

printf("%d\n", result);

#### The New Tokens

We have two new tokens, T\_DOT and T\_ARROW, to match the '.' and '->' elements in the input. As always, I won't give the code in scan.c to identify these.

# **Parsing the Member References**

This turned out to be very similar to our existing array element accessing code. Let's look at the similarities and the differences. With this code:

```
int x[5];
int y;
...
y= x[3];
```

we get the base address of the  $\times$  array, multiply 3 by the size of the <code>int</code> type in bytes (e.g.3\*4 is 12), add that to the base address, and treat this as the address of the <code>int</code> that we want to access. Then we dereference this address to get the value at that array position.

Accessing a struct member is similar:

```
struct fred { int x; char y; long z; };
struct fred var2;
char y;
...
y= var2.y;
```

We get the base address of var2. We get the offset of the y member in the fred struct, add this to the the base address, and treat this as the address of the char that we want to access. Then we dereference this address to get the value there.

## **Postfix Operators**

T\_DOT and T\_ARROW are postfix operators, like the '[' of an array reference, as they come after an identifier's name. So it makes sense to add their parsing in the existing <code>postfix()</code> function in <code>expr.c</code>:

```
static struct ASTnode *postfix(void) {
    ...
    // Access into a struct or union
    if (Token.token == T_DOT)
        return (member_access(0));
    if (Token.token == T_ARROW)
        return (member_access(1));
    ...
}
```

The argument to the new member\_access() function in expr.c indicates if we are accessing a member through a pointer or directly. Now let's look at the new member\_access() in stages.

```
ſĊ
// Parse the member reference of a struct (or union, soon)
// and return an AST tree for it. If withpointer is true,
// the access is through a pointer to the member.
static struct ASTnode *member_access(int withpointer) {
  struct ASTnode *left, *right;
  struct symtable *compvar;
  struct symtable *typeptr;
  struct symtable *m;
  // Check that the identifier has been declared as a struct (or a union, late
  // or a struct/union pointer
  if ((compvar = findsymbol(Text)) == NULL)
    fatals("Undeclared variable", Text);
  if (withpointer && compvar->type != pointer_to(P_STRUCT))
    fatals("Undeclared variable", Text);
  if (!withpointer && compvar->type != P_STRUCT)
    fatals("Undeclared variable", Text);
```

First, some error checking. I know I will have to add checking for unions here, so I'm not going to refactor the code just yet.

СÖ

```
// If a pointer to a struct, get the pointer's value.
// Otherwise, make a leaf node that points at the base
```

```
// Either way, it's an rvalue
if (withpointer) {
   left = mkastleaf(A_IDENT, pointer_to(P_STRUCT), compvar, 0);
} else
   left = mkastleaf(A_ADDR, compvar->type, compvar, 0);
left->rvalue = 1;
```

At this point we need to get the base address of the composite variable. If we are given a pointer, we simply load the pointer's value by making an A\_IDENT AST node. Otherwise, the identifier *is* the struct or union, so we had better get its address with an A\_ADDR AST node.

This node can't be an Ivalue, i.e. we can't say var2. = 5. It has to be an rvalue.

```
// Get the details of the composite type
typeptr = compvar->ctype;

// Skip the '.' or '->' token and get the member's name
scan(&Token);
ident();
```

We get a pointer to the composite type so that we can walk the list of members in the type, and we get the member's name after the '.' or '->' (and confirm that it is an identifier).

```
// Find the matching member's name in the type
// Die if we can't find it
for (m = typeptr->member; m != NULL; m = m->next)
   if (!strcmp(m->name, Text))
     break;

if (m == NULL)
   fatals("No member found in struct/union: ", Text);
```

We walk the member's list to find the matching member's name.

```
// Build an A_INTLIT node with the offset
right = mkastleaf(A_INTLIT, P_INT, NULL, m->posn);

// Add the member's offset to the base of the struct and
// dereference it. Still an lvalue at this point
left = mkastnode(A_ADD, pointer_to(m->type), left, NULL, right, NULL, 0);
left = mkastunary(A_DEREF, m->type, left, NULL, 0);
```

```
return (left);
}
```

The member's offset in bytes is stored in  $m \to posn$  so we make an A\_INTLIT node with this value, and A\_ADD it to the base address stored in left. At this point we have an address of the member, so we dereference it (A\_DEREF) to get access to the member's value. At this point, this is still an Ivalue; this allows us to do both 5 + var2.x and var2.x = 6.

### **Running Our Test Code**

The output of tests/input58.c is, unsurprisingly:

Let's have a look at some of the assembly output:

```
СŌ
                                         # var2.y= 'c';
                                         # Load 'c' into %r10
                $99, %r10
        movq
                var2(%rip), %r11
                                         # Get base address of var2 into
        leaq
%r11
                $4, %r12
        movq
                %r11, %r12
                                         # Add 4 to this base address
        addq
                %r10b, (%r12)
                                         # Write 'c' into this new address
        movb
                                         # printf("%d\n", var2.z);
        leaq
                var2(%rip), %r10
                                         # Get base address of var2 into
%r11
        movq
                $4, %r11
                %r10, %r11
                                         # Add 4 to this base address
        addq
                (%r11), %r11
                                         # Load byte value from this address
        movzbq
into %r11
                %r11, %rsi
                                         # Copy it into %rsi
        movq
                L4(%rip), %r10
        leaq
                %r10, %rdi
        movq
                printf@PLT
                                         # and call printf()
        call
```

### **Conclusion and What's Next**

Well, this was a nice pleasant surprise to get structs to work this easily! I'm sure the future parts of our journey will make up for it. I also know that our compiler as it stands still is pretty limited. For example, it can't do this:

```
struct foo {
  int x;
  struct foo *next;
};

struct foo *listhead;
struct foo *l;

int main() {
  ...
  l= listhead->next->next;
```

as this requires following two pointer levels. The existing code can only follow one pointer level. We will have to fix this later.

It is probably also a good time to indicate that we will have to spend a lot of time getting the compiler to "do it right". I've been adding functionality, but only enough to get one specific feature to work. At some point these specific features will have to be made more general. So there will be a "mop up" stage in this journey.

Now that we have structs mostly working, in the next part of our compiler writing journey, I will try to add unions. Next step