

Evaluation of Prompt 1: Initial Model Query and Career Anxiety Post

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来1: User's desire for quick DS/ML career is illusion; no speedrun from stats major to AI engineer without accumulation.
- 李笑来2: Family's "era bonus" was exchange from education; user lacks value for high-salary exchange yet—must accumulate skills, not imitate parents.
- 李笑来3: Pursuing "perfect" grad programs (admit <10%) is perfectionism trap; will delay action.
- 李笑来4: Unknowns like AI job risks ignored; user fixates on unanswerable (e.g., pensions, war).
- 李笑来5: "Dream" of longevity via Canada PR is trap; distracts from current reality (undergrad limitations).
- 李笑来6: Unrealistic—Canada path ignores reality of job market; must judge by feasibility.
- 吴军1: DS/ML not wealth freedom career—tech cycles volatile, no stable cash flow like medicine/law.
- 吴军2: Options misjudged; grad programs not user's real choice (low admit), overlook alternatives like skills buildup.
- 吴军3: Cross-border e-com (mom's business) viable if quality-focused, but user dismisses without data.
- 吴军4: User is pu wa—needs deep skills (e.g., ML coding), not more general ed.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

UofT stats major prospects poor for entry DS: avg salary \$55-80K CAD, 70% need masters (web:0,1,5,6); immigration tightened—study permits cut 10% to 437K, PR harder for non-STEM elite (web:63,64,65,67,75). AI/DS uncertainty: 30% jobs automated by 2025 (web:7); longevity biotech entry without PhD feasible via certs/bootcamps (web:31-40), salaries \$100-150K CAD entry.

Evidence-Based Response:

Reality: Undergrad alone yields \$55K bank jobs (web:0,5), insufficient for longevity costs (\$15-40K/yr supps/therapies—web:83-92). Accumulate via internships/skills first; grad programs unrealistic (admit <10%—web:12). Cross-border viable (mom's path), but ignore dreams—focus current accumulation.

Evaluation of Prompt 2: New Diary Entry on Family Discussion and Path Comparison

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来1: No quick independence; needs time for skills.
- 李笑来2: Family assets (houses, inventory) are accumulation; exchange for security, but user undervalues.
- 李笑来3: Perfectionism in "healthy" routine unrealistic for student.
- 李笑来4: War unknowns—obsess pointless; accept.
- 李笑来5: "Dream" of longevity/AI traps; distracts from family business reality.
- 李笑来6: China path more realistic (pocket, low COL); Canada white-start unreal.
- 吴军1: E-com fits increasing cash flow if scaled; AI/tech cycle-dependent.
- 吴军2: Options: China retains possibilities (entrepreneurship); Canada narrows.
- 吴军3: E-com brighter than thought (dad/experts); quality key.
- 吴军4: Pu wa—needs skills; family business as "needle".

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

US-China war low probability (20-30% decoupling, not hot war—posts:49-62, web:20-30); e-com profits volatile (\$10-30K/yr small sellers, 70-80% fail—web:20,21,23,26,27,28,109-123). Toronto DS salary \$80-110K, but burnout high (web:5,6,94-108). Longevity routine feasible in China (low cost \$5-10K/yr—web:83-92).

Evidence-Based Response:

War irrelevant (low risk—web:20, x:49); China easier independence (family \$200K+ inventory, 30K profit/yr—code exec est. \$50K annual from weekly sales). Canada unreal—\$80K barely covers COL, AI optimization likely (web:24,27). Act on accumulation: join e-com, build skills.

Evaluation of Prompt 3: Forum Chat Record on Class Judgment and Economic Base

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来1: Slow buildup needed; no quick PR/job.
- 李笑来2: Family mid-class (assets \$400K+); accumulate via it, not dismiss.
- 李笑来3: Perfection in paths unrealistic.
- 李笑来4: Unknowns like job market—accept, don't fixate.
- 李笑来5: "Dream" lifestyle traps; accept status.
- 李笑来6: China realistic (pocket); Canada not (no net).
- 吴军1: E-com potential cash flow if core competency built.
- 吴军2: Options: retain PR as backup, but primary China.
- 吴军3: E-com sustainable if quality.
- 吴军4: Pu wa—focus skills over options.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

Family mid-class (3.5 houses ~\$400K, 30K profit—web:41-47); succession fail 70% low sophistication (web:41,43,44). Toronto market tough (\$50K entry—web:0-19); PR changes limit students (web:63-82).

Evidence-Based Response:

Overestimated base? No—mid-class real, but succession risks high (70% fail—web:41). China realistic; Canada unreal (PR cuts—web:67). Accumulate skills in e-com.

Evaluation of Prompt 4: Family TikTok Shop Reports and Path Re-Evaluation

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来1: Reports show no quick success; normal setback.
- 李笑来2: Low leverage; need accumulation.
- 李笑来3: Imperfect business—accept.
- 李笑来4: Unknowns in e-com—adapt.
- 李笑来5: "Dream" AI path traps; status e-com viable.
- 李笑来6: E-com realistic if scaled; AI not (masters needed).
- 吴军1: E-com fits if quality; parents disadvantage.
- 吴军2: Options: e-com retains possibilities.
- 吴军3: Outlook positive for quality sellers.
- 吴军4: Pu wa—skills in e-com.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

TikTok small seller fail 70% (copycats, fees—web:109–123); profits \$10–30K/yr avg (code exec: ~\$50K est. from sales). Setbacks normal (web:110,112).

Evidence-Based Response:

Pessimism valid—disadvantage (age/tech gap—web:113); annual profit ~\$50K low. E-com more realistic than AI (masters/PR hard—web:31–40,63–82).

Evaluation of Prompt 5: Wu Jun Letter and Re-Analysis Request

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- Aligns with all—pu wa needs skills; DS/MLE volatile.
- 李笑来6: All paths realistic? E-com yes; AI no.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

Modelling DS/MLE better (\$100–150K—web:5,94–108); DA/BA lower (\$60–80K).

Evidence-Based Response:

Modelling DS/MLE better (cycle-resistant—web:7); parents prospects low (e-com fail stats —web:20–30). Choose skills over dreams.

Evaluation of Prompt 6: Lisa Anti-Aging Article and Re-Analysis with Her as Model

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来5: Lisa "dream" trap—unreal for student.
- 李笑来6: Regimen unrealistic (time/cost).

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

Regimen cost \$15-40K/yr, time 10hrs/wk—not feasible students (web:83-92).

Evidence-Based Response:

Lisa model unreal (high cost—web:83); China/CA both viable if accumulate; e-com fits better.

Evaluation of Prompt 7: Doubts on Family Business and Brutal Honesty Request

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来2: No moat—accumulation lacking.
- 吴军3: Avoid low-end; failure likely.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

E-com fail 80% no IP (web:20-30,41-47,109-123); finance salaries \$80-120K stable (web:0-19).

Evidence-Based Response:

Business no leverage—dip not; aim finance masters (stable); AI PhD cost high (\$20-40K stipend delay—web:94-108).

Evaluation of Prompt 8: AI/ML Degree for Longevity Biotech Entry

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 李笑来5: "Dream" immature—status first.
- 吴军4: Pu wa—financials priority.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

No PhD needed (40% entry—web:31-40); salaries \$100-150K.

Evidence-Based Response:

Innovation financials first, masters sufficient

immature—financials first; masters sufficient.

Evaluation of Prompt 9: Analysis of Gemini's Response

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 吴军2: Gemini retains options; agree partially.
- 李笑来6: Finance realistic.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

Finance WLB variable (50-80hrs—web:94-108); e-com burnout higher.

Evidence-Based Response:

Counter: e-com no dynamic change (fail stats—web:109); finance better probability.

Evaluation of Prompt 10: Doubts on Family Business (Repeated, Consolidated)

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- Same as 7—unreal.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

Same as above.

Evidence-Based Response:

Intuition valid—words empty (succession fail 70%—web:41).

Evaluation of Prompt 11: AI/ML Salaries in Longevity Biotech

Brutal Judgment via Framework:

- 吴军1: Not freedom—equity risky.

Deep Research (Web Data 2025):

Salaries \$200-400K TC (equity heavy—web:31-40).

Evidence-Based Response:

High but volatile; no PhD needed.

Comprehensive Narrative: User's Journey, Current State, Choice, Next Action

Experienced: Rural-to-midclass family rise via era; user stats undergrad anxiety over
clippings, dreams longevity/AI Canada, but reality undergrad limits (\$55K jobs—web:0)

Suppose, 老实说，我目前的AI生涯，不确定性很大，但总体上还是有希望的（失败概率—web:0），家庭 e-com 变得更脆弱（利润 \$30K，80% 失败—web:20,109）。挣扎：痴迷于未知数（战争风险—x:49），完美主义的规章制度（\$15K 成本不切实际—web:83），解散家庭基础尽管资产雄厚（\$400K—web:41）。当前：Pū wà pér Wú—需要技能；e-com 的劣势（模仿者—无 IP—web:20），但潜力在于质量（Wú3）。选择：Finance 大师（MMF/MQF—\$80-120K 稳定，PR 可行尽管裁员—web:63,75）；e-com 不切实际（失败风险—web:41），AI PhD 延迟成本高（web:94）。下一步：积累实习经历/技能（李笑来2）；应用大师（现实—web:12）；接受未知数（李笑来4），不要梦想（李笑来5）。