AI and Civic Engagement: A Brief Exploration of Applications and Opportunities

1st Dimitrios Sarafis
My Company Projects O.E.
Thessaloniki, Greece
sarafis@mycompany.com.gr

2nd Konstantinos Karamitsios
My Company Projects O.E.
Thessaloniki, Greece
kk@mycompany.com.gr

3rd Dr. Kalliopi Kravari
Democritus University of Thrace
Komotini, Greece
kkravari@affil.duth.gr

Abstract—**Artificial Intelligence (AI) is revolutionizing civic engagement by enhancing citizen participation, public discourse, and governance efficiency. This paper explores AI applications across five key areas: citizen participation platforms, social media, smart city solutions, accessibility and inclusion, and civic education. Tools like chatbots, natural language processing, and predictive analytics help governments engage diverse populations, analyze feedback, and address barriers such as accessibility and language constraints. Despite its potential, challenges like the digital divide, algorithmic bias, and data privacy persist. Furthermore, the paper examines significant challenges to AI's adoption in civic engagement. Issues such as the digital divide, algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and concerns about data privacy remain central obstacles. Through a systematic review, this paper highlights both the promise and limitations of AI in civic engagement and emphasizes the need for ethical design, user trust, and localized solutions to ensure fair and inclusive participation. Future directions include human-AI collaboration and innovations like virtual reality to strengthen democratic engagement.**

Keywords—*artificial intelligence, citizen participation, civic engagement, e-government, e-participation*

I. INTRODUCTION

Civic engagement—the process by which citizens actively participate in the political and social aspects of their communities—is essential for a thriving democracy. However, traditional methods of engagement often face barriers such as limited accessibility, inefficiency, and lack of inclusivity. AI, with its capacity for data analysis, automation, and personalized interactions, has the potential to revolutionize how citizens engage with their governments and communities. This paper argues that AI, while transformative in enhancing civic engagement, requires ethically grounded, inclusive, and scalable implementation to overcome barriers such as accessibility gaps, algorithmic biases, and data privacy concerns.

The increasing integration of digital tools into citizen participation has transformed how governments engage with the public. As highlighted in a systematic analysis by Shin et al. (2024) [1], digital participatory tools exhibit great potential in facilitating problem identification, decision-making, and collaborative policymaking processes. These tools, leveraging advanced technologies such as natural language processing and data mining, enhance inclusiveness and deliberation. However, gaps remain in how effectively they empower citizens and ensure accountability, underscoring the fragmented ecosystem and limited focus on feedback mechanisms. The potential of digital tools to enhance civic engagement spans across diverse contexts, including urban and rural settings. In their work, Martinez-Gil et al. (2024) [2] emphasize the importance of tailored civic engagement platforms for rural communities, showing how these platforms can address challenges like depopulation, and promote sustainable growth.

Artificial Intelligence is transforming the landscape of civic engagement, enabling new forms of citizen participation and enhancing the efficiency of governance processes. Novelli and Sandri (2024) [3] trace the evolution of digital democracy through stages of electronic, virtual, and network democracy, emphasizing how AI has reshaped political engagement across dimensions such as participation, representation, and the public sphere. By facilitating activities like e-voting, personalized communication, and public advocacy, it has the potential to enhance inclusivity and transparency. However, the dual role of it in enabling misinformation and surveillance raises critical ethical concerns about the legitimacy and equity of democratic systems. As an addition, emerging technologies like conversational agents are proving instrumental in enhancing participatory governance. Tavanapour et al. (2019) [4] propose chatbots as facilitators in citizen engagement, emphasizing their potential to streamline idea generation and documentation in both physical and web-based e-participation initiatives. Their research showcases how interactive systems utilizing natural language processing (NLP) can overcome traditional barriers in participation, such as cognitive overload and lack of structure, offering innovative solutions for capturing and refining citizen contributions.

With respect to Civic Engagement, AI applications can be split up into five domains, namely, Citizen participation platforms, Social Media and Public Discourse, Smart City Solutions, Accessibility and Inclusion, and Civic Education and Awareness. Together, these applications demonstrate AI's potential to transform civic engagement by fostering inclusion, responsiveness, and informed participation. Based on the application domains mentioned above, our work aims to present research findings on these topics along with examples of utilization of AI in the enhancement and encouragement of civic engagement and participation. The report is organized as follows: Section 2 provides a description of the research approach used for this study. The survey results are presented in Section 3, while the challenges and ethical considerations along with some suggestions for future advancements, are discussed in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. Finally, we draw conclusions about our findings and wrap up our investigation in Section 6.

II. APPROACH

This survey was conducted as a systematic literature review in December 2024, utilizing the Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar, and Elicit search engines. The studies considered were published between 2019 and 2024 and included keywords such as "civic engagement," "e-participation," "citizen participation," and "e-government," combined with technical terms like "AI," "Artificial Intelligence," and "Smart Cities."

The primary objective of this review is to explore the applications of AI in enhancing civic engagement.

Our systematic literature review involved an initial search yielding 41 articles. After removing duplicates and applying inclusion criteria, 20 papers were selected for in-depth analysis based on relevance to AI applications in civic engagement. Table I below shows the number of papers analyzed for each AI application field. Some of them along with the additional ones are analyzed in sections IV and V under a different scope.

TABLE I.   NUMBER OF PAPERS ANALYZED PER AI APPLICATION FIELD. APPLICATION FIELD TITLES ARE THE ONES SPECIFIED IN SECTION III

	Application Field				
	A	*B*	*C*	*D*	*E*
Num. of Papers	6	1	4	3	1

III. APPLICATIONS OF AI IN CIVIC ENGAGEMENT

A. Citizen Participation Platforms

AI-powered platforms have revolutionized how citizens interact with governments. Tools like predictive analytics help policymakers identify trends in public sentiment, while machine learning algorithms process vast amounts of citizen feedback from e-governance platforms. For instance, sentiment analysis using transformers like BERT enables real-time classification of citizen feedback into actionable categories.

A great example of such a case is the work from Pislaru et al. (2024) [5], who explore the transformative potential of AI in fostering citizen-centric governance by improving public administration services and citizen engagement. Through an analysis of socio-economic factors, the study highlights how AI tools, such as chatbots, enhance communication efficiency, reduce bureaucratic delays, and increase access to information, thereby strengthening citizen trust and satisfaction. Based on survey data from 507 respondents, the research underscores significant correlations between education levels, employment status, and the propensity to adopt AI tools, while addressing challenges like digital literacy gaps and ethical considerations. The findings advocate for targeted educational initiatives and public policies to maximize AI's impact on participatory governance and sustainable development.

Balta et al. (2019) [6] explore how to streamline the application of AI in government, focusing on online citizen participation in Germany. The study examines the integration of Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools in Hamburg's urban planning initiatives, analyzing their impact on classifying and managing citizens' contributions. Findings reveal that AI tools like LangPipe and BERT improve efficiency but still fall short of human-led analysis in categorization accuracy and interpretative depth. The research highlights the need for standardized artifacts across technical, semantic, and organizational levels to ensure interoperability and scalability of AI systems in government. While AI demonstrates potential to enhance civic participation, the study emphasizes challenges in data quality, semantic alignment, and trust-building between citizens and administrations.

Romberg and Escher (2023) [7] review the application of AI methods, specifically natural language processing (NLP), for evaluating citizens' contributions in public participation

processes. Natural Language Processing (NLP) pipelines typically include steps like tokenization, stop-word removal, stemming, and lemmatization, which prepare text data for analysis by classifiers. They identify three key tasks for computational text analysis: duplicate detection, thematic grouping, and in-depth analysis of arguments and opinions. The study highlights the promise of approaches like topic modeling, sentiment analysis, and argument mining, while also acknowledging challenges such as domain-specific adaptation, algorithmic transparency, and the need for non-English datasets. Active learning and human-in-the-loop strategies are proposed to bridge gaps between automation and expert judgment. The authors conclude that while AI holds potential to support decision-making and enhance public participation, practical applications require further development and contextual adaptation.

Looking at applications of AI in other fields, Porwol et al. (2024) [8] explore the potential of immersive virtual reality (VR) environments for e-participation, supported by AI-driven data analytics. Their research demonstrates how VR-enabled platforms, coupled with machine learning, can analyze user behavior and engagement in real-time, offering insights into participation patterns like focus, collaboration, and influence. By leveraging AI to provide automated feedback, this framework sets the stage for next-generation citizen participation platforms, addressing challenges like low engagement and communication barriers in traditional e-participation models.

Savaget et al. (2019) [9] examine the role of AI-based technologies in fostering decentralized and diffused political participation. The study highlights how AI, when paired with publicly available open data, empowers civil society to engage in political processes, such as civic auditing and public administration oversight. Using a systematic review and case study approach, the research showcases AI's ability to identify irregularities, increase transparency, and enhance accountability in governance. However, it also stresses the need for ethical considerations and robust frameworks to ensure equitable and inclusive participation.

Finally, Kassen (2021) [10] explores the potential of decentralized civic engagement platforms, emphasizing the role of peer-to-peer and blockchain-based systems. Such platforms shift from top-down governance models to autonomous, citizen-led initiatives, enabling collaboration and decision-making among civic communities without direct government control. Examples like Finland's Puoluekentta project and France's National Address Platform demonstrate the potential of decentralized platforms to foster inclusivity, transparency, and trust by allowing citizens to generate and manage data collaboratively.

B. Social Media and Public Discourse

Social media has become a crucial space for civic dialogue. AI enables the analysis of large-scale discussions to identify key issues, gauge public sentiment, and moderate harmful content. For example, algorithms used in sentiment analysis can provide insights into citizens' concerns, helping governments to shape responsive policies. Sentiment analysis employs supervised learning models trained on labeled datasets, where features like polarity, intensity, and context are extracted for classification. Simultaneously, AI-powered bots are being employed for raising awareness about social issues and combating misinformation campaigns.

Inclusive research on this topic comes from Alansari (2021) [11], who examines the role of AI-enabled tools in enhancing civic engagement through the lens of social capital, focusing on bridging and bonding as critical dimensions. The study highlights that AI-based applications, like chatbots, facilitate knowledge sharing among social capital networks, contributing to improved civic engagement. Bridging social capital connects diverse groups, while bonding social capital strengthens ties within homogeneous groups. The research proposes a model demonstrating how AI-mediated knowledge sharing can act as a mediator between social capital and civic engagement, particularly relevant in the COVID-19 era when digital interaction is paramount. It concludes with implications for leveraging AI to foster sustainable community development and engagement.

C. Smart City Solutions

AI technologies play a vital role in smart city initiatives, enhancing urban services based on citizen feedback. Predictive models are used for resource optimization—such as traffic management, energy distribution, and waste collection—by analyzing civic data streams. AI uses regression models and time-series forecasting to predict public needs, enabling governments to allocate resources efficiently and proactively. Moreover, reinforcement learning algorithms, such as Deep Q-Networks, optimize traffic light sequences based on real-time congestion data, significantly reducing commute times.

Brandusescu and Reia (2022) [12] edited a collection of essays exploring the intersection of artificial intelligence (AI), civic engagement, and public trust in urban contexts. This work emerged from the "AI in the City" symposium and reflects on how AI technologies are integrated into city governance, emphasizing the need for transparency, and civil society participation. The contributors analyze challenges such as algorithmic bias, surveillance, and the imbalance of power between the Global South and wealthier nations, while advocating for citizen-centered approaches to digital rights and climate justice. The essays highlight the critical need for collaboration among academics, activists, and policymakers to ensure AI systems support democratic and ethical urban futures.

In a more practical example, Chauncey and McKenna (2024) [13] propose a conceptual framework that employs AI chatbots, such as ChatGPT, as tools for promoting cognitive flexibility, inclusivity, and creativity in urban planning and civic engagement. The framework facilitates iterative problem-solving and ideation through human-AI collaboration, enabling citizens and planners to address complex urban challenges. Real-world speculative applications, such as moderating urban rewilding discussions, demonstrate the potential of chatbots to enhance collaboration and creative decision-making in smart city environments.

An interesting work, with experimental research as well, is that from Borchers, Tavanapour, and Bittner (2023) [14], who explore the use of AI-based feedback systems to enhance citizen argumentation on urban participation platforms, emphasizing their potential in fostering more coherent and comprehensive public contributions. Through an experimental comparison of two prototype platforms, one with and one without AI-based feedback, the study reveals that the inclusion of AI significantly improves the quality and length of citizen contributions. The AI system, designed using Argumentation Theory, provides real-time feedback that encourages participants to refine their inputs. While the findings highlight the potential of AI to enhance public engagement and decision-making in urban planning, challenges like criteria precision and user manipulation are noted. The research advocates further refinement of AI-based systems and broader participant diversity for more inclusive and effective urban participation.

A last example is that of Apostolopoulos and Potsiou (2021) [15], who highlight the role of gamification tools in enhancing participation during crowdsourced cadastral surveys. By incorporating game design elements—such as rewards, autonomy, and challenges—these tools motivate volunteers to contribute to land parcel identification and data collection. The Hellenic Cadastre project serves as a case study, showcasing how gamification can improve efficiency, accuracy, and participation of citizens in public processes. The study underscores the need for well-designed frameworks and legal validation to maximize the effectiveness of gamified approaches while promoting sustainable urban development. This example does not have AI in its focus but it is mentioned nevertheless as its capabilities could very easily be expanded with AI features.

D. Accessibility and Inclusion

AI helps address barriers to civic engagement for marginalized communities. For instance, natural language processing (NLP) enables real-time translations, making civic content accessible to non-native speakers. Similarly, AI-driven speech-to-text systems, driven by recurrent neural networks, enable visually impaired citizens to participate in public discourse via voice commands.

A very solid study is that of Abdulkareem (2024) [16]. The study explores the potential of generative AI to enhance civic engagement in Nigeria's e-government landscape, focusing on tools like ChatGPT to simplify governance processes and foster interactive citizen-government interfaces. The study highlights opportunities such as AI-driven chatbots for personalized information delivery, increased accessibility through local language support, and cost-effective engagement channels for underserved populations. However, it also addresses significant challenges, including Nigeria's digital divide, low public trust, and risks like bias and privacy breaches. The research emphasizes the need for human-centric design, robust governance frameworks, and digital literacy initiatives to ensure AI technologies effectively bridge gaps and energize participatory democracy. Cautious optimism and further research are advocated to determine optimal implementation strategies.

Chandralingam (2024) [17] in his thesis investigates the role of AI in Finnish municipal services, emphasizing ethical considerations in user experience (UX) design. The study highlights AI's potential to improve service delivery, personalize citizen interactions, and enhance accessibility, particularly through features like multilingual support and assistive tools. However, challenges such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and transparency remain significant. Through surveys and interviews, the research underscores the importance of user-centric design and robust governance frameworks to ensure AI technologies are deployed ethically and inclusively in public services.

Drobotowicz et al. (2023) [18], in their work, explore the perspectives of Finnish public sector practitioners, identifying accessibility, linguistic inclusion, and bias mitigation as central themes. Their findings highlight the importance of designing AI tools that address diverse user needs, such as multilingual

support and assistive technologies, while noting the challenges of homogenous teams and under-representative datasets. The research also emphasizes the need for institutional transparency and proactive communication to empower citizens in shaping and understanding AI-driven services. Despite the hurdles posed by risk-averse and expert-driven organizational cultures, positive practices, such as participatory models and open data initiatives, are emerging as steps toward inclusivity.

E. Civic Education and Awareness

A very good example in this case are Personalized learning systems, which use adaptive algorithms to engage citizens on topics like public policy, voting rights, and social issues. In addition, AI chatbots use intent recognition frameworks built on transformer architectures like T5 to guide users through educational content interactively. Moreover, reinforcement learning algorithms enable dynamic reward systems in gamified platforms, motivating citizens to engage with civic education modules.

Marmolejo-Ramos et al. (2022) [19] propose an innovative framework combining narrative building (NB) and AI-driven technologies to enhance public engagement in digital governance. The authors argue that leveraging algorithms, data, and AI technologies within NB can improve the reach, scale, and inclusivity of public deliberation. They explore the psychological and social basis of narratives, emphasizing their effectiveness in communicating complex ideas and facilitating meaningful discourse. The proposed AI-powered NB framework integrates tools such as natural language processing (NLP), semantic differential scales, and expert knowledge elicitation (EKE) to systematically capture and analyze public opinions. This approach not only enables more informed decision-making but also fosters public trust by ensuring transparency and inclusivity in governance.

To summarize the findings, Table II maps the identified civic issues to their corresponding AI solutions, highlighting both the potential benefits and the challenges involved.

TABLE II.   MAPPING CIVIC ISSUES TO AI APPLICATIONS

Civic Issue	AI Application	Benefits	Challenges
Digital Divide	Offline AI tools, edge computing	Broader accessibility in low-connectivity areas	Limited infrastructure in rural regions
Algorithmic Bias	Bias detection algorithms, XAI	Fairer outcomes, increased trust	Lack of diverse training datasets, model bias
Language Barriers	Multilingual NLP (e.g., XLM-R)	Inclusion of non-native speakers	High computational demands for underrepresented languages
Scalability Issues	Cloud-based platforms, federated learning	Cost-effective implementation at scale	Dependence on stable internet and cloud providers
Privacy Concerns	Differential privacy, encryption	Protection of sensitive citizen data	Balancing transparency with privacy
Citizen Engagement	Chatbots, virtual assistants	Real-time interaction, personalized responses	Risk of misinformation or manipulation

Civic Issue	AI Application	Benefits	Challenges
Transparency and Trust	Explainable AI (XAI), open-source tools	Increased public confidence in AI systems	Technical complexity in making AI explainable
Inclusion for Disabilities	AI-powered assistive technologies	Participation of disabled individuals	High costs and limited adaptation to local needs

IV. CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Despite the transformative potential of AI in enhancing civic engagement, several challenges and ethical concerns must be addressed to ensure its responsible implementation.

Digital Divide and Accessibility: The digital divide remains a critical barrier to equitable AI adoption. While AI-powered tools hold the promise of inclusivity, significant disparities persist in access to technology, internet infrastructure, and digital literacy. For instance, rural and low-income populations, particularly in the Global South, are often excluded from AI-driven civic platforms due to limited connectivity and technical resources. Studies such as Abdulkareem (2024) [16] emphasize the need for digital literacy initiatives and localized technological solutions to bridge these gaps. Without targeted efforts to improve accessibility, AI risks amplifying existing inequalities rather than mitigating them.

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness: AI systems are prone to algorithmic bias, often reflecting the biases present in the training data. These biases can perpetuate discrimination against marginalized groups, particularly in public decision-making processes. Drobotowicz et al. (2023) [18] highlight the challenges of designing AI tools that are representative of diverse user needs, noting the importance of inclusive datasets and diverse development teams. Addressing algorithmic fairness requires transparency in AI design, regular audits, and the involvement of marginalized communities in the development process to ensure equity.

Transparency and Trust: Building public trust in AI systems is essential for their adoption in civic engagement. Concerns surrounding algorithmic transparency, decision-making processes, and accountability hinder citizen confidence in AI tools. Studies like Romberg and Escher (2023) [7] emphasize the need for human-in-the-loop approaches and active learning to improve transparency. Governments and organizations must prioritize open communication, institutional transparency, and participatory models to foster trust and encourage public collaboration in AI initiatives.

Privacy and Data Security: AI applications in civic engagement rely heavily on collecting and processing large volumes of citizen data. This raises concerns about data privacy, security, and misuse. Kassen (2021) [10] underscores the risks of decentralized platforms where citizens' personal data could be exposed to breaches or unauthorized access. Robust governance frameworks, data protection policies, and encryption measures are necessary to safeguard citizen data and ensure compliance with privacy regulations.

Ethical Governance and Accountability: Ensuring ethical AI implementation requires clear governance frameworks and accountability mechanisms. The absence of ethical guidelines can lead to misuse, unintended consequences, or decisions that

prioritize efficiency over human rights. Birhane et al. (2022) [20] highlight the risks of "participation-washing," where participatory AI is reduced to superficial engagement without addressing systemic inequities or power imbalances. They argue that participation must be reciprocal, empowering, and reflexive to prevent its exploitation for predetermined goals. Case studies, such as those involving Māori data sovereignty, underscore the need for participation frameworks that prioritize community values and autonomy over commercial interests. Wilson (2022) [21] further explores these challenges through an analysis of national AI strategies, revealing a gap between the rhetoric of public engagement and its implementation. While governments often emphasize public participation, specific mechanisms to ensure meaningful input are frequently absent. Wilson identifies the dominance of private sector values, such as efficiency and market competitiveness, as a key factor that marginalizes democratic ideals of equity, deliberation, and accountability. Addressing this requires frameworks that prioritize genuine, inclusive participation to align AI governance with public interest values. Balta et al. (2019) [6] emphasize the need for standardized protocols across technical and organizational levels to ensure the responsible deployment of AI systems. Ethical AI governance must involve collaboration between policymakers, technologists, and civil society to align technological advancements with public values and democratic principles.

V. Future Directions

As AI continues to evolve, there are several promising avenues for research and development to enhance its role in civic engagement. These future directions emphasize innovation, inclusivity, and collaboration to address existing challenges and unlock AI's full potential.

Human-AI Collaboration: The integration of human-in-the-loop strategies can significantly improve the effectiveness and reliability of AI systems in civic engagement. Combining AI's computational power with human judgment, as proposed by Romberg and Escher (2023) [7], offers a balanced approach to tasks like public feedback analysis, argument evaluation, and decision-making. Future research should explore innovative frameworks for human-AI collaboration that enhance transparency, inclusivity, and ethical oversight. Birhane et al. (2022) [20] provide compelling examples of grassroots participatory AI, such as machine translation for African languages, where local communities are empowered to shape AI tools based on their knowledge and needs. These initiatives emphasize the importance of reciprocity, inclusivity, and co-creation in AI development, offering a blueprint for ethically grounded human-AI collaboration. Vasilakopoulos et al. (2024) [22] map out key eParticipation activities, such as ePetitioning and eConsultation, where AI systems like natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning improve the analysis of public opinions and feedback. The authors emphasize that while AI has significantly enhanced engagement platforms, future research must address gaps such as evaluation frameworks and underrepresented activities like eVoting and eCampaigning. Sieber et al. (2024) [23] further explore these challenges, identifying power imbalances and neoliberal influences as significant barriers to meaningful civic participation in AI governance. The authors highlight that participation often remains tokenistic, serving market-oriented goals like efficiency rather than promoting democratic values. They emphasize how AI's opacity and mutability can exclude non-expert citizens from engaging meaningfully, leading to passive or distantiated forms of participation. For example, AI systems may infer public sentiment or citizen needs without active involvement, undermining deliberative democracy. Furthermore, Sieber et al. caution against technocratic governance, where trust in AI is reduced to superficial expert-led initiatives that prioritize convenience over genuine public agency.

AI for Inclusive Civic Engagement: Developing AI tools tailored to diverse and marginalized populations is essential to achieve truly inclusive civic engagement. Studies such as Abdulkareem (2024) [16], Drobotowicz et al. (2023) [18], and Vasilakopoulos et al. (2024) [22] highlight the importance of localized solutions, multilingual support, and assistive technologies to overcome barriers like digital literacy and accessibility. Future advancements in natural language processing (NLP) and generative AI should prioritize inclusivity by addressing underrepresented languages and user needs.

Immersive and Interactive Technologies: The use of immersive technologies, such as virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), holds great potential for engaging citizens in participatory processes. Porwol et al. (2024) [8] demonstrate how AI-driven VR platforms can foster collaboration and enhance participation by creating interactive, real-time environments. Further research is needed to optimize these technologies for civic applications, addressing challenges like cost, scalability, and user adoption.

Smart Cities and Sustainable Development: AI will play an increasingly significant role in shaping smart cities and supporting sustainable development goals (SDGs). Innovations in predictive analytics, AI-powered feedback systems, and urban planning frameworks, as explored by Chauncey and McKenna (2024) [13] and Borchers et al. (2023) [14], offer pathways for improving urban services and enhancing citizen participation. Future research should focus on integrating AI into urban governance in ways that prioritize inclusivity, environmental sustainability, and resilience.

Implementation Scalability: Scaling AI-driven civic engagement tools across diverse contexts presents significant challenges. Variations in infrastructure, such as unreliable internet and insufficient computational resources in rural or underserved regions, limit AI adoption. Modular architectures and hybrid systems capable of offline operation can help address these gaps. Adapting AI systems to diverse populations is another hurdle. Many AI models are trained on datasets biased toward urban or English-speaking users, reducing their effectiveness in multilingual or culturally distinct communities. Investments in localized datasets and multilingual NLP models are critical for inclusivity. Policy and organizational barriers also impede scalability. Fragmented governance structures and non-standardized data formats create interoperability issues. Standardized protocols and intergovernmental collaboration can streamline implementation. Additionally, the high cost of scaling AI systems, particularly resource-intensive models, remains a challenge for smaller municipalities. Cloud computing and federated learning offer cost-effective solutions. Finally, social resistance due to distrust of AI systems underscores the need for transparent communication and participatory design. Addressing these challenges is essential to unlock AI's potential to empower citizens and promote equitable participation at scale.

VI. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has immense potential to transform civic engagement by fostering citizen participation, improving public discourse, and enhancing urban governance. From AI-powered citizen platforms and social media tools to smart city initiatives and inclusive technologies, the applications of AI are reshaping how citizens interact with governments and participate in democratic processes. However, this transformation is not without its challenges. Issues such as the digital divide, algorithmic bias, transparency, and data privacy require urgent attention to ensure AI systems are deployed ethically and equitably. Addressing these challenges through inclusive design, ethical frameworks, and human-AI collaboration is essential for building trust and maximizing the benefits of AI for civic engagement. Looking ahead, the future of AI in civic engagement lies in innovation, collaboration, and inclusivity. By prioritizing human-centered approaches, immersive technologies, and sustainable development goals, AI can empower citizens, bridge societal divides, and strengthen democratic participation. As governments, researchers, and civil society work together to address these challenges and try to embrace AI, it holds the potential to empower citizens and strengthen democratic processes.

References

[1] B. Shin et al., "A systematic analysis of digital tools for citizen participation," Government Information Quarterly, vol. 41, no. 3, p. 101954, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2024.101954.

[2] Martinez-Gil J, Pichler M, Lechat N et al. An overview of civic engagement tools for rural communities [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 not approved]. Open Res Europe 2024, 4:195 (https://doi.org/10.12688/openreseurope.18077.1)

[3] Novelli, Claudio and Sandri, Giulia, Digital Democracy in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (July 22, 2024). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4901264

[4] Tavanapour, Navid; Poser, Mathis; and Bittner, Eva A. C., (2019). "SUPPORTING THE IDEA GENERATION PROCESS IN CITIZEN PARTICIPATION - TOWARD AN INTERACTIVE SYSTEM WITH A CONVERSATIONAL AGENT AS FACILITATOR". In Proceedings of the 27th European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS), Stockholm & Uppsala, Sweden, June 8-14, 2019. ISBN 978-1-7336325-0-8 Research Papers. https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2019_rp/70

[5] Pislaru, M.; Vlad, C.S.; Ivascu, L.; Mircea, I.I. Citizen-Centric Governance: Enhancing Citizen Engagement through Artificial Intelligence Tools. Sustainability 2024, 16, 2686. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072686

[6] D. Balta, P. Kuhn, M. Sellami, D. Kulus, C. Lieven, and H. Krcmar, "How to streamline AI application in Government? A case study on citizen participation in Germany," in Lecture notes in computer science, 2019, pp. 233–247. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-27325-5_18.

[7] J. Romberg and T. Escher, "Making Sense of Citizens' Input through Artificial Intelligence: A Review of Methods for Computational Text Analysis to Support the Evaluation of Contributions in Public Participation," Digital Government Research and Practice, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–30, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1145/3603254.

[8] Porwol, L., Garcia Pereira, A. and Dumas, C. (2023), "Transforming e-participation: VR-dialogue – building and evaluating an AI-supported framework for next-gen VR-enabled e-participation research", Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, Vol. 17 No. 2, pp. 233-250. https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-12-2021-0205

[9] P. Savaget, T. Chiarini, and S. Evans, "Empowering political participation through artificial intelligence," Science and Public Policy, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 369–380, Oct. 2018, doi: 10.1093/scipol/scy064.

[10] M. Kassen, "Understanding decentralized civic engagement: Focus on peer-to-peer and blockchain-driven perspectives on e-participation," Technology in Society, vol. 66, p. 101650, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101650.

[11] Alansari, Husain. (2021). Artificial intelligence-enabled drivers of civic engagement: A case of bridging and bonding of social capital of resources: A literature review potent for the COVID-19 era. International Journal of Electronic Banking.

[12] Brandusescu, A., & Reia, J. (Eds.). (2022). Artificial intelligence in the city: Building civic engagement and public trust. Centre for Interdisciplinary Research on Montréal, McGill University.

[13] Chauncey, S. A., & McKenna, H. P. (2024). Creativity and Innovation in Civic Spaces Supported by Cognitive Flexibility When Learning with AI Chatbots in Smart Cities. Urban Science, 8(1), 16. https://doi.org/10.3390/urbansci8010016

[14] Borchers, Marten & Tavanapour, Navid & Bittner, Eva. (2023). Exploring AI supported Citizen Argumentation on Urban Participation Platforms. 10.24251/HICSS.2023.207.

[15] Apostolopoulos, K., & Potsiou, C. (2021). Consideration on how to introduce gamification tools to enhance citizen engagement in crowdsourced cadastral surveys. Survey Review, 54(383), 142–152. https://doi.org/10.1080/00396265.2021.1888027

[16] A. K. Abdulkareem, "E-Government in Nigeria: Can generative AI serve as a tool for civic engagement?," Public Governance Administration and Finances Law Review, vol. 9, no. 1, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.53116/pgaflr.7068.

[17] Ragun Chandralingam (2024), Thesis Title: Role of AI in Enhancing Citizen Engagement in Finnish Munic, Haaga-Helia University of Applied Sciences, Leading Business Transformation, Master of Business Administrationipal Services: Ethical Considerations in UX Design

[18] Karolina Drobotowicz, Nghiep Lucy Truong, Johanna Ylipulli, Ana Paula Gonzalez Torres, and Nitin Sawhney. 2023. Practitioners' Perspectives on Inclusion and Civic Empowerment in Finnish Public Sector AI. In The 11th International Conference on Communities and Technologies (C&T) (C&T '23), May 29--June 02, 2023, Lahti, Finland. ACM, New York, NY, USA 11 Pages. https://doi.org/10.1145/3593743.3593765

[19] Marmolejo-Ramos, F., Workman, T., Walker, C. et al. AI-powered narrative building for facilitating public participation and engagement. Discov Artif Intell 2, 7 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-022-00023-7

[20] Abeba Birhane, William Isaac, Vinodkumar Prabhakaran, Mark Diaz, Madeleine Clare Elish, Iason Gabriel, and Shakir Mohamed. 2022. Power to the People? Opportunities and Challenges for Participatory AI. In Proceedings of the 2nd ACM Conference on Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization (EAAMO '22). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 6, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1145/3551624.3555290

[21] C. Wilson, "Public engagement and AI: A values analysis of national strategies," Government Information Quarterly, vol. 39, no. 1, p. 101652, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2021.101652.

[22] Z. Vasilakopoulos, T. Tavantzis, R. Promikyridis, and E. Tambouris, "The use of Artificial Intelligence in eParticipation: Mapping Current research," Future Internet, vol. 16, no. 6, p. 198, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.3390/fi16060198.

[23] R. Sieber, A. Brandusescu, S. Sangiambut, and A. Adu-Daako, "What is civic participation in artificial intelligence?," Environment and Planning B Urban Analytics and City Science, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1177/23998083241296200.