


nature hacks for life



@cjlortie

debate about (N)atural













less native, somewhat natural



different species & different complexity



**11 million bits** of information per second in cities

Plambech et al. 2015

people are animals too



**people are absurd**

RÉPUBLIQUE FRANÇAISE

Le Petit Prince

3,00




LA POSTE 1998

A. de SAINT-EXUPÉRY


ITVF

The absurd is the search for **meaning** and value  
and the failure to secure either with certainty.


This suspension of belief and searching can however be a gift



"My drawing was not a picture of a hat.  
It was a picture of a boa constrictor digesting an elephant."



# the promise of environmental neuroscience



Berman et al. 2019

explore this interaction set



as an antidote to this interaction set



screen time



# **ART**

## attention restoration theory



Berman et al. 2008 & Bratman et al. 2015

# BET

## biophilia effect




evolutionary history & connectedness

Kellert & Wilson 1993

# SRT


## stress-reduction theory



Ulrich et al. 1991

# The Yerkes-Dodson Law

How anxiety affects performance.



SOURCE ROBERT M. YERKES AND JOHN D. DODSON

© HBR.ORG



cognition

knowledge, processing, experience, perception, learning, reasoning



0, 5, 3, 2, 7





10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5

**50** individuals tested all typically showed modest increases from a walk outside or imagery

**TABLE 1**  
*Behavioral Results From Experiments 1 and 2*

Measure	Natural setting		Urban setting	
	Before interaction	After interaction	Before interaction	After interaction
Backward span				
Experiment 1	7.90 (0.37)	9.40 (0.41)	7.90 (0.30)	8.40 (0.33)
Experiment 2	7.92 (0.96)	9.33 (0.86)	7.83 (1.04)	8.83 (0.90)
ANT effects (ms)				
Executive	86 (11.30)	67 (8.45)	81 (15.50)	93 (17.96)
Orienting	47 (6.46)	55 (7.33)	46 (10.01)	43 (4.73)
Alerting	32 (6.86)	31 (5.23)	36 (6.52)	46 (5.63)

Berman et al. 2008



~**250** people test to date show mean change at  
+**20%** with a short walk (15-50mins)

Bratman et al. 2015



stress

**2356** people tested  
20-40% of variation explained by experiencing nature  
(viewing or outside)


Table 3. Overall effect size estimates for the effect of brief exposure to natural environments on positive and negative affect.

Outcome	N	k	r	95% CI for r (lower, upper)	T <sup>2</sup>	I <sup>2</sup>
Positive affect	2284	31	0.31	0.24, 0.37	0.02	56.95
Negative affect	1630	20	-0.12	-0.17, -0.07	0.00	13.08
Overall	2356	32				

Note: N = number of participants included in analysis; k = number of studies; r = effect size estimate; CI = confidence interval; T<sup>2</sup> = estimate of between-study variability; I<sup>2</sup> = estimate of total variability due to between-study variability.




lab < outside  
manicured nature = wild nature  
Sweden < Canada < USA < Japan



McMahan & Estes 2015

**8523** people  
happier with  
nature






creativity


**104** people tested for verbal & visual creativity  
with & without natural views/plants

## **30 CIRCLES TEST**



Studente et al. 2016

8.3.10




visual creativity (judged by others) increased by **nearly 20%**  
but not all measures universally increased



problem solving


# RWPS


## real world problem-solving



**FIGURE 1 |** Summary of neural activations during focused problem-solving (**Left**) and defocused problem-solving (**Right**). During defocused problem-solving, the salience network (insula and ACC) coordinates the switching of several networks into a defocused attention mode that permits the reception of a more varied set of stimuli and interpretations via both the internally-guided networks (default mode network DMN) and externally guided networks (Attention). PFC, prefrontal cortex; ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; IPC, inferior parietal cortex; PPC, posterior parietal cortex; IPS, intra-parietal sulcus; TPJ, temporoparietal junction; MTL, medial temporal lobe; FEF, frontal eye field.

Sarathy 2018





Williams et al. 2018

# implications

less-demanding **complexity** on cognition  
reductions in top-down attentional **control**  
evolutionary history & **refuge** effects  
autonomic nervous system **tuning**



## bonus items

mitigate onset of short-sightedness  
increased fertility

how low can you go?



**Fig. 1.** Subjects filling in the questionnaires in Alppipuisto (urban park).



**Fig. 3.** Viewing session in Helsinki city centre.

vegging out sufficiency

# nature ninja hacks




get outside  
develop an **environmental identity**  
photos, windows, views  
use active interactions with nature  
explore whatever natural elements are immediately present  
walk and walking meetings  
change your 'learning' environment  
challenge or **risk** or **play outside**

# 25,782 participants benefitted from risky outdoor play

**Table 1.** Definitions used to guide the systematic review (risky play behaviours).

<b>Risky Play</b>		
Thrilling and exciting forms of play that involve a risk of physical injury. The risk can be real or perceived [7,14]		
<b>Risky Play Categories [5,6]</b>	<b>Definition</b>	<b>Examples</b>
<i>Great heights</i>	Danger of injury from falling	Climbing/jumping from surfaces, balancing/playing on high objects (e.g., playground equipment), hanging/swinging at great heights
<i>High speed</i>	Uncontrolled speed and pace that can lead to collision with something (or someone)	Swinging at high speed
<i>Dangerous tools</i>	Can lead to injuries and wounds	Cutting tools (e.g., knives, saws, or axes), strangling tools (e.g., ropes)
<i>Dangerous elements</i>	Where children can fall into or from something	Cliffs, water, fire pits, trees
<i>Rough and Tumble Play</i>	Where children can be harmed	Wrestling or play fighting with other children or parents
<i>Disappear/get lost</i>	Where children can disappear from the supervision of adults or get lost alone	Exploring alone, playing alone in unfamiliar environments, general independent mobility, or unsupervised play

I want to see things differently.




side effects




NONE

sustainability at all level is a noble ideal




burn calories not electricity

frame your decisions in terms of sustainability




deficits, footprint, and eco-capacity


the absurd



the more we have, the more we borrow



co-opt the absurd to explore  
directing your drives to sustainable &  
connected living





if it is only with the heart that one can see rightly;  
what is essential is invisible to the eye.



natural complexity  
is the  
answer