Understanding _dl_runtime_resolve()

Dec 7, 2019 | dynamic loading

2020 December 28th update: Oh wow, I didn't expect that more and more people are reading this. I rewrote Chapter 1 and 2 once again to make it more readable (I hope so). Chapter 3 is still pretty messy IMO, please first take a look at **Figure 1** of **Section 3.2** in **this wonderful USENIX paper** for an overview of how __dl_runtime_resolve() finds the string name (e.g. _puts\0) of the target function to be resolved.

2020 July 20th update: Seems like some people (yeah you desperately Googled for this function didn't you) are finding this article useful, so I fixed up (hopefully I did!) some terrible English sentences I wrote last year.

```
Learning | dl runtime resolve xsave(link map, reloc index) | the hard way!
```

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Before dl runtime resolve() is called, .plt, .got.plt
- 3. After _dl_runtime_resolve() is called, .dynamic, .rela.plt, .dynsym, .dynstr
- 4. Conclusion

1. Introduction

Recently I've been learning about **ret2dl-resolve**, a binary exploitation technique that misuses the dynamic loader.

Suppose we have a simple **C** program:

```
09. }
```

Compile it with partial RELRO:

```
peilin@PWN:~/expr/dl_resolve$ gcc -Wl,-z,lazy -o simple simple.c
```

```
gdb-peda$ checksec
CANARY : disabled
FORTIFY : disabled
NX : ENABLED
PIE : ENABLED
RELRO : Partial
```

At $\langle main+11 \rangle$ we see the call to puts():

```
=> 0x000055555555463e <+4>: lea rdi,[rip+0x9f] # 0x5555555546e4 0x0000555555554645 <+11>: call 0x555555554510 <puts@plt> ▶
```

Yeah, <code>0x55555554510</code> is an address inside the <code>.plt</code> (**Procedure Linkage Table**, **PLT**) section. What happens inside **PLT**? Where is <code>puts()</code>? <code>puts()</code> is in a separate shared object (i.e. <code>libc.so.6</code>), so how does **PLT** even find <code>puts()</code>?

Short answer: **PLT** looks it up in the <code>.got.plt</code> section (**Global Offset Table, GOT**). If **GOT** doesn't have an answer yet (due to lazy-binding), **PLT** invokes a magical function in the dynamic loader called <code>__dl_runtime_resolve()</code>, who, roughly speaking:

- Somehow, finds a NULL-terminated string called puts\0 in the dynstr section of the main ELF image;
- Somehow, finds the address of [puts()] in all loaded shared objects (in our case, [libc.so.6]).

In this post, I will focus on what happens after we <u>call puts@plt</u>, as well as how does <u>_dl_runtime_resolve()</u> finds that <u>puts\0</u> string. Understanding this is essential for learning how **ret2dl-resolve** works.

There's a great paper, "How the ELF Ruined Christmas", from the 24th USENIX Security Symposium helped me a lot to understand this topic. I highly recommend reading it.

2. Before _dl_runtime_resolve() is called, .plt, .got.plt

By call ing 0x555555554510 , we jump to the .plt section:

.plt wants to know where is puts(), and it expects to see an answer in the
corresponding .got.plt section (i.e. GOT) entry (at 0x555555755018 in this case):

```
gdb-peda$ elfheader .got.plt
.got.plt: 0x55555555555000 - 0x5555555555020 (data)
gdb-peda$ x/g 0x55555555555018
0x555555755018: 0x00005555555554516
```

Interestingly, it points back to puts@plt+6>, making this jmp effectively a no-op. Why? Because
the symbol hasn't been resolved yet (lazy-binding). After the resolution, this GOT entry should
contain the real address of puts():

However, this time, **GOT** says: "Sorry, I have no idea where is <code>puts()</code> cuz I'm lazy © Go back to <code>.plt</code> and call <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code>. The omniscient <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code> will tell me where is <code>puts()</code>, so next time I'll know the answer when you ask me the same question."

So, back in <puts@plt+6> :

```
gdb-peda$ x/2i 0x555555554516
=> 0x5555555554516 <puts@plt+6>: push 0x0
```

```
0x55555555451b <puts@plt+11>: jmp 0x5555555554500
```

This is to push an argument (called reloc_index, see later) for _dl_runtime_resolve(), then jump to the very beginning of .plt .

Side note: We are passing this argument to <code>__dl__runtime__resolve()</code> by stack, instead of registers (%rdi, %rsi, %rcx...), since %rdi now already contains an argument for <code>puts()</code>:

```
0x000055555555463e <+4>: lea rdi,[rip+0x9f] # 0x5555555546e4
0x0000555555554645 <+11>: call 0x555555554510 <puts@plt>
```

So we'd better leave our registers alone, and use the stack instead. I believe this is a good example telling us that "calling conventions" are really just "conventions", and sometimes they may be violated under special situations.

Back to [.plt]. As I mentioned, we now jump to [0x555555555555500], the beginning of [.plt]:

Here we have two more instructions, shared by all <code>.plt</code> entries:

Pushes another argument (called <code>link_map_obj</code>, or <code>link_map</code>) for <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code>, then finally jumps to <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code>.

The address of <code>link_map</code> is stored in the second entry of <code>.got.plt</code>. Let's call it <code>GOT[1]</code>:

```
gdb-peda$ elfheader .got.plt
.got.plt: 0x555555555555000 - 0x5555555555020 (data)
gdb-peda$ x/g 0x55555555555008
0x5555555555555008: 0x00007ffff7ffe170
```

Finally, the address of <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code> itself is stored in the third entry of <code>.got.plt</code> . Let's call

```
gdb-peda$ elfheader .got.plt
.got.plt: 0x555555755000 - 0x555555755020 (data)
gdb-peda$ x/g 0x555555755010
0x555555755010: 0x00007ffff7dec680
gdb-peda$ xinfo 0x00007ffff7dec680
0x7ffff7dec680 (<_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave>: push rbx)
Virtual memory mapping:
Start: 0x00007ffff7dd5000
End: 0x00007ffff7dfc000
Offset: 0x17680
Perm: r-xp
Name: /lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/ld-2.27.so
```

OK, on my machine it is called <code>__dl_runtime_resolve_xsave()</code>, implying that it is implemented with some additional crazy features that we don't really care about here.

```
Finally we found <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code> ! In summary, given its two arguments, <code>link_map</code> and <code>reloc_index</code>, <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code> does the following things:
```

- Find a NULL-terminated string of the target function name; ("Okay, p-u-t-s, you want me to find a function called 'puts', let me see...")
- Search it in all loaded libraries (shared objects), and find the address (in our case, <code>0x7fffff7a649c0</code> inside <code>libc-2.27.so</code>);
- Write the address in **GOT**; ("**GOT**, here is puts () , next time you tell the user, don't let me search again...")
- Jump to [puts()] for the user. ("Only this time!")

Basically this is how lazy-binding works! But this is not enough in order to fully understand **ret2dl-resolve**

How on earth did __dl_runtime_resolve() | find the _puts\0 | string, anyway?

3. After _dl_runtime_resolve() is called, .dynamic, .rela.plt, .dynsym, .dynstr

```
Now we have <code>_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave(link_map, reloc_index)</code>. In our case, <code>link_map</code> is <code>_0x00007ffff7ffe170</code>, and <code>_reloc_index</code> is, well, <code>_0x0</code>, so how can we find <code>__puts_000007ffff7ffe170</code>.
```

In short, $_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave()$ first finds a $\[Elf64_Rela\]$ struct in $\[.rela.plt\]$ section, finds an index inside its $\[r]$ in $\[.dynsym\]$ struct in $\[.dynsym\]$ struct in $\[.dynsym\]$ section, finds yet another index called $\[st_name\]$, then finally uses this index to locate that $\["puts\0"\]$ string in $\[.dynstr\]$.

To do so, __dl_runtime_resolve_xsave() has to somehow find these _.rela.plt_, _.dynsym_ and _.dynstr_ sections. These addresses are stored inside the _.dynamic_ section:

```
peilin@PWN:~/expr/dl resolve$ readelf -d simple
Dynamic section at offset 0xdf8 contains 26 entries:
                   Type
                                  Name/Value
0x000000000000001 (NEEDED)
                                  Shared library: [libc.so.6]
0x00000000000000 (INIT)
                                  0x4e8
0x00000000000000 (FINI)
                                  0x6d4
0x0000000000000019 (INIT ARRAY)
                                  0x200de8
0x0000000000000001b (INIT ARRAYSZ) 8 (bytes)
0x000000000000001a (FINI ARRAY)
                                  0x200df0
0x000000000000001c (FINI ARRAYSZ) 8 (bytes)
0x00000006ffffef5 (GNU HASH)
0x0000000000000000 (STRTAB)
                                  0x360
0x0000000000000006 (SYMTAB)
                                  0x2b8
0x0000000000000000 (STRSZ)
                                  130 (bytes)
0x0000000000000000 (SYMENT)
                                  24 (bytes)
0x000000000000015 (DEBUG)
0x000000000000000 (PLTGOT)
                                  0x201000
0x0000000000000000 (PLTRELSZ)
                                  24 (bytes)
0x000000000000014 (PLTREL)
                                  RELA
0x000000000000017 (JMPREL)
                                  0x4d0
0x000000000000007 (RELA)
                                  0x410
0x0000000000000000 (RELASZ)
                                  192 (bytes)
0x000000000000000 (RELAENT)
                                  24 (bytes)
0x00000006ffffffb (FLAGS 1)
                                  Flags: PIE
0x00000006ffffffe (VERNEED)
                                  0x3f0
0x00000006ffffff (VERNEEDNUM)
                                  1
0x00000006ffffff0 (VERSYM)
                                  0x3e2
0x00000006ffffff9 (RELACOUNT)
0x000000000000000 (NULL)
                                   0 \times 0
```

Each entry is stored as an Elf64_Dyn struct defined as below:

```
08. } d_un;
09. } Elf64_Dyn;
```

As shown above, by looking up the STRTAB, SYMTAB and JMPREL entries, we know that .dynstr, .dynsym, and .rela.plt are located at offset 0x360, 0x2b8 and 0x460, correspondingly.

Dynamic loader stores pointers to these entries in a field called <code>l_info</code> in <code>link_map</code>.

Whenever <code>__dl_runtime_resolve_xsave</code> needs to know the address of a section, like <code>.rela.plt</code>, it just checks out <code>linfo</code>. Let's take a look at how <code>link map</code> is defined:

```
struct link map
01.
02.
03.
          /* Indexed pointers to dynamic section.
05.
             [0,DT NUM) are indexed by the processor-independent tags.
             [DT NUM,DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM) are indexed by the tag minus DT LOPROC.
06.
             [DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM,DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+DT VERSIONTAGNUM) are indexed by DT VERS
07.
             [DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+DT VERSIONTAGNUM, DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+DT VERSIONTAGNUM+DT EX
08.
             [DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+DT VERSIONTAGNUM+DT EXTRANUM, DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+DT VERSION
09.
             [DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+DT VERSIONTAGNUM+DT EXTRANUM+DT VALNUM, DT NUM+DT THISPROCNUM+
10.
          ElfW(Dyn) *1 info[DT NUM + DT THISPROCNUM + DT VERSIONTAGNUM + DT EXTRANUM + DT VALNUM +
11.
12.
```

link_map is a pretty long struct, here we only care about its linfo field. You can learn more about it **here** if you are curious.

As written in the comment, these so-called "processor-independent tags" are defined in <code>elf.h</code>. Let's see:

More definitions can be found here. Basically, for example, if <code>_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave</code> wants to know where is <code>.dynstr</code>, it checks out <code>link_map->l_info[DT_STRTAB]</code>, where it can find a pointer, pointing at the <code>STRTAB</code> entry inside <code>.dynamic</code>. Let's simulate:

Remember our pointer is pointing at the beginning of the STRTAB entry. In order to find the address of Strate stored in the depth field, we have to move **8 bytes** further.

```
Anyway, this is how __dl_runtime_resolve_xsave() finds _.dynstr . Similarly, addresses of _.dynsym and _.rela.plt can be found by looking up _link_map->l_info[DT_SYMTAB] and _link_map->l info[DT_JMPREL] , correspondingly:
```

```
gdb-peda$ elfheader .got.plt
.got.plt: 0x555555755000 - 0x555555755020 (data)
gdb-peda$ x/gx (0x555555755000 + 0x8)
0x555555755008: 0x00007ffff7ffe170
gdb-peda$ x/qx (0x00007fffff7ffe170 + (0x8*14))
0x7ffff7ffe1e0: 0x0000555555754e88
gdb-peda$ echo DT SYMTAB: 6\n
DT SYMTAB: 6
qdb-peda$ x/2qx 0x0000555555754e88
gdb-peda$ elfheader .dynsym
.dynsym: 0x555555542b8 - 0x55555554360 (rodata)
gdb-peda$ x/qx (0x00007fffff7ffe170 + (0x8*31))
0x7fffffffe268: 0x0000555555754ef8
gdb-peda$ echo DT JMPREL: 23\n
DT JMPREL: 23
qdb-peda$ x/2qx 0x0000555555754ef8
0x55555754ef8: 0x00000000000017 0x00005555555544d0
gdb-peda$ elfheader .rela.plt
.rela.plt: 0x5555555544d0 - 0x5555555544e8 (rodata)
```

OK.

```
Now, knowing the starting addresses
```

```
of <code>.rela.plt</code>, <code>.dynsym</code> and <code>.dynstr</code> sections, <code>_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave()</code> can move on and find that <code>"puts\0"</code> string! Let's go:
```

Since we are dealing with relocation, the first section that we want to look up is <code>.rela.plt</code>, which contains, well, relocation information for functions. Starting at <code>_0x00005555555544d0</code>, our <code>_.rela.plt</code> section consists of <code>_Elf_Rel</code> structs, defined as follows:

```
typedef uint64 t Elf64 Addr;
01.
      typedef uint64 t Elf64 Xword;
02.
03.
      typedef int64 t Elf64 Sxword;
04.
      typedef struct
05.
06.
        Elf64 Addr r offset;
                                     /* Address */
07.
        Elf64_Xword r_info;
                                        /* Relocation type and symbol index */
08.
09.
        Elf64 Sxword r addend;
      } Elf64 Rela;
10.
```

Let's see what's inside this section, using readelf:

This time we only have one candidate, <code>puts()</code>. Remember the other parameter, <code>reloc_index</code> of <code>_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave()</code>, which is <code>0x0</code> in our case? This tells <code>_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave()</code> that: "Once you've reached <code>.rela.plt</code> section, go find the <code>0x0</code> th <code>Elf64_Rela</code> struct". Let's see what's inside.

The first field is <code>r_offset</code>, whose current value is <code>0x201018</code>. It's the offset of the <code>.got.plt</code> entry of <code>puts()</code>. After resolving <code>puts()</code>, <code>_dl_runtime_resolve_xsave()</code> will be able to use this value to update <code>puts()</code> 's <code>.got.plt</code> entry.

```
01. #define ELF64_R_SYM(i) ((i) >> 32)
```

```
02. #define ELF64_R_TYPE(i) ((i) & 0xffffffff)
```

```
typedef struct
01.
02.
      {
        Elf64_Word
                                               /* Symbol name (string tbl index) */
03.
                      st name;
                                               /* Symbol type and binding */
04.
        unsigned char st info;
05.
        unsigned char st other;
                                               /* Symbol visibility */
06.
        Elf64 Section st shndx;
                                               /* Section index */
                                               /* Symbol value */
        Elf64 Addr
                      st value;
07.
                                               /* Symbol size */
        Elf64 Xword st size;
08.
      } Elf64 Sym;
```

Let's see what's inside .dynsym:

```
peilin@PWN:~/expr/dl_resolve$ readelf -s simple
Symbol table '.dynsym' contains 7 entries:
Num: Value Size Type Bind Vis Ndx Name
0: 00000000000000000 0 NOTYPE LOCAL DEFAULT UND
1: 00000000000000 0 NOTYPE WEAK DEFAULT UND _ITM_deregisterTMCloneTab
2: 000000000000000 0 FUNC GLOBAL DEFAULT UND _puts@GLIBC_2.2.5 (2)
3: 000000000000000 0 FUNC GLOBAL DEFAULT UND _libc_start_main@GLIBC_2.
4: 000000000000000 0 NOTYPE WEAK DEFAULT UND _gmon_start__
5: 000000000000000 0 NOTYPE WEAK DEFAULT UND _ITM_registerTMCloneTable
6: 000000000000000 0 FUNC WEAK DEFAULT UND _cxa_finalize@GLIBC_2.2.5
...
```

```
Basically, that r_{info} index tells dl_{runtime_{resolve_{xsave}}} to look up the ux_{runtime_{resolve_{xsave}}} entry inside ux_{runtime_{resolve_{xsave}}}, in order to learn more about the ux_{runtime_{resolve_{xsave}}} entry inside ux_{runtime_{resolve_{xsave}}}, in order to learn more about the ux_{runtime_{resolve_{xsave}}}.
```

Let's see what's inside this <code>Elf64_Sym</code> struct. As you can calculate, a <code>Elf64_Sym</code> struct is **0x18 bytes**. Since <code>puts()</code> is our <code>0x2</code> th entry and <code>.dynsym</code> section starts from <code>0x5555555542b8</code>, printing from <code>0x55555555542b8 + 0x30 = 0x55555555542e8</code> should work:

```
gdb-peda$ x/wx (0x5555555542b8 + 0x30)
0x555555542e8: 0x0000000b
gdb-peda$ x/bx
0x5555555542ec: 0x12
```

See how I am parsing the struct corresponding to its different length of fields.

Here, however, we only care about the st name field of it, which is the first word, oxb.

```
Guess what does this <code>Oxb</code> mean? Right! Yet another index into one last section of our journey, <code>.dynstr</code>! Finally, starting at <code>Ox555555554360</code>, <code>.dynstr</code> contains some zero-terminated strings for all the global symbols described in <code>.dynsym</code>:
```

```
peilin@PWN:~/expr/dl_resolve$ objdump -s -j .dynstr simple
simple: file format elf64-x86-64

Contents of section .dynstr:
0360 006c6962 632e736f 2e360070 75747300 .libc.so.6.puts.
0370 5f5f6378 615f6669 6e616c69 7a65005f __cxa_finalize._
0380 5f6c6962 635f7374 6172745f 6d61696e _libc_start_main
0390 00474c49 42435f32 2e322e35 005f4954 .GLIBC_2.2.5._IT
03a0 4d5f6465 72656769 73746572 544d436c M_deregisterTMCl
03b0 6f6e6554 61626c65 005f5f67 6d6f6e5f oneTable.__gmon_
03c0 73746172 745f5f00 5f49544d 5f726567 start__._ITM_reg
03d0 69737465 72544d43 6c6f6e65 5461626c isterTMCloneTabl
03e0 6500 e.
```

```
See that puts?
```

```
That st_name field (Oxb) tells _dl_runtime_resolve_xsave(): "Once you've reached .dynstr section, the wputs\0" string you have been looking for starts from offset Oxb"!
```

Let's check it out:

```
gdb-peda$ x/s (0x555555554360 + 0xb)
0x5555555436b: "puts"
▶
```

Congratulations!

4. Conclusion

That was quite a long ride, and I hope it has been informative to you.

```
To quickly summarize, our dl runtime resolve xsave() was given two
parameters, link map ([0x7fffffffe700]) and reloc index ([0x0]). The l info field
of link map gives | dl runtime resolve xsave() the addresses
of .rela.plt , .dynsym and .dynstr sections.
.rela.plt section contains Elf64 Rela structs. .dynsym section
contains Elf64 sym structs. .dynstr section contains zero-terminated strings.
Then, as told by reloc index, dl runtime resolve xsave() looks at the 0x0 th Elf64 Rela struct
in [.rela.plt] section, which contains relocation information
of [puts()]. dl runtime resolve xsave() then looks at its [r info] field. The
higher 32 bits of r info is 0x2, which is another index into .dynsym.
Then, as told by r info, dl runtime resolve xsave() looks at the Ox2 th Elf64 sym struct
instance in [.dynsym] section, which contains information of our [puts] global
symbol. dl runtime resolve xsave() then looks at its st name field, which is oxb, another index
into .dynstr.
Finally, as told by st name, dl runtime resolve xsave() finds the "puts\0" string
in .dynstr section at an offset of Oxb bytes.
From now on, dl runtime resolve xsave() is going to use this "puts\0" string and search it in all
loaded shared objects, find the "real" address of [puts()], update its [.got.plt] entry in our main
binary, simple (with the help of r offset) so that when next time puts() is called, we no longer
need to resolve it again. Finally, dl runtime resolve xsave() jumps to puts().
All these procedures are entirely transparent to our caller function, [main()]. From [main()]'s
perspective, it stored a parameter into %rdi, [call ed [puts()], [puts()] printed out a
string, ret urned, and that's it!
As I said, understanding this inner work of dl runtime resolve() is critical if you wanna
understand ret2dl-resolve attacks. As we've seen, the entire process until finding
the "puts\0" string is very delicate, or fragile: [dl_runtime_resolve()] implicitly trusts its two
parameters, link map and reloc index, which means, for example, if an attacker passed a fake (very
large) reloc_index value to _dl_runtime resolve(), the function won't check if the value is out of
bound. In that case, <code>dl runtime resolve()</code> may get tricked to take fake data structures
```

So that's it! Learning what is happening under-the-hood of <code>_dl_runtime_resolve()</code> was both very interesting and satisfying. I look forward to learn more about, as well as gain some hands-on experience with this **ret2dl-resolve** technique, maybe by solving challenges like **babystack** from **oCTF 2018**

(E1f64 Rela, E1f64 Sym, etc.) as real ones and eventually invoke arbitrary library functions for the

attacker, which sounds very scary.