Search book...

7.4.2. if Statements in Assembly

Let's take a look at the getSmallest function in assembly. For convenience, the function is reproduced below.

```
int getSmallest(int x, int y) {
   int smallest;
   if ( x > y ) {
       smallest = y;
   }
   else {
       smallest = x;
   }
   return smallest;
}
```

The corresponding assembly code extracted from GDB looks similar to the following:

```
(gdb) disas getSmallest
Dump of assembler code for function getSmallest:
  0x40059a <+4>:
                   mov
                           %edi,-0x14(%rbp)
  0x40059d <+7>:
                           %esi,-0x18(%rbp)
                   mov
  0x4005a0 <+10>: mov
                           -0x14(%rbp),%eax
  0x4005a3 <+13>: cmp
                          -0x18(%rbp), %eax
  0x4005a6 <+16>: jle
                           0x4005b0 <getSmallest+26>
  0x4005a8 <+18>: mov
                           -0x18(%rbp), %eax
  0x4005ae <+24>:
                           0x4005b9 <getSmallest+35>
                   jmp
  0x4005b0 <+26>:
                           -0x14(%rbp), %eax
                   mov
  0x4005b9 <+35>:
                           %rbp
                   pop
  0x4005ba <+36>:
                   retq
```

This is a different view of the assembly code than we have seen before. Here, we can see the *address* associated with each instruction, but not the *bytes*. Note that this assembly segment has been lightly edited for the sake of simplicity. The instructions that are normally part of function creation (i.e., push %rbp, mov %rsp, %rbp) are removed. By convention, GCC places the first and second parameters of a function in registers %rdi and %rsi, respectively. Since the parameters to getSmallest are of type int, the compiler places the parameters in the respective component registers %edi and %esi instead. For the sake of clarity, we refer to these parameters as x and y, respectively.

Let's trace through the first few lines of the previous assembly code snippet. Note that we will not draw out the stack explicitly in this example. We leave this as an exercise for the reader, and encourage you to practice your stack tracing skills by drawing it out yourself.

- The first mov instruction copies the value located in register %edi (the first parameter, x) and places it at memory location %rbp-0x14 on the call stack. The instruction pointer (%rip) is set to the address of the next instruction, or 0x40059d.
- The second mov instruction copies the value located in register %esi (the second parameter, y) and places it at memory location %rbp-0x18 on the call stack. The instruction pointer (%rip) updates to point to the address of the next instruction, or 0x4005a0.
- The third mov instruction copies x to register %eax. Register %rip updates to point to the address of the next instruction in sequence.
- The cmp instruction compares the value at location %rbp-0x18 (the second parameter, y) to x and sets appropriate condition code flag registers. Register %rip advances to the address of the next instruction, or 0x4005a6.
- The jle instruction at address 0x4005a6 indicates that if x is less than or equal to y, the next instruction that should execute should be at location <getSmallest+26> and that %rip should be set to address 0x4005b0. Otherwise, %rip is set to the next instruction in sequence, or 0x4005a8.

The next instructions to execute depend on whether the program follows the branch (i.e., executes the jump) at address 0x4005a6. Let's first suppose that the branch was *not* followed. In this case, %rip is set to 0x4005a8 (i.e., <getSmallest+18>) and the following sequence of instructions executes:

- The mov -0x18(%rbp), %eax instruction at <getSmallest+18> copies y to register %eax. Register %rip advances to 0x4005ae.
- The jmp instruction at <getSmallest+24> sets register %rip to address 0x4005b9.
- The last instructions to execute are the pop %rbp instruction and the retq instruction, which cleans up the stack and returns from the function call. In this case, y is in the return register.

Now, suppose that the branch was taken at $\getSmallest+16>$. In other words, the jle instruction sets register %rip to 0x4005b0 ($\getSmallest+26>$). Then, the next instructions to execute are:

- The mov -0x14(%rbp), %eax instruction at address 0x4005b0 copies x to register %eax. Register %rip advances to 0x4005b9.
- The last instructions that execute are pop %rbp and retq, which clean up the stack and returns the value in the return register. In this case, component register %eax contains x, and getSmallest returns x.

We can then annotate the preceding assembly as follows:

```
0x40059a < +4>: mov %edi, -0x14(%rbp)
                                               # copy x to %rbp-0x14
0x40059d <+7>: mov %esi, -0x18(%rbp)
                                               # copy y to %rbp-0x18
0x4005a0 < +10>: mov -0x14(%rbp), %eax
                                               # copy x to %eax
0x4005a3 < +13> : cmp -0x18(%rbp), %eax
                                               # compare x with y
0x4005a6 <+16>: jle 0x4005b0 <getSmallest+26> # if x<=y goto
<getSmallest+26>
0x4005a8 < +18 > : mov -0x18(%rbp), %eax
                                               # copy y to %eax
0x4005ae <+24>: imp 0x4005b9 <getSmallest+35> # goto <getSmallest+35>
0x4005b0 < +26 > : mov -0x14(%rbp), %eax
                                               # copy x to %eax
0x4005b9 <+35>: pop %rbp
                                               # restore %rbp (clean up
stack)
                                               # exit function (return
0x4005ba <+36>: retq
%eax)
```

Translating this back to C code yields:

Table 1. Translating getSmallest() into goto C form and C code.

goto Form

Translated C code

```
int getSmallest(int x, int y) {
    int smallest;
    if (x <= y) {
        goto assign_x;
    }
    smallest = y;
    goto done;

assign_x:
    smallest = x;

done:
    return smallest;
}</pre>
```

```
int getSmallest(int x, int y) {
   int smallest;
   if (x <= y) {
      smallest = x;
   }
   else {
      smallest = y;
   }
   return smallest;
}</pre>
```

In Table 1, the variable smallest corresponds to register %eax. If x is less than or equal to y, the code executes the statement smallest = x, which is associated with the goto label assign_x in our goto form of this function. Otherwise, the statement smallest = y is executed. The goto label done is used to indicate that the value in smallest should be returned.

Notice that the preceding C translation of the assembly code is a bit different from the original getS-mallest function. These differences don't matter; close inspection of both functions reveals that the two programs are logically equivalent. However, the compiler first converts any if statement into an equivalent goto form, which results in the slightly different, but equivalent, version. Table 2 shows the standard if statement format and its equivalent goto form:

Table 2. Standard if statement format and its equivalent goto form.

C if statement

Compiler's equivalent goto form

```
if (condition) {
   then_statement;
}
else {
   else_statement;
}
else_statement;
}
if (!condition) {
   goto else;
}
then_statement;
goto done;
else:
   else_statement;
done:
```

Compilers translating code into assembly designate a jump when a condition is true. Contrast this behavior with the structure of an if statement, where a "jump" (to the else) occurs when conditions are not true. The goto form captures this difference in logic.

Considering the original goto translation of the getSmallest function, we can see that:

- x <= y corresponds to !condition.
- smallest = x is the else_statement.
- The line smallest = y is the then_statement.
- The last line in the function is return smallest.

Rewriting the original version of the function with the preceding annotations yields:

```
int getSmallest(int x, int y) {
   int smallest;
   if (x > y) { //!(x <= y)
        smallest = y; //then_statement
   }
   else {
      smallest = x; //else_statement
}</pre>
```

```
}
return smallest;
}
```

This version is identical to the original getSmallest function. Keep in mind that a function written in different ways at the C code level can translate to the same set of assembly instructions.

The cmov Instructions

The last set of conditional instructions we cover are **conditional move** (cmov) instructions. The cmp , test , and jmp instructions implement a *conditional transfer of control* in a program. In other words, the execution of the program branches in many directions. This can be very problematic for optimizing code, because these branches are very expensive.

In contrast, the cmov instruction implements a *conditional transfer of data*. In other words, both the then_statement and else_statement of the conditional are executed, and the data is placed in the appropriate register based on the result of the condition.

The use of C's **ternary expression** often results in the compiler generating a cmov instruction in place of jumps. For the standard if-then-else statement, the ternary expression has the form:

```
result = (condition) ? then_statement : else_statement;
```

Let's use this format to rewrite the <code>getSmallest</code> function as a ternary expression. Keep in mind that this new version of the function behaves exactly as the original <code>getSmallest</code> function:

```
int getSmallest_cmov(int x, int y) {
   return x > y ? y : x;
}
```

Although this may not seem like a big change, let's look at the resulting assembly. Recall that the first and second parameters (x and y) are stored in registers %edi and %esi, respectively.

```
0x4005d7 <+0>:
                                          #save %rbp
                 push
                        %rbp
0x4005d8 <+1>:
                        %rsp,%rbp
                                          #update %rbp
                 mov
0x4005db <+4>:
                        %edi, -0x4(%rbp) #copy x to %rbp-0x4
                 mov
0x4005de <+7>:
                        %esi,-0x8(%rbp) #copy y to %rbp-0x8
                 mov
0x4005e1 <+10>:
                 mov
                        -0x8(%rbp),%eax #copy y to %eax
0x4005e4 <+13>:
                        ext{%eax}, -0x4(\text{%rbp}) #compare x and y
                 cmp
0x4005e7 <+16>:
                 cmovle -0x4(%rbp), %eax #if (x <=y) copy x to %eax
```

0x4005eb <+20>: pop %rbp #restore %rbp 0x4005ec <+21>: retq #return %eax

This assembly code has no jumps. After the comparison of x and y, x moves into the return register only if x is less than or equal to y. Like the jump instructions, the suffix of the cmov instructions indicates the condition on which the conditional move occurs. Table 3 lists the set of conditional move instructions.

Table 3. The cmov Instructions.

Signed	Unsigned	Description
cmove (cmovz)		move if equal (==)
cmovne (cmovnz)		move if not equal (!=)
cmovs		move if negative
cmovns		move if non-negative
cmovg (cmovnle)	cmova (cmovnbe)	move if greater (>)
cmovge (cmovnl)	cmovae (cmovnb)	move if greater than or equal (>=)
cmovl (cmovnge)	cmovb (cmovnae)	move if less (<)
cmovle (cmovng)	cmovbe (cmovna)	move if less than or equal (<=)

In the case of the original getSmallest function, the compiler's internal optimizer (see chapter 12) will replace the jump instructions with a cmov instruction if level 1 optimizations are turned on (i.e., -01):

In general, the compiler is very cautious about optimizing jump instructions into cmov instructions, especially in cases where side effects and pointer values are involved. Table 4 shows two equivalent ways of writing a function, incrementX:

C code

C ternary form

```
int incrementX(int *x) {
    if (x != NULL) { //if x is not
    NULL
        return (*x)++; //increment

x
    }
    else { //if x is NULL
        return 1; //return 1
    }
}
```

Each function takes a pointer to an integer as input and checks if it is NULL. If x is not NULL, the function increments and returns the dereferenced value of x. Otherwise, the function returns the value 1.

It is tempting to think that increment X2 uses a cmov instruction since it uses a ternary expression. However, both functions yield the exact same assembly code:

```
0x4005ed <+0>:
                 push
                        %rbp
0x4005ee <+1>:
                        %rsp,%rbp
                 mov
0x4005f1 <+4>:
                        %rdi, -0x8(%rbp)
                 mov
0x4005f5 <+8>:
                        90x0, -0x8(%rbp)
                 cmpq
0x4005fa <+13>:
                        0x40060d <incrementX+32>
                jе
0x4005fc <+15>:
                        -0x8(%rbp),%rax
                mov
0x400600 <+19>:
                       (%rax),%eax
                mov
0x400602 <+21>:
                        0x1(%rax),%ecx
                lea
0x400605 <+24>:
                        -0x8(%rbp),%rdx
                mov
0x400609 <+28>:
                        %ecx,(%rdx)
                mov
0x40060b <+30>:
                        0x400612 <incrementX+37>
                jmp
0x40060d <+32>:
                        $0x1, %eax
                mov
0x400612 <+37>: pop
                        %rbp
0x400613 <+38>: retq
```

Recall that the cmov instruction executes both branches of the conditional. In other words, x gets dereferenced no matter what. Consider the case where x is a null pointer. Recall that dereferencing a null pointer leads to a null pointer exception in the code, causing a segmentation fault. To prevent any chance of this happening, the compiler takes the safe road and uses jumps.