leetcode.com

Partition List - LeetCode

4-5 minutes

Given the head of a linked list and a value x, partition it such that all nodes **less than** x come before nodes **greater than or equal** to x.

You should **preserve** the original relative order of the nodes in each of the two partitions.

Example 1:



Input: head = [1,4,3,2,5,2], x = 3
Output: [1,2,2,4,3,5]

Example 2:

Input: head = [2,1], x = 2
Output: [1,2]

Constraints:

- The number of nodes in the list is in the range `[0, 200]`.

- `-100 <= Node.val <= 100`
- `-200 <= x <= 200`

Average Rating: 4.64 (157 votes)

Solution

The problem wants us to reform the linked list structure, such that the elements lesser that a certain value `x`, come before the elements greater or equal to `x`. This essentially means in this reformed list, there would be a point in the linked list `before` which all the elements would be smaller than `x` and `after` which all the elements would be greater or equal to `x`. Let's call this point as the `JOINT`.



Reverse engineering the question tells us that if we break the reformed list at the `JOINT`, we will get two smaller linked lists, one with lesser elements and the other with elements greater or equal to `x`. In the solution, our main aim is to create these two linked lists and join them.

Approach 1: Two Pointer Approach

Intuition

We can take two pointers `before` and `after` to keep track of the

two linked lists as described above. These two pointers could be used two create two separate lists and then these lists could be combined to form the desired reformed list.

Algorithm

1. Initialize two pointers `before` and `after`. In the implementation we have initialized these two with a dummy `ListNode`. This helps to reduce the number of conditional checks we would need otherwise. You can try an implementation where you don't initialize with a dummy node and see it yourself!



Dummy Node Initialization

2. Iterate the original linked list, using the `head` pointer.
3. If the node's value pointed by `head` is *lesser* than `x`, the node should be part of the `before` list. So we move it to `before` list.



4. Else, the node should be part of `after` list. So we move it to `after` list.



5. Once we are done with all the nodes in the original linked list, we would have two list `before` and `after`. The original list nodes are either part of `before` list or `after` list, depending on its value.



Note: Since we traverse the original linked list from left to right, at no point would the order of nodes change relatively in the two lists. Another important thing to note here is that we show the original linked list intact in the above diagrams. However, in the implementation, we remove the nodes from the original linked list and attach them in the before or after list. We don't utilize any additional space. We simply move the nodes from the original list around.

6. Now, these two lists `before` and `after` can be combined to form the reformed list.



We did a dummy node initialization at the start to make implementation easier, you don't want that to be part of the returned list, hence just move ahead one node in both the lists while combining the two list. Since both before and after have an

extra node at the front.

Complexity Analysis

- Time Complexity: $O(N)$, where N is the number of nodes in the original linked list and we iterate the original list.
- Space Complexity: $O(1)$, we have not utilized any extra space, the point to note is that we are reforming the original list, by moving the original nodes, we have not used any extra space as such.

Report Article Issue

Sign in to view your submissions.