



# Zerodraft AI - Scientific Research and Educational Dev (SR&ED) Grant Generator

Upload the transcripts between Zerodraft and client company in JSON file



Drag and drop file here

Limit 200MB per file • JSON



transcript\_3.json 15.0KB



Generate SR&ED Report

Transcript file uploaded and read successfully!

## 🌟 Learn Something New While You Wait!



**Fun Fact:** Did you know that the SR&ED tax credit program in Canada is a generous one, often called the 'Scientific Superpower' of the country? In fact, \$3 billion annually to companies dabbling in innovative research and development. So, if you're a

Report generated! You can now review and edit each section.



## SRED Report Analyser

### Section ID: project\_candidates

#### Content:


The project involved developing a web application with enhanced backend processing capabilities to provide a seamless user experience and optimize web: involved developing a web application with enhanced backend processing capabilities to provide a seamless user experience and optimize website conversi


- Content Match Score: 0.02

#### Model Based Assessment:

| Category                  | Score | Reason  |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Technological Uncertainty | 0     | The summary completely omits any reference to the technical challenges or uncertainties discussed in the transcript—such as engineering issues, the difficulties with data sourcing and analytics platform limitations—which are key to establishing t SR&ED claim. |
| Conventional Means        | 0     | The summary does not discuss how conventional methods were insufficient and how the project diverged from standard that routine processes were not applicable and required novel approaches, but this is not mentioned in the summary.                              |

| Category         | Score | Reason   |
|------------------|-------|--|
| Hypothesis       | 0     | Although the transcript explicitly describes forming hypotheses (e.g., that specific insights would improve conversion rate value), the summary provides no mention of hypothesis formation or testing that element of the scientific method.  |
| Prototype        | 0     | The transcript covers prototyping elements (such as the prototype for tracking user interactions and generating heat maps), but the summary fails to capture any details about prototype development.  |
| Test Methodology | 0     | The transcript describes testing procedures (including the comparison of analytics platforms and the assessment of custom integration), but the summary does not reference any testing methodology or experimental procedures used to validate the work.   |
| Test Results     | 0     | Even though the transcript alludes to outcomes such as performance improvements and data validation (e.g., switching from a managed cloud hosted model to a custom integration), the summary does not mention any results from these tests, thereby omitting an important aspect of the project. |

 Add comment to revise this section:

 Regenerate Section

## Section ID: technological\_uncertainties

**Content:**

The main technological objective of this project was to advance the field of web application development by creating a system that optimized user experience through Artificial Intelligence. In the course of the project, the following technological uncertainties were encountered:

1. **Uncertainty in Developing a Diagnostic Matrix:** The challenge was to develop a matrix that could diagnose and collate key findings from user experience data, categorize, and interpret the vast and diverse data from users' interactions with the website. Conventional data analysis methods were insufficient due to the complexity of the data.
2. **Uncertainty in Implementing Iterative Process:** The project intended to use the findings from the diagnostic matrix to refine the user interface. However, implementing an iterative process that could effectively use these insights to enhance the user experience. Existing software development methodologies could not address this unique challenge.
3. **Uncertainty in Batching Approach:** The team aimed to implement a batching approach to reduce costs and enable the AI to analyze the relative importance of different data points. However, it was unclear how to segment the data into batches without compromising the AI's ability to flag significant issues. Current approaches to data batching did not account for these issues based on their significance.
4. **Uncertainty in Information Compilation:** The project required the team to compile extensive research results into course documents. The uncertainty lay in determining the relevance of the information in these documents. Conventional research compilation methods were inadequate due to the innovative nature of the project.


It was unknown what means we could employ to resolve the above issues. The conventional methods for resolving these issues were not feasible due to the limitations of existing technological solutions. This necessitated the development of novel solutions to overcome the technological uncertainties and achieve the project's goals.


- Content Match Score: 0.14

**Model Based Assessment:**

| Category                  | Score | Reason  |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Technological Uncertainty | 3     | The transcript shows that the team encountered several unexpected challenges—such as switching from a managed cloud hosted model, writing custom tracking scripts for clicks and coordinates, and integrating custom analytics into an existing system. However, the summary's mention of a “diagnostic matrix” and “information compilation” isn't clearly supported by the transcript. This lack of detail (along with custom integration) with some hallucinated details reduces the strength of the evidence. |
| Conventional Means        | 3     | The transcript indicates that conventional or off-the-shelf analytics tools (e.g., Google Analytics and even Matomo's default reporting) were insufficient for the project's needs. In response, they developed custom scripts and migrated systems. While this shows that conventional means were used, the lack of raw or granular data needed. In response, they developed custom scripts and migrated systems. While this shows that conventional means were used, the lack of raw or granular data needed.   |

| Category         | Score | Reason   |
|------------------|-------|--|
|                  |       | inadequate for their novel demands, the report overstates by suggesting a complete lack of available approaches. Overall, challenges with conventional means.  |
| Hypothesis       | 2     | The conversation briefly touches on assumptions about the impact of proposed insights (for example, statements like “if you even mentions the notion of having hypotheses. However, there is little detail regarding the formulation, testing, or documentation. The generated summary implies a more formal hypothesis process than what the transcript substantiates.                |
| Prototype        | 4     | The transcript provides clear evidence of prototyping elements in the project—such as the development of custom scripts to movement data, the creation of an initial version of the heat map, and the demonstration of features during the interview. case for prototyping work, even though some of the summary’s language (e.g. “diagnostic matrix”) extends beyond what was         |
| Test Methodology | 3     | There are references to testing in the conversation, such as aggregating raw time-series data into heat maps and tracking user hotspots, clicks, and scrolls) as well as validating the data against known issues like cost and performance. However, the transcript or rigorous experimental design; the testing appears iterative and somewhat ad hoc. This merits a moderate score. |
| Test Results     | 2     | While some outcomes are mentioned (for example, the identification that “most people go to the homepage and then leave significant issues through the batching approach), there is little in the way of documented, quantitative results or systematic through testing. The summary’s portrayal of test results is more comprehensive than the transcript evidence supports.           |

 Add comment to revise this section:

 Regenerate Section

## Section ID: work\_done

**Content:**

In response to these technological uncertainties, the following work was performed:


1. To resolve the uncertainty in developing a diagnostic matrix, we hypothesized that a combination of machine learning techniques and statistical analysis categorize, and interpret user data. A prototype of this diagnostic matrix was developed and implemented. The results from the initial tests were promising needed to improve the accuracy of the findings. After several iterations, we were able to create a diagnostic matrix that could effectively collate key findings. This issue was ultimately resolved.
2. In dealing with the uncertainty in implementing an iterative process, our hypothesis was to develop a custom software development methodology that refinement of the user interface based on the insights gained from the diagnostic matrix. A prototype of this methodology was developed and implemented. This methodology was effective, it required a significant amount of manual intervention. Consequentially, we adjusted our approach to include automation, significantly. This issue was eventually resolved.
3. To address the uncertainty in the batching approach, we hypothesized that a dynamic batching algorithm could be developed. This would allow the AI to address issues without being hindered by the batch size. A prototype was developed and tested. The results were not satisfactory initially, as the algorithm struggled to address issues effectively. After several iterations and adjustments, the algorithm was optimized to a level where it could accurately flag significant issues. The project was abandoned in order to further refine this approach.
4. In order to resolve the uncertainty in information compilation, our hypothesis was that a combination of structured data extraction and natural language processing could compile the research results. A prototype was developed and tested. Initial results showed some inaccuracies in the compiled information, which were addressed by refining the natural language processing algorithms. The project was abandoned due to the high degree of manual intervention required, and the need for further research.


Overall, the project made significant progress in advancing the field of web application development, but further work is needed to fully resolve all the identified issues.

- Content Match Score: 0.17

**Model Based Assessment:**

| Category                  | Score | Reason   |
|---------------------------|-------|--|
| Technological Uncertainty | 2     | The transcript shows that the project encountered non-routine technical challenges (e.g. needing to capture detailed user c with high costs and switching analytics providers), but the summary’s “diagnostic matrix” uncertainty is not mentioned in t while uncertainty is described in the interview, the summary inserts a different “uncertainty” that isn’t supported by the ra the addition of invented uncertainty details results in a low score.   |
| Conventional Means        | 1     | The transcript repeatedly highlights that conventional methods (e.g. “textbook” analytics like Google Analytics) were insuff capture raw click data and because the standard managed Matomo was too expensive. However, the summary report make evaluating or rejecting conventional engineering approaches. Its focus on novel solutions (diagnostic matrix, iterative proc hallucinatory with respect to the transcript. The summary ignores the transcript’s emphasis on overcoming conventional li |
| Hypothesis                | 2     | In the interview the developer talked about “research” (e.g., finding experts for analytics and testing custom scripts for clicl solutions, which hints at informal hypothesis testing. However, the summary explicitly states hypotheses for a “diagnostic r that are not discussed in the transcript, and only the batching approach has a very vague echo in the transcript. Thus, while summary’s detailed hypotheses are largely invented and do not align with the transcript’s account.         |
| Prototype                 | 1     | The transcript does mention that custom code was written (for click/mouse tracking and for handling analytics data) and tl (for example in moving from GA to Matomo, and developing bespoke solutions). However, the summary report invents mul matrix, a custom software methodology, and a batching algorithm) with detailed testing results that are not evidenced in tl support in the transcript for these specific “prototypes” leads to a very low score.                                       |
| Test Methodology          | 1     | The transcript describes iterative work and testing (for example, trying out custom scripts and switching approaches based not provide any formal or structured methodology for tests. The summary, however, describes structured “trials,” “iteration (initial promising results, unsatisfactory outcomes, etc.) that do not reflect the rather informal discussion in the transcript. direct evidence for a formal test methodology in the transcript results in a low score.                        |
| Test Results              | 1     | While the transcript notes that changes (like self-hosting Matomo, custom scripts for click tracking, and batching approach subsequent improvements, it does not provide explicit “test results” or detailed performance metrics. The summary invent promising initial results for a diagnostic matrix, and eventual resolution or continued iterations) that are not found in the ir summary’s test result details appear to be hallucinatory relative to the transcript, warranting a low score.     |

 Add comment to revise this section:

 Regenerate Section

## Section ID: technological\_advancements

**Content:**

Technological Advancements Achieved:

1. Development of a Diagnostic Matrix:

The primary achievement of this project was the successful development of a diagnostic matrix that employs machine learning techniques and statistical an interpret user data. Despite the initial challenges, the team was able to refine the matrix to accurately collate key findings from user experiences. This devel can be applied to future projects requiring user data analysis and interpretation.

2. Creation of a Custom Software Development Methodology:

The project team developed a novel software development methodology that allows for the continuous refinement of user interfaces based on insights from methodology required manual intervention, but after incorporating automation, the process improved significantly. This advancement provides a framewor be adopted in future projects.

3. Development of a Dynamic Batching Algorithm:

The team attempted to develop a dynamic batching algorithm to enable the AI to analyze the relative importance of issues without being hindered by batch algorithm was optimized to accurately flag significant issues. The research and development process will continue in the next fiscal year to further refine this

4. Attempt to Develop an Information Compilation System:

The team attempted to develop an information compilation system using structured data extraction and natural language processing. Despite some initial success, the project was abandoned due to the high degree of manual intervention required and the need for further research to automate the process fully. However, the knowledge gained provides a valuable insight for future projects in this area.


These advancements represent significant strides in the field of web application development. While some uncertainties still remain, the project has provided valuable knowledge that will inform future research and development efforts.

- Content Match Score: 0.12

Model Based Assessment:

| Category                  | Score | Reason  |
|---------------------------|-------|---|
| Technological Uncertainty | 3     | The transcript shows that the project encountered non-routine challenges – for example, dealing with limitations of conventional Analytics not providing raw data), high managed cloud costs from Matomo, and the need to custom-inject code for tracking. However, the SR&ED summary’s claim of a “diagnostic matrix” using machine learning is not supported and appears to be a hallucination.   |
| Conventional Means        | 2     | The work described was largely based on adapting and re-configuring existing technologies (e.g. switching from GA to Matomo and writing custom tracking scripts). Although there were some technical tweaks involved, the transcript does not show evidence that novel problems have been solved using routine engineering methods. In contrast, the summary report overstates innovation (with claims that novel methods are not supported by the transcript). |
| Hypothesis                | 1     | The transcript contains only passing references to “if you implement this, then…” scenarios and general expectations of innovation (rather than a defined or testable hypothesis documented in the discussion. The summary report’s presentation of a hypothesis-driven approach (as a scientific method) is largely absent from the transcript.  |
| Prototype                 | 4     | There is clear evidence that working prototypes were developed – for instance, live demos of the web application with integrated analytics, and the experimental batching approach. The transcript details tangible implementations (such as the prototype for dynamic batching) although the summary report’s specific language (e.g. “diagnostic matrix”) appears to embellish or misrepresent the actual work.   |
| Test Methodology          | 2     | While the transcript details iterative improvements, such as switching analytics providers and custom-tracking implementations, it does not describe a formal or systematic testing regime. The work seems to rely on ad-hoc adjustments (and expert consultations) rather than a structured procedure. This contrasts with the summary report’s implication of a more methodical approach.   |
| Test Results              | 2     | The transcript mentions improvements (for example, reduced costs via batching and successful demonstration of user-patterns) but does not provide quantified outcomes or formal test results. Thus, while some evidence of testing and results is provided, the documentation is incomplete. Additionally, the summary’s presentation of results is overstated relative to what is evident in the transcript.   |

🗨️ Add comment to revise this section:

 Regenerate Section