# A Whirlwind Tutorial on Creating Really Teensy ELF Executables for Linux

(or, "Size Is Everything")

She studied it carefully for about 15 minutes. Finally, she spoke. "There's something written on here," she said, frowning, "but it's really teensy."

[Dave Barry, "The Columnist's Caper"]

If you're a programmer who's become fed up with software bloat, then may you find herein the perfect antidote.

This document explores methods for squeezing excess bytes out of simple programs. (Of course, the more practical purpose of this document is to describe a few of the inner workings of the ELF file format and the Linux operating system. But hopefully you can also learn something about how to make really teensy ELF executables in the process.)

Please note that the information and examples given here are, for the most part, specific to ELF executables on a Linux platform running under an Intel x86 architecture. I imagine that a good bit of the information is applicable to other ELF-based Unices, but my experiences with such are too limited for me to say with certainty.

Please also note that if you aren't a little bit familiar with assembly code, you may find parts of this document sort of hard to follow. (The assembly code that appears in this document is written using Nasm; see <a href="http://www.nasm.us/">http://www.nasm.us/</a>.)

In order to start, we need a program. Almost any program will do, but the simpler the program the better, since we're more interested in how small we can make the executable than what the program does.

Let's take an incredibly simple program, one that does nothing but return a number back to the operating system. Why not? After all, Unix already comes with no less than two such programs: true and false. Since 0 and 1 are already taken, we'll use the number 42.

So, here is our first version:

```
/* tiny.c */
int main(void) { return 42; }
```

which we can compile and test like so:

```
$ gcc -Wall tiny.c
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
```

So. How big is it? Well, on my machine, I get:

```
$ wc -c a.out
3998 a.out
```

(Yours will probably differ some.) Admittedly, that's pretty small by today's standards, but it's almost certainly bigger than it needs to be.

The obvious first step is to strip the executable:

```
$ gcc -Wall -s tiny.c
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
$ wc -c a.out
    2632 a.out
```

That's certainly an improvement. For the next step, how about optimizing?

```
$ gcc -Wall -s -03 tiny.c
$ wc -c a.out
```

That also helped, but only just. Which makes sense: there's hardly anything there to optimize.

It seems unlikely that there's much else we can do to shrink a one-statement C program. We're going to have to leave C behind, and use assembler instead. Hopefully, this will cut out all the extra overhead that C programs automatically incur.

So, on to our second version. All we need to do is return 42 from main(). In assembly language, this means that the function should set the accumulator, eax, to 42, and then return:

We can then build and test like so:

```
$ nasm -f elf tiny.asm
$ gcc -Wall -s tiny.o
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
```

(Hey, who says assembly code is difficult?) And now how big is it?

```
$ wc -c a.out
2604 a.out
```

Looks like we shaved off a measly twelve bytes. So much for all the extra overhead that C automatically incurs, eh?

Well, the problem is that we are still incurring a lot of overhead by using the main() interface. The linker is still adding an interface to the OS for us, and it is that interface that actually calls main(). So how do we get around that if we don't need it?

The actual entry point that the linker uses by default is the symbol with the name \_start. When we link with gcc, it automatically includes a \_start routine, one that sets up argc and argv, among other things, and then calls main().

So, let's see if we can bypass this, and define our own \_start routine:

Will gcc do what we want?

```
$ nasm -f elf tiny.asm
$ gcc -Wall -s tiny.o
tiny.o(.text+0x0): multiple definition of `_start'
/usr/lib/crt1.o(.text+0x0): first defined here
/usr/lib/crt1.o(.text+0x36): undefined reference to `main'
```

No. Well, actually, yes it will, but first we need to learn how to ask for what we want.

It so happens that gcc recognizes an option called - nostartfiles. From the gcc info pages:

-nostartfiles
Do not use the standard system startup files when linking. The standard libraries are used normally.

Aha! Now let's see what we can do:

```
$ nasm -f elf tiny.asm
$ gcc -Wall -s -nostartfiles tiny.o
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
Segmentation fault
139
```

Well, gcc didn't complain, but the program doesn't work. What went wrong?

What went wrong is that we treated \_start as if it were a C function, and tried to return from it. In reality, it's not a function at all. It's just a symbol in the object file which the linker uses to locate the program's entry point. When our program is invoked, it's invoked directly. If we were to look, we would see that the value on the top of the stack was the number 1, which is certainly very un-address-like. In fact, what is on the stack is our program's argc value. After this comes the elements of the argv array, including the terminating NULL element, followed by the elements of envp. And that's all. There is no return address on the stack.

So, how does \_start ever exit? Well, it calls the exit() function! That's what it's there for, after all.

Actually, I lied. What it really does is call the \_exit() function. (Notice the leading underscore.) exit() is required to finish up some tasks on behalf of the process, but those tasks will never have been started, because we're bypassing the library's startup code. So we need to bypass the library's shutdown code as well, and go directly to the operating system's shutdown processing.

So, let's try this again. We're going to call \_exit(), which is a function that takes a single integer argument. So all we need to do is push the number onto the stack and call the function. (We also need to declare \_exit() as external.) Here's our assembly:

And we build and test as before:

```
$ nasm -f elf tiny.asm
$ gcc -Wall -s -nostartfiles tiny.o
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
```

Success at last! And now how big is it?

```
$ wc -c a.out
1340 a.out
```

Almost half the size! Not bad. Not bad at all. Hmmm ... so what other interesting obscure options does qcc have?

Well, this one, appearing immediately after -nostartfiles in the documentation, is certainly eye-catching:

-nostdlib

Don't use the standard system libraries and startup files when linking. Only the files you specify will be passed to the linker.

That's gotta be worth investigating:

```
$ gcc -Wall -s -nostdlib tiny.o
tiny.o(.text+0x6): undefined reference to `_exit'
```

Oops. That's right ... \_exit() is, after all, a library function. It has to be filled in from somewhere.

Okay. But surely, we don't need libc's help just to end a program, do we?

No, we don't. If we're willing to leave behind all pretenses of portability, we can make our program exit without having to link with anything else. First, though, we need to know how to make a system call under Linux.

Linux, like most operating systems, provides basic necessities to the programs it hosts via system calls. This includes things like opening a file, reading and writing to file handles — and, of course, shutting down a process.

The Linux system call interface is a single instruction: int 0x80. All system calls are done via this interrupt. To make a system call, eax should contain a number that indicates which system call is being invoked, and other registers are used to hold the arguments, if any. If the system call takes one argument, it will be in ebx; a system call with two arguments will use ebx and ecx. Likewise, edx, esi, and edi are used if a third, fourth, or fifth argument is required, respectively. Upon return from a system call, eax will contain the return value. If an error occurs, eax will contain a negative value, with the absolute value indicating the error.

The numbers for the different system calls are listed in /usr/include/asm/unistd.h. A quick peek will tell us that the exit system call is assigned the number 1. Like the C function, it takes one argument, the value to return to the parent process, and so this will go into ebx.

We now know all we need to know to create the next version of our program, one that won't need assistance from any external functions to work:

```
; tiny.asm
BITS 32
GLOBAL _start
SECTION .text
_start:

mov eax, 1
mov ebx, 42
int 0x80
```

Here we go:

```
$ nasm -f elf tiny.asm
$ gcc -Wall -s -nostdlib tiny.o
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
```

Ta-da! And the size?

```
$ wc -c a.out
372 a.out
```

Now that's tiny! Almost a fourth the size of the previous version!

So ... can we do anything else to make it even smaller?

How about using shorter instructions?

If we generate a list file for the assembly code, we'll find the following:

00000000	B801000000	mov	eax, 1
00000005	BB2A000000	mov	ebx, 42
A000000A	CD80	int	0x80

Well, gee, we don't need to initialize all of ebx, since the operating system is only going to use the lowest byte. Setting bl alone will be sufficient, and will take two bytes instead of five.

We can also set eax to one by xor'ing it to zero and then using a one-byte increment instruction; this will save two more bytes.

00000000	3100	xor	eax, eax
00000002	40	inc	eax
00000003	B32A	mov	bl, 42
00000005	CD80	int	0x80

I think it's pretty safe to say that we're not going to make this program any smaller than that.

As an aside, we might as well stop using gcc to link our executable, seeing as we're not using any of its added functionality, and just call the linker, ld, ourselves:

Four bytes smaller. (Hey! Didn't we shave five bytes off? Well, we did, but alignment considerations within the ELF

file caused it to require an extra byte of padding.)

So ... have we reached the end? Is this as small as we can qo?

Well, hm. Our program is now seven bytes long. Do ELF files really require 361 bytes of overhead? What's in this file, anyway?

We can peek into the contents of the file using objdump:

```
$ objdump -x a.out | less
```

The output may look like gibberish, but right now let's just focus on the list of sections:

### Sections:

Idx	Name	Size	VMA	LMA	File off	Algn
0	.text	00000007	08048080	08048080	00000080	2**4
		CONTENTS,	ALLOC, LOA	AD, READONI	Y, CODE	
1	.comment	0000001c	00000000	00000000	00000087	2**0
		CONTENTS,	READONLY			

The complete .text section is listed as being seven bytes long, just as we specified. So it seems safe to conclude that we now have complete control of the machine-language content of our program.

But then there's this other section named ".comment". Who ordered that? And it's 28 bytes long, even! We may not be sure what this .comment section is, but it seems a good bet that it isn't a necessary feature....

The .comment section is listed as being located at file offset 00000087 (hexadecimal). If we use a hexdump program to look at that area of the file, we will see:

```
00000080: 31C0 40B3 2ACD 8000 5468 6520 4E65 7477 1.@.*...The Netw 000000090: 6964 6520 4173 7365 6D62 6C65 7220 302E ide Assembler 0. 0000000AO: 3938 0000 2E73 796D 7461 6200 2E73 7472 98...symtab..str
```

Well, well. Who'd've thought that Nasm would undermine our quest like this? Maybe we should switch to using gas,

AT&T syntax notwithstanding....

# Alas, if we do:

int

\$0x80

## ... we will find:

### ... no difference!

Well, actually there is some difference. Turning once again to objdump, we see:

```
Sections:
Idx Name
                 Size
                           VMA
                                     LMA
                                               File off
                                                         Alqn
 0 .text
                           08048074 08048074
                                                         2**2
                 00000007
                                               00000074
                 CONTENTS, ALLOC, LOAD, READONLY, CODE
  1 .data
                 00000000
                           0804907c 0804907c
                                               0000007c 2**2
                 CONTENTS, ALLOC, LOAD, DATA
  2 .bss
                 00000000
                           0804907c 0804907c
                                               0000007c 2**2
                 ALLOC
```

No comment section, but now we have two useless sections for storing our nonexistent data. And even though these sections are zero bytes long, they incur overhead, bringing our file size up for no good reason.

Okay, so just what is all this overhead, and how do we get rid of it?

Well, to answer these questions, we must begin diving into some real wizardry. We need to understand the ELF format.

The canonical document describing the ELF format for Intel-386 architectures can be found at <a href="http://refspecs.linuxbase.org/elf/elf.pdf">http://refspecs.linuxbase.org/elf/elf.pdf</a>. (You can also find a flat-text version of version 1.0 of the standard at <a href="http://www.muppetlabs.com/~breadbox/software/ELF.txt">http://www.muppetlabs.com/~breadbox/software/ELF.txt</a>.) This specification covers a lot of territory, so if you'd prefer to not read the whole thing yourself, I'll understand. Basically, here's what we need to know:

Every ELF file begins with a structure called the ELF header. This structure is 52 bytes long, and contains several pieces of information that describe the contents of the file. For example, the first sixteen bytes contain an "identifier", which includes the file's magic-number signature (7F 45 4C 46), and some one-byte flags indicating that the contents are 32-bit or 64-bit, little-endian or big-endian, etc. Other fields in the ELF header contain information such as: the target architecture; whether the ELF file is an executable, an object file, or a shared-object library; the program's starting address; and the locations within the file of the program header table and the section header table.

These two tables can appear anywhere in the file, but typically the former appears immediately following the ELF header, and the latter appears at or near the end of the file. The two tables serve similar purposes, in that they identify the component parts of the file. However, the section header table focuses more on identifying where the various parts of the program are within the file, while the program header table describes where and how these parts are to be loaded into memory. In brief, the section header table is for use by the compiler and linker, while the program header table is for use by the program loader. The program header table is optional for object files, and in practice is never present. Likewise, the section header table is optional for executables — but is almost always present!

So, this is the answer to our first question. A fair piece of the overhead in our program is a completely unnecessary section header table, and maybe some equally useless sections that don't contribute to our program's memory image.

So, we turn to our second question: how do we go about getting rid of all that?

Alas, we're on our own here. None of the standard tools will deign to make an executable without a section header table of some kind. If we want such a thing, we'll have to do it ourselves.

This doesn't quite mean that we have to pull out a binary editor and code the hexadecimal values by hand, though. Good old Nasm has a flat binary output format, which will serve us well. All we need now is the image of an empty ELF executable, which we can fill in with our program. Our program, and nothing else.

We can look at the ELF specification, and /usr/include/linux/elf.h, and executables created by the standard tools, to figure out what our empty ELF executable should look like. But, if you're the impatient type, you can just use the one I've supplied here:

```
0x08048000
              orq
ehdr:
                                                         ; Elf32_Ehdr
                       0x7F, "ELF", 1, 1, 1, 0
              db
                                                             e_ident
      times 8 db
                       2
              dw
                                                             e_type
              dw
                       3
                                                             e_machine
              dd
                       1
                                                             e_version
                       _start
              dd
                                                             e_entry
                       phdr - $$
              dd
                                                             e_phoff
              dd
                       0
                                                             e_shoff
              dd
                       0
                                                             e flags
                       ehdrsize
              dw
                                                             e ehsize
              dw
                       phdrsize
                                                             e_phentsize
              dw
                       1
                                                             e_phnum
                       0
                                                             e_shentsize
              dw
                       0
                                                             e_shnum
              dw
```

```
0
               dw
                                                               e_shstrndx
ehdrsize
                        $ - ehdr
               equ
phdr:
                                                            Elf32_Phdr
               dd
                        1
                                                               p_type
               dd
                        0
                                                               p_offset
                        $$
               dd
                                                               p_vaddr
               dd
                        $$
                                                               p_paddr
                        filesize
               dd
                                                               p_filesz
               dd
                        filesize
                                                               p_memsz
               dd
                                                               p_flags
               dd
                        0x1000
                                                               p_aliqn
                       $ - phdr
phdrsize
               equ
_start:
; your program here
                       $ - $$
filesize
               equ
```

This image contains an ELF header, identifying the file as an Intel 386 executable, with no section header table and a program header table containing one entry. Said entry instructs the program loader to load the entire file into memory (it's normal behavior for a program to include its ELF header and program header table in its memory image) starting at memory address 0x08048000 (which is the default address for executables to load), and to begin executing the code at \_start, which appears immediately after the program header table. No .data segment, no .bss segment, no commentary — nothing but the bare necessities.

# So, let's add in our little program:

```
; tiny.asm org 0x08048000; ; (as above):
```

start:

mov bl, 42 xor eax, eax inc eax int 0x80

filesize equ \$ - \$\$

and try it out:

```
$ nasm -f bin -o a.out tiny.asm
$ chmod +x a.out
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
```

We have just created an executable completely from scratch. How about that? And now, take a look at its size:

```
$ wc -c a.out
91 a.out
```

Ninety-one bytes. Less than one-fourth the size of our previous attempt, and less than one-fortieth the size of our first!

What's more, this time we can account for every last byte. We know exactly what's in the executable, and why it needs to be there. This is, finally, the limit. We can't get any smaller than this.

Or can we?

Well, if you actually stopped to read the ELF specification, you might have noticed a couple of facts. 1) The different parts of an ELF file are permitted to be located anywhere (except the ELF header, which must be at the top of the file), and they can even overlap each other. 2) Some of the fields in the headers aren't actually used.

In particular, I'm thinking of that string of zeros at the end of the 16-byte identification field. They are pure

padding, to make room for future expansion of the ELF standard. So the OS shouldn't care at all what's in there. And we're already loading everything into memory anyway, and our program is only seven bytes long....

Can we put our code inside the ELF header itself?

# Why not?

```
; tiny.asm
```

```
0x08048000
               orq
ehdr:
                                                           ; Elf32_Ehdr
                        0x7F, "ELF"
                                                               e_ident
               db
                        1, 1, 1, 0, 0
               db
                        bl, 42
_start:
               mov
               xor
                        eax, eax
               inc
                        eax
               int
                        0x80
                        2
               dw
                                                               e_type
                        3
               dw
                                                               e_machine
                        1
               dd
                                                               e_version
               dd
                        start
                                                               e_entry
                        phdr - $$
               dd
                                                               e_phoff
               dd
                                                               e_shoff
               dd
                        0
                                                               e_flags
               dw
                        ehdrsize
                                                               e_ehsize
               dw
                        phdrsize
                                                               e_phentsize
               dw
                        1
                                                               e_phnum
               dw
                        0
                                                               e_shentsize
                        0
               dw
                                                               e_shnum
               dw
                        0
                                                               e_shstrndx
ehdrsize
                        $ - ehdr
               equ
phdr:
                                                             Elf32_Phdr
               dd
                        1
                                                               p_type
               dd
                        0
                                                               p_offset
                        $$
               dd
                                                               p_vaddr
               dd
                        $$
                                                               p_paddr
               dd
                        filesize
                                                               p_filesz
               dd
                        filesize
                                                               p_memsz
```

phdrsize equ \$ - phdr

filesize equ \$ - \$\$

After all, bytes are bytes!

```
$ nasm -f bin -o a.out tiny.asm
$ chmod +x a.out
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
$ wc -c a.out
    84 a.out
```

Not bad, eh?

Now we've really gone as low as we can go. Our file is exactly as long as one ELF header and one program header table entry, both of which we absolutely require in order to get loaded into memory and run. So there's nothing left to reduce now!

Except ...

Well, what if we could do the same thing to the program header table that we just did to the program? Have it overlap with the ELF header, that is. Is it possible?

It is indeed. Take a look at our program. Note that the last eight bytes in the ELF header bear a certain kind of resemblence to the first eight bytes in the program header table. A certain kind of resemblence that might be described as "identical".

So ...

; tiny.asm

```
ehdr:
                       0x7F, "ELF"
               db
                                                 ; e_ident
                       1, 1, 1, 0, 0
               db
                       bl, 42
start:
               mov
                       eax, eax
               xor
               inc
                       eax
               int
                       0x80
               dw
                       2
                                                 ; e_type
                       3
                                                 ; e_machine
               dw
                       1
                                                 ; e_version
               dd
               dd
                       start
                                                 ; e_entry
                       phdr - $$
                                                 ; e_phoff
               dd
               dd
                       0
                                                 ; e_shoff
               dd
                       0
                                                 ; e_flags
               dw
                       ehdrsize
                                                 ; e_ehsize
                                                 ; e_phentsize
                       phdrsize
               dw
phdr:
               dd
                       1
                                                 ; e_phnum
                                                                  ; p_type
                                                 ; e_shentsize
               dd
                       0
                                                 ; e_shnum
                                                                   ; p_offset
                                                 ; e_shstrndx
                       $ - ehdr
ehdrsize
               equ
                       $$
               dd
                                                                  ; p_vaddr
               dd
                       $$
                                                                  ; p_paddr
                       filesize
               dd
                                                                  ; p_filesz
                       filesize
               dd
                                                                  ; p_memsz
               dd
                                                                  ; p_flags
                       0x1000
               dd
                                                                  ; p_align
phdrsize
                       $ - phdr
               equ
                       $ - $$
filesize
               equ
```

And sure enough, Linux doesn't mind our parsimony one bit:

```
$ nasm -f bin -o a.out tiny.asm
$ chmod +x a.out
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
$ wc -c a.out
    76 a.out
```

Now we've *really* gone as low as we can go. There's no way to overlap the two structures any more than this. The bytes simply don't match up. This is the end of the line!

Unless, that is, we could change the contents of the structures to make them match even further....

How many of these fields is Linux actually looking at, anyway? For example, does Linux actually check to see if the e\_machine field contains 3 (indicating an Intel 386 target), or is it just assuming that it does?

As a matter of fact, in that case it does. But a surprising number of other fields are being quietly ignored.

So: Here's what is and isn't essential in the ELF header. The first four bytes have to contain the magic number, or else Linux won't touch it. The other three bytes in the e\_ident field are not checked, however, which means we have no less than twelve contiquous bytes we can set to anything at all. e\_type has to be set to 2, to indicate an executable, and e\_machine has to be 3, as just noted. e\_version is, like the version number inside e\_ident, completely ignored. (Which is sort of understandable, seeing as currently there's only one version of the ELF standard.) e\_entry naturally has to be valid, since it points to the start of the program. And clearly, e\_phoff needs to contain the correct offset of the program header table in the file, and e\_phnum needs to contain the right number of entries in said table. e\_flags, however, is documented as being currently unused for Intel, so it should be free for us to reuse. e\_ehsize is supposed to be used to verify that the ELF header has the expected size, but Linux pays it no mind. e\_phentsize is likewise for validating the size of the program header table entries. This one was unchecked in older kernels, but now it needs to be set correctly. Everything else in the ELF header is about the section header table, which doesn't come into play with executable files.

And now how about the program header table entry? Well, p\_type has to contain 1, to mark it as a loadable segment. p\_offset really needs to have the correct file offset to start loading. Likewise, p\_vaddr needs to contain the proper load address. Note, however, that we're not required to load

at 0x08048000. Almost any address can be used as long as it's above 0x00000000, below 0x80000000, and page-aligned. The p\_paddr field is documented as being ignored, so that's quaranteed to be free. p\_filesz indicates how many bytes to load out of the file into memory, and p\_memsz indicates how large the memory segment needs to be, so these numbers ought to be relatively sane. p\_flags indicates what permissions to give the memory segment. It needs to be readable (4), or it won't be usable at all, and it needs to also be executable (1), or else we can't execute code in it. Other bits can probably be set as well, but we need to have those at minimum. Finally, p\_align gives the alignment requirements for the memory segment. This field is mainly used when relocating segments containing position-independent code (as for shared libraries), so for an executable file Linux will ignore whatever garbage we store here.

All in all, that's a fair bit of leeway. In particular, a bit of scrutiny will reveal that most of the necessary fields in the ELF header are in the first half - the second half is almost completely free for munging. With this in mind, we can interpose the two structures quite a bit more than we did previously:

```
; tiny.asm
```

```
org
                       0x00200000
                       0x7F, "ELF"
                                                 ; e_ident
               db
                       1, 1, 1, 0, 0
               db
start:
                       bl, 42
              mov
                       eax, eax
               xor
               inc
                       eax
                       0x80
               int
               dw
                       2
                                                 ; e_type
                                                 ; e_machine
                       3
               dw
                       1
                                                 ; e_version
               dd
               dd
                       _start
                                                 ; e_entry
               dd
                       phdr - $$
                                                  e_phoff
```

```
phdr:
               dd
                       1
                                                 ; e_shoff
                                                                  ; p_type
                       0
                                                                  ; p_offset
               dd
                                                 ; e_flags
               dd
                       $$
                                                                  ; p_vaddr
                                                 ; e_ehsize
                                                 ; e_phentsize
                       1
                                                 ; e_phnum
               dw
                                                                  ; p_paddr
                                                 ; e_shentsize
               dw
                       0
                                                 ; e_shnum
               dd
                       filesize
                                                                  ; p_filesz
                                                 ; e_shstrndx
               dd
                       filesize
                                                                  ; p_memsz
               dd
                                                                  ; p_flags
                       0x1000
               dd
                                                                  ; p_align
filesize
              equ
                       $ - $$
```

As you can (hopefully) see, the first twenty bytes of the program header table now overlap the last twenty bytes of the ELF header. The two dovetail quite nicely, actually. There are only two parts of the ELF header within the overlapped region that matter. The first is the e\_phnum field, which just happens to coincide with the p\_paddr field, one of the few fields in the program header table which is definitely ignored. The other is the e\_phentsize field, which coincides with the top half of the p\_vaddr field. These are made to match up by selecting a non-standard load address for our program, with a top half equal to 0x0020.

Now we have *really* left behind all pretenses of portability ...

```
$ nasm -f bin -o a.out tiny.asm
$ chmod +x a.out
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
$ wc -c a.out
64 a.out
```

... but it works! And the program is twelve bytes shorter, exactly as predicted.

This is where I say that we can't do any better than this, but of course, we already know that we can — if we could get the program header table to reside *completely* within the ELF header. Can this holy grail be achieved?

Well, we can't just move it up another twelve bytes without hitting hopeless obstacles trying to reconcile several fields in both structures. The only other possibility would be to have it start immediately following the first four bytes. This puts the first part of the program header table comfortably within the e\_ident area, but still leaves problems with the rest of it. After some experimenting, it looks like it isn't going to guite be possible.

However, it turns out that there are still a couple more fields in the program header table that we can pervert.

We noted that p\_memsz indicates how much memory to allocate for the memory segment. Obviously it needs to be at least as big as p\_filesz, but there wouldn't be any harm if it was larger. Just because we ask for memory doesn't mean we have to use it, after all.

Secondly, it turns out that, contrary to all my expectations, the executable bit can be dropped from the p\_flags field. It turns out that the readable and executable bits are redundant: either one will imply the other.

So, with these facts in mind, we can reorganize the file into this little monstrosity:

```
; tiny.asm
```

	org	0x00010000		
	db dd dd dw dw dd	<pre>0x7F, "ELF" 1 0 \$\$ 2 3 _start _start // // // // // // // // // // // // //</pre>	<pre>; e_ident ; e_type ; e_machine ; e_version ; e_entry</pre>	<pre>; p_type ; p_offset ; p_vaddr ; p_paddr ; p_filesz ; p_memsz</pre>
	dd	4	; e_phoff	; p_flags
_start:	mov	bl, 42	; e_shoff	; p_align

```
xor
        eax, eax
inc
                                   ; e_flags
        eax
int
        0x80
db
        0x34
dw
                                   ; e_ehsize
        0x20
dw
                                   ; e_phentsize
dw
        1
                                   ; e_phnum
                                   ; e_shentsize
dw
        0
                                   ; e_shnum
dw
        0
        0
                                   ; e_shstrndx
dw
        $ - $$
equ
```

filesize

The p\_flags field has been changed from 5 to 4, as we noted we could get away with doing. This 4 is also the value of the e\_phoff field, which gives the offset into the file for the program header table, which is exactly where we've located it. The program (remember that?) has been moved down to lower part of the ELF header, beginning at the e\_shoff field and ending inside the e\_flags field.

Note that the load address has been changed to a much lower number — about as low as it can be, in fact. This keeps the value in the e\_entry field to a reasonably small number, which is good since it's also the p\_memsz number. (Actually, with virtual memory it hardly matters — we could have left it at our original value and it would work just as well. But there's no harm in being polite.)

The change to p\_filesz may require an explanation. Because we aren't setting the write bit in the p\_flags field, Linux won't let us define a p\_memsz value greater than p\_filesz, since it can't zero-initialize those extra bytes if they aren't writeable. Since we can't change the p\_flags field without moving the program header table out of alignment, you might think that the only solution would be to lower the p\_memsz value back down to equal p\_filesz (which would make it impossible to share it with e\_entry). However, another solution exists, namely to increase p\_filesz to equal p\_memsz. That means they're both larger than the real file size - quite a bit larger, in fact - but it absolves the

loader from having to write to read-only memory, which is all it cared about.

And so ...

... and so, with both the program header table and the program itself completely embedded within the ELF header, our executable file is now exactly as big as the ELF header! No more, no less. And *still* running without a single complaint from Linux!

Now, finally, we have truly and certainly reached the absolute minimum possible. There can be no question about it, right? After all, we have to have a complete ELF header (even if it is badly mangled), or else Linux wouldn't give us the time of day!

Right?

Wrong. We have one last dirty trick left.

It seems to be the case that if the file isn't quite the size of a full ELF header, Linux will still play ball, and fill out the missing bytes with zeros. We have no less than seven zeros at the end of our file, and if we drop them from the file image:

```
; tiny.asm
```

```
org 0x00010000

db 0x7F, "ELF" ; e_ident

dd 1 ; p_type

dd 0 ; p_offset
```

```
$$
                                                                 ; p_vaddr
              dd
              dw
                       2
                                                ; e_type
                                                                 ; p_paddr
              dw
                       3
                                                ; e_machine
                                                ; e_version
              dd
                       _start
                                                                 ; p_filesz
                                                ; e_entry
              dd
                       _start
                                                                 ; p_memsz
                                                ; e_phoff
              dd
                                                                 ; p_flags
start:
                       bl, 42
                                                                 ; p_align
              mov
                                                ; e_shoff
                       eax, eax
              xor
                                                ; e_flags
              inc
                       eax
              int
                       0x80
              db
              dw
                       0x34
                                                : e ehsize
              dw
                       0x20
                                                ; e_phentsize
              db
                       1
                                                ; e_phnum
                                                ; e_shentsize
                                                ; e_shnum
                                                ; e_shstrndx
                       $ - $$
filesize
              equ
```

... we can, incredibly enough, still produce a working executable:

```
$ nasm -f bin -o a.out tiny.asm
$ chmod +x a.out
$ ./a.out ; echo $?
42
$ wc -c a.out
45 a.out
```

Here, at last, we have honestly gone as far as we can go. There is no getting around the fact that the 45th byte in the file, which specifies the number of entries in the program header table, needs to be non-zero, needs to be present, and needs to be in the 45th position from the start of the ELF header. We are forced to conclude that there is nothing more that can be done.

This forty-five-byte file is less than one-eighth the size of the smallest ELF executable we could create using the standard tools, and is less than one-fiftieth the size of the smallest file we could create using pure C code. We have stripped everything out of the file that we could, and put to dual purpose most of what we couldn't.

Of course, half of the values in this file violate some part of the ELF standard, and it's a wonder that Linux will even consent to sneeze on it, much less give it a process ID. This is not the sort of program to which one would normally be willing to confess authorship.

On the other hand, every single byte in this executable file can be accounted for and justified. How many executables have you created lately that you can say that about?

(next)

<u>Tiny</u> <u>Software</u> Brian Raiter