

Cross-Review Report: Shell Sort vs. Heap Sort

Group: SE-2431, Boranbay Zere and Jangazy Bakytzhan

1. Algorithm Overview

Partner's Algorithm – Shell Sort

Shell Sort is an extension of Insertion Sort that introduces *gap-based comparisons*, allowing elements far apart to be compared and swapped before refining to smaller gaps. As the gap decreases, the list becomes increasingly ordered, culminating in a final pass identical to insertion sort.

Implemented gap sequences:

- Shell:** $n/2, n/4, \dots, 1$ (simple, but inefficient for large n).
- Knuth:** $3h + 1$ sequence (improved average-case behavior).
- Sedgewick:** optimized for large datasets.

Shell Sort is adaptive to partially ordered arrays, but its efficiency depends heavily on the chosen gap sequence.

Reviewer's Algorithm – Heap Sort

Heap Sort uses a *binary heap* to maintain a partially ordered structure where the largest element is always at the root. It proceeds in two steps:

- Build Max Heap** – $O(n)$
- Extract Max and Heapify** – n times $O(\log n)$

Heap Sort provides guaranteed $O(n \log n)$ complexity, independent of data distribution, and sorts in-place.

Conceptual Difference

Aspect	Shell Sort	Heap Sort
Structure	Gap-based insertion	Binary heap tree
Adaptivity	High (nearly sorted arrays perform faster)	None (same cost regardless of order)
Stability	Not stable	Not stable
Implementation	Simple loops with gaps	Tree-based heapify operations

Shell Sort focuses on reducing disorder gradually, while Heap Sort enforces strict order via heap property restoration.

2. Complexity Analysis

Time Complexity Comparison

Case	Shell Sort (Knuth)	Heap Sort
Best	$O(n \log n)$	$O(n \log n)$
Average	$O(n^{1.5})$	$O(n \log n)$
Worst	$O(n^{1.5})$	$O(n \log n)$
Space	$O(1)$	$O(1)$

Observation:

- Shell Sort can outperform Heap Sort for *small or nearly sorted* datasets due to fewer movements in early passes.
- Heap Sort maintains uniform performance across all cases, making it more predictable for large n .

Mathematical Justification

Shell Sort reduces inversion counts progressively; its performance depends on the number of gap sequences $g(n)$.

Heap Sort builds a heap in linear time:

$$T(n) = O(n) + n \cdot O(\log n) = O(n \log n) \quad T(n) = O(n) + n \cdot O(\log n) = O(n \log n)$$

Thus, Heap Sort is *asymptotically superior* for large datasets, but Shell Sort may have smaller constant factors in practice.

3. Code Review

Partner's Code (Shell Sort)

- **Strengths:** modular gap-sequence handling, in-place sorting, readable structure.
- **Weaknesses:** redundant iterations for small subarrays, unnecessary temporary variables in swaps.
- **Improvements Suggested:**
 - Use in-place swapping to minimize operations.
 - Skip redundant loops once the array becomes nearly sorted.
 - Prefer Sedgwick sequence for better scaling on large n .

Reviewer's Code (Heap Sort)

- **Strengths:** bottom-up heap construction, consistent $O(n \log n)$, scalable to 100 k elements.

- **Weaknesses:** repeated heapify calls even when unnecessary; potential recursion overhead.
- **Optimizations:**
 - Implement iterative heapify.
 - Check if root is already max before swap.
 - Combine with Insertion Sort for small subarrays (hybrid optimization).

Cross Insight:
 Both algorithms are in-place and non-stable, but Shell Sort benefits from adaptivity while Heap Sort benefits from mathematical determinism.

4. Empirical Results

Array Size	Shell (Knuth) Ops	Heap Sort Ops
1 000	13 884	27 321
5 000	104 020	143 418
10 000	231 453	303 169
100 000	(~ 1.9 M est.)	3 328 065

Analysis:

- Shell Sort exhibits lower raw operation counts on smaller n due to fewer heapify-like rebalancing steps.
- Heap Sort shows *log-linear growth* consistent with $O(n \log n)$.
- For large $n > 50\,000$, Heap Sort overtakes Shell Sort in runtime predictability and cache efficiency.

Empirical Validation:

- Theoretical predictions hold: Heap Sort’s $O(n \log n)$ curve scales steadily.
- Shell Sort’s performance varies depending on gap sequence choice and array distribution.

5. Conclusion

Both **Shell Sort** and **Heap Sort** are efficient, in-place, comparison-based sorting algorithms.

Criterion	Shell Sort (Knuth)	Heap Sort
Asymptotic Complexity	$O(n^{1.5})$ avg	$O(n \log n)$ always
Adaptivity	Good (benefits from order)	None
Memory	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Practical Speed (small n)	Faster	Slightly slower
Scalability (large n)	Degrades	Consistent

Summary of Findings:

- For small or semi-sorted datasets, *Shell Sort* provides quick practical performance.
- For large, random datasets, *Heap Sort* delivers guaranteed and consistent $O(n \log n)$ behavior.
- Optimizations in both codes—gap-sequence tuning for Shell, iterative heapify for Heap—can further close the performance gap.

Recommendation:

Hybrid strategies (e.g., Shell Sort \rightarrow Heap Sort transition or Insertion-Heap hybrids) may leverage both adaptivity and guaranteed efficiency, providing optimal performance across data sizes.