

[Subscribe](#) / [Log_in](#) / [New_account](#)

Documentation/trace/ring-buffer-design.txt

[Posted July 8, 2009 by jake]

Lockless Ring Buffer Design
=====

Copyright 2009 Red Hat Inc.

Author: Steven Rostedt <srostedt@redhat.com>

License: The GNU Free Documentation License, Version 1.2
(dual licensed under the GPL v2)

Reviewers: Mathieu Desnoyers, Huang Ying, Hidetoshi Seto,
and Frederic Weisbecker.

Written for: 2.6.31

Terminology used in this Document

tail - where new writes happen in the ring buffer.

head - where new reads happen in the ring buffer.

producer - the task that writes into the ring buffer (same as writer)

writer - same as producer

consumer - the task that reads from the buffer (same as reader)

reader - same as consumer.

reader_page - A page outside the ring buffer used solely (for the most part)
by the reader.

head_page - a pointer to the page that the reader will use next

tail_page - a pointer to the page that will be written to next

commit_page - a pointer to the page with the last finished non nested write.

cmpxchg - hardware assisted atomic transaction that performs the following:

$A = B$ iff previous $A = C$

$R = \text{cmpxchg}(A, C, B)$ is saying that we replace A with B if and only if current A is equal to C , and we put the old (current) A into R

R gets the previous A regardless if A is updated with B or not.

To see if the update was successful a compare of $R = C$ may be used.

The Generic Ring Buffer

The ring buffer can be used in either an overwrite mode or in producer/consumer mode.

Producer/consumer mode is where the producer were to fill up the buffer before the consumer could free up anything, the producer will stop writing to the buffer. This will lose most recent events.

Overwrite mode is where the produce were to fill up the buffer before the consumer could free up anything, the producer will overwrite the older data. This will lose the oldest events.

No two writers can write at the same time (on the same per cpu buffer), but a writer may interrupt another writer, but it must finish writing before the previous writer may continue. This is very important to the algorithm. The writers act like a "stack". The way interrupts works enforces this behavior.

```
writer1 start
  <preempted> writer2 start
    <preempted> writer3 start
      writer3 finishes
    writer2 finishes
  writer1 finishes
```

This is very much like a writer being preempted by an interrupt and the interrupt doing a write as well.

Readers can happen at any time. But no two readers may run at the same time, nor can a reader preempt/interrupt another reader. A reader can not preempt/interrupt a writer, but it may read/consume from the buffer at the same time as a writer is writing, but the reader must be

on another processor to do so. A reader may read on its own processor and can be preempted by a writer.

A writer can preempt a reader, but a reader can not preempt a writer. But a reader can read the buffer at the same time (on another processor) as a writer.

The ring buffer is made up of a list of pages held together by a link list.

At initialization a reader page is allocated for the reader that is not part of the ring buffer.


The head_page, tail_page and commit_page are all initialized to point to the same page.




The reader page is initialized to have its next pointer pointing to the head page, and its previous pointer pointing to a page before the head page.

The reader has its own page to use. At start up time, this page is allocated but is not attached to the list. When the reader wants to read from the buffer, if its page is empty (like it is on start up) it will swap its page with the head_page. The old reader page will become part of the ring buffer and the head_page will be removed. The page after the inserted page (old reader_page) will become the new head page.


Once the new page is given to the reader, the reader could do what it wants with it, as long as a writer has left that page.


A sample of how the reader page is swapped: Note this does not show the head page in the buffer, it is for demonstrating a swap only.





It is possible that the page swapped is the commit page and the tail page, if what is in the ring buffer is less than what is held in a buffer page.





This case is still valid for this algorithm.
 When the writer leaves the page, it simply goes into the ring buffer since the reader page still points to the next location in the ring buffer.

The main pointers:

reader page - The page used solely by the reader and is not part of the ring buffer (may be swapped in)

head page - the next page in the ring buffer that will be swapped with the reader page.

tail page - the page where the next write will take place.


commit page - the page that last finished a write.

The commit page only is updated by the outer most writer in the writer stack. A writer that preempts another writer will not move the commit page.

When data is written into the ring buffer, a position is reserved in the ring buffer and passed back to the writer. When the writer is finished writing data into that position, it commits the write.

Another write (or a read) may take place at anytime during this transaction. If another write happens it must finish before continuing with the previous write.

Write reserve:



```

|reserved |
+-----+ <--- tail pointer
| empty   |
+-----+

```

Write commit:

```

Buffer page
+-----+
|written  |
+-----+
|written  |
+-----+ <--- next positon for write (current commit)
| empty   |
+-----+

```

If a write happens after the first reserve:

```

Buffer page
+-----+
|written  |
+-----+ <-- current commit
|reserved |
+-----+ <--- given back to second writer
|reserved |
+-----+ <--- tail pointer

```

After second writer commits:

```

Buffer page
+-----+
|written  |
+-----+ <--(last full commit)
|reserved |
+-----+
|pending  |
|commit   |
+-----+ <--- tail pointer

```

When the first writer commits:

```

Buffer page
+-----+
|written  |
+-----+
|written  |

```

```

+-----+
|written |
+-----+  <--(last full commit and tail pointer)

```

The commit pointer points to the last write location that was committed without preempting another write. When a write that preempted another write is committed, it only becomes a pending commit and will not be a full commit till all writes have been committed.

The commit page points to the page that has the last full commit. The tail page points to the page with the last write (before committing).

The tail page is always equal to or after the commit page. It may be several pages ahead. If the tail page catches up to the commit page then no more writes may take place (regardless of the mode of the ring buffer: overwrite and produce/consumer).


The order of pages are:

```


head page
commit page
tail page


```


Possible scenario:



There is a special case that the head page is after either the commit page and possibly the tail page. That is when the commit (and tail) page has been swapped with the reader page. This is because the head page is always part of the ring buffer, but the reader page is not. When ever there has been less than a full page that has been committed inside the ring buffer, and a reader swaps out a page, it will be swapping out the commit page.







Note, the reader page will still point to the previous head page. But when a swap takes place, it will use the most recent head page.

Making the Ring Buffer Lockless:

The main idea behind the lockless algorithm is to combine the moving of the head_page pointer with the swapping of pages with the reader. State flags are placed inside the pointer to the page. To do this, each page must be aligned in memory by 4 bytes. This will allow the 2 least significant bits of the address to be used as flags. Since they will always be zero for the address. To get the address, simply mask out the flags.


$MASK = \sim 3$


address & MASK

Two flags will be kept by these two bits:

HEADER - the page being pointed to is a head page



UPDATE - the page being pointed to is being updated by a writer and was or is about to be a head page.





The above pointer "-H→" would have the HEADER flag set. That is the next page is the next page to be swapped out by the reader. This pointer means the next page is the head page.

When the tail page meets the head pointer, it will use cmpxchg to change the pointer to the UPDATE state:




"-U→" represents a pointer in the UPDATE state.

Any access to the reader will need to take some sort of lock to serialize the readers. But the writers will never take a lock to write to the ring buffer. This means we only need to worry about a single reader, and writes only preempt in "stack" formation.


When the reader tries to swap the page with the ring buffer, it will also use cmpxchg. If the flag bit in the pointer to the head page does not have the HEADER flag set, the compare will fail and the reader will need to look for the new head page and try again. Note, the flag UPDATE and HEADER are never set at the same time.

The reader swaps the reader page as follows:


+-----+





The reader sets the reader page next pointer as HEADER to the page after the head page.



It does a cmpxchg with the pointer to the previous head page to make it point to the reader page. Note that the new pointer does not have the HEADER flag set. This action atomically moves the head page forward.




After the new head page is set, the previous pointer of the head page is updated to the reader page.



Another important point. The page that the reader page points back to by its previous pointer (the one that now points to the new head page) never points back to the reader page. That is because the reader page is not part of the ring buffer. Traversing the ring buffer via the next pointers will always stay in the ring buffer. Traversing the ring buffer via the prev pointers may not.



Note, the way to determine a reader page is simply by examining the previous pointer of the page. If the next pointer of the previous page does not point back to the original page, then the original page is a reader page:




The way the head page moves forward:

When the tail page meets the head page and the buffer is in overwrite mode and more writes take place, the head page must be moved forward before the writer may move the tail page. The way this is done is that the writer performs a cmpxchg to convert the pointer to the head page from the HEADER flag to have the UPDATE flag set. Once this is done, the reader will not be able to swap the head page from the buffer, nor will it be able to move the head page, until the writer is finished with the move.


This eliminates any races that the reader can have on the writer. The reader must spin, and this is why the reader can not preempt the writer.



The following page will be made into the new head page.



After the new head page has been set, we can set the old head page pointer back to NORMAL.




```

+---+   +---+   +---+   +---+


```

After the head page has been moved, the tail page may now move forward.



The above are the trivial updates. Now for the more complex scenarios.

As stated before, if enough writes preempt the first write, the tail page may make it all the way around the buffer and meet the commit page. At this time, we must start dropping writes (usually with some kind of warning to the user). But what happens if the commit was still on the reader page? The commit page is not part of the ring buffer. The tail page must account for this.



If the tail page were to simply push the head page forward, the commit when leaving the reader page would not be pointing to the correct page.

The solution to this is to test if the commit page is on the reader page before pushing the head page. If it is, then it can be assumed that the tail page wrapped the buffer, and we must drop new writes.

This is not a race condition, because the commit page can only be moved by the outer most writer (the writer that was preempted). This means that the commit will not move while a writer is moving the tail page. The reader can not swap the reader page if it is also being used as the commit page. The reader can simply check that the commit is off the reader page. Once the commit page leaves the reader page it will never go back on it unless a reader does another swap with the buffer page that is also the commit page.


Nested writes

In the pushing forward of the tail page we must first push forward the head page if the head page is the next page. If the head page is not the next page, the tail page is simply updated with a cmpxchg.


Only writers move the tail page. This must be done atomically to protect against nested writers.

```
temp_page = tail_page
next_page = temp_page→next
cmpxchg(tail_page, temp_page, next_page)
```

The above will update the tail page if it is still pointing to the expected page. If this fails, a nested write pushed it forward, then the current write does not need to push it.



Nested write comes in and moves the tail page forward:



```

<---|   |--->|   |--->|   |--->|   |--->
--->|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---
    +---+   +---+   +---+   +---+

```

The above would fail the cmpxchg, but since the tail page has already been moved forward, the writer will just try again to reserve storage on the new tail page.

But the moving of the head page is a bit more complex.

```

      tail page
      |
      v
+---+ +---+ +---+ +---+
<---|   |--->|   |H→|   |--->|   |--->
--->|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---
    +---+   +---+   +---+   +---+

```

The write converts the head page pointer to UPDATE.

```

      tail page
      |
      v
+---+ +---+ +---+ +---+
<---|   |--->|   |U→|   |--->|   |--->
--->|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---
    +---+   +---+   +---+   +---+

```

But if a nested writer preempts here. It will see that the next page is a head page, but it is also nested. It will detect that it is nested and will save that information. The detection is the fact that it sees the UPDATE flag instead of a HEADER or NORMAL pointer.


The nested writer will set the new head page pointer.

```


      tail page
      |
      v
+---+ +---+ +---+ +---+
<---|   |--->|   |U→|   |H→|   |--->
--->|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---|   |<---
    +---+   +---+   +---+   +---+

```

But it will not reset the update back to normal. Only the writer that converted a pointer from HEAD to UPDATE will convert it back to NORMAL.




After the nested writer finishes, the outer most writer will convert the UPDATE pointer to NORMAL.




It can be even more complex if several nested writes came in and moved the tail page ahead several pages:

(first writer)




The write converts the head page pointer to UPDATE.




Next writer comes in, and sees the update and sets up the new head page.

(second writer)




The nested writer moves the tail page forward. But does not set the old update page to NORMAL because it is not the outer most writer.




Another writer preempts and sees the page after the tail page is a head page. It changes it from HEAD to UPDATE.

(third writer)



The writer will move the head page forward:


(third writer)



But now that the third writer did change the HEAD flag to UPDATE it


will convert it to normal:

(third writer)




Then it will move the tail page, and return back to the second writer.

(second writer)




The second writer will fail to move the tail page because it was already moved, so it will try again and add its data to the new tail page. It will return to the first writer.

(first writer)




The first writer can not know atomically test if the tail page moved while it updates the HEAD page. It will then update the head page to what it thinks is the new head page.

(first writer)




Since the cmpxchg returns the old value of the pointer the first writer will see it succeeded in updating the pointer from NORMAL to HEAD. But as we can see, this is not good enough. It must also check to see if the tail page is either where it use to be or on the next page:

(first writer)



If tail page \neq A and tail page does not equal B, then it must reset the pointer back to NORMAL. The fact that it only needs to worry about nested writers, it only needs to check this after setting the HEAD page.

(first writer)



Now the writer can update the head page. This is also why the head page must remain in UPDATE and only reset by the outer most writer. This prevents the reader from seeing the incorrect head page.

(first writer)

(Log_in to post comments)

Copyright © 2009, Eklektix, Inc.
Comments and public postings are copyrighted by their creators.
Linux is a registered trademark of Linus Torvalds