IARCOR~1.PDF

by Mr Adnan

Submission date: 14-Oct-2025 01:23PM (UTC+0300)
Submission ID: 2780775435
File name: IARCOR_1.PDF (166.27K)
Word count: 1204
Character count: 7947

Research Proposal

on

The Utilisation of Plant-Based Materials for the Repair and Replacement of Cardiac Valves

Scholar Name

Chadaphatsanan Chumchuen

Scholar's Nationality

Thai

Scholar's Affiliation

Second-year undergraduate student studying Teaching Chinese to Speakers of Other Languages (TCSOL) at the School of International Cultural Exchange, Lanzhou University

Date of Submission

29/09/2025

Introduction: Cardiac valve leakage (regurgitation) is a common cardiovascular disorder that can lead to heart failure and reduced quality of life. Traditional interventions include mechanical valves requiring lifelong anticoagulation and animal-derived biological valves facing issues such as degeneration, immune response, high cost, and ethical concerns [7]. Recent advances in tissue engineering suggest that plant-based biomaterials—such as polylactic acid (PLA) from corn, alginate from algae, and nanocellulose—could provide sustainable, biocompatible alternatives for valve repair or replacement. This study explores the potential of these plant-derived materials to serve as safe and effective scaffolds or patches for cardiac valve applications.

Research Questions: This study aims to evaluate the potential of plant-based materials for cardiac valve repair and replacement. In this context, the following research questions are addressed:

1. Which plant-based materials demonstrate mechanical properties suitable for cardiac valve repair or replacement?
2. How do plant-derived materials compare to conventional biological and mechanical valve materials regarding durability, elasticity, and hemocompatibility?
3. Can surface modification or composite strategies improve the biocompatibility and performance of plant-based materials in cardiovascular applications?

Literature Review:

1. Current Cardiac Valve Solutions

4

Mechanical heart valves offer long-term durability but require lifelong anticoagulation, increasing the risk of bleeding complications [7]. Biological valves, derived from bovine or porcine tissues, reduce the need for anticoagulation but are prone to structural deterioration and calcification, limiting their lifespan [2] [3]. These limitations underscore the need for alternative valve materials that are both biocompatible and sustainable.

2. Plant-Based Biomaterials in Tissue Engineering

Plant-derived materials have gained attention in tissue engineering due to their sustainability, biocompatibility, and tunable mechanical properties.

Polylactic Acid (PLA): Produced from corn starch, PLA is biodegradable, easily processed, and has been widely used in scaffolds and sutures for soft tissue engineering [5]. Its mechanical properties can be adjusted through polymer processing techniques, making it a potential candidate for cardiac valve scaffolds.

Nanocellulose: Extracted from plant fibres, nanocellulose exhibits high tensile strength, low density, and excellent biocompatibility. It can be chemically modified to enhance cell adhesion and mechanical performance [4].

Alginate: Derived from algae, alginate forms hydrogels with adjustable stiffness and porosity. It has been extensively studied for cardiovascular tissue engineering due to its cytocompatibility and tunable physical properties [8].

3. Applications of Plant-Based Materials in Cardiovascular Research

Innovative studies have explored plant-derived scaffolds for cardiac and vascular applications. Gershlak et al. (2017) demonstrated that decellularised plant leaves can serve as perfusable scaffolds, supporting vascularisation and cell growth. PLA-based scaffolds have been tested for soft tissue engineering, showing promise for mechanical reinforcement and biocompatibility. Alginate hydrogels have been applied to cardiac patches, demonstrating flexibility and support for endothelialisation.

Despite these advances, challenges remain for translating plant-based materials into functional heart valves. Key obstacles include achieving sufficient mechanical durability to withstand cyclic cardiac loading, ensuring hemocompatibility to prevent clotting or immune responses, and controlling degradation rates to match tissue regeneration [6]. Addressing these challenges is critical for the successful application of plant-derived materials in valve repair or replacement.

Proposed Methodology:

1. **Material Selection:** Identify and source candidate plant-based polymers (PLA, nanocellulose, alginate).
2. **Material Fabrication:** Prepare scaffolds, hydrogels, or composite patches suitable for valve repair.
3. **Mechanical Testing:** Assess tensile strength, elasticity, burst pressure, and fatigue resistance under simulated cardiac conditions.
4. **Surface Modification:** Explore coatings (e.g., collagen, fibrin) or crosslinking to enhance hemocompatibility.
5. **In Vitro Hemocompatibility Tests:** Evaluate clotting, platelet adhesion, and endothelial cell interactions.
6. **Data Analysis:** Compare mechanical and biological properties with existing valve materials to determine feasibility for future in vivo studies.

Expected Outcome:

1. Identification of plant-based materials with adequate mechanical and hemocompatible properties for cardiac valve applications.
2. A comparative framework highlighting advantages, limitations, and modification needs for clinical translation.

Potential Limitations:

1. Mechanical strength and durability: Plant-based materials may initially lack the strength to withstand long-term cardiac pressures and cyclic loading.
2. Hemocompatibility: Even biocompatible polymers may trigger clotting or immune reactions unless properly modified.
3. Degradation rate: Biodegradable materials may degrade too quickly or inconsistently, reducing their effectiveness as valve scaffolds.
4. Translational gap: In vitro findings may not fully predict in vivo performance; extensive preclinical testing would be required.
5. Material sourcing and reproducibility: Variability in plant-based polymer extraction or processing could affect the consistency of results.

Conclusion: Plant-based materials such as PLA, nanocellulose, and alginate offer a promising, sustainable alternative for cardiac valve repair and replacement. By assessing their mechanical properties, hemocompatibility, and potential for surface modification, this study aims to identify viable candidates that could overcome the limitations of conventional prosthetic valves. Although challenges such as durability and long-term performance remain, this research provides a foundation for future in vivo studies and the development of ethical, cost-effective, and biocompatible valve solutions.

Project Practicalities:

1. Material acquisition: PLA from corn starch, nanocellulose, and alginate can be sourced from commercial suppliers or extracted in collaboration with a materials lab.
2. Facilities required: Laboratory equipped for mechanical testing (tensile, fatigue), chemical surface modification, and in vitro cell studies.
3. Timeline: Initial mechanical and hemocompatibility testing within 6–8 months; optimisation and comparative analysis within 12 months.
4. Supervision/Collaboration: Biomedical engineering or tissue engineering lab with expertise in cardiovascular scaffolds.
5. Budget considerations: Material costs are moderate; major costs involve lab equipment use and potential outsourcing of specialised tests.

Post-Program Plan:

1. Publish findings in a peer-reviewed journal to contribute to tissue engineering and biomaterials research.
2. Explore in vivo studies in collaboration with cardiovascular research labs.
3. Expand research to hybrid or composite plant-based scaffolds with enhanced durability and hemocompatibility.
4. Leverage findings to support grant applications for long-term cardiac biomaterials research.

References:

[1] Gershlak, J.R., et al. (2017). Crossing kingdoms: Using decellularised plants as perfusable tissue Engineering scaffolds. Nature Materials, 16(11), 1–11.

[2] Nerem, R.M., & Seliktar, D. (2001). Vascular tissue engineering. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 3, 225–243.

[3] Sodian, R., et al. (2000). Tissue-engineered heart valves: In vivo functionality and Biocompatibility. Circulation, 102(19 Suppl 3), III-88–III-93.

[4] Zhu, J., et al. (2018). Plant-derived nanocellulose for biomedical applications. Carbohydrate Polymers, 179, 84–96.

[5] Li, X., et al. (2016). Polylactic acid (PLA) based scaffolds for tissue engineering applications. Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition, 27(15), 1525–1542.

[6] Langer, R., & Vacanti, J.P. (1993). Tissue engineering. Science, 260(5110), 920–926.

[7] Schoen, F.J., & Levy, R.J. (2005). Heart valve tissue engineering: Principles and progress. Annual Review of Biomedical Engineering, 7, 165–192.

[8] Wang, Y., et al. (2017). Alginate-based biomaterials for cardiac tissue engineering. Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 5(44), 8883–8896.

IARCOR~1.PDF

ORIGINALITY REPORT

9%	5%	7%	7%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Tobb University of Economics & Technology Student Paper	1%
2	core.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
3	Zahra Hesari. "Chapter 26 Development of Micro/Nano Channels Using Electrospinning for Neural Differentiation of Cells", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022 Publication	1%
4	Submitted to International School of Dakar Student Paper	1%
5	documentsdelivered.com Internet Source	1%
6	www.ottawaheart.ca Internet Source	1%
7	Lijie Grace Zhang, Ali Khademhosseini, Thomas Webster. "Tissue and Organ Regeneration - Advances in Micro- and Nanotechnology", Pan Stanford, 2019 Publication	1%
8	Polymer Based Systems on Tissue Engineering Replacement and Regeneration, 2002. Publication	1%

9	Luda Khait. "Getting to the Heart of Tissue Engineering", Journal of Cardiovascular Translational Research, 03/2008 Publication	1%