



# NEUTRINO FACTORIES

## Realization & Physics Potential



# Why Neutrino Experiments ?



- Over the last decade an incredible discovery has emerged in particle physics: **Neutrinos have tiny (sub-eV) masses.**
- We don't know what new beyond-the-Standard-Model physics is responsible for the tiny masses, but it's bound to be something exciting.
- The long-term goal for the neutrino program is to answer the question:

**What new physics is responsible for sub-eV neutrino masses ?**



# Which Neutrino Measurements ?



- We don't know exactly what we need to do to pin down the physics responsible for neutrino masses, but there is a broad consensus that the first steps for the accelerator-based neutrino program are:
  - Measure the unknown mixing angle  $\theta_{13}$  (is it non-zero) ?
  - Determine the pattern of neutrino masses (mass hierarchy)
  - Find or constrain CP violation in the neutrino sector (measure or constrain the CP phase  $\delta$ )
- The less clear longer-term steps may involve finding more neutrino surprises, will probably involve guidance from other experimental results (LHC, CLV, neutrinoless  $\beta\beta$  ...), & will almost certainly involve precision neutrino parameter measurements:
  - Do any of the parameters have special values ?
  - Suggestive relationships between parameters ?
  - Is 3 flavor mixing the whole story ?


**WE  
NEED  
CLUES**



# A New Type of Neutrino Beam



- A Neutrino Factory would provide a new type of neutrino beam, made from muon decays (c.f. charged pion decays for conventional neutrino beams).
- Since muons live 100 longer than charged pions, to be efficient a linear muon decay channel would have to be tens of km long, hence:






# Neutrino Factory Schematic



- Proton Source
  - Beam power  $\geq 4\text{MW}$
  - $E \geq \text{few GeV}$
  - Short bunches ( $\leq 3\text{ns}$ )
- Target, capture & decay
  - Create  $\pi^\pm$ , decay into  $\mu^\pm$
- Bunching & Phase Rotation
  - Capture into bunches
  - Reduce  $\Delta E$
- Cooling (cost effective but not mandatory)
  - Use Ionization Cooling to reduce transverse emittance to fit within an accelerator






# Beam Properties



- Well known beam flux & spectra (**low systematic uncertainties**)



- Can measure spectra for events tagged by right-sign muons, wrong-sign muons, electrons,  $\tau^+$ ,  $\tau^-$ , or no leptons; and do all this when there are positive muons stored and when there are negative muons stored → **a wealth of information**.

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu \Rightarrow 50\% \nu_e + 50\% \bar{\nu}_\mu$$

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu \Rightarrow 50\% \bar{\nu}_e + 50\% \nu_\mu$$


- Can search for  $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  oscillations with **very low backgrounds** (wrong-sign muon signature)



# Key Experimental Signature



- Measuring the transitions  $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_\mu$  is crucial for the future neutrino oscillation program.
- With a conventional neutrino beam this means measuring  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$  oscillations, and hence  $\nu_e$  appearance. With a NF we can measure  $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  oscillations & hence  $\nu_e$  appearance  $\rightarrow$  very low backgrounds



$\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  oscillations at a neutrino factory result in appearance of a “wrong-sign” muon ... one with opposite charge to those stored in the ring:

- Backgrounds to the detection of a wrong-sign muon are expected to be at the  $10^{-4}$  level  $\rightarrow$  background-free  $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$  oscillations with probabilities of  $O(10^{-4})$  can be measured!



# Neutrino Factory Studies



- Over the last decade a series of design studies have developed the NF concept:
  - First Generation - “Feasibility”:
    - Feasibility Study 1 (FNAL 2000)
    - Japanese Study 1 (2001)
    - CERN Study (2004)
  - Second Generation – performance & cost-reduction:
    - Study 2 (BNL 2001): performance
    - Studies 2a & 2b (2005): cost
  - Third Generation – International:
    - International Scoping Study: selected 25 GeV NF (RAL 2006) (MOST RECENT COMPLETED STUDY)
    - International Design Study: seeks to deliver a Reference Design report by ~2011 (ONGOING STUDY)
    - Low Energy NF (NEW DEVELOPMENT)





# International Scoping Study Reports



Science & Technology Facilities Council  
Technical Report RAL-TR-2007-019  
arXiv: 0712.4129

Science & Technology Facilities Council  
arXiv: 0802.4023v1

Science & Technology Facilities Council  
arXiv: 0712.4129

Physics at a future Neutrino Factory and super-beam facility  
The ISS Physics Working Group

International scoping study of a future Neutrino Factory and super-beam facility: Summary of the Accelerator Working Group  
The ISS Accelerator Working Group  
December 2007  
RAL-TR-2007-023

International scoping study of a future Neutrino Factory and super-beam facility: Summary of the Detector Working Group  
The ISS Detector Working Group  
December 2007

International scoping study of a future NEUTRINO FACTORY AND SUPER-BEAM FACILITY


- **Physics report : Rep. Prog. Phys**
- **Accelerator report: JINST 4:P07001,2009**
- **Detector: JINST 4:T05001,2009**



# ISS Physics Results: $\theta_{13}$ Sensitivity




- “Conservative” NF  
(right edges in plots)
  - $10^{21}$  muon decays/yr
  - 4 years x 50 KT
  - $E = 50$  GeV
  - $L = 4000$  km
- “Optimized” NF  
(left edges in plots)
  - $10^{21}$  muon decays/yr
  - 5 years x 50 KT x 2
  - $E = 20$  GeV
  - $L = 4000$  &  $7500$  km



Even if  $\theta_{13} = 0$  at some high mass scale, radiative corrections are likely to make it larger than the limiting NF sensitivity



# ISS Physics Results: Mass Hierarchy



If  $\theta_{13}$  is small, an  $\sim 20$ - $25$  GeV Neutrino Factory provides exquisite sensitivity that goes well beyond the capability of conventional neutrino beams




# What if $\theta_{13}$ Large ?



Geer, Mena, & Pascoli, *Phys. Rev. D*75, 093001, (2007); Bross, Ellis, Geer, Mena, & Pascoli, *Phys. Rev. D*77, 093012 (2008)  
Phys. Rev. Special Topics AB, Ankenbrandt, Bogacz, Bross, Geer, Johnstone, Neuffer, Popovic - in press


- New ideas on how to affordably magnetize a very large low Z fully active detector have opened the possibility of a low energy NF, ideal if  $\theta_{13}$  is "large"
- 4 GeV NF design simulated  $\rightarrow$   $1.4 \times 10^{21}$  useful decays/year of each sign
- For present physics studies, assume:
  - 4.5 GeV NF
  - $1.4 \times 10^{21}$  useful decays/year of each sign
  - background level of  $10^{-3}$
  - 20KT detector (Fid. Mass)
  - 10 year run
  - $L = 1280$  km (FNAL-Homestake)



Totally Active Scintillator Detector  
15m long scintillators  
triangular cross-section  
(base=3cm, ht=1.5cm)  
 $B = 0.5$  T




# $3\sigma$ Discovery Potential



- A Low Energy NF with a FNAL - Homestake baseline has discovery sensitivity down to  $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = O(10^{-3} - 10^{-4})$ !





# Low Energy NF Precision



If  $\theta_{13}$  is "large", a low energy NF would enable precision measurements



# Low Energy NF Precision



Bross, Ellis, Geer, Martinez, Li, Pascoli & Mena



# Neutrino Factory R&D



- Neutrino Factory R&D pursued since 1997.
- Since, in our present designs, the Neutrino Factory and Muon Collider have common front ends (up to & including the initial cooling channel), much of the R&D is in common.
- See Bob Palmer's Muon Collider talk for proton requirements, target, bunching & phase rotation, and cooling design and R&D.
- Key experiments:
  - MERIT: Target demonstration - complete
  - MuCool: RF in mag. fields - critical, ongoing
  - MICE: Cooling channel systems test, ongoing
  - EMMA: Promising new acceleration scheme test, in preparation






# Targetry



- Need proton beam power of 4MW & short bunches ( $\leq 3\text{ns}$ )
- Optimum proton beam energy =  $10 \pm 5 \text{ GeV}$  (ISS study) but at fixed power muon yield drops slowly with energy - lose  $\sim 30\%$  for  $E=120 \text{ GeV}$  (Mokhov)
- A 4MW target station design study was part of "Neutrino Factory Study 1" in 2000  $\rightarrow$  ORNL/TM2001/124
- Facility studied was 49m long = target hall & decay channel, shielding, solenoids, remote handling & target systems.
- Target: liquid Hg jet inside 20T solenoid, identified as one of the main Neutrino Factory challenges requiring proof-of-principle demonstration.





4MW Target Station Design



# The MERIT Experiment at CERN

- The MERIT experiment was designed as proof-of-principle demonstration of a liquid Hg jet target in high-field solenoid.
- In Fall 2007 MERIT ran at the CERN PS and successfully demonstrated a liquid Hg jet injected into a 15T solenoid, & hit with a suitably intense beam (115 KJ / pulse !).





**MER**cury  
**I**ntense  
**T**arget



# MERIT RESULTS

- Jet disrupted on a ms timescale (disruption length  $< 28$  cm  $\sim 2$  int. lengths. The jet was observed to re-establish itself after 15ms ... before the next beam pulse arrives  $\rightarrow$  rep. rate 70Hz.
- Preliminary analysis suggests this target technology is good for beams up  $> 8$  MW !





Hg jet in a 15T solenoid  
observed with a high-speed camera



# Bunching, Phase Rotation & Cooling



- After drifting down a 57m long pion decay channel, the muons have developed a time-energy correlation. A clever arrangement of RF cavities captures the muons in bunches & then reduces their energy spread.
- An ionization cooling channel reduces trans. phase space of the muon population to fit within the accelerator acceptance.









# Ionization Cooling

- Must cool fast (before muons decay)
- Muons lose energy by in material ( $dE/dx$ ). Re-accelerate in longitudinal direction  $\rightarrow$  reduce transverse phase space (emittance). Coulomb scattering heats beam  $\rightarrow$  low  $Z$  absorber. Hydrogen is best, but LiH also OK for the early part of the cooling channel.





# MuCool




- Developing & bench testing cooling channel components
- MuCool Test Area at end of FNAL linac is a unique facility:
  - Liquid H<sub>2</sub> handling
  - RF power at 805 MHz
  - RF power at 201 MHz
  - 5T solenoid (805 MHz fits in bore)
  - Beam from linac (soon)



New beamline



Liq. H<sub>2</sub> absorber






# RF in Magnetic Fields



- When vac. copper cavities operate in multi Tesla co-axial mag. field, the maximum operating gradient is reduced.



- Effect is not seen in cavities filled with high pressure hydrogen gas - possible solution (but needs to be tested in a beam - coming soon)

- Other possible ways to mitigate effect:


- special surfaces (e.g. beryllium)
- Surface treatment (e.g. ALD)
- Magnetic insulation




# MICE



**GOALS: Build a section of cooling channel capable of giving the desired performance for a Neutrino Factory & test in a muon beam. Measure performance in various modes of operation.**



- Multi-stage experiment.
- First stage being commissioned now.
- Anticipate final stage complete by ~2011








# Acceleration




- ISS Scheme

- Pre-accelerator uses 201 MHz SCRF cavities with 17 MV/m (11 MV/m demonstrated at Cornell)
- Non-scaling FFAG proof-of-principle R&D under preparation → EMMA experiment at Daresbury



- Low Energy NF

- Pre-accelerator uses 201 MHz SCRF cavities with 12 MV/m – performance still OK
- One RLA to get to 4 GeV





# FINAL REMARKS



- International Scoping Study prepared the way for the next step - The International Design Study
- The IDS aspires to deliver a NF Reference Design Report (RDR) by 2012.
- If the community wishes, after a few more years of preconstruction R&D, neutrino factory construction could begin as early as the late 2010's
- The NF & MC front-ends are, in present designs, the same ... and require a 4MW (or more) proton source providing 3ns long (or less) bunches with a rep rate of a few  $\times 10$ Hz. We believe we have the target technology for this.
- Realizing a NF would mitigate many of the technical risks associated with realizing a MC



# A Staged Muon Vision

