



LUNDS TEKNISKA HÖGSKOLA

FAFF30 VÅGLÄRA OCH OPTIK

# Summary of the Polarisation lab

Emil Babayev

Supervisor  
David Busto

2020-05-12

# 1 Preparatory problems

## 1.0.1 Polarised light

- a) The y-component is a cosine-wave, i.e. a sine wave shifted by  $\pi/2$  rad, meaning the phase difference is  $\pi/2$  rad. The light is circularly polarised.
- b)  $\cos(x) = \sin(x + \pi/2)$  combined with the negative sign creates a phase shift of  $\pi$  radians, giving linearly polarised light.
- c) The light is phase-shifted by  $\pi/2$  but has different amplitudes, meaning it's elliptically polarised.
- d) There is a phase shift of  $\pi/3$  rad, and the amplitudes are the same, meaning it's elliptically polarised.

## 1.0.2 The law of Malus

The amplitude of the electric field passing through an ideal linear polariser is given by

$$E = E_0 \cos(\theta)$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between the polariser and the linearly polarised light. Because the intensity is the square of the amplitude, this gives the intensity

$$I = E^2 = E_0^2 \cos^2(\theta) = I_0 \cos^2(\theta)$$

which is the law of Malus, giving the intensity of the light passing through the polariser.

## 1.0.3 Birefringence

Different materials have different internal structures. Light, which is electromagnetic radiation, oscillates the electrons in a material when propagating through it. Glass, for example, is amorphous, and the atoms are randomly distributed. Light entering the glass approximately meets the same resistance to oscillation and therefore has the same refractive index for all polarisations of light.

Some materials (e.g crystals) are organised in such a way that it is harder to oscillate the electrons in some directions than other. This means the same material has two different refractive indexes depending on the polarisation of the incident light, and this is called birefringence (sv. dubbelbrytning).

If two axes are chosen, called the ordinary and the extraordinary axis, one may define two refraction indices for linearly polarised light incident on these axes. If the refraction index along the ordinary axis is called  $n_o$ , and along the extraordinary axis  $n_e$ , the difference between refractive indices can be determined,  $\Delta n = n_e - n_o$ .

## 1.0.4 Unpolarised light

## 1.0.5 Reflective grating

## 2 Birefringence and difference in refraction index

In this part, we were tasked with finding the difference in refraction index between the ordinary and extraordinary axes in a quartz crystal. The crystal was  $d = 3$  mm wide, and we were given intensity data for the visible light spectrum, for parallel and crossed polarisators. I chose to work with the parallel polarisators, and the spectrum data was plotted in MATLAB to create figure 1. Three




Figure 1: Spectrum data for visible light. The red dots indicate which maxima were chosen for the analysis.

maximas were chosen in this figure, and the wavelengths were measured,  $\lambda_1$  was the first chosen maximum,  $\lambda_2$  the second,  $p$  maximas away from the first and  $\lambda_3$  the third,  $q$  maximas away from the first. The difference in refractive index  $\Delta n = n_e - n_o$  is given by Cauchy's formula, equation 1.

Variable	Value
$\lambda_1$	474.9 nm
$\lambda_2$	523.1 nm
$\lambda_3$	632.7 nm
$p$	6
$q$	16

Combining this with the criterion for maximum for the crystal gave us a system of equations, 2.

$$\Delta n(\lambda) = A + \frac{B}{\lambda^2} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\left(A + \frac{B}{\lambda_1^2}\right) &= (2m + 1) \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \\
d\left(A + \frac{B}{\lambda_2^2}\right) &= (2(m - p) + 1) \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \\
d\left(A + \frac{B}{\lambda_3^2}\right) &= (2(m - q) + 1) \frac{\lambda_3}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This system, with three unknowns ( $A$ ,  $B$  and  $m$ ), was solved using MATLAB's `fsolve` function.  $A$  and  $B$  were plugged into the  $\Delta n(\lambda)$  function, equation 1, and the function was plotted for the visible wavelengths. The result is shown in figure 2. The table value for  $\Delta n$  at  $\lambda = 590$  nm is 0.009[1], and




Figure 2: The resulting function

in comparison the values acquired from the experiment seem reasonable. The difference in values can be attributed to the simple model used for the wavelength dependence of the refraction index.

## References

- [1] Wikipedia. Birefringence. Accessed 2020-05-12. Available at <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Birefringence>