

Comprehensive Risk Adjustment

Al Esposito
Office of Strategic Planning

March 15, 2000

Comprehensive Risk Adjustment Schedule

- **NO DECISIONS ON A COMPREHENSIVE MODEL HAVE BEEN MADE**
- HCFA is in the process of updating and evaluating a number of possible comprehensive models
- Decision on final model by the fall of 2001
- Calibration of final model Jan-Jun 2002 using 1999 and 2000 fee-for-service data
- Final methodology announced January 15, 2003
- Implementation on January 1, 2004

Elements of Comprehensive Models

- **Prospective**
 - inpatient, physician and outpatient diagnoses from a base year are used to assign person-specific risk factors for the next year
- **Clinical classification algorithms** are used; some more elaborate than others.
- Models are generally “**additive**”
 - more diagnoses that have predictive power mean higher risk factors, and higher payments
- Models include **demographic factors**

Comprehensive Models

- **Hierarchical Coexisting Conditions (HCCs)**
 - Pope, Ash, and Ellis (and others) at Health Economics Research (HER) and Boston University (BU).
- **Ambulatory Diagnostic Group - Hospital Dominant (ADG-HOSDOM)**
 - Weiner (and others) Johns Hopkins University
- **Chronic Illness and Disability Payment System (CDPS)**
 - Kronick at UCSD
- **Clinically Detailed Risk Information System for Costs (CD-RISC)**
 - Carter (and others) at RAND
- **Clinical Risk Groups (CRGs)**
 - Averill, Goldfield (and others) at 3M

Comprehensive Risk Adjustment Features and Benefits

- Site-of-service neutral
 - incentives to hospitalize are minimized
- Health status conveys most of the dollars
 - less weight is applied to demographic factors
- Payment accuracy and fairness is improved
 - overall explained variability
 - predictive ratios for biased groups

Percent of Beneficiaries with Health Status Factors

- Beneficiaries Hospitalized 18.6%
 - % with health status \$ (PIP) 12.2%
- Beneficiaries with a Physician, Outpatient or Inpatient claim 89.0%
 - % with health status \$
 - (HCC) 57.1%
 - (ADG-HOSDOM) 77.5%

Predictive Ratios (Predicted/Actual)

<u>Groups</u>	<u>AAPCC-like</u>	<u>PIP-DCG</u>	<u>HCC</u>
• Beneficiaries ranked by cost			
– lowest 20%	2.49	1.92	1.21
– middle 20%	1.31	1.01	1.11
– highest 20%	.48	.85	.88
• Disease Groups			
– Diabetes w/o complications	.63	.75	1.02
– Diabetes with complications	.45	.69	.96
– Breast cancer	.68	.78	1.07
– Hip Fracture	.59	.85	.99

Hierarchical Co-Existing Conditions (HCC's)

- Diagnoses are grouped into 543 DxGroups
- DxGroups are then sorted using cost and clinical criteria into 134 HCC groups based on ICD-9 codes
- 75 HCCs are used
- Hierarchical; e.g., 8 levels of cancer, 3 levels of diabetes
- Additive across types of diseases: A person may be “assigned” to multiple groups

Hierarchical Coexisting Conditions (HCCs)

(based on 1996 mean of \$5186)

HCC

Payment

Metastastic Cancer	\$ 8533
High Cost Cancer	5371
Moderate Cost Cancer	2942
Lower Cost Cancers / Tumors	1242
Carcinoma in Situ	0
Uncertain Neoplasm	0
Skin Cancer, except Melanoma	0
Benign Neoplasm	0

Hierarchical Coexisting Conditions (HCCs)

(based on 1996 mean of \$5186)

HCC

Payment

Diabetes with Chronic Complications	\$ 4788
--	----------------

Diabetes with Acute Complications	3181
--	-------------

Diabetes with No or Unspec. Complications	1481
--	-------------

Congestive Heart Failure	2733
---------------------------------	-------------

Acute Myocardial Infarction	2457
------------------------------------	-------------

Chronic Ischemic Heart Disease	1178
---------------------------------------	-------------

Renal Failure	4195
----------------------	-------------

Ambulatory Diagnosis Groups - Hospital Dominant (ADG-HOSDOM)

- Diagnoses are grouped into 32 ADGs based on:
 - duration of the condition (acute, recurrent, or chronic)
 - severity of the condition (minor/stable vs major/unstable)
 - diagnostic certainty (symptoms vs documented diseases)
 - etiology of the condition (infectious, injury, or other)
 - specialty care involvement (medical, surgical, etc.)
- 13 ADGs were selected for the Medicare model
- HOSDOM marker is comprised of diagnoses that have at least a 50 % probability of leading to a hospitalization.

ADG-HOSDOM

(based on 1996 mean of \$5186)

ADG

Payment

Time limited, major	\$1989
Time limited, major, primary infections	\$1949
Asthma	\$1050
Likely to recur, discrete	\$652
Likely to recur, progressive	\$2483
Chronic medical, unstable	\$1575
Chronic specialty, unstable, orthopedic	\$1263

ADG-HOSDOM

(based on 1996 mean of \$5186)

ADG

Payment

Injuries/adverse events, major	\$1145
Psychiatric, time limited, minor	\$748
Psychiatric, persistent or recurrent, stable	\$933
Psychiatric, persistent or recurrent, unstable	\$1610
Signs/symptoms, major	\$1155
Malignancy	\$1421

ADG-HOSDOM

(based on 1996 mean of \$5186)

- HOSDOM payment weight is \$6449
- HOSDOM marker applies to 6.9% of beneficiaries
- 77.5 percent of beneficiaries have at least 1 ADG

Comprehensive Risk Adjustment Features and Benefits

- Site-of-service neutral
 - incentives to hospitalize are minimized
- Health status conveys most of the dollars
 - less weight is applied to demographic factors
- Payment accuracy and fairness is improved
 - overall explained variability
 - predictive ratios for biased groups

Demographic Factors

(Average Cost in 1996 = \$5186)

	AAPCC- like	PIP-DCG	HCC	ADG- HOSDOM
female				
65-69	\$2791	\$2310	\$1242	\$ 339
70-74	3583	2998	1650	767
75-79	4599	3810	2139	1318
80-84	5681	4683	2549	1982
85-89	6807	5589	2814	2775
90-94	7321	5928	2110	3087
95+	7026	5754	1671	3103

HCC model

examples

- Base payment for female, age 75-79: \$2,139
- Health status increments
 - Diabetes with no complications: \$1,481
 - 250.00 diabetes, simple
 - Moderate cost cancer: \$2,942
 - 183.0 malignant neoplasm of ovary
- Total Payment = \$ 6562

HCC model

examples

- Base payment for female, age 75-79: \$2,139
- Health status increments
 - Diabetes with chronic complications: \$4,778
 - 250.41 diabetes with renal manifestations, insulin dependent
 - Chronic Ischemic Heart Disease: \$1,178
 - 414.0 coronary arteriosclerosis
- Total Payment = \$ 8,104

ADG-HOSDOM model

examples

- Base payment for female, age 75-79: \$1,318
- Health status increments
 - Chronic medical, unstable: \$1,575
 - 250.41 diabetes with renal manifestations, insulin dependent
 - 414.0 coronary arteriosclerosis
 - HOSDOM variable: \$6,449
 - 250.41 diabetes with renal manifestations, insulin dependent
- Total Payment = \$ 9,342

Chronic Illness and Disability Payment System (CDPS)

- The CDPS model includes 20 major categories of diagnoses, grouped by body system or type of clinical diagnosis.
 - Examples of body system categories are: cardiovascular, skeletal and connective, and pulmonary
 - Examples of clinical diagnosis-based categories are diabetes, cancer and infectious disease.
- The 20 major categories are further divided by cost levels (generally high, medium and low cost).
- Individuals can be assigned to multiple categories.

Clinically Detailed Risk Information System for Cost (CD-RISC)

- The CD-RISC model has 173 groupings of conditions, each with up to 3 severity levels .
 - Severity is assigned based on ICD-9 diagnosis codes, in combination with codes for complications or comorbidities.
- The groups are then organized into hierarchies within 16 body systems, e.g. circulatory, digestive, neoplasm, infections, mental.
- Individuals are assigned to the highest level in each body system. The model is additive across body systems.

Clinical Risk Groups (CRGs)

- Diagnoses are assigned to 31 Major Diagnostic Categories (MDCs) corresponding to a single organ system or etiology.
- Each MDC is subdivided into Episode Diagnostic Categories (EDCs).
 - EDCs are classified into 6 levels: Dominant Chronic, Moderate Chronic, Minor Chronic, Chronic Manifestation, Significant Acute, and Minor Acute.
 - Individuals can be assigned multiple EDCs in an MDC. (533 EDCs across the 31 MDCs)

Clinical Risk Groups (CRGs)

- In each MDC, a person's most significant EDC is designated as the Primary Chronic Disease (PCD).
- The PCD in each MDC is assigned a severity level, based on:
 - presence of other chronic EDCs within the same MDC and across other MDCs, presence of acute EDCs from any MDC, and past treatment history.

Clinical Risk Groups (CRGs)

- Combinations of diseases across MDCs are classified into groups called statuses.
- There are 9 statuses, e.g., *Dominant Chronic Diseases in Three or More Organ Systems*.
- The particular combination of a person's PDCs is assigned to a group (base CRG) within a status.
- Each base CRG may have multiple severities
- There are 1083 final CRGs.

Research Issues

- Updating all of the models
- Adding other data to the models
 - home health diagnoses
 - specific therapies
 - skilled nursing facility data
 - specific durable medical equipment
- Adding other factors to account for high cost populations.

Selecting a Comprehensive Model

- Conceptual: Does the model make sense to clinicians, providers, and plans?
- Comparative analytic performance:
 - accuracy in predicting individual expenditures?
 - accuracy in predicting for biased groups?
- Incentives and appropriateness for payment applications: Sensitivity to coding variability?
- Administrative feasibility: Data requirements?
Copyright issues?