolType: :Farming = >{
            let(token_a, token_b) = pool.trading_pair;
            T: :MultiCurrency: :transfer(token a, &user, &pool.keeper,
value).map_err( | _e | Error: :<T > ::NotEnoughToDeposit) ? ;
            T: :MultiCurrency: :transfer(token b, &user, &pool.keeper,
value).map_err( | _e | Error: :<T > ::NotEnoughToDeposit) ? ;
        },
        PoolType: :EBFarming = >{
            let(token a, token b) = pool.trading pair;
            ensure ! (T: :MultiCurrency: :reserved_balance(token_a, &user) >=
deposit data.deposit, Error: :<T > ::NotEnoughToDeposit);
            ensure ! (T: :MultiCurrency: :reserved_balance(token_b, &user) >=
deposit data.deposit, Error: :<T > ::NotEnoughToDeposit);
        },
    }
    let r#type = pool.r#type;
    let trading pair = pool.trading pair;
   TotalPoolInfos: :<T > ::insert(pid, pool);
    TotalDepositData: :<T > ::insert(pid, user.clone(), deposit_data);
    Self: :deposit_event(Event: :UserDeposited(pid, r#type, trading_pair, value,
user));
    Ok(().into())
}
```



If the deposit is in deflationary currency, the actual received amount does not match the recorded amount.

Solution

Record the amount of the account before the transfer. Record the amount of the account once after the transfer. The two are subtracted and compared with the incoming amount

Status

Ignored; At present, Bifrost has no plan to access deflationary assets, and will not be modified for the time being.

The follow-up is to add restrictions on deflationary assets.

[N3] [Low] The size of the list is not limited

Category: Boundary Check Audit

Content

pallets/flexible-fee/src/lib.rs

```
fn set_user_fee_charge_order
asset order list vec does not limit the length of the list.
```

```
pub fn set_user_fee_charge_order(origin: OriginFor < T > , asset_order_list_vec:
Option < Vec < CurrencyIdOf < T >>> , ) - >DispatchResult {
    let who = ensure_signed(origin) ? ;

    if let Some(mut asset_order_list) = asset_order_list_vec {
        asset_order_list.insert(0, T: :NativeCurrencyId: :get());
        asset_order_list.dedup();//SlowMist No sorting first, de-duplication
        UserFeeChargeOrderList: :<T > ::insert( & who, asset_order_list);
    } else {
        UserFeeChargeOrderList: :<T > ::remove( & who);
}
```



```
Ok(().into())
}
```

Solution

The maximum length of asset order list vec can be judged.

asset_order_list can be sorted first in deduplication.

Status

Fixed

[N4] [Low] The returned result is not handled

Category: Error Unhandle Audit

Content

zenlink-protocol/src/swap/mod.rs

```
fn do bootstrap claim
```

```
pub(crate) fn do bootstrap claim(who: T: :AccountId, recipient: T: :AccountId,
asset 0: AssetId, asset 1: AssetId, ) - >DispatchResult {
    let pair = Self: :sort asset id(asset 0, asset 1);
    match Self: :pair status(pair) {
        Trading( ) = >BootstrapPersonalSupply: :<T > ::try mutate exists((pair,
&who), |contribution | {
            if let Some((amount_0_contribute, amount_1_contribute)) =
contribution.take() {
                if let Bootstrap(bootstrap_parameter) = Self:
:bootstrap_end_status(pair) {
                    ensure ! (!Self: :bootstrap_disable( & bootstrap_parameter),
Error: :<T > ::DisableBootstrap);
                    let exact amount 0 =
amount_0_contribute.saturating_mul(bootstrap_parameter.accumulated_supply.1).saturati
ng_add(amount_1_contribute.saturating_mul(bootstrap_parameter.accumulated_supply.0),
).checked_div(bootstrap_parameter.accumulated_supply.1.saturating_mul(2)).ok_or(Error
: :<T > ::Overflow) ? ;
                    let exact_amount_1 =
amount_1_contribute.saturating_mul(bootstrap parameter.accumulated_supply.0).saturati
```



```
ng_add(amount_0_contribute.saturating_mul(bootstrap_parameter.accumulated_supply.1),
).checked_div(bootstrap_parameter.accumulated_supply.0.saturating_mul(2)).ok_or(Error
: :<T > ::Overflow) ? ;
                    let calculated_liquidity =
exact_amount_0.saturating_mul(exact_amount_1).integer_sqrt();
                    let pair_account = Self: :pair_account_id(pair.0, pair.1);
                    let lp_asset_id = Self: :lp_pairs(pair).ok_or(Error: :<T >
:: InsufficientAssetBalance) ? ;
                    T: :MultiAssetsHandler: :transfer(lp_asset_id, &pair_account,
&recipient, calculated_liquidity) ?;
                    Self: :deposit_event(Event: :BootstrapClaim(pair_account,
who.clone(), recipient, pair.0, pair.1, amount_0_contribute, amount_1_contribute,
calculated liquidity, ));
                    Ok(())
                } else {
                    Err(Error: :<T > ::NotInBootstrap.into())
                }
            } else {
                Err(Error: :<T > ::ZeroContribute.into())
        }),//SlowMist The returned result is not handled
        = >Err(Error: :<T > ::NotInBootstrap.into()),
   }
}
```

Solution

The result returned by try_mutate_exists needs to be handled

Status

Fixed

[N5] [Suggestion] RUSTSEC Findings

Category: SAST

Content



(1)

Crate: hyper

Version: 0.12.36

Title: Integer overflow in hyper's parsing of the Transfer-Encoding header leads to data loss

Date: 2021-07-07

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0079

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0079

Solution: Upgrade to >=0.14.10

Dependency tree:

hyper 0.12.36

(2)

Crate: hyper

Version: 0.12.36

Title: Lenient hyper header parsing of Content-Length could allow request smuggling

Date: 2021-07-07

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0078

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0078

Solution: Upgrade to >=0.14.10

(3)

Crate: hyper

Version: 0.13.10

Title: Integer overflow in hyper's parsing of the Transfer-Encoding header leads to data loss

Date: 2021-07-07

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0079

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0079



Solution: Upgrade to >=0.14.10 (4) Crate: libsecp256k1 Version: 0.3.5 Title: libsecp256k1 allows overflowing signatures Date: 2021-07-13 ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0076 URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0076 Solution: Upgrade to >=0.5.0 Dependency tree: libsecp256k1 0.3.5 (5)Crate: time Version: 0.1.44 Title: Potential segfault in the time crate Date: 2020-11-18 ID: RUSTSEC-2020-0071 URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2020-0071 Solution: Upgrade to >=0.2.23 Dependency tree: time 0.1.44 (6)Crate: wasmtime Version: 0.27.0

Title: Multiple Vulnerabilities in Wasmtime



Date: 2021-09-17

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0110

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0110

Solution: Upgrade to >=0.30.0

Solution

Upgrade the corresponding library to the resolved version.

Status

Fixed

5 Audit Result

Audit Number	Audit Team	Audit Date	Audit Result
BCA002111300001	SlowMist Security Team	2021.11.15 - 2021.11.30	Passed

Summary conclusion: The SlowMist security team use a manual and SlowMist team's analysis tool to audit the project, during the audit work we found 1 high risk, 2 low risk, 2 suggestion vulnerabilities. All other findings were fixed.



6 Statement

SlowMist issues this report with reference to the facts that have occurred or existed before the issuance of this report, and only assumes corresponding responsibility based on these.

For the facts that occurred or existed after the issuance, SlowMist is not able to judge the security status of this project, and is not responsible for them. The security audit analysis and other contents of this report are based on the documents and materials provided to SlowMist by the information provider till the date of the insurance report (referred to as "provided information"). SlowMist assumes: The information provided is not missing, tampered with, deleted or concealed. If the information provided is missing, tampered with, deleted, concealed, or inconsistent with the actual situation, the SlowMist shall not be liable for any loss or adverse effect resulting therefrom. SlowMist only conducts the agreed security audit on the security situation of the project and issues this report. SlowMist is not responsible for the background and other conditions of the project.





Official Website

www.slowmist.com



E-mail

team@slowmist.com



Twitter

@SlowMist_Team



Github

https://github.com/slowmist