C/C++ macro string concatenation

Asked 12 years, 10 months ago Modified 2 years, 10 months ago Viewed 296k times



173



Is it possible to concatenate STR1 and STR2, to "s1"? You can do this by passing args to another Macro function. But is there a direct way?



c++ c c-preprocessor

Share Follow

edited Feb 22, 2021 at 15:08

Neuron

5.325 5 40 61

asked Mar 10, 2011 at 6:36



Shouldn't it be #define STR3 STR1 ## STR2 – Shrinidhi Mar 10, 2011 at 6:39 🖍

It shouldn't be either because that defines STR3 to be the preprocessing token STR1STR2. And passing args to another macro function doesn't help, because string literals can't be pasted together -- "s""1" is not a valid token. – Jim Balter Mar 10, 2011 at 7:09

3 Answers

Sorted by: Highest score (default)



If the

If they're both strings you can just do:

225

#define STR3 STR1 STR2



This then expands to:



#define STR3 "s" "1"



and in the C language, separating two strings with space as in "s" "1" is exactly equivalent to having a single string "s1".

- 25 Technically string concatenation is done at the language level. Martin York Mar 10, 2011 at 6:59
- The preprocessor does no such thing. It's the C language proper that treats adjacent string literals as if they were a single string literal. Jim Balter Mar 10, 2011 at 7:00
- 11 It's more than a technicality you can't concatenate L"a" and "b" to get L"ab", but you can concatenate L"a" and L"b" to get L"ab". MSalters Mar 10, 2011 at 8:59
- 1 This does not work if you try #include STR3 with STR3 being a valid header file. Does anyone know how to? Zythos Nov 11, 2020 at 16:37 /
- @Zythos you might want to post a separate question with some details about what you are trying to do, what you expect to happen, and what actually happens. Sean Nov 12, 2020 at 17:15



You don't need that sort of solution for string literals, since they are concatenated at the language level, and it wouldn't work anyway because "s""1" isn't a valid preprocessor token.

147



[Edit: In response to the incorrect "Just for the record" comment below that unfortunately received several upvotes, I will reiterate the statement above and observe that the program fragment





```
#define PPCAT_NX(A, B) A ## B
PPCAT_NX("s", "1")
```

produces this error message from the preprocessing phase of gcc: error: pasting ""s"" and ""1"" does not give a valid preprocessing token

]

However, for general token pasting, try this:

```
/*
  * Concatenate preprocessor tokens A and B without expanding macro definitions
  * (however, if invoked from a macro, macro arguments are expanded).
  */
#define PPCAT_NX(A, B) A ## B

/*
  * Concatenate preprocessor tokens A and B after macro-expanding them.
  */
#define PPCAT(A, B) PPCAT NX(A, B)
```

Then, e.g., both $PPCAT_NX(s, 1)$ and PPCAT(s, 1) produce the identifier s1, unless s is defined as a macro, in which case PPCAT(s, 1) produces <macro value of s>1.

Continuing on the theme are these macros:

```
/*
   * Turn A into a string literal without expanding macro definitions
  * (however, if invoked from a macro, macro arguments are expanded).
 #define STRINGIZE NX(A) #A
   * Turn A into a string literal after macro-expanding it.
 #define STRINGIZE(A) STRINGIZE NX(A)
Then,
 #define T1 s
 #define T2 1
 STRINGIZE(PPCAT(T1, T2)) // produces "s1"
By contrast,
 STRINGIZE(PPCAT_NX(T1, T2)) // produces "T1T2"
 STRINGIZE_NX(PPCAT_NX(T1, T2)) // produces "PPCAT_NX(T1, T2)"
 #define T1T2 visit the zoo
 STRINGIZE(PPCAT_NX(T1, T2)) // produces "visit the zoo"
 STRINGIZE_NX(PPCAT(T1, T2)) // produces "PPCAT(T1, T2)"
Share Follow
                                                                      answered Mar 10, 2011 at 7:03
                                       edited Aug 8, 2019 at 21:56
                                                                             Jim Balter
```

- 8 Just for the record, "s""1" is valid in C (and C++). They are two tokens (string literals) that the compiler would concat itself and threat as one token. Shahbaz Jul 31, 2012 at 9:24
- 6 You misunderstand both my comment and the C language. I said "s""1" isn't a valid token -- that is correct; it is, as you say, *two* tokens. But tacking them together with ## would make them a *single* preprocessing token, not two tokens, and so the compiler would not do a concatenation, rather the lexer would reject them (the language requires a diagnostic). Jim Balter Jul 31, 2012 at 9:30
- @mr5 Read the comments, carefully. Macro names passed as macro arguments are not expanded before being passed. They are, however, expanded in the body of the macro. So if A is defined as FRED, STRINGIZE_NX(A) expands to "A" but STRINGIZE(A) expands to STRINGIZE_NX(FRED) which expands to "FRED". – Jim Balter Jul 21, 2014 at 19:25
- 1 @bharath the resulting string is "PPCAT(T1,T2)" -- as expected and desired. and not the expected "s1" -- not expected at all. Why do we need an extra indirection/nesting? -- Read the code comments, and my comment above with the 6 upvotes. Only the bodies of macros are expanded; outside of macro bodies, macro arguments between parentheses are not expanded before being passed to macros. So STRINGIZE_NX(whatever occurs here) expands to "whatever occurs here", regardless of any macro definitions for whatever, occurs, or here. − Jim Balter Jan 10, 2018 at 23:42 ▶

1 @bharath Of course it doesn't print "Name A" -- A is the parameter name, not the argument to the macro, which is ALEX. You claimed if A is defined as FRED then STRINGIZE_NX(A) still expands to "FRED" -- that is false, and is nothing like your test. You're trying hard not to understand or get this right, and I'm not going to respond to you further. – Jim Balter Jan 11, 2018 at 19:23



Hint: The STRINGIZE macro above is cool, but if you make a mistake and its argument isn't a macro - you had a typo in the name, or forgot to #include the header file - then the compiler will happily put the purported macro name into the string with no error.



28

If you intend that the argument to STRINGIZE is always a macro with a normal C value, then



#define STRINGIZE(A) ((A),STRINGIZE_NX(A))



will expand it once and check it for validity, discard that, and then expand it again into a string.

It took me a while to figure out why STRINGIZE(ENDENT) was ending up as "ENDENT" instead of "2" ... I hadn't included errno.h.

Share Follow

answered Feb 8, 2012 at 0:34



- Important observation, and +1 for proper use of the , operator. :) Jesse Chisholm Sep 21, 2015 at 21:20
- There's no particular reason why the content of the string should be a valid C expression. If you want to do this, I advise giving it a different name, like STRINGIZE_EXPR. Jim Balter Oct 26, 2018 at 14:01

That trick may have worked in isolation. But it prevents the compiler from seeing a sequence of strings which it will concatenate. (resulting in sequences like ((1),"1") "." ((2),"2") instead of just "1" "." "2") – automorphic Mar 6, 2020 at 7:17

Just to clarify what automorphic is saying: with the original STRINGIZE definition, "The value of ENOENT is "STRINGIZE(ENOENT) works, whereas "The value of ENOENT is" STRINGIZE_EXPR(X) produces an error. – Jim Balter Jun 25, 2020 at 21:53

In the above comment I meant STRINGIZE_EXPR(ENOENT) rather than STRINGIZE_EXPR(X) . – Jim Balter Jul 13, 2023 at 6:27